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## PREFACE.

In the Attic Orators from Antiphon to Isaeus I endeavoured to trace historically the development of Attic prose, and also to assist a special study of the orators before Demosthenes ${ }^{1}$. The favourable reception of that attempt encouraged the design of a companion volume, which should give in a compact form a series of the most characteristic and interesting passages from these orators, with a commentary adapted to the requirements of students at the Universities or in the higher forms of Public Schools. It was the opinion of competent judges that such an anthology of Attic prose from Thucydides to Demosthenes might prove not unwelcome to readers who desired to enlarge their survey of the great classical literature, and to teachers who wished to vary the routine of authors commonly read in the school-course. Few Greek

[^0]authors of the best age are more helpful than the orators if we desire to see the old Greeks as they lived and moved, to enter into the spirit of their daily actions and thoughts : few, again, present more vivid or instructive pictures of Greek society in its larger political aspects.

The principles which have guided the selection have been chiefly two:-1. to choose passages from each author which, taken together, should interpret as completely as possible his part in the general evolution of Attic prose style: 2. to take care that these passages should also possess intrinsic interest as illustrations of Greek thought, politics, or manners.

For the first of these two objects it was essential that each author should be represented by several extracts rather than by a single whole work, in cases where the latter must have excluded too much of the former. Thus, if the speech of Lysias Against Eratosthenes had been given entire, it would have been necessary to omit many specimens of his work in a different kind, without which his compass of power and his place in the development would have been imperfectly understood. For my purpose, the unity of the piece necessarily yielded to the unity of the series. At the same time, wherever it was practicable, I have given a composition as a whole. Thus, among the works of Lysias, the speeches For Mantitheus and Against Pancleon stand entire; the Olympiacus and the Plea for the Constitution appear in such integrity as they now possess. So, again, does the essay of Isocrates Against the Sophists. With the exception of a few lines,-devoid of interest save
for those who resent expurgation,- the best oration of Isaeus, the eighth, is given in full. The present work is intended to be strictly what it is called, a companion volume to the Attic Orators, in which all the writings of each orator have been analysed and discussed. Care has been taken to indicate, in an introductory note, the scope of each speech as a whole, as well as the bearing of the selected passage : and, on reference to the Attic Orators, an analysis of the whole will be found. The selection has cost some time and labour. The volume contains thirty-seven extracts, representing thirty-three works. Hardly one of these was admitted until it had been carefully compared with other passages of which the claims seemed nearly equal, or in some particular respect, perhaps, superior. Only those who have essayed a similar task can easily understand the number and variety of considerations which had to be balanced before a final list could be arranged. It would perhaps be too much to expect that the choice thus made should in every case obtain approval ; I can only plead that, in deciding these often nice points, I have acted as the Athenian juror's oath bound him to act,- $\gamma \nu \omega^{\prime} \mu \eta \tau \hat{\eta}$ dंpícrŋ : that is, not necessarily with grood judgment, but with the best which I was able to form.

It must suffice merely to touch here on a subject which I have elsewhere sought to illustrate more fully ${ }^{1}$ - the reason why Attic oratory has a claim on students of (ireek literature different in degree from that which English oratory (for example) has upon

[^1]students of English literature. The distinguishing characteristic of the best Greek literature is its constant and intimate relation with living speech. In following the course of Attic oratory we are at the same time tracing the main current of influence which moulded Greek literary prose. And Greek prose, whether spoken or written, has one kind of significance for the student of all literature which does not belong in the same measure to Greek poetry. This has been well expressed by Professor Nettleship. 'The influence of Greek poetry is perhaps, in its larger effects, more traceable in the spirit than in the form of the most important modern works of the same order, for the delicate musical effects of metre pass away with the life of the language in which they are born. But the broader and simpler harmonies of prose-rhythm are not so easily lost, and these, as first appreciated and elaborated by the Greeks, must live in the ears of men so long as they continue to speak or write prose with any sense of beauty. There is a more obvious affinity between Demosthenes and Burke than between Aeschylus and Goethe ${ }^{1}$.'

Turning from the form of Greek oratory to the subject-matter, we find Greek politics made more real and Greek society more animated. Politics become practical and personal ; social life is seen in aspects which are no longer merely domestic. It would be a pity to regard these glimpses merely as peeps into a mine of possible examination-questions. Those who know this province of Greek literature only through the medium of references in such valuable works as

[^2]Becker's Charicles might be pardoned for supposing that the world of the Attic orators was peopled by beings who existed solely in the interest of unborn archæologists. Dinners, kottabos, marriages, funerals, and striking emergencies of the wardrobe succeed each other with a disregard of the probable as placid and as complete as if the instructive lives of the personages had been prearranged by a Board of Studies. But when we read the orators themselves we see that there is another and a very different sense in which they illuminate the life of ancient Greece. In one of the forensic orations of Isaeus the speaker observes, with much warmth, that his adversary has never possessed a horse worth more than twelve pounds. This certainly discloses the fact that a horse of such price was not in the Athens of that day considered a valuable horse. But that is scarcely the point. It leads one to ask how a speaker in a lawcourt could have expected this to be a telling reproach. How must the relation of the private citizen to the city have been conceived, if abstinence from the private display of wealth could be regarded as implying a want of public spirit? The facts of ancient life are dead unless the imagination is exercised in seizing the social tone which is suggested by their relation to each other.

The credibility of the Attic orators as sources for history has sometimes been treated as if it were a question concerning the solvency of a corporation. It would else have been unnecessary to observe that each orator must be considered separately. The history which Antiphon and Isaeus illustrate is rather
social than political. Antiphon was chiefly concerned with the court of the Areiopagus, or with courts which reflected something of its spirit; and the Areiopagus, as Aristotle tells us, was exceptional among Greek tribunals in prohibiting the introduction of topies not strictly relevant. Thus historical digressions were precluded. Isaeus, again, was engrossed in argument on the details of private relationships. But Andocides, Lysias, and Isocrates are all, directly or indirectly, political historians. Andocides sheds much vivid light on Athenian affairs in the panic of 415 B.C.,-in the revolutionary crisis of 411 ,-and in that crisis of the Corinthian War which belongs to 390 b.c. His references to earlier history are usually inexact ; a circumstance which need not surprise us, when we remember the pains which Thucydides takes to correct the erroneous beliefs, popularly current at Athens in his own day, regarding one of the most famous and most impressive events in Athenian history,-an event which was then hardly three generations old-the assassination of Hipparchus. Lysias stands beside Xenophon as our chief contemporary authority for the period which immediately followed the close of the Peloponnesian War,-the reign of the Thirty Tyrants, the restoration of the Athenian Democracy, and its life during the earlier years of the Spartan domination. Isocrates gives us striking pictures of Greece at three successive moments ;-in 380 b.c., when the Spartan supremacy had now produced its worst effects ; in 355, towards the end of the Social War, when the Athenian visions of empire had now for the second time been shattered;
and in 346, when Philip of Macedon had just won his way within the circle of the Greek States, and when the cause of Greek independence was entering on the last stage of decline. If Isocrates is not always accurate in reference to events of the preceding century, his illustrations of contemporary history, both political and social, are of the highest value and the most varied interest.

A prefatory note on the style of each orator will be found in the commentary. This note aims at marking the place of each in the series of writers by whom Attic prose was developed. The thread which runs through the series has been traced elsewhere. A few words may be repeated here:-'Two principal tendencies appear in the beginning of Attic oratory. One of them sets out from the forensic rhetoric of Sicily, in combination with the popular dialectic of the sophists, and is but slightly affected by Gorgias. It is represented by the writers of the "austere" style, of whom Antiphon and Thucydides are the chief. From Thucydides to Demosthenes this manner is in abeyance, partly because it is itself unsuited to forensic purposes, partly because its grave emphasis has come to seem archaic. The second tendency is purely Gorgian, and, after having had several obscure representatives, is taken up by Isocrates, who gives to it a corrected, a complete, and a permanent form. From a compromise between this second tendency and the idiom of daily life arises the "plain" style of Lysias. The transition from Lysias to a strenuous political oratory is marked by Isaeus. Then comes the matured political oratory, giving new combina-
tions to types already developed, and, in its greatest representative, uniting them all ${ }^{1}$.'

In reading these selections that thread should be firmly held. The student should remember that he is not merely reading samples from a number of writers who were all in some sense 'orators.' He is also following successive steps in the process by which a language of most elastic resource was gradually adapted to a certain set of purposes. Though Demosthenes is said to have been a devoted student of Thucydides, everyone can feel that the prose of Thucydides is something very unlike the prose of Demosthenes. If the intervening representatives of normal style are not read, a gap remains. Xenophon is too little of a conscious artist to help us much; the literary genius of Plato is too individual. The works of the orators from Antiphon to Isaeus form the bridge by which the gulf is spanned.

Each of the five has his distinctive interest. That of Antiphon depends less on the intrinsic quality of his work than on its character as the monument of an early and singular stage in the prose literature. The able historian and critic who discussed my book in the Edinburgh Review-and to whom my acknowledgments are due for his invariable fairness and courtesy-was disposed to think that the oratorical influence of Antiphon has been overrated ${ }^{2}$. It is possible; we can seldom gauge with precision the effect which a Greek orator produced on his average Greek hearer; though Thucydides, at least, certainly

[^3]regarded Antiphon as a very powerful speaker; and modern writers, including Grote and Curtius, have inferred that eloquence was, as Thucydides implies, the main source of Antiphon's ascendency. We can at any rate see that Antiphon represents a wellmarked phase of language and style. In another place I have endeavoured to show how far Antiphon and Thucydides may be compared or contrasted as disciples of a common school ${ }^{1}$.

Andocides, who may be considered, relatively to the artists of oratory, as a brilliant amateur, is for that very reason a valuable element. We, with our prejudice against the confession that oratory has a technical side-a prejudice, which is probably less strong in America and France than it is in England -are too apt to think of Greek Rhetoric as a machine which affected to produce uniform results independently of natural aptitudes. Now this is just what the best Greek Rhetoric strenuously repudiated ${ }^{2}$. Again and again Isocrates repeats that natural faculty is the first condition of success, and that, without it, training and practice can do comparatively little. Undoubtedly a Greek audience not only recognized but usually expected careful premeditation by the speaker on every important occasion. Several years ago an eminent person, being President of the British Association, was called upon to deliver the opening address; he rose,

[^4]and offered a series of observations on the weather, the crops, the embarrassment of public speaking, and the diffusion of knowledge among the masses. The feelings of the British Association at that moment probably resembled those with which an Athenian audience would have received utterances which, however pleasing in themselves, indicated that art had not brought the requisite assistance to nature. Andocides is peculiarly interesting as reminding us, on the other hand, that, even with an Athenian audience, a natural gift could succeed, though aided only by slender art.

Lysias, the canon of Attic grace, brings before us,more clearly, perhaps, than any of the rest, -one essential difference between ancient and modern oratory in regard to their available instruments. The Greek orator produces his effect mainly by the collocation and rhythm of words used in their proper sense. When, however, he desires to employ metaphor or other verbal ornament, the freshness of the language makes it easy to do so. Nothing is stale as yet. But our language is so full of worn-out metaphors that it is difficult to find imagery which shall be neither trite nor extravagant. It is no longer striking to speak of a 'concourse,' or to say that a nation 'cries out'; yet these metaphors, and many others like them, will be found in Aristotle's Rhetoric among examples of impressive ornament. It is to be regretted, I think, that Lysias has lost his old place in our schools; and I should rejoice if the specimens given here should in any degree contribute to his restoration.

Isocrates may with peculiar advantage be read in selections. His frequent prolixity arises chiefly from two causes-much preamble and much repetition. In most of his longer works-the Panegyricus, with its artistic unity, is an exception-there is what may be called a nucleus, consisting sometimes of one passage, sometimes of several, generally in the central or the latter part of the work. The account of his 'philosophy,' which I have extracted from the Antidosis, is a case in point. Isocrates has a direct interest for modern literature as the founder of that style which, especially through the prose of Cicero, has exercised so large an influence on Europe. He has also a peculiar interest in relation to the history of Greece. Belonging, as he does, to the latter days of the old Hellas, and in feeling a genuine Hellene, he can yet conceive of Hellenic culture as shared by men not of Hellenic blood; and he is thus, as Professor Ernst Curtius has so well brought out ${ }^{1}$, a literary forerunner of Hellenism.

Sir Henry Maine has taught so many readers to feel some interest in the early history of testamentary law that Isaeus will not be thought unworthy of study merely because he is concerned with cases of inheritance. The Attic law, which Isaeus illustrates, marks the transition from the purely religious phase of adoption to a phase in which it is still, indeed, connected with religion, but also appears as the germ of a true testamentary power. And there is searcely
${ }^{1}$ Curtius, History of Greece, v. 116, 204. See the striking passages of Isocrates, Panegyr. § 30: Attic Orators, Vol. 11. p. 16.
any Greek writer who so much helps us to understand the meaning which the old Greeks attached to the family. The light which he gives is not merely on scenic detail, but also on those relations of the actors which touch the springs of social life. These attributes might well entitle Isaeus to attention, even if his place in the series of Attic prose-writers was not rendered peculiarly interesting by his immediate connection with Demosthenes.

The Annals prefixed to the first volume of the Attic Orators will, I trust, be found useful by those who may wish to see the political and literary chronology of the whole period in a comprehensive form. A list of editions, commentaries, and subsidia will be found in the same place.

The indexes, which I have prepared myself, are on a scale which will make it easy to find any noticeable word or matter which the volume contains. On points of syntax the references are to Professor Goodwin's Greek Moods and Tenses.

In revising the work for the present edition, I have profited by several valuable criticisms which the first edition received. The commentary stands, as before, at the end of the book, while the critical notes, which formerly preceded the Greek text, are now printed below it.

> The College, Glasgow, October, 1888.
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 $\chi \rho \epsilon i ̂ a \iota ~ \tau о \cup ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ a ̉ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu о \nu а \varsigma ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̀ \gamma \omega ิ \nu а \varsigma ~<к а \tau а \sigma \tau \hat{\eta}-$ $\nu a \iota>$ тov́s $\tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi$ iovs $\tau о \lambda \mu \hat{a} \nu \tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a<\kappa a i>$


ANTIPHON.
$A=$ the codex Crippsianus, or Burneianus 95, now in the British Museum, said to be of the 13th century : $N=$ Oxoniensis, saec. 13 or 14. These, the two best, are closely connected. Sauppe, who with Spengel and others gives the palm to $A$, thinks that $N$ was copied from $A$ before the latter had been corrected, and that the points in which $N$ is superior are due to the conjectures of its learned scribe. The Teubner editor argues against this view, and sums up the relative merits of the two mss. by saying that, if we had $N$ and the others except $A$, we should lack the true reading in some twelve places ; if $A$ and the others except $N$, in some ninety. But neither $d$ nor $N$ wholly supersedes other mss. $13=$ Laurentianus, saec. 15: $L=$ Marcianus, saec. 14: $Z=$ Vratislaviensis, saec. 14 : $M=$ Burneianus, saec. 15.

Turr. $($ Turicenses $)=$ Baiter and Sauppe's edition: Bl. $=$ the Teubner text edited by F. Blass: Bk. $=$ Immanuel Bekker's Berlin edition.
I. \& 1. - катабт̂̂val>] supplied by Reiske, and printed in brackets by Turr. 131. || The mss. have $\tau o \lambda \mu a ̂ \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \in \lambda \lambda \alpha$

















 то入̀̀ тарà $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu$ тоv́т $\nu \nu$. тò $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \in \iota \rho a ́ \kappa \iota о \nu$

we have our choice between two versions: (1) 'force peaceable men to be audacious ( $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{a} \nu$ ), and ( $\tau \epsilon$ ) in other respects to speak and act,' etc. Here the absolute use of ro $\lambda \mu \hat{a} \nu$ is objectionable, and the $\tau \epsilon$ is most awkward, $\tau \epsilon$ having occurred twice before in the sentence. (2) 'make peaceable men dare both ( $\tau \epsilon$ ) in other matters to speak, etc., and (kai) to act,' $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ and $\delta \rho \hat{a} \nu$ both depending on $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{a} \nu$. This is intolerable. I feel sure, then, that Turr. are right in supplying, with Sauppe,
 on other steps, and ( $\kappa \alpha i$ ) to speak and act,' etc. For ro入 $\mu \hat{a} \nu \tau \alpha$


 $\pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \in ́ v a i s$ тúxais. It is more probable that $\mathfrak{\eta} \tau \tau \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \iota$ (or an equivalent) should be supplied before $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon \xi a \mu \notin \nu o u s: ~ u n l e s s$, indeed, the latter has supplanted a word of opposite meaning,
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 aìtlà ov̉犭 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma a \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \in \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu$. Sıà 5













 aкłurtov ... $\mu \dot{\eta}$ фоvev̂ouv elval. Bl. shifts this whole passage, placing it after $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$ at the end of $\S 4$, and altering rou $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ racôòs into roû $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$ tacôòs. To me no change seems required.
 by B1., rizhtly, I think; but remark that the impf. without äd could be defended as = 'was (by a locical inference) left,' in the supposed case (el tiphatev). Sice on tyiyveto in Isancos or. v. §13. §4. - $\dot{\dot{b}} \mu i v \dot{v} \kappa x \omega \lambda u ́ l \eta>$ J supplied by leiske, and printed














 $\sigma \nu \nu \eta \delta o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \mu \not{\nu} \nu$ oư $\delta \dot{\text { è }} \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \sigma v \nu a \lambda-$
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in brackets by Turr. and Bl. § 6. ǒ $\tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \kappa<\cup ́ \sigma \iota o \nu] ~ \tau \epsilon$ Spengel, Bl.: oi ठè éкоúбtov vulg. §7. oúסèv $\left.{ }^{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \nu\right]$ B1., with $N$ :










 $\tau \epsilon \gamma$ à $\tau \hat{\eta}$ тои́тоv $\delta \iota a \phi \theta о \rho \hat{a}$ ảßíштоע тò $\lambda \epsilon \iota \pi$ ó $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оע


'E $\lambda \epsilon \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ oưv тô̂ठє $\mu$ èv тố $\nu \eta \pi i ́ o v ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ 11 ~$ à $\nu a \mu a ́ \rho \tau \eta т о \nu ~ \sigma u \mu \phi о \rho a ́ \nu, ~ \epsilon ́ \mu o v ̂ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \gamma \eta p a \iota o ̂ ~ к а i ~$ à $\theta \lambda i o v ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi р о \sigma \delta o ́ к \eta т о \nu ~ к а к о т а ́ \theta є \iota а \nu, ~ \mu \grave{~} \kappa а т а-~$

 фораїऽ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega ̀ \nu$ oủk ảтı $\mu \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu, \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{\varsigma} \tau \epsilon$



 à'́роьs $\sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho a i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a ́ \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon$.

B1., Spengel having conjectured $\tau \delta \tau^{\prime}$ Eprov: vulg. To है $\rho \gamma o \nu$. 89. $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu]$ Bl. with $N$.- $\mu \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu$ vulg.

## II. TETPA^OГIA 「. a.

## KATHГOPIA ФONOY KATA TOY ^ELONTOE AMYNA $\Sigma \Theta A I$.


 $\mu a \rho \tau \cup \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ к а т a ̀ ~ т o ̀ ~ \delta i ́ к а \iota o \nu, ~ \mu \eta ́ т \epsilon ~ т o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̉ \nu o ́ \chi o v s ~$



 Өá $\lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$, ìva $\mu \eta े ~ \sigma \pi a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \gamma \kappa а i ́ \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho o-~$







II. § 2. $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau o v s]$ Bl. with N.- $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ vulg. \| Bk. and
 $\tau 0 \hat{u} \beta i o u$ à $\nu \dot{\beta} \mu \omega s \tau \iota \nu \dot{a} a \dot{a} \pi о к \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \iota$ (Reiske conject. àmобтєрєî). Bl.,

 unlawfully slays any one of us who have been deemed worthy of life by the god'). I had conjectured another remedy-which, indeed, was not far to seek-without knowing that it is actually found in $N$,-namely, for $\dot{a} \xi \iota \omega \theta \epsilon i$ s to read $\dot{a} \xi \iota \omega \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o s . ~ ' W h o-~$ ever, then,-our life having been deemed worthy of these things ly the god,-unlawfully slays another.' Even without the testimony of $N$ this reading would have very strong probability: with that testimony added, it seems reasonably certain.
$\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \sigma v \nu a \sigma \epsilon \beta \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ тav̂тa $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota$, oủ

 $\tau \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \epsilon ้ \chi Ө \rho a \nu ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu a \iota \tau i o v s ~ \delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa o \iota \mu \epsilon \nu, ~ \tau \hat{̣} ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$




 бьуขó $\mu \epsilon \theta$.
 $\gamma \omega \nu$ тòv à $\sigma \epsilon \beta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \tau a$ каӨa ò̀s т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$















 $\psi v \chi \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \tau a \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a \cup ̉ \tau o ́ v . ~$


## III. TIEPI TOY HPS $\triangle O Y ~ \phi O N O Y$.

[Or. v.-Date, about 417 в.с.]

$$
\text { 1. } \S(1-30 \text {. }
$$

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa a i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i ́ a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є่ ${ }^{\prime}$ iै $\sigma о \nu$



 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota \mu \in \tau a ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ aitías $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ ov̉ троб $\quad$ ккоv́ $\sigma \eta \varsigma$,


 $3 \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̀ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \eta ̋ \delta \eta ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \delta v \nu a \mu e ́ v \omega \nu ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ~$




 т $\hat{\nu}$ катך


 bracketed by Bl. Dobree further proposed to read aút $\hat{\varphi}$ тои́т $\omega$ instead of aúroîs тoútors, and also suspected the words ov́ $\delta u v a ́-$

 remembered that an immature rhetorical prose is sometimes forced to employ these awkward explanatory clauses. That is the price which it pays for using ornament over which it has not complete mastery. A phrase may be sound in Antiphon which could safely be pronounced a gloss in Demosthenes.
$\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \iota \xi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \circ \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ aưт $\omega \hat{\nu}$









 ò $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi a \nu \tau a ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \rho ீ \eta ́ \mu a \tau a ~ a ̉ \pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~$









 $\kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu \tau \iota$ ठıaтра́ $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu \tau a \iota, \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ỏp $\theta$ ov $\mu$ évovs.


 $\mu a \iota \kappa а \theta^{\prime}$ ёкабтоข.

 dudprw. Baiter made the transposition, which is adopted hy Turr. and Bl. || elpjoनeal] Bl., with G. A. Hirschig, $\langle\epsilon \dot{U}\rangle$













 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì $\lambda \omega \pi \sigma o \delta v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó vó $\mu о$ s


 $10 \mu о v$. фабì ठє̀ av́ тó тє ảтоктєivєıv $\mu$ є́ $\gamma а$ какои́р-
 iєpoбv $\bar{\epsilon} \uparrow \nu$ каi тò $\pi \rho o \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \cdot a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀$


 mss . and Bk.-Turr. and Bl., with Sauppe, omit каi. § 10. фабi
 mss. Bk. Turr. For tó $\tau \epsilon$ Sauppe conj. $\tau$ ó $\gamma \epsilon$. The Aldine has



 and so the corrector of $A$, only with $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \pi \circ \theta$. before tò $\dot{\alpha} \alpha \pi \sigma \kappa$.
aүopєv́ovo t тoîs rov̂ фóvov фєúyovaı тàs Síкaৎ,


 $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \in ́ \rho о \nu \tau о \varsigma ~ e ̈ \nu \epsilon к а, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ т o v ̂ ~ \sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ a v ̉ т o i ̂ s ~ \lambda v \sigma t-~$

 $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \in \pi \rho \circ$ ö́vтos тov̂ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$.





















 баутаs тоîs $\mu а \rho т ข \rho о \hat{\sigma \iota ~ ф o ́ v o v ~ \delta i ́ к \eta \nu ~ к а т а \gamma \nu \hat{\nu} \nu a \iota, ~}$




















§ 12. * $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota]$ Bl., ascribing $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ to Dryander and P. R. Müller.- $\epsilon^{l} \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{mss}$. Bk. Turr.-Sauppe conj. olє $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. § 13. $\delta \phi \lambda \epsilon i \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \delta i \kappa \eta \nu]$ Bl. reads, from $A, \delta \phi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu \epsilon \tau \nu a \iota \tau \eta ̀ \nu \delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ : but see comment. \| $\left.{ }^{*} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \nu\right] \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in i v a \iota ~ m s s . ~ D o b r e e ~ t h o u g h t ~ t h a t ~ \epsilon \xi \xi i v a \iota ~$ had arisen from $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon \in \nu a l$, a gloss on $\hat{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \in i v$. Omitting it, he
 oúv $\omega \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \pi \pi o \lambda o \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \varphi$. But I believe that the true remedy is simpler: $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ac should be $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \nu$. I also think that roûto $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, the correlative of $\tau$ oûto $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, has dropped out before ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \nu$.

 тои̂ катךүópov $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s, ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ o ̉ p \theta \hat{\omega s} \kappa а i ̀ ~ \nu о \mu i \mu \omega s ~ v i \mu a ̂ s ~$































 какотаӨєîv т̣̂̂ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau \iota$, тov́s $\tau \epsilon$ фí̀ovs $\pi \rho \circ \theta v-$ нотє́pous é $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ тov̀s é $\mu a v \tau o \hat{v}$ тov́toıs тà $\psi \in v \delta \hat{\eta}$


 ßíov äтталтa.
$19 \mathrm{O} \dot{v} \tau \omega \sigma i{ }^{\mu} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ठ̀े $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̂ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ่ \lambda \lambda \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$




 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \delta o ́ к \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, oủ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \phi \nu \lambda a ́ \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ є่ $\gamma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\text { ® }}$.









§ 17. $\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \circ i \mu b \nu \omega \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon\right]$ Bl., on Reiske's conj., adds ( $\left.\epsilon \pi^{\prime}\right)$ before $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{o}$. For $\epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon$ Baiter proposes $\bar{\ell} \nu \epsilon \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon$. He would also omit
 with Hirschig: rightly. § 19. eं $\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon i s]$ Dobree's conjecture,
 ย̇тv́ $\chi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu$ ठ̀̀ $\chi \in \iota \mu \omega ̂ \nu i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, ~ v ่ ф ’ ~ o v ̉ ~$



 $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ є́भíyvєтo ท̂ тú
 $\theta a \iota, a ̉ \lambda \lambda$ ' aủтòs ка $\theta^{\prime}$ av́тò̀ $\tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \lambda o v ̂ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s$









 छонає. [MAPTYPEE.]
now confirmed by $N$ and perhaps by the 1st hand of $d$ : Turr. Bl. The other mss., $\alpha \nu \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon i$, and so Bk. : see comment. § 21. [rò̀ 'Hpẃô ${ }^{2}$ ]. A gloss on aúróv, as Dobree saw, with whom Maetzner and Blass agree. Reiske, keeping rò 'H ${ }^{\prime} \omega \dot{\partial} \eta \eta \nu$, wished
 mas., Bk. Turr. Bl. This is an impossible solecism. Maetzner proposed to substitute ov. We must certainly do so. But we cau also suggest the source of the error. ठ̈ть $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o \nu o i q$ arose. surely,
 N, Turr. Bl.- $\mu \in \tau \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta \sigma \iota s$ vulg. and Bk. || * $\mu$ d́pтvpas is Reiske's conject., supprorted by the constant usage of Antiphon, and now adopted by Bl. There is, however, one drawhack to it-the iambic metre, which at the end of a sentence is too striking. I should prefer ímiv $\pi$ apé $\xi_{0} \mu a \iota ~ \mu a ́ p r v p a s .-\mu a \rho \tau v p i a s, ~ v u l g . ~ B k . ~ T ' u r r . ~$

















 [MAPTYPEL.]






































 suggeats that, instead of adding $\epsilon \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu o v$, we might omit кal. But the text is surely sound as it stands: 'when a man
 *Taрaб $\chi \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a \ell$ [MAPTTPEE.]












 סє̀ тoút $\omega \nu$ тov̀s $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s . ~[M A P T Y P E \Sigma] ~$.

## 2. $\S \S 81-96$.





 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \quad \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} v \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad \dot{a} \sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma \quad \delta \iota a \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, тоv̂тo $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ v o u s ~ \eta ̈ \kappa о \nu \tau a, ~ \tau о ข ̂ \tau o ~$
had been killed, and was being thrown overboard.' II * $\pi$ apa$\sigma \chi \eta \sigma \circ \mu a l]$ restored here by Bekker (whom Bl. follows) from § 24.-тарабт $\dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \iota$ vulg. Turr. § 29. ${ }^{*} \tau \iota a\lceil\mu a]$ Bk. Turr.: fò alua mss. Bl.



 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \beta$ á $\nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ єis тò $\pi \lambda o ̂ ̂ o \nu ~ \sigma v \nu a \pi \omega ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma a \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ avĩ $\hat{\nu} \nu \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ o ́ \sigma i ́ \omega s ~ \delta \iota a \kappa є \iota \mu e ́ v o u s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$


 $\sigma \tau a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda о \grave{~ \delta \eta ̀ ~ к а т а ф а \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ́ \gamma ย ́ \nu o \nu \tau o ~ o v ̉ \chi ~ o ̋ \sigma \iota o \iota ~}$






 <каí $\mu \circ \iota$ à $\nu a ́ \beta \eta \tau \epsilon>$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ нá $\rho \tau \cup \rho \epsilon \varsigma$. [MAPTYPEE.]


 $i \in \rho o i ̂ s, a u ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o \iota s ~ i \sigma \chi u \rho o \tau a ́ \tau o \iota s ~ a ̉ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \chi \rho \hat{\omega \nu} \nu \tau o$,

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \omega \nu$ є́valuí $\omega \nu$ тoîs тoút $\omega \nu$ 入ójous
 $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho о и ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ả̀ $\eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ єiva८, à $\delta^{\prime}$ оข̉то九 кат $\eta \gamma \circ \rho \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota$
2. § 81. $\epsilon$ is $\tau d \in \xi \omega]$ eis is hracketed by Maetzner, Bk. Bl. 883. - кai $\mu_{0}$ à $\nu \dot{\beta} \beta \eta \tau \epsilon>$ ] These words are supplied by

$\psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta}, \tau o i ̂ \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \mu a \rho \tau v \rho o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ \varsigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́-$
 $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \chi \rho \eta ̂ \nu a i ́ ~ \phi а \sigma \iota . ~ к а i ̀ ~ o i ̀ ~ \mu \grave{̀ \nu} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda o \iota ~ a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota ~$

























vulg. § 85. oifal $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa a l<\pi \rho o ̀ s>\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu<\epsilon i v a \iota>]$ Kayser, whom Bl. follows, thus inserts $\pi$ pós and civaı.-Dobree for otuat con-










 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ a v ̀ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a, ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \grave{\nu} \nu$ oí кívסvvoı, $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$











 where this whole passage recurs, we have $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \epsilon \delta i \kappa \eta s \nu \iota \kappa \hat{a} \subset \theta a \iota$ $\pi$ apà tò à $\lambda \eta \theta$ '́s, aúrồ $\tau \epsilon \tau$ oû ả $\lambda \eta \theta o u ̂ s, \kappa a ̊ \nu$, etc. Guided by this, 131. inserts $\tau \epsilon$ after $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ here. He also follows Spengel in bracketing $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega s$ t $\tau$ : which words, however, seem necessary to the sense, and ought rather to be restored in or. vi. §5. After à $\lambda \theta$ oús, $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega s$ could easily drop out. See comment. §88. $\dot{\eta}$ каi $\epsilon \pi l$ tois ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda$ dos] кal is omitted by Maetzner and Turr., comparing or. vi. \& 6, where in the repetition of this passage wo find $\dot{\eta} i \pi i$ rois ànous: Bl. brackets it: rightly, I



















 $\lambda v \kappa o ́ \sigma \iota \nu>$ ن̂ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ ov̉ ${ }^{\prime}$ ' $\epsilon \xi a \pi a \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i$

"Етєєта $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \grave{̀} \nu$ ảкоv́бıa $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\mu a \rho \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

think. See comment. § 90. $\left.\dot{a} \pi{ }^{2} \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha \sigma_{l}\right]$ Dobree's conjecture, adopted by Turr. Bl.- $\dot{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} о \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ vulg. Bk. § 91. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho-$ $\tau \epsilon i \nu{ }^{*} \tau \iota$, tò d́díkws] So Maetzner and Bl.: Stobaeus has, $\tau \iota$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \dot{d} \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa \omega s$. The mss. have $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \hat{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\psi}$ or $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \psi$ (the latter, $N$, Bk. Turr.). II < $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \lambda \cup \kappa o ́ \sigma \iota \nu>\dot{v} \mu i \nu \nu$ oú $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \xi a \pi \alpha-$ $\left.\tau \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma_{l}\right]$ The insertion of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \kappa o ́ \sigma t \nu$ is due to Weidner, whom Bl. follows, writing $\dot{u} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ o u ́ \delta ' ~ f o r ~ \dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ r o i ̂ s .-V u l g . ~ \dot{u} \mu i \nu \nu$



















 $\pi \epsilon \iota Ө$ онє́voьs $\dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau а \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ єै $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$, каі тои́тоv фа́р $\mu а к о \nu$ тò av̉ $\theta \iota \varsigma ~ к о \lambda a ́ \sigma a \iota, ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ т o \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \theta o-~$ $\mu e ́ \nu o v s ~ \epsilon ๋ \xi є \rho \gamma a ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a ̂ ~ o v ̉ \tau o \iota ~ \beta o v ́ \lambda о \nu \tau a \iota ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ้ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~$



 bracketed by B1. (though not by Turr.), as Sauppe and Kayser singuested: Maetzner would either omit it, or else, keeping it, change raúrŋע to rìv. But see comment.



















 The mss. have ápais $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \hat{\delta} \tau o \ell$, which Bk. prints. Other emen-
 (Reiske), $\alpha \rho \alpha \alpha^{\sigma} \not \mu о \nu$ (Stephanus), $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (Valcknaer). It is remarkable that $N$ omits the words altogether, so that $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$. is immediately followed by $\neq \sigma \tau \tau \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s, ~ к . \tau . \lambda$. Perhaps the scribe of $N$ may have taken ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ as $=$ 'it is possible': this would give at least a complete sense, and may have prevented his noticing that he had left out any words. § 96. द́áp * $\tau \iota$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega] \tau_{\iota} \mathrm{Bk} .:$ mss. $\tau \epsilon$, vulg. before Bk. $\gamma \epsilon$. || ${ }^{*} \pi$ тарадó $\left.\mu \omega \mathrm{s}\right]$ Reiske's correction. The mapávouos of the mss. still appears in Bk.'s Berlin text of 1823 .

## IV. IEEP TOY XOPEYTOY.

[Or. vi.-About 412 b.c.]

$$
\S 11-15 .
$$

 є̈ $\lambda а \chi о \nu ~ П а \nu \tau а к \lambda є ́ a ~ \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma к а \lambda о \nu ~ к а і ~ К є к р о т i ́ o ́ a ~$










 $\nu a \iota ~ к а і ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot ~ \epsilon ̇ \tau i ́ \gamma \chi а \nu є ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \mu о \iota ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a ~$


 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i o \iota s$.





IV. § 11. [foutéatı Tŷ 'Epex $\theta \eta(\delta \iota]$,$] B1. follows Reiske in$ bracketing this as a scholium which has come in from § 13.









 $\epsilon i ̈ \epsilon \nu \delta_{\imath} \dot{a} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \sigma \chi o \lambda i ́ a \nu$.



 $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \nu \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$


 $\tau \epsilon \epsilon$ ย้оркоя єîva九 каì $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \tau a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \pi \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a \iota ~$



by Bl.: cp. De Caed. Herod. § 18 (above, p. 14). § 13. тòv $\delta$ ' '̈ $\tau \in \rho \rho \nu$ ] Sauppe thinks that a proper name has either dropped out after т $\hat{s} \mathrm{~K}$ Kкротifos or is concealed in E' $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{\nu}$. \| < $\dot{\omega} s>$ supplied by Bl . § 14. Ka $\alpha \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ] After $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ Reiske

 But, if we do not omit $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v$, as Dobree proposed, then it is a dilemma. Keeping $\epsilon \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma$ à we must read $\epsilon i \pi \omega \dot{\omega} \nu$, as Sauppe suggests; or, keeping $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \nu$, we must read $\epsilon \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma \gamma \xi a \nu \tau \iota$, as Bl . does. I prefer the latter. \| * $\beta$ ouno $\mu \mu \eta \nu a ̈ \nu]$ so Bl., with Dobree. The objection to $\varepsilon^{\prime} \beta o u \lambda o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ (mss.) here is that it implies a belief on the speaker's part that he was not thought




 ä入入os ov̉ठєis oiós $\tau$＇àv єi้ ${ }^{*}$ ảттот $\rho \in ́ \psi a \iota ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ o v ̉ ~$ $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota \eta$ ク̈ $\tau \tau \iota \nu a \delta \in \hat{\imath} \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \omega$.

єヒ้оркоя．§ 15．$\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a] \mathrm{Bl}$ ．，on Reiske＇s conj．，gives＜oút $\omega>$
 ȧобт $\bar{\ell} \psi$ aı mss．，Bk．Turr．

## AN $\triangle O K I \Delta H \Sigma$.

## I. TEEPI TH乏 EAYTOY KAӨODOY.

[Or. ir.-410 в.c.]

## § $10-16$.

Kaíтo८ є่ $\gamma \omega$ тóт' aủtòs $\gamma \nu o v ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu a v \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma v \mu-~$


 єỉvaı $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau о \iota a \hat{v} \tau а ~ к а і ̀ ~ \delta \iota a \iota \tau \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \epsilon \hat{i}$,






## ANDOCIDES.

The sources for the text of Andocides are chiefly the same as for that of Antiphon. Here, however, the codex Crippsianus $A$ has no longer any rival in its claim to be the most faithful representative of the common archetype, since the Oxoniensis $N$ does not contain Andocides. $A$ was collated by I. Bekker, and by Dobson, who also collated afresh in Andocides the whole Burneianus $M$, used by Bekker only in a few passages. Not much, however, has been gained from $M$, or from the codex Ambrosianus $P$, which Bekker consulted in some places; still less from Marcianus L or Vratislaviensis Z. The mss. and editions are indicated by the same letters as in the case of Antiphon.





 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$ є̀ $\nu \theta a ́ \delta є \kappa а т \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi o ́ \tau \omega \nu$, o้ $\nu \tau о \varsigma ~ \mu о \iota ~ ’ А \rho \chi \epsilon-$



















I. § 11. "Tध́ $\mu \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota]$ Dobree's conject., Turr. Bl.- $\tau \in \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$
 aika 131. conjectures altia: but the subsequent phrase rav́r $\eta$ s rins alrias is rather against the change than in its favour.


 тарабтás $\mu о \iota ~ \Pi \epsilon i \sigma a \nu \delta \rho o s, " a ̈ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma$," $\epsilon \neq \eta$, " $\beta o v-$

 $\pi$ t́as." каi тò $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \pi a ̂ \nu ~ \delta \iota \eta \gamma є i ̂ \tau o ~ \omega \varsigma s$



 $\lambda o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \varsigma, ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \pi \eta \delta \hat{\omega}$ т $\pi$ òs т $\grave{\nu} \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau i ́ a \nu$ каì



 $\kappa т \epsilon i ̂ \nu a i ́ ~ \mu \epsilon$ ov̉то८ ク̉бaע oì $\delta \iota a \sigma \omega ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon$







§ 14. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau i a ̂ s] ~ A$, Turr. Bl-- $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a s ~ v u l g . ~ B k . ~ § ~ 15 . ~ ' ̇ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta}]$


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \lambda \lambda u ́ \mu \eta \nu$ is a conjecture of Bekker, who, however, prints the vulg. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda o l \mu \eta \nu$ : and so Turr. Bl. As ö $\sigma \tau t s$ is causal, the optat. is possible, though harsh in combination with eixov. The insertion of $\epsilon l$ before $\pi \dot{d} \lambda \iota \nu$ a $\dot{v}$, which Bl. suggests, would






## II. TEPI T $\Omega N$ MY $\Sigma T H P I \Omega N$.

[Or. І.- 399 в.c.]

## §§ 34-45.




 ä $\delta є \iota a \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \rho о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma, \mu \eta \nu v ́ \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu$ ä



 $\mu \eta ่ \nu v \sigma \iota \nu$. каí $\mu \circ \iota$ ảvá $\gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota$ aủ $\frac{1}{\nu} \nu \tau a ̀ ~ o ̉ \nu o ́ \mu a \tau a . ~$


 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o v, ~ ' E \rho v \xi ̧ i \mu a \chi o v, ~ E v ̉ \phi i ́ \lambda \eta t o v, ~ E v j \rho v \delta a ́ \mu a v \tau a, ~$

only remove one difficulty by introducing another. And if for $ठ \sigma \tau i s$ we read $\epsilon$ l, cixov followed by ámo入ol $\mu \eta \nu$ would atill be awkward. I have little doubt that $\dot{a} \pi \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{o}^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ was a mere error, occasioned by the neighbouring optat. тparoluךv. II oúx $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \sigma \tau \nu\end{aligned}$
 Turr.: 131. brackets tpyov: vulg. oúкeтt हैтєpov.
II. §̊ 36. 'A $\lambda \kappa \iota \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta \ldots$ Tıáv0 $\eta_{j}$ so, with C. Schiller, Bl.-



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta} \dot{\omega}$ à $\pi \epsilon \in \theta a \nu \epsilon \nu$.














 aै $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma$, ठє́о $\mu a \iota$ v́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \chi о \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \nu o ̂ ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu а \mu \iota \mu-~$

 тои́т $\omega \nu \mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho \in ́ s ~ є ̀ \sigma \tau \epsilon$.



 $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \mathrm{mss}$. (except that $A, B$ have ка $\theta \in \lambda \alpha$ ). Corrected by Dobree, and by Schömann De Comitiis p. 151. || *'t申єuyov] Baiter's conjecture, adopted by Bl.- 'фvyov mss. Bk. Turr.

































 toloútous фỉovs." єiтóvтa ס̀̀ aủtòv тav̂ta













 ov̉ $\mu \eta \nu v i \sigma \omega \nu \tau a ̀ ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$.





§41. ${ }^{\ell} \nu \alpha \alpha^{*} \alpha u ̉ \tau \partial \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] Reiske's conject. (Bk. Turr. B1.) for vulg.
 каӨоס $\eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a s . \|^{*} \dot{\epsilon} \pi$ tóvтa] Emperius's conject., and so Turr. Bl.
 vulg. Bk. \| 'A $\psi \in \phi i ́ \omega \nu a]$ so Turr. (comparing Boeckh Corp. Inscr. iI. 340) Bl., and so below, § 44, 'А $\psi \in \phi i \omega \nu$.-Bk.


 $\pi \rho i \nu \pi v \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̆ \nu \delta \rho a s ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau a s . ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon ́ к р а \gamma є \nu$

 そоעто, iкєтєv́ovтєs $\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \beta \lambda \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \quad a \lambda \lambda ’$ ' $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \gamma-$












 єis ảкро́то入ıע lívaı каُкєî каӨєv́סєєข, тоv̀s ठє̀ $\pi \rho \nu \tau a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ ~ \theta o ́ \lambda \omega . ~ B o \iota \omega т o i ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \pi v \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota ~$ тள̀ $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ \varsigma ~ o ́ \rho i ́ o ı \varsigma ~ \eta ̉ \sigma a \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \xi є \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v-~$


 13k. Turr. B1. The mss. have $\epsilon$ 's $\tau \epsilon$ or $\epsilon$ 's $\gamma \epsilon$. || 'I $\pi \pi$ oixuriav] Turr. (referring to Lobeck on Soph. Ajax 108) B1.'Intoñauiar mes. Bk. *inttas] inteís mss. and edd. But this form of acc. pl. is not found in Attic inseriptions before circ. 318 в.c. (Meisterhans, p. 57). I עuктds] ( $\pi \rho \delta$ ) vuктds B1., on his own conjecture.

 $\nu \in \iota \in \epsilon \in \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ ．
§§ 48－69．










 $\sigma v \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a$ ，aै $\nu \epsilon v \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，oủто८ $\epsilon \pi i$ тaîs aitiaıs $\delta i$ ìs $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \pi r o \lambda \lambda u ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a ~ o i ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ a u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 50 катауро́ขтєя àdıкєiv．．．．．．єi ทैкоиба́s ть тоv่тои той




§49．á $\delta$ iкєîv．．．$\epsilon l$ ぞкоибаs］$A, B, L$ have a lacuna between $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \in i ̂ v a n d ~ \epsilon i$ ．In $A$ this lacuna（acc．to Sauppe）represents 12 lost letters，which he proposes to supply by inserting roút $\omega \nu$ roivu（where $\tau$ oút $\omega \nu$ would be masc．，＇from them＇）． Another suggestion is $\phi \epsilon \dot{\rho} \epsilon$ 就 roipuv（Linder and Kayser）．






 $\sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho \hat{\imath}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega ้ \nu, \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon \rho \iota i ́ \delta \omega$ тov̀s єُ $\mu a v \tau o \hat{v}$ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in i ̂ \varsigma ~ a ̀ \pi о \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s ~ a ̉ \delta i ́ \kappa \omega \varsigma, ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ a u ̀ \tau o u ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon$

 ả入ıтๆрíous $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu$ тov̀s oủ $\delta \in \nu o ̀ s ~ a i \tau i o u s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\gamma є \gamma є \nu \eta \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$, є้ть ס̀̀ тр८акобíovs 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda$ -
 ov̉𧰨av тoîs $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau o \iota s ~ к а i ~ v i t o \psi i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o u s ~$














[^5]








 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \epsilon \mu о \hat{v}, \beta о u \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \delta \iota a \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon-\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \epsilon$
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu<\delta \epsilon i ̂>~ \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu o \grave{~} \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀$











Turr. §53. $<\dot{s}>\delta \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o s] j$, wanting in the mss., is added, with Reiske, by Bk. Turr. Bl. § 54. $\langle\hat{\eta}\rangle \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu] \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mathrm{mss} .: \hat{\eta}$ is added, with Sluiter, by Bk. Turr. Bl. §55. $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu<\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}>\delta \iota \delta o ́ v a l] ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ is added, with Reiske, by Turr. Bl. -
 these words, $<\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} 0 \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\alpha} s>$ is inserted, on his own conject., by Bl.: see commentary. Reiske, with the same object, would insert $\dot{\nu} \mu i ̂ \nu$ after $\mu \dot{\eta}$ бокєì: Scheibe would insert $\dot{\nu \mu i \nu}$ after как $\hat{\varphi}$. $\| \epsilon i \tau \alpha<\delta \dot{\epsilon}>\kappa \alpha i] A$, as reported by Dobson, has a small lacuna between $\epsilon$ lca and $\kappa a l$, which Sluiter fills up by

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фì $\lambda \omega \nu, \pi \rho o \nu o i ́ a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ á $\pi a ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma, \mu \epsilon \tau ’$

 iци̂̀ єivaı $\mu \grave{~ к}$ како́s.
$\Phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \delta \eta \dot{\eta}-\chi \rho \eta ̀ \quad \gamma a ́ \rho, \omega$ ả $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma$, ảv $\theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \omega \varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{ } 57$



 какі́à єỉvaє тà * $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \cdot \kappa а i ́ \tau о \iota ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda о \grave{~ a ̀ \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~}$








 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i o u s ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a \chi \theta e ́ v \tau a ' ~ ф o \nu c u ̀ s ~ o u ̉ \nu ~$
 є้т८ ס̀̀ трьакобiovs 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu \quad \grave{a} \pi \omega \lambda \lambda \lambda v o \nu$, каi $\dot{\eta}$




 Reiske's conject., approved by Baiter and adopted by Bl.一rù





















 тòv 'Eрرท̂ע тòv тарà тò Форßavтєîov. тav̂тa


 Dobree conjectured that oi hoinol should be of (or oilm $\epsilon \rho$, or öбo< $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ), and that the full stop after $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \eta \nu \dot{v} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ should be changed to a comma. So Turr. Bl.-Dobree would have preferred to omit the whole clause, of $\lambda o \iota \pi o l . . \epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \eta \nu \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu:$ but (when corrected) it has its significance, as defining the otherwise vague $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả̉ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. § 61. $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta o \nu \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu]$ After $\beta$ ou入خ̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ the mss. have $\gamma \in \nu^{\prime} \sigma \theta a l$, which Bk. brackets, and which


 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \kappa o ́ \pi \eta$ нóvos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' ${ }^{〔} \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$,









 סıà тò $\pi \rho a \hat{\gamma \mu a} \mathrm{E} \dot{v} \phi i \lambda \eta \tau o v ~ \pi o \nu \eta \rho o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i v a \iota, ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa \epsilon i ́ v o u s ~$



 $\kappa \lambda i ́ \nu \eta \varsigma, \kappa a i ̀ \tau a ̀ \varsigma ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi a i ́ v a \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̈ \lambda a \beta o v ~ o i ~ \pi \rho v т a ́ v \epsilon \iota \varsigma, ~$








 Turr. Bl. omit. \& 62. 'Torñбovtos] Reiske's conject., Turr.












 aủt $\hat{\nu} \nu$ Tєúкроv oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ aủt $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ảnć $\theta a \nu o \nu$ oi $\delta$ '










 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$, $\omega^{3}$ ä $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma$, ả $\nu a \mu \iota \mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, кai oi * єỉסóтєऽ
 vulg. Bk. Turr. § 67. ${ }^{*} \gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ ] Baiter's conject., Turr. Bl - $-\gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ vulg. Bk . $\|<\ddot{\nu}\rangle \dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\eta} \rho] \tilde{a}^{2} \nu$ is added, with Reiske, by 13l. § 69. oi * $\epsilon l \delta \overline{6} \tau \epsilon s$ ] Reiske's conject., Turr. Bl.-








## III.

ПЕPI TH乏 ПPO $~ \wedge A K E \triangle A I M O N I O Y \Sigma ~ E I P H N H \Sigma$.
[Or. mir.-390 в.c.]

## 28-41.









 $\mu \epsilon ́ v a \kappa а \lambda \omega ̂ s ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota-\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a ̀ s ~ \pi о \iota \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$
















 $\sigma \theta a \iota$ ，ŋ̀ $\mu \in i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau о i ́ \nu v \nu ~ є i ̀ \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a ~ к а і ~ т о ́ т є ~ \pi o ́ \lambda є \mu о \nu ~$



 тì $\delta \eta \nu$ каì т $\hat{\nu} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$ ，то入入às $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ vav̂s каì

 ＇A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ є่ $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，oĭ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ עर̂v ${ }^{\eta} \kappa о \nu \sigma \iota ~ \pi \epsilon i \theta о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$

 тòv $\theta v \mu \dot{\nu} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa а \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\cdot} \epsilon \epsilon \xi$ о $\hat{v}^{\pi} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta^{-}-$ баутєऽ グขаука́ $\sigma \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ тà тєí又 $\eta$ катабка́ттєє каі̀
 vulg．Bk．Turr．See comment．§ 31．＊＇єкккалєì aủt $\hat{\nu} \nu$ тòv
 only mean to stretch forth our anger，an impossible phrase for classical Greek prose．Reiske proposed $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$ кıขєì $\tau \grave{\nu}$ $\theta \nu \mu \dot{\nu}$ ：Sluiter，кєขєîv aúr $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \delta \nu \nu v \mu o ́ v$ ．Dobree＇s comment on е̇ктє̂̀vą тòv $\theta v \mu o ́ v ~ i s ~ ' L a t e t, ~ n i s i ~ f a l l o r, ~ n o m e n ~ p r o p r i u m . ' ~ '$


тàs vav̂s тapaôıóval кai тoùs фєú סє́ $\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$. тâ̂ta $\delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi a \sigma \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ ท ̂ \mu \omega ̂ \nu ~ o i ~ \pi \epsilon i ́-~$







 $\nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a ~ \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ á $\mu a \rho \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ iкavà тoîs $\sigma \omega \dot{\phi \rho о \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu}$ ả $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \kappa \in ́ \tau \iota \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$.



 ảठıкєîv $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$. aủтокра́тораs үàp $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \epsilon$ 'ंs
 $\rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ тє $\dot{a} \sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi a \nu a \phi o \rho a ̂ s ~$



 є่ $\pi a \iota \nu \omega ̂ . ~ ф \eta \mu i ~ \gamma a ́ \rho, ~ \omega ̉ ~ ' A \theta \eta v a i ̂ o \iota, ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ З ~ 34 ~$



§32. 'Aprei $\omega \nu \quad \sigma \mu \mu a x i a \nu]$ бv $\mu \mu a x i a \nu$ 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu \mathrm{mss}$. The transposition is mine. The alternative is to add a socomd $\tau$ inv before
 'Turr. || òà "raü0"] Reiske's conject., Turr. B1.- - òà taúт $\eta \nu$ mss.
 $\tau о і ิ \varsigma ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \epsilon ่ \phi$ ’ оis "оркоь $\tau \epsilon$ ó $\mu о \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \nu \tau а \iota$
 ои้тє $\lambda a \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ov̉тє $\epsilon \xi=\pi a \tau \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu, a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \grave{v}$

 $\sigma \theta a \iota \cdot \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oủv ả $\sigma \phi a \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ रрク̀ катà $\delta v ́ v a \mu t \nu$, ois $\delta^{\prime}$ àv ó $\mu o ́ \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ каі̀ $\sigma v \nu \theta \omega \prime \mu \in \theta a$,

 $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \grave{\eta} \mu a ̂ \varsigma, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каі̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi т о v \varsigma ~$










 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau ’ ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~$





 $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ mss. Bk. Turr.: $\epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota$ is due to Reiske, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\omega} \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ to Bl.



 коเข $\hat{\omega} \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ ' E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu о \tau а \mu i ́ a s, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda о-~$


 тà тєí又 ${ }^{\cdot} \pi \rho \iota a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \Lambda а к є \delta а \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~$



 ä $\lambda \lambda a \dot{a} \pi \omega \lambda \hat{} \epsilon \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$, каì $\tau \grave{a} \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \eta$ каì тàs $\nu a \hat{v} s$







 тas $\ddot{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̀ $\lambda a ́ \mu \beta a v o \nu$ oí $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma o v o \iota, ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~$




 Reiske, lik. Turr. Bl.-Tà mss. \|l Tás te diforu's| tús te \%, M,
 Sluiter (approved by Baiter), Bl.-imus vulg. Lk. Turr. If


 $\pi \epsilon i \sigma a \varsigma ~ i ́ \mu a ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \gamma \rho a \psi a ́ t \omega . ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma i ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \gamma \epsilon-~$







 $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau a ̀ s$ oưv тávtas ípâs $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s$ oi $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$

 $\kappa a i ̀ \tau \eta े \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta ́ \nu \eta \nu$ каі $\tau o ̀ \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$. $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv, $\omega^{3}$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o u s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s, ~ \psi \eta \phi i-~$
 $\sigma \epsilon$.
 latter would prefer $\epsilon \ell \quad \tau \ell \tau$ cs. Sluiter proposed $\epsilon \ell \tau$ is $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon i v a i$ $\tau \iota$. § 41. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \theta \alpha \mathrm{L}]$ A, $B, M, Z$, Turr. B1.- $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ other mss.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.

## ^Y ミIA

## I. OAYMIIAKOE.

[Or. xxxili.-388 в.c.]

##  

LYSIAS.

The codex Palatinus $X$ (Heidelberg) is the parent of all other manuscripts of Lysias yet collated (except Marciani $F, G$, and Parisini $U, V$, which contain only or. II., the spurious 'E $\pi t-$ rádoos) ; it is also the basis of the Aldine. This was shown at length by Hermann Sauppe in an epistola critica to Godfrey Hermann (1841), and is now generally admitted. Carl Scheibe's first edition of Lysias, in the Teubner series, appeared in 1852. Subsequently $X$ was carefully collated for him by C. L. Kayser, and this new collation is the critical basis of Scheibe's second edition (1876), in which the distinctive feature is the recognition of the codex Palatinus as an authority of paramount value. When Baiter and Hermann Sauppe were engaged upon their Oratores Attici, the authorities of Heidelberg sent Palatinus $X$ to Zurich for their inspection, but before it arrived the first twenty orations of Lysias had already been printed. An examination of it, however, led Sauppe to the conclusions which he embodied in the letter already mentioned. Second in importance is Laurentianus C (15th century). This was I. Bekker's principal guide. So confident was he of its superior merit, that he looked at $X$ only occasionally, and without much attention. It has now been shown that the points in which $C$ varies from $X$ are nowhere due to a better archetype, but simply to the conjectures of a scribe whose learning was at least equalled by his temerity. In orations $x$-xxiv inclusive Bekker used only $C$ and $X$; in orations 1 -Ix he drew from tirne to time on eleven inferior mss. (mostly containing only these nine speeches), viz. Marciani $F,(, I, K$ : Laurentiani I), $E$ : Vaticani $M, N$ : Parisini $U, V$ : Urbinas (). As a rule, $X$ and $C$ are the only mas. which have much weight in regard to the real difficulties of the text.

Schb. $=$ ('arl Scheibe's second edition (Teubner, 187f). Turr., as before, = Baiter and Sauppe; Bk. = Bekker's Berlin edition.







 $\mu \epsilon \nu o \iota \cdot$ ทं $\eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau o ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \theta a ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda o \gamma o \nu ~ a ̉ \rho \chi \eta ̀ \nu ~$




 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, a ̉ \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ a ̀ \gamma a \theta o \hat{v} \kappa a i ̀ \pi o \lambda i ́ t o v ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̂ a ̉ \xi i o v ~$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \mu \beta o u \lambda \epsilon v \in \iota \nu, \dot{o} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ oút $\omega \varsigma$

 4 viтò $\tau v \rho a ́ \nu \nu \omega \nu$ ảvaбтáтovs $\gamma є \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a \varsigma$ ．кaì тav̂тa

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta$ ク̇дovs $\phi \iota \lambda o \nu \epsilon \iota \kappa l a \nu, \pi \omega ิ \varsigma ~ o v ่ \kappa ~ a ̉ \xi ı o \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu \pi a v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \kappa \omega \lambda \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$ ，єiסótas őть ф८ло－


I．§ 2．$\pi$ 入oúrov］Turr．Schb．Undoubtedly the true reading， though not found in $X$ or $C$ ：Turr．quote for it two mss．， ＇A B Grosii，＇－the same from which they cite the true read－ ing Éryeious（for éryúous）in Lys．or．xxxil § 15．－$\pi \lambda$ oúr $\varphi$ vulg．Bk．$\|<d \nu>\gamma \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota]$ I have inserted $\alpha \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \nu$ ，which could easily drop out after $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ．Markland conjectures $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\eta}-$ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \ell$ ，and so Tuir．Schb．§ 3．＊＊$\left.\sigma o \phi \iota \sigma \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda i a \nu{ }^{*} \dot{a} \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \omega \nu\right]$ Markland，Bk．Turr．Schb．－$\sigma o \phi \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 入iav $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{mss}$ ．


 $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma, \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ठє̀ $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \grave{v} \varsigma \tau a \mu i ́ a \varsigma, \tau a ̀$ $\delta \epsilon ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'E入入 $\eta \dot{\nu} \omega \nu \quad \sigma \omega \prime \mu a \tau a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta a \pi a \nu a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta v \nu a-$



 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta$ ó $\tau \omega \nu$ ai $\sigma \chi$ v́vє $\sigma \theta a \iota, \pi \epsilon \rho i$

















84. T $\hat{\omega} \nu$ " $\dot{\alpha} \tau v \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ is my conjecture. The T $\hat{\omega} \nu$ aúr $\hat{\omega} \nu$ of the miss. is certainly corrupt. Sauppe conjectures $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ eil $\nu$ Doúvt $\omega \nu$. \$7. oid $\tau \eta \nu \pi \rho o s]$ Schb., with Reiske, reads $\delta i d$ rìv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




 $\mu o ́ \nu o \nu$ ai̋ $\chi \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ ővт $\omega \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ каì $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$, тоîs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$

 $\mu \omega \rho i a$.

## II. MEPI TOY MH KATA^YミAI THN MATPION ПONITEIAN AOHNHミI.

[Or. xxxiv.--403 B.c.]
 $\sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho a ̀ s ~ i \kappa a v a ̀ ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ a ~ \tau!ी ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ к а т а \lambda є \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \phi \theta a \iota$,









 óp $\hat{\nu}$.-Dobson, oủk d̉̀ıẫaı ópêv (which Schb. inadvertently

II. § 1. $\pi \rho \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu{ }^{*} \delta i s ~ \eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \eta$. каi] Dobree's conject., Turr.










 ov́ $\mu \epsilon \theta a$. $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ठè каì тov̀s vitáp
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \ell \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i ̀ \tau a \hat{v} \tau a \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ av̉ $\frac{\hat{\omega} \nu}{\nu \epsilon \rho \iota \iota \iota \rho \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \theta a \text {, }}$






conject., Turr. Schb.- $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i \sigma} i \nu \mathrm{mss} . \mathrm{Bk}$. § 3. <ойтє $\pi \lambda$ ои́т $\varphi$ >. Markland thus supplies the lost words; Sauppe supplies oütc oú $\sigma$ ia, which Schb. prints between brackets; Stephanus, oö $\tau \epsilon$ $\dot{\eta} \lambda e \kappa i q$, which Bk. prints. Turr. leave dots. I| $\grave{\varepsilon \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \in \theta a \text { Aldine, }}$ Turr. (quoting the codices A B Grosii).- éк $\tau \eta \sigma a \dot{\mu} \mu \theta a \mathrm{mss}$. Bk.-


 oürws lva. I supply áтíov before 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$, believing that a vestige of it remains in the corrupt iva of the mss. -Turr., whom Sichb. follows, read on their own conject., úx ö $\pi \omega$ s
 ject., reads $\alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu . ~ § 4 . ~ * \pi \epsilon(\theta \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon]$ Sluiter conject., Turr. Schb.- $\pi \in \theta \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta a \operatorname{mss} . B k . \|<\epsilon \nu>$ taîs] Reiske, Turr.-Taîs mass. Bk.-кáv taîs Franz, Schb. I| oú roìs $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu]$ mss. and Bk. have kai before ou': 'Turt, aud Schb. follow Marklaud in omitting



 үє́таৎ, каӨò $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \sigma \theta \epsilon, \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o \varsigma ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \dot{\sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon,}$

 $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ ò $\lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi$ ías $\mu a \chi o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, oî





 $\alpha \xi_{\iota} \hat{\omega}, \tau i ́ \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$, $\epsilon i \quad \pi о \iota \eta^{\prime} \sigma a \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$










it. § 6. $\left.\epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \tau 0 \hat{i} \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \mu i \nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota\right]$ mss., Bk.; rightly, I think. For $\epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \omega \iota$ Markland conject. $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, which Turr. adopt. In doing so, they ought to have omitted rois: see comment. Schb., on Baiter's conject., gives eita roıoút $\omega \nu$ vं $\mu$ ì
 то̀v кivסuvov. || 'Ap̧єious] Schb. каi 'Aprєious. §8. катабои-


 $\sigma \phi \hat{a} \varsigma$ av̉тov̀s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vं $\pi a \rho \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ả $\gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảто-











 à $\lambda \lambda о \tau \rho i ́ a ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi a \iota a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ \omega \nu$ є่ $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$,



 $\mu \epsilon \nu$, є́ $\mu a \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ Дакє $\delta a \iota \mu о \nu i o \iota s ~ i ̋ \nu а ~ к а \tau є ́ \lambda ~ \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$

$\lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \theta a i \quad \gamma \epsilon]$ oú was conjecturally inserted before by Reiske whom Turr. Schb. follow. To me it seems clear that the mss, (and Bk.) are right in omitting it: see comment. II tovoúr¢ < $\eta^{\prime}$ tov > ] $\dot{\eta}$ rtov is added by Turr. and Schb., on Reiske'

 (with A B as reported by Auger), Schb.- $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ the other inss.
 Schb.- $\left\langle\pi i\right.$ tò ठiкacon mass. Bk.-Franz suggested $\begin{array}{l}\text { ti } \\ \text { for } \ell \pi i \text {. }\end{array}$ see comment.
 oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \rho o ́ \gamma o \nu o \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon-$



## III. YITEP MANTIOEOY.

[Or. xvi.-About 392 b.c.]








 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о \nu \tau о \varsigma ~ a ́ \kappa о и ́ \sigma \eta ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \pi \rho а \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, \mu \epsilon \tau a-$


 $\kappa а \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa о ́ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ \omega ́ s ~ \eta ̀ \nu a ́ \gamma к а б \mu а \iota ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$


 $\pi a \rho a ̀$ тov̀s $\lambda o ́ \gamma o u s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \chi \theta \rho \omega ̂ \nu, ~ \delta e ́ o \mu a \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$

III. § 3. < каl> $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \dot{\alpha} \alpha a \lambda \lambda \alpha] \kappa \alpha l$ is supplied by Reiske

 то́тє $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon і ́ a \varsigma$.
















 $\sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ тоѝs фи入ápðovs ảmєขєүкєî̀ тov̀s imтєú-

and Schb., but not by Bk. Turr. \| "ov̉ $\delta$ ' $\left.\epsilon \pi \epsilon \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \rho_{\nu \nu}\right]$ où $\delta$ ' Turr. rightly.-oür' mss. Bk. Schb. In the few places where the solecism oú-oüt oceurs, it is probably a mere slip of the scribe for oйтe-oüte or oú...oúסt. 'Huiusmodi errores etiam contra cousensum librorum tollendi sunt.' (Elmsley, Lur. Med. 4, 5.) 8. 4. $\mu \in \theta t \sigma \tau a \mu t \nu \eta s$ rîs modtreias] After these words, a verb has been lost in the mss.; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \delta \eta \mu o \hat{u} \mu \in \nu$ is a conjectural restoration of it. 85. EXoytes] Schb., on Saupue's conject., reads oxbutes. But фaivontal éxovtes can mean qaivetal ötc

 Sauppe conj. avarpajaite: but the prescnt denotes the repeated
 $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta$ '́v $\nu \alpha$ ข์ $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi \nu \lambda \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \omega \nu$ ov̌тє $\pi a \rho a-$











 таúт $\eta$ т $\hat{\eta} \gamma \nu \omega \prime \mu \eta ~ \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\kappa а т а \psi є \dot{v} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$. à $\nu a ́ \beta \eta \theta_{\iota}$ ठє́ $\mu о \iota$ каі $\mu а \rho т и ́-$ $\rho \eta \sigma o \nu$. [MAPTYPIA.]

 $\tau 0 i ̂ \varsigma ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega ิ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ к а т \eta-$

 סıסóval. Séo $\mu a \iota ~ o v ̉ \nu ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau$ ' єủvoías ảкроá-
or continued action. \& 7. $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda a \beta b \nu \tau a]$ Bake conjectured
 mss. Bk. 'Turr. || $\gamma \nu \omega ิ \nu a \iota, ~ \delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota] ~ S c h b . ~ \gamma \nu \omega ิ \nu a \iota, ~ o ̈ \tau \iota ~ w i t h ~$
$\sigma a \sigma \theta a i ́ ~ \mu o v . ~ \pi o \iota \eta \dot{\sigma o \mu a l ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o \lambda o \gamma i ́ a \nu ~ \omega s ~ a ̂ ̀ ~}$ ठv́v $\omega \mu a \iota$ סıà ßраұvтáт $\omega \nu$.
 $\kappa а \tau а \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$ סıà тàs $\sigma u \mu \phi о \rho a ̀ s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \kappa a i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma, ~ \delta v ं o ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi a ̀ s$

















 тро̀s тоìvy тàs бтратєías каi тoùs кıдঠúvous






$\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ i \pi \pi \epsilon v ́ o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu a l ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \nu о \mu i \zeta o \nu \tau a s, ~$




 є́ $\mu \nu \tau \grave{\varrho}$ тарабкєváбаขтє $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \in \epsilon \theta a \iota$. каí $\mu о \iota$ ảváß ${ }^{\prime} \theta \iota,{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \rho \theta$ ó $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon$. [MAPTYPIA.]
$14 \sum \sum \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тoívè $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \eta \mu \circ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$







 тvpes>. [MAPTYPEL.]


 $\mu \eta \nu \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ т \eta \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~$





 $<\mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon \gg$.] $\mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon s$ is conjecturally added by Stephanus, Turr., Schb. § 15. * ${ }^{2} \nu a \pi \circ \theta a \nu b \nu t \omega \nu$ is my correction of

i $\sigma \chi \nu \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa а т \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu, \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ тov̀s $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v \varsigma \mu \eta े$




 $\kappa i v \delta v \nu o \nu ~ i ́ \epsilon ́ \nu a \iota), ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau a \xi i a \rho \chi o \nu ~$





 є่то́ $\lambda \mu \omega \nu$. каi тav̂т' є่ $\pi o i o v \nu ~ o v ̉ \chi ~ \omega ́ s ~ o v ̉ ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~$



 $\tau \omega \nu \mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho є \varsigma . \quad$ [MAPTYPEE.]










\& 18. "ko $\mu_{i}$ ] Hamaker's conj., adopted by Rauchenstein,



 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma о ́ \nu a \sigma \iota \nu$ ，ётєроь ठє̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоьоv́т $\omega \nu$ ả $\mu \epsilon \lambda о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa a ̉ \gamma a \theta \grave{a}$ vi $\mu a ̂ \varsigma ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu e ́ \nu o \iota . ~$




 тov̂ $\delta \in ́ o \nu \tau o \varsigma, ~ a ̈ \mu a ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \gamma o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \theta \nu \mu o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \varsigma$ ，



 є่ $\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ ì $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa a \grave{~ к} \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ vi $\pi \grave{\rho} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ；
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a \cup ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu \kappa \rho \iota \tau a i ́ ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’$ ن́ $\mu \epsilon i ̂ s$.

## IV．MPO乏 THN EI工AГГENIAN MEPI TOY MH $\triangle I \triangle O \Sigma \Theta A I T \Omega I$ A $\triangle Y N A T \Omega I$ APTYPION．

［Or．xxiv．－After 403 b．c．］

$$
\S \$ 10-14 .
$$

 $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \grave{\nu} \nu a \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ \varsigma, ~ o v ̈ \tau \epsilon \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau u ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ \delta \epsilon i \sigma a \varsigma ~ o u ้ \tau \epsilon$ instead of $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{q}$ ：see comment．§ 19．＊$\left.{ }^{*} \mu \pi \epsilon \chi \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu 01\right]$ Dobree

 ject．，Turr．Rauchenstein．一 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\jmath} s \pi_{0} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ mss．Bk．Schb．
 ßоидท่, тávтas oíцa८ тoùs è $\chi o \nu \tau a ́ s ~ \tau \iota ~ \delta v \sigma \tau u ́ \chi \eta \mu a ~$



 é $\xi \eta \hat{v} \rho o \nu$ єis тàs ódov̀s тàs $\mu а \kappa р о т є ́ \rho a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̀ \nu a \gamma-~$





 $\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ т о i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda о т р i ́ o \iota s ~ i ́ т \pi т о \iota s ~ a ̀ \nu а у к а ́ \zeta о \mu а \iota ~}$ $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ \kappa \iota \varsigma^{*}$ каітоь $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ ои̉к äтотóv є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu, 12$



 ßактпрíaı» $\chi \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mu i \underset{a}{a} \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu, \mu \eta े$




IV. § 10. $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \gamma \\ \omega \\ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \\ \rho\end{array}\right]$ mss. Bk. Turr. - ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \nu \omega \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ Schb. on his own conject., omitting olual, which is wanting in $X$.- $\epsilon$ lkòs rà Sauppe conject., Rauchenstein (edit. 5), also omitting oiцa. || $\dot{\rho} q \sigma \tau \omega \dot{\prime} \eta \eta \nu \tau \nu \dot{a}]$ These words are in $C$, but not in $X$. They are retained by Bk. and Turr., but omitted by Schb.,
 $\pi a ́ v] \sigma \omega \pi a \hat{\nu} \partial \nu$ Schb.: Kayser wished to insert äy after roûtov. But it is needless: see comment. I\| ovvarós elnv] סvvarós
 $\tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \omega \nu, \omega^{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \mu \hat{a} \varsigma \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau о \sigma o v ́-$




 خàp $\delta \dot{a} \pi \pi o v ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ v i \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \omega s ~ \delta u \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~$
 $14 \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa \omega \lambda v \dot{v} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$. ả $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ خà $\rho$ ov้тє $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i \hat{\varsigma}$

 форâs ov̉бךs ả $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu$ ท̈кєє каі $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ̂ т а \iota ~$ $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ vi $\mu a ̂ \varsigma ~ \omega ं s ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon i \mu u ̀ ~ \tau o \iota o v ̂ t o s ~ o i o v ~ v i \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ o ́ p a ̂ \tau \epsilon ~$

 そ̀ тoîs тoútou $\lambda$ óyous.
 is my conjecture. The mss. have simply $\epsilon \hat{0} \pi o c \omega \nu$, which is certainly corrupt, and probably arose through the loss of several letters after $\epsilon \dot{\cup}$, which then became $\epsilon \hat{v}$. I am persuaded that the above suggestion represents, at least, the true sense; and, in such a case, it seems warrantable to admit a provisional remedy to the text. Other conjectures are :-(1) Reiske, où $\theta$ ' oùtos < $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu,>\epsilon \hat{v}$ ' $\pi \frac{1}{}$ îv, i.e. 'nor does he (agree) with you,and quite right too': rather pointless irony. (2) Schb., oi' $\theta^{\prime}$ oìtos < $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \dot{\omega} s>\epsilon \hat{v} \pi o \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$, i.e. 'and he differs from you, as if he were acting rightly.' (3) Markland, $\epsilon \hat{v}^{*} \phi \rho o \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ : i.e., 'you do not think with him, nor does he think so-when he is in his right mind.' (4) Sauppe, $\epsilon \tilde{v}^{*} \nu o \omega \bar{\omega}$ : 'nor does he (profess this belief) with any good intent (towards me).'

## V. KATA EPATO $\Theta E N O Y \Sigma$.

[Or. xir.-403 в.c.]

$$
\S \$ 1-36 .
$$


 тоцаиิтa aưтоîs тò $\mu \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ оs каì тобаи̂тa тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os




















 є่ $\lambda a \chi i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ סıסákaı.











 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu a v \tau о \hat{v} \pi \rho \hat{\imath} \tau о \nu \epsilon i \pi \omega ̀ \nu \kappa a i ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$



 $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \varsigma \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ * $\pi \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, $7 \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ * $\delta$ 'à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta \nu ~ \delta є i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu . ~ к а і ̈ ~ \tau о и ̀ s ~ a ̉ к о и ́-~}$








V. § 5. фג́नкоขтєs] фd́бкоvтєs $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ Schb., with Emperius. $\|$ [kal] rooaüra] Schb. and Rauchenstein, with Markland, omit kal: see comment. § 6. * $\pi \in \nu \in \sigma \theta a u$, rinî' * $\delta^{\prime}$


Kaì є́ $\mu \grave{\epsilon}$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ छ'ย่










 тáخaขтóv $\mu \epsilon \sigma \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega ̀ \nu$ єis тò $\delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \iota o \nu$



 ävסрєऽ $\delta \iota \kappa а \sigma \tau a i ́, a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀$ трía тá入avтa àpyvpiov каì тєтракобіоия кицькпขоѝs каї є́като̀v барєєкоѝs каі̀
 $\mu \circ \iota$ Sov̂val, ò $\delta^{\prime}$ ả $\gamma a \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \not{\epsilon} \phi a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i$ тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ $\sigma \omega \sigma \omega$.

 àтıóvтє؟, каі каталанßávovбı трòs av̉таîৎ таîs






$$
5-2
$$







 оv่ $\delta \in ́ \nu, \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ т \omega \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ є ้ \nu є к а ~ a ̉ т о ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu a \iota . ~ \sigma v ̀ ~ o v ๋ \nu ~$ таиิта тá $\chi \chi \frac{\nu \tau i ́ ~ \mu о \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ \theta \nu \mu о \nu ~ \pi а \rho a ́ \sigma \chi o v ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~}{\text { ти }}$ $\sigma \epsilon a v \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta u ́ v a \mu \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ т \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \omega т \eta \rho i ́ a \nu . " ~ i ́ ~ \delta ' ~$


































 ס́̀ $\lambda o \iota \pi a ̀ ~ \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \sigma a \nu, ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau о \sigma a u ́ т \eta \nu ~$ $\dot{a} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau i ́ a \nu$ каì аїбүокє́ $\rho \delta є \iota a \nu$ àфікоуто каі̀ той



 катà тò є̀ $\lambda a ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ т \eta ̂ s ~ o v ̉ \sigma i ́ a s ~ є ̀ \lambda \epsilon ́ o v ~ \pi a \rho ' ~$




 ( $=$ ' in their time'), - a tempting variant, which may be
 of on 8 ' is due to Westermann, whom Rauchenstein follows; it seems requisite. Scheibe, with Sauppe, inserts oúk. ||


 $\tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v \varsigma, \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ \varsigma \delta^{\prime} ’ A \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$ є่к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ $\lambda v \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ т о \iota o v ́ t \omega \nu ~ \eta ’ \xi ' i \omega \sigma a \nu, ~ o v ̀ \chi ~ o ́ \mu о i ́ \omega s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau о ь-~$ $\kappa о \hat{\nu \tau a \varsigma ~ ढ ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ \epsilon ่ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v ́ o \nu \tau o . ~}$










 є́ $\mu \epsilon ́ \cdot ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \mu o v, ~ \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ к а \grave{~ \pi \rho о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu ~}$









$\lambda \nu \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \nu$ ous toooút $\omega \nu \dot{\eta} \xi i \omega \sigma \alpha \nu]$ Schb., with Bergk, points thus:
 Turr. Schb.- $\delta \sigma o \nu C, X .| | \quad \delta \quad \tau i]$ Brunck's and Bk.'s cor-




 $\theta \dot{a} \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta}>\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \pi \pi o \theta \dot{a} \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu ;{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{I} \nu a \mu \eta े \dot{a} \pi \sigma \theta \dot{a} \nu \eta \tau \epsilon$.









 סov̂vaı סíкŋข;






 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ da $\pi 0$ á $\nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$;] Rauchenstein follows Reiske, Frei, and Frohberger in reading thus, as Dobree also wished to do. The mss, have simply lva $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\pi} \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \mu \in \nu$; and $X$ omits $\mu \grave{\eta}$.










 aưтov̀s àvaф́́ $\rho \omega \sigma \iota, \pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ vi $\mu \hat{a} \varsigma ~ \epsilon i \kappa o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \pi o \delta e ́ \chi ~ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota ; ~$















 on his own conject., gives kal [èvavtiav] $\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$. § 29. $\imath^{2} \chi \nu-$
 omit the latter, suggesting that it may have arisen from a variant aủroîs for aùv $\bar{\varphi}$ just afterwards. § 30. $\sigma \dot{\psi} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \ldots \pi a \rho \dot{\rho} \nu]$




 Rauchenstein, combining Baiter's kard with Sauppe's $\sigma \omega^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ $\pi a \rho \sigma \nu$, gives (edit. 5) the reading adopted in the text. $\|{ }^{*} \pi \tilde{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ is my correction of $\pi$ d́v $\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$. § §31. *roîs $\delta$ oà ] Reiske, Turr. Schb.—

 єivai $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$. $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon$, ${ }^{\prime}$ 'Ерaтóo $\theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \varsigma, 32$






 $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \dot{\rho} \iota a \quad \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu о \nu \tau a \varsigma, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta े \quad \mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{̀}$



 $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$. тоv̂тo $\mu \in ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ o v ̉ ~ \phi \in v ́ \gamma \omega, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ o ́ \mu o \lambda o \gamma \omega \hat{~} \sigma o \iota, 34$

 $\kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu a \varsigma$ Подє́ $\mu a \rho \chi o \nu$. фє́ $\rho \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}, \tau i$ ầ $\nu \epsilon i$ каі̀ à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi о \grave{~}$









тои́тous д̀̀à mas. Bk. § 34. *érolnoas] Dobree, Schb., Rauchen-

 $\dot{d} \pi c \psi \eta \phi i j e \sigma \theta e$, to which Sichb. inclines, thongh he keeps the



 छ'є่






 $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \nu \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \pi a \rho ’$ є่кєív$\omega \nu$




 таîs є่ $\sigma \chi a ́ \tau a \iota \varsigma ~ \zeta \eta \mu i a \iota s ~ к о \lambda a ́ \zeta є \sigma \theta a \iota ;$

$$
\text { § } 92-100 .
$$



 conject., Turr. Schb. - $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \mu \notin \mathfrak{v} o u s$ Canter conject., Bk.- $\tau \eta \rho o v-$
 Schb., with the mss. ( $X$ has ${ }^{\text {ör }} \boldsymbol{\tau}$, acc. to Kayser: ö $\tau \iota$, acc. to Bk.).-öт८ Markland, Turr. Bk. \|l roùs éк $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\theta a \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \eta s]$ Reiske would add vavaroû̀tas: Schb., $\tau \in \theta \nu \epsilon \omega ิ \tau a s$. II toútous $\delta \epsilon \in] X$, C, Schb.-тoúrous $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\eta}$ Bk. Turr.












 ن́ $\mu a ̂ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa \tau \omega ิ \nu \tau о, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{a} ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ o ̀ v \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau а \delta \iota \delta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~$












 Turr. and Bk, do not. I hesitate to reject it, since it gives good sense; though its omission would make the passage simpler. See comment. || *eủvor] eưvous mss. See comment.

 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ à入入отрía $\mu a \chi \in \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$ ov̉ $\chi$ vi $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ \omega \nu$




 $\kappa а \kappa \omega ิ \nu ~ a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \theta a \tau \epsilon ~ ن ீ \pi ’ ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, oì $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa ~ \tau \eta \hat{~}$











 $\kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$ ن́т $\pi a \rho \xi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ä $\nu \delta \rho \in \varsigma$ ảya $\theta o i \quad \gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota$








 коขрךбо́ขт $\omega \nu$.
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a \chi \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau a$ vimò тov́т $\omega \nu$ ov̉ $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \in \nu o s$









 Өávaтov * катєұ $\eta \phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$, ő $\sigma о \iota ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \rho \dot{a} ~ т о и ́ \tau \omega \nu ~$
 $\mu$ е́vovs.


§ 99. <ov̇ס̀̇̀v> $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \in \lambda \epsilon เ \pi \tau a l]$ oúò̀̀ $\nu$ was added by Canter: Turr., Schb., print it in brackets, Bk. without brackets. II $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \rho<\tau \epsilon>\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ i $\epsilon \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ ] $\tau \epsilon$ is added, on Sauppe's conject., by Rauchenstein, Sclıb.-inte $\rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ lep $\hat{\nu} \nu$ mss. Bk. Turr. § 100.
 $\kappa а \tau \epsilon \psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime}$ ous $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \in \sigma$ al Rauchenstein, and to this, or катє $\psi \eta$ -
 Reiske and Kayser think that фavijo $\sigma \theta a i$ has dropped out after this. If anything were to be supplied, I should prefer civar. But see comment.

## VI. KATA AГOPATOY.

[Or. xill.--About 399 в.c.]
§§ 5—48.
 $\kappa a i ̀ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau ท ̣ ̂ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ a ̉ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a ~ Є ่ \gamma є \gamma \epsilon ́-$












 є̇к тро́тои тоьои́тоv.


 Дакєбаєцо́vıo七, єi катабкафєín т $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \kappa а \tau а \sigma \kappa а ф \hat{\eta} \varsigma, \mathrm{~K} \lambda \epsilon о \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$
VI. § 6. $\left.\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}<\hat{a} \nu>\ldots \kappa \alpha \tau a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a l\right]$ The mss. have $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ $\lambda: \sigma \tau a:$ but, as Dobree saw, we require either $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \not a \nu$ or




 $\mu \eta \dot{\tau} \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \quad \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ä $\lambda \lambda o$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$


 $\kappa \rho a ́ \tau о \rho a, ~ \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \varphi$ єै $\tau \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ \nu \quad \chi \epsilon \iota \rho о-$

















 while keeping this in the text, thinks with Kayser that it is a gioss. § 12. ¿v $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon!$ Baiter would read $\epsilon \pi l$ instend
 ноขos. тробוóvтєऽ $\delta^{\prime}$ av่т $\hat{\omega}$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ тє $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\Delta \iota o \nu v \sigma o ́ \delta \omega \rho \circ \varsigma, \kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota ~ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon v ้ \nu o-$











 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, oủk є̇ $\lambda \epsilon о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \omega$ ̉ ă $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, \tau \grave{a}$








of $\varepsilon \nu$ : but see comment. § 14. * катабка́ $\left.{ }^{2} \alpha \iota\right]$ Bk. conject., Turr. Schb.- $\delta \iota a \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \psi a \iota ~ m s s ., ~ B k . ~ i n ~ t e x t . ~ § ~ 15 . ~ * ~ * ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu] ~$


 else read $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ with Stephanus and Rauchenstein. $\nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}] \nu \eta \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{mss}$. Bk. Turr. (the latter, indeed, with the remark,
ím＇＇A уорáтov тovтovì àmळ́入ovтo．रvov̀s $\delta$ è $\tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ 17$



 тоv́тous $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} о \nu$ єis $\delta \iota a \beta$ одàs каì кıvסи́vovs ката－
 ảvтı入é $\gamma \circ \iota$ ．

 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa \kappa a \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a \xi \iota a ́ \rho \chi \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，ov̉ $\xi v \nu \epsilon \iota-$

 ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa о v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̀ \nu ~} \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ＇A




 ＊$\epsilon i \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi o v \sigma \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \beta o u \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~[\tau \eta े \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$


 $\pi \rho \grave{̀} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ три́коута ßои入єv́ovба ठє́́фӨарто каі



 $\beta$ oulcúovaav］］Dobree pointed out the interpolation．The words
v́ $\tau \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu \beta$ ß














 фі́бато. [世НфІІМА.]








are bracketed by Rauchenstein and Schb. § 20. *é $\gamma \dot{\ell} \nu \in \tau 0]$ Markland conject., Schb. (1st edit.), é $\lambda \in \epsilon \in \tau o$ mss. Bk. Turr. Schb. (2nd edit.). II $\tau \delta \nu \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \chi \eta \tau \epsilon]$, Turr. Schb.-
 § 22. * $\dot{\psi} \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \alpha \tau \circ$ ] Reiske, Bk. Turr.- $\psi \eta \phi i \zeta \in \tau \alpha \iota$ Schb., on his own conject.- $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ (sic) $X$, $\psi \eta \phi$ iбaro (sic) $C$, $\psi \eta \phi i-$ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ the other mss. § 23. $\pi a \rho \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota \nu]$ mss. Bk. Turr.-













 $\nu \omega \nu, \kappa a i ̀ \pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa є \cup a \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \lambda о i ̂ a, \kappa a i ~ a v ่ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$






 боі̀ каі Є̀кє




 Taytor conject., Turr. Schib.-Ekäirav C, Bk.-ekivnoav mss.










 [ $\Psi$ НФІІМА.]
'Е $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ тoìvvע тои̂то тò $\psi \eta ́ \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ є́ $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \theta \eta$









 ноц. [EPSTHEIE.]





II ** $\left.\kappa \alpha \tau^{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \mathrm{s}\right]$ G. A. Hirschig conject., Rauchenstein, Schb. -

 $X$, Rauchenstein, Schb.- $\dot{\kappa о \mu i \sigma \theta \eta \text { Bk. Turr. § 31. } \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha ́-}$ ऍєб $\theta a \iota,{ }^{*}$ кal oũтos] so Rauchenstein, and this seems the best




 ย่ $\nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \tau a \xi \iota a ́ \rho-$



 à є̇vavtion 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ á $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ èmoí $\eta \sigma a \varsigma . \quad[E P \Omega$ THEIE.]

 ФIгMATA.]
"Oт८ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ảmé




 inclined to read éprásecoal $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau$, (omitting aürov), but sug-

 from § 56. Bk. and Turr. omit the words; Schb. brackets
 but brackets $\mu$ invors, which Bremi and Cobet would omit.




 Bk., omit av in the text, but remark in a note that we require it, unless we read $\gamma e \nu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \in \theta a c$. On the hint of $X$, which has








 тà тєíरך катєбка́ф $\eta$, каì оi трьа́коута катє́ $\sigma т \eta \sigma а \nu$,



 $\kappa а i ́ \mu о \iota ~ a ̉ \nu a ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota \tau o ̀ ~ \psi \eta ́ \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$. [ $\Psi$ НоІІМА.]




 37 oía каі ن̂ $\mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a u ̉ т o \grave{~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i \sigma \tau а \sigma \theta \epsilon . ~ o i ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau \rho ı a ́-~}$







Turr. Schb. $-\Psi$ HФIइMA mss. Bk. § 37. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu . . . \dot{v} \sigma \tau \notin \rho a \nu]$ I supply the words in brackets. Some such words have plainly
 Schb. reads, leaving dots after $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu .-C$ has $\tau \eta ̀ \nu \nu \dot{\nu} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \pi i l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \omega^{\prime}-$ $\tau \eta \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa a \theta a \iota \rho o v ̂ \sigma a \nu \epsilon \pi i$ т $\eta \nu \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$, and so Bk. Turr.-The
 $\epsilon \pi i \tau_{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$, which Rauchenstein (with Reiske) prints, but
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon ่ \kappa ~ \tau i ́ \nu o s ~ \tau \rho o ́ t т o v ~ \epsilon ้ \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta}-$




 тоv́тov $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu a ̂ \sigma \iota$, ßoú $\lambda о \mu a \iota$ vi $\mu i ̂ \nu ~ \tau a ̀ ~ o ̉ \nu o ́ \mu a \tau a ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$ àขayvติval. [ONOMATA.]





 $\kappa a i ̀ \Delta \iota o \nu v \sigma o ́ \delta \omega \rho o s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \tau a \iota \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \eta े \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$







 т̂̂̀ aúтov̂, кai тoîs $\phi i \lambda o \iota s ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \in i ̂ \nu ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~$

 ท̆ $\mu ф є о \mu \notin \nu \eta$ ] mss. Bk.-Turr. say, ' $\tau \epsilon$ malimus abesse': Schb. atlos dots after $\dot{\eta} \mu \phi \in \sigma \mu \bar{\nu} \eta$, to mark a lacuna. In his 1st edit. Schb, gave $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \nu \tau \delta$ instead of $\mu \epsilon \lambda a \nu \tau \epsilon$ § 41. Td aíroû] Schh.—Td aùroû X.—七d̀ éautoû vulg. Bk. Turr. II




 $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \xi о \mu a l$. [MAPTYPEL.]

Oथ̉тo七 $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ́ \nu v \nu, ~ \omega ̉ ~ a ̆ \nu \delta \rho \in \varsigma ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, ~ \dot{v} \pi$ '







 'A






 oi $\mu$ èv रovéas $\sigma \phi \in \tau \in ́ \rho o v \varsigma ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v ̂ \tau a \varsigma ~ \kappa а т а-~$ $\lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, oî $\eta^{\lambda} \lambda \pi \iota \zeta$ о $\nu \dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ aủ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ ү $\eta \rho \circ \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \iota a \nu$ тò


 and Baiter would omit these words: Schb. brackets them. II $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon a \nu]$ Cobet, Sehb. Rauchenstein.-T $\tau \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \epsilon \nu$ Bk.




 $\pi а р є \delta o ́ \theta \eta \sigma а \nu$, каі̀ та̀ ขєє́рьа каӨПрє́Ө $\eta$, каі $\Lambda а к є-~$

 $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ є่ $\lambda a \chi i \sigma \tau \eta s$ тó入 $\epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ 47$





 à $\pi \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu a \varsigma, \mu \eta \nu v ́ \sigma a \varsigma$ av่тov̀s [ $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota]$ є่ $\pi \iota \beta o v-$



 ai้тเoข тоข́т $\omega \nu$.



 here, came in either from the preceding or from the following
 $\tau \bar{\psi} \dot{u} \mu \in \tau \in \dot{\rho} \varphi \boldsymbol{\psi}$ ] bracketed by Rauchenstein aud Schb.

## VII. TEPI TOY इHKOY.

[Or. vir.-Not before 395 в.c.]

## § $17-25$.








 баעтєऽ фаívovtal, каì $\sigma \phi \hat{a} \varsigma ~ a u ̀ \tau o v ́ s, ~ \epsilon i \pi \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \psi \epsilon v ́ \delta o \nu-~$

 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho ı o ́ v \tau a s, ~ \hat{\eta}$ тov̀s $\gamma \epsilon i ́ \tau o \nu a s$, ồ




 $\sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \tau v \rho a \varsigma$, каì $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu o ́ v o \nu ~ o u ̀ \tau \omega ~ \tau о \lambda \mu \eta \rho a ̀ s ~$





VII. § 18. тoùs mapióvtas, ที] bracketed by Schb., with






 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s ~ o u ̉ \delta є \mu i a \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ vijov́ $\mu \eta \nu$ ầ єiv $\nu$ í $\mu \circ \iota$
 бas Sià toùs $\sigma o v ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ a ̉ \xi \iota o i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon ~ a ̉ m o \lambda e ́ \sigma \theta a l, ~$






 Sєьvótaтa ov̉v $\pi a ́ \sigma \chi \omega$, ôs єí $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi є \tau о ~ \mu a ́ \rho \tau v-23$

 $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$. каì тov́tov $\mu$ ย̀v ov̉ $\theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \omega$. ov̉ үàp


 тоддàs $\mu о \rho i ́ a s ~ o и ̆ \sigma a s ~ к а і ~ \pi и р к а і ̈ a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota s ~$



 $\mu^{\prime}$ iofiv Meutzner, Rauchenstein : see comment. § 23. os $\epsilon l$ ] Schb., retaining $\delta s \in l$, conjectures $\delta \sigma \psi \in l$, which Hauchenstein adopts. \|l тaút $\eta \nu$ sףulav] Turr. Rauchenstein.-тaúт $\eta \nu \tau \eta ̀$




 $\mu \circ \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa i ́ \nu \delta v \nu o \nu . ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \tau o i ́ v v \nu ~ v i \mu a ̂ s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~$


 $\mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \mu o \rho i ́ a s ~ \chi \omega \rho i ́ a . ~$

## VIII. KATA OEOMNHETOY.

[Or: x. -384 or 383 в.c.]

$$
\S \$ 6-20 .
$$










 $\pi a r \rho i \delta a$ after $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$. Kayser had proposed to insert rìv
 - $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda о \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o v s \mathrm{Bk}$.
VIII. §7. oโ $\mu a l]$ oipal $\delta \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ Schb., from the epitome, or.























 kal $8 \sigma \circ \rightarrow$ ] Dobree suggested the insertion of these words, comparing or. xı. \& 3. Turr. and Schb. print them in brackets. Sauppe says, 'T $\hat{\nu} \nu$ aút $\hat{\nu} \nu$ hic abesse malim.' \| $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho<\hat{a} \nu>$ ] âv is added by Turr. (comparing or. xı. §4) Schb. § 9. oúסév
 has $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon t$ ). ovidév $\mu o t \mu \epsilon t \in t$ Schb., placing all from oúdév to $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \beta \in \beta \lambda \eta к \in \operatorname{vac}$ inclusive between inverted commas. But the
 I think, part of the speaker's own argument, not a remark






 $\mu a \tau o s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta \iota \omega \mu о \sigma i a s ~ \pi o \iota o v ̂ \nu \tau a \iota, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ $\delta \iota$ oui $\pi \epsilon \rho$

 äтотор à̀ єї $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ тò̀ фєújo vita, * $\delta o ́ \xi a \nu \tau a ~ к \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota, ~$





 $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa$ ќvai тท̀̀ ar $\sigma \pi i ́ \delta a$, тєутакобías $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a ̀ s$

supposed to be made by Theomnêstos. § 12. oúкô̂̀...

 $\phi$ єúyovta $\delta \iota \omega \mu$ ó $\sigma a \tau 0$.- $\delta o ́ \xi{ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau a$ is Reiske's certain correction of $\delta \in i \xi a \nu \tau a$. Some infinitive has dropped out: the notion require is either 'to seek acquittal' or 'to acquit.' Bk., with Reiske, inserts ḋпофє́́ $\epsilon \iota \nu$ after $\kappa \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu a \iota$. Sch. indicates by dots a lacuna after ci $\eta$, and thinks, with Foertsch, that we should supply áфєival. Turn. print the reading of the mss. as given above, with the comment 'vel $\alpha \pi 0 \phi \epsilon \dot{u} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{vel} \dot{\alpha} \phi \in i \hat{\nu} \alpha$ jesse centum est.' I like $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ офє́ú $\epsilon \iota \nu$ better than $\dot{\alpha} \phi \in i \nu a \iota$, but
 must stand immediately after ci $\eta$, and that the rod before $\delta b \xi a \nu \tau a$ must be omitted. See comment. II out $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu\langle\dot{\epsilon} \nu>\tau \hat{\psi}$ $\nu \delta \mu \omega] \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is supplied by Markland, Tor. Schb. : omitted, with the mss., by Bk. § 13. oủk oûv] (interrogative) X, Schb., who









 $\kappa a i ́$ * $\mu \circ \iota$ à $\nu a ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota$ тò $\nu$ עó $\mu о \nu$. [NOMOL.]


 $\mu a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$. ßoú $\lambda о \mu a \iota$ oưv aủzòv каì є́ $\xi$

 $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi a \rho \in ́ \chi \chi ? ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a . ~ к а i ́ ~ \mu о \iota ~ a ̉ \nu a ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota ~ \tau о v ́ т о v s ~$

 тòv $\pi$ óóa, є̇àv $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \iota \mu \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta \eta^{\eta} \eta{ }^{j} \lambda \iota a i ́ a . ~$

compares or. xı. § 6, $\pi$ ̂̂s oûv oủ $\left.\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \partial \nu . . . ; \| \delta \hat{t} \eta \sigma_{\epsilon}\right]$ Turr.
 Taylor conject., Bk.- $\lambda a \dot{\mu} \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ mss. Turr. Schb. § 14. $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ oúk] Ш̈̈тt oúk $X$, Schb. II кal * $\mu$ ol] Markland, Turr. Schb. -

 $\pi \in \nu \tau \epsilon$ is confirmed by the $\nu \dot{\prime} \mu \mathrm{os}$ in Dem. or. xxiv. § $105, \pi \in \nu \theta^{\prime}$ jutpas кal vúkтas toas. Sauppe proposed to insert кal עúктаs loas here after tóv móda. \|l aủró] 8o Harpocration s. v.




 vó $\mu$ ор.





 סıà тov̂to $\delta \iota a \phi$ épov.
 $\delta a v e i \zeta \omega v$.

Tò $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota \mu o \nu ~ \tau \varrho v ̂ \tau o ́ ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu, ~ ఱ ̉ ~ \beta e ́ \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon, ~ o v ̉ ~ \zeta \nu \gamma \hat{\varphi}$

 таîov.

каì


 $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau a$, Turr. Schb.-ó $\mu \delta \sigma \alpha \iota$ mss. Bk. \| $\dot{\alpha} \pi\{\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu] \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \ell \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu X$. § 18. routi] mss. Bk. Turr.- $\begin{gathered}\text { outovi Markland, Schb. }\end{gathered}$

 citation: Taylor pointed out that two different laws are
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta o v ́ \lambda \eta \nu$ eival $\partial \phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ : and so Bk. Turr. The obvious

Пробє́ $\epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ тòv $\nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \phi a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \varsigma ~$



 $\mu a \tau a ~ \tau a v ่ \tau a ́ ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \nu र ̂ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \kappa a i ~ \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota, ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ סè ỏvo䒑á－




## IX．KATA TAГKAESNOE OTI OYK HN П＾ATAIEYミ．

［Or．xxirr．－Date uncertain：prob，about 380 в．c．］







 коע єival．єiттóvtos סè тoútov öть П入aтaıєùs єïך，
 т $\hat{\nu} \nu \pi a \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa а \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa a i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ т \eta ̀ \nu ~$

 interpelated，went with something which is now lost．H．


LX．\＆1．＂toutwi Schb．，comparing toutodi in \＆9：so in
or．111．\＆ 4 Cobet and schb，$\Sigma i \mu \omega \nu$ oútoot for $\Sigma i \mu \omega \nu$ oútos．－
 $\kappa а i ̀ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\eta}{ }^{*}{ }^{〔} \mathrm{I} \pi \pi о \theta \omega \nu \tau i \delta \iota \iota \delta \iota \kappa a ́ \zeta о \nu \tau a \varsigma$, є̀ $\lambda \theta \omega \nu$







 $\mu a ́ \rho т v \rho a s ~ \pi а \rho є ́ \xi о \mu a \iota, ~ \not ้ \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$





 тоьov́ $\mu \epsilon \nu=s \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu i ̀ \delta o ́ \xi a \iota ~ v i \beta \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mu a ̂ \lambda-$










$\tau$ гút $\psi$ mss. § 3. *' $I \pi \pi$ oo $\omega \nu \tau i \delta \iota]$ Sauppe Turr. Schb. Rauchen-











 ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ П $\lambda a \tau a \iota \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ "̈ $\sigma о \iota \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\jmath} \lambda \theta о \nu$, каі тòv ôs
 $\kappa a i ́ \mu o \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i \lambda a \beta \epsilon \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ u ̈ \delta \omega \rho$. [MAPTYPE.$]$
${ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \mu$ épaıs тoívvข $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀$ тav̂тa ov̀ $\pi о \lambda \lambda a i ̂ \varsigma ~ v ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon-9$






 $\epsilon i s ~ a ̉ \gamma o \rho a ̀ \nu ~ ఱ ้ \chi о \nu \tau o ~ a ̉ \pi \iota o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma . ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a i ́ a ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ 10$





 and Kayser. But sees above on vi. \$23. § 10. divitypaфض̄s] Turr. Schb.-àtıypá廿ews C, Bk.-X, acc. to Kayser, has











 $\dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu . ~ \kappa a i ́ \mu о \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i \lambda a \beta \in \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ v ̈ \delta \omega \rho$ ．［MAPTYPEL．］



 Bıaioıs $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o v ~ \eta ̂ ~ \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v s ~ \epsilon i ̉ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon-~$







 סou入eial＞äro九 фá $\sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ Reiske conject．：and Schb．now gives $<\epsilon \in \xi a \iota \rho o i ̂ \tau o>\hat{\eta}$ ä $\gamma o<~ \phi a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ ．So Sauppe conjectures，but with
 nus，äroc ท̈ $\phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa o \iota$ ．This last would be best，as it is simplest， but for one drawback．áret $\epsilon i s$ sou入eiav is correct，but hardly










 $\mu \circ \iota \epsilon \pi \pi i \lambda a \beta \epsilon \tau o ̀ ~ v ̋ \delta \omega \rho$. [MAPTYPEL.]





 $\mu o \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ́ \lambda a \beta \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ ~ v ̋ \delta \omega \rho . ~[M A P T Y P E \Sigma]$.


 סє́одаи.

 II入ataucus vulg. Bk. § 12. $\ddagger \xi \in \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma$ ] the spelling attested by Attic inscriptions of the 5th and 4th cent. B.c. (Meisterhans p.
 given by Bk. and Turr. in brackets, by Ranchenstein and Schb. without them. \| Toút由v $\dot{\mathrm{j} \mu i \nu}]$ vimì is omitted by Lk.

## I IOKPATH乏．

## I．NIKOK＾H乏 H KYחPIOI．

［Or．int．－Probable date，between 372 and 365 в．c．］
§§ 14－24．
14 Пєрì $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̉v $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$ ，є่ $\nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\nu}$ خàp


## ISOCRATES．

The smooth style and lucid syntax of Isocrates favoured a pure tradition of his text．But his very popularity with the grammarians and rhetoricians brought in a mass of interlinear or marginal glosses．The citations by Priscian and Stobaeus， who usually agree with our inferior mss．，show that this had happened before the sixth century．Coming after Jerome Wolf and Koraes，Immanuel Bekker restored the text to comparative soundness．He used five mss．，viz．（1）codex Urbinas 111，$\Gamma$ ：（2）Vaticanus 936，$\Delta$ ：（3）Laurentianus 87. 14，©（13th century）．These three belong to the same family， and have oration xv．entire．（4）Vaticanus 65，$\Lambda$ ：（5）Mar－ cianus 415 ，匂：these two，again，are from the same archetype， with or．xv．incomplete．$\Gamma$ ，the best of all the mss．，was Bekker＇s chief guide．

Baiter and Sauppe follow $\Gamma$ ，in their own words，＇even more constantly than Bekker did．＇But at the same time they recognize that the true reading is often preserved only by a ms．which was not among his five，－codex Ambrosianus 0 ． 144，E，collated in or．xv．by A．Mustoxydes，in the rest partly by Melchior Ulrich，partly by Baiter．The readings of E were given in full by G．E．Benseler in his 2nd edit．（1854－55）． Benseler thus sums up the difference between the Zurich edition and his own．＇Baiter and Sauppe＇s first rule was－ ＇follow the Urbino ms．（ $\Gamma$ ）＇：my rule is－＇follow the usage of Isocrates，even when something else is supported by $\Gamma$ ．＇By ＇the usage of Isocrates＇Benseler meant that author＇s theory of composition so far as it can be inferred from his own
 бтov̀s каì тov̀s movnpov́s, ठıкаוо́татоע סè тò סı $\omega$ -





 ò тoîs movךроîs $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \in ́ \rho o \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i \nu ं ~ a i ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu o \nu a \rho \chi i a \iota ~$


recorded precepts or from the statements of ancient writers. Thus Isocrates is said to have observed the rule that there should be no 'collision of vowels' ( $\phi \omega \nu \eta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu \tau a \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \nu \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \nu \nu$ ), 一 no ' hiatus'; i.e., that a word ending with a vowel should not be immediately followed by a word beginning with a vowel. Hence Benseler says:-' When, therefore, in the writings of Isocrates a hiatus remains, the passage is corrupt, or not Isocratic.' Such logic is dangerously rigid. And when, on the other hand, a general conception of the writer's style is made to overbear the mss., the process becomes dangerously lax. A new edition of Benseler has lately appeared (1878-79) under the revision of $\mathbf{F}$. Blass, who regards $\mathbf{E}$ as not much inferior to $\Gamma$. He has amended Benseler's readings in about 300 places, but has usually followed him in details of form
 did not agree with him.

The questions on which the more recent editors of the text differ are now principally of this last kind-e.g., $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \delta a \rho \chi i a$ or
 $\phi$ poveiv-or else concern the order of words. Not many graver difficulties remain.

Bens. $=$ Benseler: Bl. $=$ changes made in Benseler's text by F. Blass, the reviser of the new edition (Teubner, 1878-79). As before, Bk. $=$ Bekker's Berlin edit., Turr. $=$ Baiter and Sauppe.


 Bens. with $\Gamma$, except that $\Gamma$ has $\tau \hat{\psi} \mu \in \tau$ exeivov, which Bl.
$\kappa а \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu . ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi a \nu \tau a \chi o ̂ ̂ ~$


 тvра⿱亠乂íßas $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu$ ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \dot{\sigma \epsilon \iota a \nu . ~ к а і т о \iota ~ \tau i ́ s ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~}$




 є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ évòs ả $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta ~ \pi \rho o \sigma e ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \nu o v ̂ \nu ~$
 そŋтєìv ảрє́ $\sigma \kappa є \iota \nu$.

 ov̉ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ каì $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \iota \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \rho ْ a ́ \delta \iota o ́ \nu ~$ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i^{\circ} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ ठ̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 入out $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ，ő ơov ai $\mu o \nu a \rho \chi i ́ a \iota$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon ย ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \xi a i ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~$ ठıaф́́povбıv，oи̃т $\omega \mathrm{s}$ à $\nu \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \mu \in \nu$ ，









gives．§ 16．кр ${ }^{2}$ valuev］Stobaeus，Koraes，Bens．－крlvor－ ${ }_{\mu \in \nu} \Gamma$, Bk．Turr．B1．§ 17．aưrás］bracketed by Bl．
ß $\lambda \in ́ \pi \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, oi $\delta$ ’ ov̀ $\delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ o ̉ \lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \epsilon i \delta o ́ т \epsilon \varsigma ~ o ̋ т \iota ~$

 Sıà тàs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi a ̂ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \phi ı \lambda о т \iota \mu i ́ a s ~ \lambda v \mu a i \nu o \nu \tau a \iota ~$


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu^{\cdot} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \gamma a ̀ \rho \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau o \nu \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu$ є่ $\pi i ̀ 19$














 $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau о \lambda \mu \eta \rho о т а ́ т о \iota \varsigma, ~ o i ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ́ \xi ~ a ́ \pi a ́ \nu-~$

 тoùs $\chi \rho \eta \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu ~ є ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau a \mu e ́ v o v \varsigma . ~$

Oủ بóvò $\delta$ ' év тоîs ध́yкикдioıs каì тоîs катà 22
818. фidorculas] фi入oviklas Bl. with Stob. 47. 14. II $\beta$ Atiota]



 Өaı $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ к а і ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau а u ́ \tau а \iota \varsigma, ~ ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~$ $\lambda a \theta \epsilon \imath \imath \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ o ̉ \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a \iota$, тov̀s $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 ä $\lambda \lambda a \iota \varsigma ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon$ íaıs т $\rho \circ \sigma a \gamma a \gamma \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ a i ~ \tau v-~$

 $23 \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ่ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$. тои̂тo $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ रàp $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi_{\epsilon \rho \sigma \omega े \nu}$
 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu \in ́ v \eta \nu$ oủ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \phi \rho o ́ \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$,
 $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega \sigma \iota}$ тои̃тo ס̀̀ $\Delta \iota o \nu v ́ \sigma \iota o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau u ́ p a \nu \nu o \nu, ~ o ̋ \tau \iota ~$

 $\mu \in ́ \nu \eta \nu$, ov̉ $\mu o ́ \nu o \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi a \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ \nu \omega \nu ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \in \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{`} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \delta \omega \nu$



 $\kappa a i ~ \tau \eta े \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$, т $\eta \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \tau \tau a ~ \tau a ̀ s$

 тоѝऽ кıขסи́vovऽ, каторӨойбаข.
 $\phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ Koraes conject., Bens. § 24. $\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} E \lambda \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \omega \nu\right] \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Bens. on his own conject. \| $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu]$ omitted by $\Gamma, \mathbf{E}$, Bens., with Baiter's assent.

## II. EヘENH乏 ETK $\Omega M I O N$.

[Or. x.-About 370 в.c.]

$$
\S 54-58 .
$$






 $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \circ ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda a ̀$ фavท' $\sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu a \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta}$








 $\tau \hat{\eta} \psi \nu \chi \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \theta a \mu \epsilon \nu^{\cdot} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \frac{\kappa}{\kappa} \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \notin \rho \omega \varsigma$

11. §54. $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi^{b \nu \tau \omega \nu} \ldots \hat{\eta}$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ ढ̈ккабтov] Cp. Lucian


 $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega \nu$ àтєно́тєроע oú $\delta \epsilon \nu$. So loose a transcript from Isger. afforils no ground for the changes which have been propresed here on the strength of it,-viz. to omit $\mu \dot{\eta}$ before
 кóт $\omega \boldsymbol{y}$ suggests, however, that he had $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \in \nu$ (the reading of our inferior mss.), instead of $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \in \nu$, in $\$ 54$.
 56 є่ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$. каì тоîs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma v ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \kappa а \tau ’ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о ~ \tau \iota$
 $\kappa а \theta^{\prime}$ є́ка́ $\sigma \tau \eta \nu$ тウ̀v $\dot{\eta \mu \epsilon ́ \rho а \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma а \gamma а ́ \gamma \omega \nu \tau а \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~}$







 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon v ́ o v \tau a s ~ \phi \iota \lambda о к a ́ \lambda o v s ~ к а і ̀ ~ \phi \iota \lambda о \pi o ́ v o v s ~$











## III. EYAГOPAE.

[Or. rx.-About 365 в.c.]

$$
\S \Omega 47-50
$$



 ov้т’ є’ $\mu \pi о \rho і$ í $\chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ ov้тє $\lambda \iota \mu \epsilon \in \nu a$ кєкт $\eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$,









 $\pi \rho о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu$ є̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \theta \eta \nu \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \in i ̂ \nu$. $̈ \sigma \tau$ ’ ои̉ $\delta \in ́ \delta о \iota к а ~ \mu \grave{~}$




 $\pi \rho а о ́ т \eta \tau а к а і ̈ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau р ь о ́ т \eta \tau а ~ \pi \rho о \eta ́ \gamma а \gamma є \nu ; ~ \pi \rho і ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon ~$



 ס̀̀ тобoûtoע $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \dot{\kappa} \kappa a \sigma \iota \nu \quad \ddot{\omega} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ á $\mu \iota \lambda \lambda \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$




III. 884. Tஸ̂̀ \$otviкcul] \$ouviкwv Aldine, Bens.




 $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$.

## IV. KATA T $\Omega N \Sigma O \phi I \Sigma T \Omega N$.

[Or. xili.- 391 or 390 в.c.]
 $\dot{a}^{\lambda} \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa a i ̀ \mu \eta े \quad \mu \epsilon i \zeta o v \varsigma ~ \pi о \iota \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ v i \pi о-$ $\sigma \chi \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \grave{\omega} \nu \quad ้ \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu$ є่ $\pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$, ov̉к à้ как $\hat{\omega} \varsigma$



乃о́ขтшข.

 ßóvт $\omega \nu$, ồ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi o \iota o v ̂ \nu \tau a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta ่ \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ \zeta \eta \eta \tau \epsilon \imath ̂ \nu, ~$


 $\sigma \epsilon \omega ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau о \sigma o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon ́ \chi о \mu \epsilon \nu ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta ร ~ \tau \hat{ŋ} S$





[^6]
 $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a \iota \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ тov̀s $\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s, ~ \omega \in s, \hat{\eta} \nu$ av̉тoîs







 $\tau \eta ̀ \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \epsilon u ̉ \delta a \iota \mu o \nu i ́ a \nu ~ o u ゙ \tau \omega \varsigma ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ \gamma o v ~ \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \omega \varsigma$



 àӨavátous $\dot{v} \pi \iota \sigma \chi \nu 0 \hat{v} \nu \tau a \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \sigma v \nu o ́ v \tau a s ~ \pi o \iota \eta ' \sigma є \iota \nu . ~$
 $\delta \in \hat{\imath} ~ \lambda a \beta \in i ̂ \nu ~ a u ̉ t o v ́ s, ~ \tau o v ́ t o \iota s ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ a ̉ \pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̂ ̂ \sigma \iota \nu, ~ o i ̂ \varsigma ~$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota \tau \eta ̀ \nu \delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \nu \pi a \rho a \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, \omega_{\nu}^{\nu} \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon-$
 $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \mu a \theta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \gamma \gamma v o \hat{v} \nu \tau a \iota, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ є


 סєıvoùs $\gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau a ̀ ~$

 Bens.- $\dot{\eta} \mu \phi \in \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} r \eta \sigma a \nu$ Г, Bk.-Dobree saw that the second oúk
 тоîs $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i ̂ s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ; ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta \eta ́ ~ \pi o v ~$

 ขaбıข.
 $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu о \iota, \kappa а т i ́ \delta \omega \sigma \iota$ тоѝs тウ̀v $\sigma о \phi i ́ a \nu ~ \delta \iota \delta \alpha ́-$

 $\pi \rho a \tau \tau о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s, \kappa a i ̀ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu a \nu \tau \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $8 \pi o \iota o v \mu e ́ v o v \varsigma, \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi a \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{v} \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$



 $\kappa а т а ф р о \nu о \hat{\sigma \iota, ~ к а i ~ \nu о \mu і \zeta о v \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \delta о \lambda є \sigma \chi i ́ a \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~}$ $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о \lambda о \gamma i a \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ є่ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ єỉva九 тàs тоıаútas $\delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta a ́ s$.



 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma \tau o v \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \dagger \mu \kappa \rho о ́ т \eta \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \iota$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̇тауүє $\lambda \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma a \gamma a ́ \gamma \omega \nu \tau а \iota ~ к а і ̈ ~ \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \tau \iota$ $\pi a \rho^{\prime} a \nu ้ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \nu \nu \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \cdot$ oűt $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu a \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega s$ av̉тoí $\tau \epsilon \delta \iota a ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \tau a \iota \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o v s ~ \epsilon ै \chi ~ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ v i \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$,
is spurious; Bl. brackets it. See comment. § 9. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \nu] \Delta, E$, mg. $\Gamma$, Turr. Bens.- $\tau o \hat{v} \mu \tau \sigma \theta \hat{v}$ vulg. Bk.

ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \chi \in i ̂ \rho o \nu ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ íठı$\omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 oútovs $\dot{\eta} \eta$ тopas тov̀s $\sigma v \nu o ́ \nu \tau a s ~ \pi o \iota \eta ं \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$

 píaıs ov้тє т $\hat{\eta}$ фи́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta}$ тô̂ $\mu a \theta \eta \tau o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau a \delta \iota \delta o ́ a \sigma \iota \nu$,



 $\tau \epsilon \theta a v \mu a \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к а \grave{~ \tau \eta े \nu} \pi a i ́ \delta \epsilon v \sigma \iota \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 "̈ть $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a s ~ \pi о \iota o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu a \varsigma ~ o v ̉ \chi ~ o i ~ т о \lambda \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$


 $\sigma a ́ \mu \eta \nu \tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa о 仑 ิ \tau o \nu ~ \delta u ́ v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \phi \iota \lambda о \sigma о \phi i ́ a \nu$, ő $\sigma о \nu$


 à̀ $\pi a v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \phi \lambda v a \rho o ̂ ̂ \nu \tau a s ~ ' ~ o ́ \rho \hat{\omega}$ خà $\rho$ ov̉ $\mu$ о́vov $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$ тov̀s $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a \mu a \rho \tau a \dot{\nu} о \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i ́ a s$

 övtas.





 $\lambda o v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu$, тò $\delta$ è $\tau \omega ̂ \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \pi a ̂ \nu$ tov̉vavtiov $\pi \epsilon \in \pi o \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$.




 av̉т $\hat{\nu}$. тoùs $\mu$ èv $\gamma$ àp $\lambda o ́ \gamma o u s$ ov̉ $\chi$ oióv $\tau \epsilon \kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{\varsigma}$




 є่ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o i ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o v s ~$ ย่ $\pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$.


 $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̀ \mu e ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \iota \lambda o \sigma o \phi \eta \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu i \delta \iota \omega \tau \tau a \iota \delta \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon-$
 $\mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega ิ \nu} \sigma \circ \phi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ каї то入ıтєv́єб $\theta a \iota$ Sєıvoì $\gamma \in \gamma o ́ v a \sigma \iota \nu$. ai $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ خà








 $\nu \iota \sigma \tau a ̀ s ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o v ̀ s ~ \eta ̂ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \pi o \iota \eta \tau a ̀ s ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \pi o-~$








 $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ äs $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \pi \rho о є \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к a i ̀ ~ \mu i ̂ \xi a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda a s ~$

 ő入ор тòv 入óyov кататонкî̀ą каі̀ тоі̂s ỏvó $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu$



 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \mu a \theta \epsilon i \nu, \pi \epsilon \rho i$ ठ̀̀ $\tau \dot{a} \varsigma ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ a v ่ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \gamma \nu \mu \nu a-~$



 каі $\mu \iota \mu \eta \dot{\sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta u \nu а \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \theta u ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \eta \rho o ́ т є \rho о \nu ~ к и i ~}$

 oi $\phi \iota \lambda \sigma \sigma \circ \phi o \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{*} \kappa a \theta^{\prime} \hat{o} \delta^{\prime} \hat{\iota} \nu \quad \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a ́ \zeta о \nu \tau a \varsigma$.
 каì עє $\omega \sigma \tau \grave{\imath} \pi \rho о \sigma \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa о ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau a i ̂ \varsigma ~ a ̀ \lambda a \zeta о \nu \epsilon i ́ a \iota \varsigma, ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~$ $\kappa a i ̀ \nu v ̂ \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu a ́ \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu, \epsilon v ̉$ oỉठ’ őть $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ ~$















 ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ aủ $\alpha o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu, \pi o \lambda \nu \pi \rho a \gamma-$









ко́б८ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \rho \epsilon \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \omega ф \rho о \sigma u ́ v \eta \nu ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \kappa а і ̀ ~ \delta \iota к а \iota о \sigma u ́ v \eta \nu ~$ є่ $\mu \pi т \circ \stackrel{\eta}{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu^{\bullet}$ ov̉ $\mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \pi a \rho a \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a i ́ ~$ $\gamma \epsilon \kappa a \grave{\imath} \sigma \nu \nu a \sigma \kappa \eta$ ба८ $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau$ ' ả้ oỉ $\mu a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu$ ย̇ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$.





## V. MEPI ANTIDOEESइ.

[Or. xv:-353 в.с.]

$$
\$ 270-302 .
$$



 à $\gamma \omega \nu \iota \zeta$ онє́voıs ov̉к à̀ $\dot{a} \rho \mu o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$










 Bens. : cp. next extract, \& 274.





 $\kappa a i ̀ \pi a \rho a ́ \delta o \xi a \kappa a i ̀ \pi о \lambda \imath ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ àф $\epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau a$







 таîs v́ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \iota \varsigma ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu a \iota s, ~ \epsilon i ̀ ~ \mu \eta े ~ к а і ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta-~$

 aข่ $\omega \hat{\nu}$.

 $\kappa а \iota o \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \nu$, ov้тє $\pi \rho o ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu$ ov้тє $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \mu i a \nu$ єi้ขal,
 $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi a v ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \lambda \eta \rho о \hat{\nu} \downarrow т a \varsigma \pi \rho i ̀ \nu$ 275 єن์pє $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a ~ \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ \tau о \iota a u ́ \tau \eta \nu$, oủ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \quad a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’$
V. §273. тaîs $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \iota s] ~ \Theta$, Turr. B1.-каi raîs $\dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota s$ vulg. Bk. (who proposed to omit кai). § 274. $\pi \epsilon \phi \cup \kappa \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \rho \gamma a ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \tau^{\prime}$ ä̀ каi $\left.\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \nu\right]$ So Turr. Bens., with ©. The other mss. (which Bk. follows) add $\pi \rho \partial{ }^{2}$ before $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ : but then the кai before סıкaьooúvn vecomes unmeaning. Bl., reading $\pi \epsilon \phi \cup \kappa \delta \sigma \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s$, meets that difficulty by inserting $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ-$






 $\pi \rho \hat{\omega t} \tau$




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．$\epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \tau a$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \iota-277$ $\nu o v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ v i \pi c ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ є่к入є́ $\xi \in \tau a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \omega-$ סєбтátas каì $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \sigma v \mu ф \epsilon \rho о v ́ \sigma а \varsigma^{\cdot}$ ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тàs

 ä入入as $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \xi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \delta v ́ \nu a \mu \iota \nu$,




 $\kappa є \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta \nu \nu \eta \prime \psi \in \tau a \iota ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \sigma \nu \mu \pi т \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota \varsigma$. тís үàp oủk oîठє к кai тov̀s $\lambda$ ó



 teivelv riväs］Bk．Turr．－tivas is omitted by $\Gamma$ ，Bens．
 тàs тíбтєıs $\mu \epsilon i ̂ \zeta o \nu ~ \delta v \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \beta i ́ o v ~$
 $\omega_{\omega} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\sigma \omega$ ă $\nu \tau \iota \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \varsigma$ є̇ $\pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$
 кáyaӨòs єìvaı каi тарà тоîs то入ítaıs єv̉סокı $\mu \in i ̂ \nu$.










 $\mu о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \kappa а \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, viสє̀ $\rho$ oủ $\sigma \pi o v \delta a \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau i ̀$
 $\tau \omega \nu$.
281 Tò тoívvע $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\nu} \pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \epsilon \xi i a \nu$, ò $\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \tau a-$







$\| \forall \delta \sigma \omega] \quad \grave{\sigma} \sigma \omega \pi \epsilon \rho$ Bens. § 280. $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \quad \dot{\psi}] \dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\psi} \pi \epsilon \rho$ Bens. § 281. $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma \nu$ ] vulg. Bk. Turr. $-\pi \lambda \epsilon l o \sigma \iota \nu E, \Theta, B e n s$.
$\hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ каї $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu}$ ро $\mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ тарà $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$









 àmò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ย̇тì $\tau \grave{a} \phi a v \lambda o ́ \tau a \tau a$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ย̇ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \cup \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. тov̀s $\mu \in ́ \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \beta \omega \mu o \lambda o \chi \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon ́-284$
 $\kappa а \lambda о v ิ \sigma \iota, \pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa о \nu$ т $\hat{\varsigma} \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \gamma о \rho i a s ~ \tau а и ̆ т \eta \varsigma ~ \tau ข \gamma-$
 ठѐ таîs какоך $\theta$ єiaıs каi таîs какоирүіаıя $\chi \rho \omega-$


 àyaӨ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' ov̉ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ какіิ่ $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau о v ิ \sigma \iota \cdot$ тò̀s 285

 $\sigma \circ \phi \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ фa $\quad \iota \nu$, †ả $\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ тoùs тà тoıav̂тa $\mu a \nu-$

§ 283. тoîs $\delta \nu \delta \mu a \sigma \omega \nu]$ (1st hand) Turr. Bens.-roîs $\delta \nu \delta$ -




 oavers in the text. It is certainly splurious, but no emendation



















 őт८ тov̀s $\sigma v \nu o ́ \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoьov́т $\omega \nu$ є่т८т $\eta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$
is satisfactory. My own impression is that $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ has displaced a partic. similar in general sense, such as $\dot{\alpha} \tau \not \tau \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$. The gen. is required after $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ : we cannot compare such a passage as Eur. Ion 439, $\theta \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau a s ~ a \dot{\mu} \mu \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, 'recks not that they die,' where $\dot{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}=\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o p \hat{q}$. Dobree thought that $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ was an interpolation arising from $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a s$ just above. Benseler, with $\Theta$, gives $\dot{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \in \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \nu \in \hat{\imath} \nu \tau o \dot{\jmath} s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. Sauppe conjectures áme入áбavtєs тoùs, к.т.入. He also proposed
 $\mu a \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{a} \nu$. -Bake and Havet would omit $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ and in its place read simply ovं: and Bl. now omits it ('quamvis dubitanter'), substituting $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov. This is surely to cut the knot. § 287. $\delta \iota a \tau \rho i \beta o v \sigma \iota]$ Turr.- $\delta \iota a \tau \rho i \beta o v \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{Bk}$. Bens.

 ขoıs єїкоб८ каі трı́́коута $\mu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ тàs $\mu \in \lambda \lambda$ оv́баs
 $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \xi \in \iota a \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каì $\sigma v \gamma \chi a i ́ p o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau a i ̂ \varsigma ~ \grave{a} \sigma \omega-$










 aúтov̂ $\pi \circ \iota \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon v ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu ~$ * $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ぞ $\eta \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ é $\tau \in ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho i ̀ \nu$ ả $\nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ a v i \tau o v ̂$
 $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \eta \delta$ è $\mu$ é $\gamma a$ ф $\rho о \nu \in i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o i ̂ s ~ \omega ́ s ~$ $\epsilon ่ \pi i$ тoîs $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \psi \cup \chi \hat{n} \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \gamma \iota \gamma \nu о \mu \epsilon ́-$


 т $\hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \omega \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$;












 Ө乇́vтas $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta}$ тov̀s $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \circ \gamma o ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi a \rho a-$



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \beta e ́ \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ a ̀ \pi o \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi o v \sigma \iota \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ o ̈ \pi \omega \varsigma ~ \grave{a} \nu$












 тov̀s $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ a ̆ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu o \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \pi т a \iota \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ à̀ $\sigma v \mu \beta a i ́ \eta ~ \delta є \iota \nu о ́ \tau а т о \nu, ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \beta o v-~-~$


$\kappa \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ois $\pi \epsilon \rho$ vं $\mu \epsilon \hat{i} S$ ámá $\nu \tau \omega \nu$, $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$

 $\pi є \rho \iota \beta a ́ \lambda o \iota \tau \varepsilon$.




 таутобатю́тата тарє́ $\chi о v \sigma a \nu ~ \tau о і ̂ \varsigma ~ a ̀ \gamma \omega \nu і \zeta є \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho о-$

 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \pi$ то८єî $\delta v ́ \nu a \sigma \theta a \iota \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, èv $\theta \in ́ v \delta є \pi a ́ \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ \lambda a \mu-$
 тทта каi $\mu є \tau \rho і о ́ т \eta \tau а ~ \kappa а і ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \nu ~ \epsilon u ̉ \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \lambda i ́ a \nu ~$ каì ф८лодоүíà ov่ $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \eta र \gamma о и ̂ \nu \tau a \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~$









vulg. Bk. § 295. < óarpı $\beta$ às>. The mss. have $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ tás touứras $\gamma \nu \mu \nu a ́ j \in \sigma \theta a l$, without any substantive. Havet and Bl. simply alter the fem. pl. into tà rocaûta: but, since there was nothing to provoke the change into ras rotaúras, it seems far more likely that a substantive has been lost. I have con-






 тov̀s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кат $\eta \gamma o \rho o v ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ т $\eta \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ ท ̂ ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ є่ $\pi a \iota \nu o v ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.






 $\tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \theta \in ́ v \delta \epsilon ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota s ~ \gamma ı \gamma \nu o \mu e ́ v a s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~$


 $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a \iota \varsigma ~ \chi p \omega ̂ \nu \tau a \iota ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ v i \pi \epsilon \rho \beta o \lambda a i ̂ s, ~ ढ ̈ \sigma \tau ’ ~ o v ̉ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$







 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \sigma \iota o v ̂ \nu \tau a \varsigma$, тov̀s $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in \pi a i ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

Bk. omits $\tau \grave{a}$, perh. by a mere oversight. \| $\left.{ }^{*} \pi o \iota \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon\right]$ Baiter,




 $\lambda o \nu a ́ \rho \mu o ́ \tau \tau о \nu \sigma a \nu . \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \nu \dot{a} \rho \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

 трокрі́vєıау．

## VI．TANHГYPIKOE．

［Or．iv．－ 380 в．c．］

$$
\$ 160-186 .
$$

＂$\Omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu$ оь ठокєî mo入入à 入íav єivaı тà тapa－160 $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ то入є $\epsilon \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ aủтoîऽ，$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \delta ’ ~ o ́ ~ \pi a \rho \omega ̀ \nu ~$
















 "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ ' А \sigma i ́ a \nu ~ \pi a \rho o \iota к о v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu, ~ o u ̀ s ~ o v ่ ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon i ́-~$ $\theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu \eta े \kappa \omega \lambda v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$. каїтоь тоьоv́т $\omega \nu$
 т $̀ \nu$ 'A $\sigma i a \nu$ т $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau о \varsigma ~ \tau i ́ ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \beta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a ~$
 ク̈тт
 $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ ó ßápßapos є́ $\rho \omega \omega \mu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \varsigma ~ к а т а ́ \sigma \chi \eta ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \eta, ~ \phi \rho o v \rho a ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon i \zeta o v s ~ e ̀ v ~ a v ̉-~}$
 ai $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ т $̀ \nu ~ \eta ้ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho о \nu, ~ o i o \nu ~ ' P o ́ \delta o s ~ к а і ̀ ~ \sum a ́ \mu о s ~ к а і ̀ ~$



 pous єival.



耳oו $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta ण \nu \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ \epsilon ’ \xi o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ т o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o-~$


 $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v \varsigma ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ тóт $\omega \nu \sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \mu$ е́vovৎ,



$\pi \rho о є \xi a \mu a \rho \tau о ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad$ ä $\pi a \nu \tau a \quad \tau a \hat{v}{ }^{\prime}$ є่ $\pi \eta \nu \omega \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \tau \sigma$,

 $\sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \Lambda u \delta i ́ a \nu ~ к а і ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ 'I $\omega \nu i a \nu$













 ن́тарХо́ขт $\omega \nu$ à̉тоi $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảvaукаí $\omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma-$









 $\mu \mathrm{i} \nu \mathrm{oiv}$ ] oiv is omitted by $\Gamma, \mathrm{E}$, Bens.
 є่ $\pi i$ тоîs $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ какоîs $\hat{\eta}$ тоîs aữ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ioious











 тои̂ тоòs тоѝs $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o v s ~ є i \sigma \eta \gamma є i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \beta о и-~$





 $\lambda \epsilon v \in \iota \nu \pi a \rho a \lambda \epsilon \lambda \frac{i}{} \pi a \sigma \iota \nu$.
172

 $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \varsigma \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ò $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ảma入入a$\eta \eta \sigma o ́-$

 ठıa入vó $\mu \epsilon \theta a$ тov̀s $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v \varsigma ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ a ̉ \nu a \beta a \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ，
 $\S 171 . \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \xi \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \dot{\sigma} \iota]$ Bk．Turr．Bl．－ $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \iota$ Bens．


 ขoıs тoîs є้ค



 poıs $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, ov̀ $\theta^{\prime}$ ó $\mu о \nu о \eta ิ \sigma a \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu a \varsigma, ~$ $\pi \rho i \nu \nu \stackrel{a}{\nu} \nu \kappa a i ̀ \tau a ̀ s ~ \omega ่ \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aủ $\frac{\hat{\omega} \nu}{\nu} \kappa a i$ тoùs $\kappa \iota \nu \delta v ่ \nu o u s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a u ́ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$. тои́т 174







 $\mu o ́ \nu o \nu ~ a ̉ \nu ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau ’ ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o \lambda a v ́ \sigma a \iota \mu \in \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$

 $\sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota \delta_{o ́ \xi} \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu$.


 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu ~ \imath ̈ \sigma a \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \omega ́ s ~ \delta i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu o \nu ~ \tau v \chi о \hat{-}-$
 Bapßı́poıs $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ Дакє $\delta a \iota \mu о \nu i o \iota s ~ е ̇ \pi \iota к а \lambda о и ̆-~$








 рıбта тv$\gamma \chi a ́ \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu ~ \delta \iota a \phi u \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . ~ \grave{a} \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$


















§ 175. is ó $\mu \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\nu} \nu]$ E, Turr. Bens.- $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ vulg. Bk. § 176. $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu i a \nu]$ Sauppe, Turr. Bens.- $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a \nu$ Bk. § 177. $\tau \grave{\nu}$





 кvías，$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठє̀ ă $\rho \tau \iota ~ \tau a ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \kappa а т о \iota к о и ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, ~$


 $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \delta \eta \lambda \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu} \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ 立 $\mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma ~ a ̀ \tau \iota \mu i ́ a \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon-$




 à入入’ oủ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi т о ч \varsigma ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \sigma \nu \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa а \varsigma ~ \pi о ь о ч ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma . ~$













 I，E，Turr．Bens．－dva日eival Bk．
$\lambda \epsilon \cup ́ o \nu \tau a \varsigma, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau a ̀$ Tршїкà $\gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s$ $\mu \iota a ̂ s ~ \gamma \nu v a \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ a ́ \rho \pi a \sigma \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ o u ̈ \tau \omega \varsigma ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ \sigma v \nu o \rho-~$





 $\kappa \rho \epsilon i ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$ є̇ $\sigma \tau i$, , $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i ́ a ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \ddot{\eta}^{*} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ a$, $\pi \rho о \sigma є о \iota \kappa \omega ́ s, \dot{a} \mu \phi о т є ́ \rho о \iota \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \nu \mu \phi \in ́ \rho \omega \nu$, каї тоîs


 $\kappa а т а \kappa т \eta \dot{\sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota . ~}$

 үáp, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau i \nu a \varsigma ~ \chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \iota a ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon o-~$










§ 182. * $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i \not \subset]$ Koraes, Bk. Turr. Bens.- $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau i \hat{q}$ mss. II ă $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ] Г, E, Turr. Bens.-är $\epsilon \iota \nu$ ßou入o $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ Bk. § 183. $\pi 0 \lambda$ $\lambda a \chi \hat{\eta}]$ E, Koraes, Turr. Bens.- $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a \chi o \hat{v} v u l g$. Bk.




 тvүХávovส८» o้ $\nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.

Kai $\mu \eta ̀ \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тàs $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \lambda v \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a-185$




 $\dot{\rho} a ́ \theta \nu \mu o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, ö $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ ov̉ $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ßov $\bar{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \tau a \iota$









 $\chi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta о \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu$ є่ $\gamma \kappa \omega \mu i \omega \nu$ тєú $\xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ тov̀s ö $\lambda \eta \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta} s$



[^7]
 $\chi$ ро́ขоу ката入ıтєі̂̀；

## VII．фIヘIППOぇ．

［Or．v．-346 в．с．］
§§ 81－104．
 $\Delta \iota o \nu v ́ \sigma \iota o \nu ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \tau v \rho a \nu \nu i ́ \delta a ~ к \tau \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu \eta ं т \epsilon ~$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ \grave{\omega} \nu \mu \eta ́ \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \eta \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \rho \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \delta \nu \nu a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \phi v e ́ \sigma \tau a \tau o s ~$


 $82 \kappa a \lambda \iota \nu \delta o v \mu e ́ \nu o \iota \varsigma, ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \phi \rho о \nu є i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ن ̉ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{v}-$




 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu ~ \tau о i ̂ s$ є̇עठoそotáтoıs．



 Turr．II кai roîs ă $\lambda \lambda$ गııs＂E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ ］E，Bens．－$\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota ~ B k . ~$

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \epsilon i \varsigma \tau \eta े \nu$＇A $\sigma i a \nu \tau a i ̂ \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda ~ \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ，
 $\sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，ẁs $\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ т $\quad$ òs тov̀s $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o v \varsigma$,



 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \circ ́ \mu \eta \nu$ тоîs áкоขбонє́voıs катаүє入ầ $\mu$ оv $\kappa a i ~ \kappa а \tau а ф \rho о \nu \epsilon i \nu, ~ ŋ ̀ \nu ~ \mu \eta े ~ к а i ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi \rho а \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~$



 خàp $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ı s ~ o ́ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ o ́ ~ \pi a \nu \eta \gamma u \rho ı к o ́ s, ~ o ́ ~$ тoùs ă入入ous тoùs $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \phi \iota \lambda o \sigma o \phi i ́ a \nu ~ \delta ı a \tau \rho i ́-~$




 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a i ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \tau a u ̂ \tau a ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ к a i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \hat{\eta} \nu$


 ס̀vaرévoı૬．







 татоя $\omega \lambda \iota \gamma \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, ои̉ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ к а к i a \nu ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ ф \iota \lambda о-~$

 $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \nu \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a \varsigma . ~ \pi \rho о п р є і ̂ т о ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\tau \epsilon \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ є ̇ \tau a i ́ p o u s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$



 є่ $\nu \theta a ́ \delta є ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \mu \eta े \sigma \chi o \lambda \eta ̀ \nu a ̈ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta \dot{v} \nu a \sigma \theta a \iota$


 є̉кфє́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu \nu, \pi \rho i ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \lambda-$





$\beta$ ou入єúєt (Koraes $\sigma v \mu \beta 0 \nu \lambda \epsilon u ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu)$ vulg. before Bk . § 88 . тoùs

 $\pi \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$ ] so Turr. Bens., with E in Epist. Ix. § 14, where
 recurs nearly verbatim. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{̀} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu$ E (here),

 тts is added from Epist. ix. § 14 by Turr. Bens. || $̇ \nu \in \sigma \tau \omega \dot{-}$ $\sigma \eta s$ ] Bk. Turr.-є̀ $\nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa v i ́ a s$ Bens.







 $\chi \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu a \iota ~ \delta о \xi ̆ a ́ \nu \tau \tau \nu \nu, \lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \delta^{\prime}$ є̀к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ \mathrm{~K}$ v́pov каі̀ K $\lambda є a ́ \rho \chi o v ~ \sigma v \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$. є̇кєívovs $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ó $\mu$ о-

 $\gamma \nu \nu a \iota \xi i \nu a u ̀ \tau \omega ิ \nu \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda o \nu, \eta ้ \delta \eta \delta^{\prime}$ є่үкратєîৎ $\delta о-$

 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa о \nu \tau a ~ \pi о \lambda \nu ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, ẻv $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \iota s$


 $\mu \epsilon \omega \varsigma$, $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \rho о к а \lambda \epsilon \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma$ К $\lambda \epsilon ́ a \rho \chi о \nu$ каì то̀̀s














 $\xi$ そ́v $\tau \omega \nu \pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ ov̉ $\chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \theta a \rho \rho \in i ̂ \nu ~ \pi o \iota o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho a-$ тєíà таи́т $\eta$ ；
93 Kaì $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i s$ vimo入áßך $\mu \epsilon \beta$ оú $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \lambda a \theta \in i ̂ \nu$＂̈т七










 $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \eta, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ à $\lambda \lambda о \tau \rho i \omega \nu$ ov̀ $\delta \grave{\iota} \nu$ à̀ $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \epsilon \xi a i ́ \mu \eta \nu$ ，







§ 92．катабкєvá $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha]$ Г， $\mathbf{E}$（corrector），Turr．－$\pi \alpha \rho a-$




 $\dot{a} \pi a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \kappa \omega ิ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \cdot \% \pi \epsilon \rho$






















"A $\xi \iota o \nu ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \mu \phi o-99 ~$ тє́ $\rho \omega \nu$, ' $\phi^{\prime}$ ò $\nu$ бoí $\tau \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~$

Bens. - $\delta \epsilon$ кaঠapxlas $\Gamma$, Bk. Turr. See comment. II $\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma$. tárous] after this word Koraes added elxoy, which Bens. adopted,

 тov $\pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu$
 $\pi \omega ́ \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \eta े \nu \chi^{\omega} \rho a \nu$ a $\tau \tau o \hat{v}$






 каі̀ кататтєфрорךкє́vaı тท̂ऽ $\beta a \rho \beta a \rho \iota \kappa \eta ิ \varsigma ~ \delta \nu \nu а \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a \varsigma . ~$ 101
$\mathrm{T} a ̀$ тоívvข $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ . \tau \eta े \nu ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu ~ \omega ́ s ~ \delta \iota a ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau а \iota, ~ \tau i ́ s ~$ ov̉к à̀ ảкоv́ $\sigma a \varsigma \pi a \rho o \xi v \nu \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ \nu ;$


 ठıà тòv тотанòv $\delta v \sigma \chi \omega \rho i a s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta s ~ \pi a \rho a-~$
 то̂̂ Ś́ovs тov́tov. $\sigma \nu \mu \pi а \rho a \sigma \kappa є v a \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$
 є่ $\pi$ ' aù $\frac{1}{}$
 $102 \epsilon \iota \nu$ ои้тє $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ả $\xi \iota o s ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu a \iota$. тà тoìvvע $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$

 but in the new edit. of Bens. Bl. omits it. § 100. aiv bracketed by Bl., with Dobree. § 101. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ áфє $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\kappa} \kappa \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu]$
 $\tau \hat{\uparrow} \nu$ ข $ข \tau \iota \kappa \hat{̣}$ Bens.


 $\mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \beta o u \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} s$, бv $\mu$ фó $\rho \omega \varsigma$ є̈ $\xi \in \iota \nu$. каì 103




 фóv, $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma a \nu \delta_{\epsilon} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ \nu, ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o ̀ \nu$





 aú $\hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \nu \circ \mu i \zeta \omega \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \sigma a \tau \rho a \pi \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \pi \lambda-$





## VIII. ПヘATAIKOミ.

[Or. xiv.-373 в.c.]

$$
\S 56 — 63 .
$$









 тov̀s $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \pi \rho o \gamma o ́ v o v s ~ \phi a \sigma i \nu, ~ \grave{\kappa \lambda \lambda \iota \pi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu}$




 $\epsilon i \varsigma ~ v i \mu a ̂ \varsigma ~ v i \pi a ́ \rho \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.
58 Eî $\delta$ ’ ov̉v каì $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ vi $\mu i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ סє́סокта८ ф $\rho о \nu \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \in \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$

 59 ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau a \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a \iota \cdot \tau \grave{a}$










 vulg. Bens. (1st edit.). § 59. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \notin \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha] \Gamma$ omits $\tilde{d} \lambda \lambda a$,
 тоv̀s ä̀ $\lambda \lambda \frac{}{}$
 $\pi \rho o ́ \nu o \iota a \nu, \kappa a \grave{\imath} \mu \eta े ~ \pi а \rho a \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~}$




 є̀ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a s ~ \sigma \nu \nu a \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \mu o ́ \nu o v s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ ' E \lambda \lambda \eta$ ' $\nu \omega \nu$ ảvaбтáтovs $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu \in ́ v o v \varsigma, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$






 таúтas тàs $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i ́ a \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \quad$ v́ $\mu \in \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́-$
 $\pi а \rho о v ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̈ \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \delta o ́ \xi \eta \varsigma$.

 pias, oủ סúvaرaı $\pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~$


and so Bens. (2nd edit.) : Bl. replaces it. § 63. $\delta^{\prime}\{\nu\langle\nu \tau \omega \nu]$
 yorro] $\Gamma$ (corrector), $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{Bk}$. Turr.-inayáat $\Gamma$ (1nt hand), Bens. |l aúroùs] [, E, Turr. Bens, -aúroùs ímâs vulg. Bk.



## IX. ПEPI EIPHNH乏.

[Or. vini,-355 в. c.]

## § 121-131.


入ovtos रمóvov $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i a \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon є \iota a \nu ~ \pi o \iota o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s, ~$ $\mu \eta \delta \grave{e ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~} \mu$ èv тòv ס $\bar{\eta} \mu o \nu$ фá $\sigma \kappa о v \sigma \iota \nu$, ő $\lambda \eta \nu$

 тоৎ $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a \nu$, єis тобаút $\eta \nu$ ävoıav $\pi \rho o \eta ่ \gamma a \gamma o \nu$












 $\Gamma, \mathbf{E}$, Bens.




 тov̀s $\mu \iota \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a s$ тov̀s toњoúтous каì $\mu \in \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ є่ $\pi$ '












 a้ $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \chi \in i ̂ \rho o \nu ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ o ̉ \lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi i a \iota s$



 $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \chi \in i ̂ \rho o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ ф \rho o \nu o v ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ \hat{\eta} \pi \rho i ̀ \nu \kappa а т а \sigma \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ т \eta े \nu$












 $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \eta ̀ \xi i ́ \omega \sigma a \nu$, тò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o \varsigma \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, ov̉

 $128 \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ єival $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$. oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ خà $\rho$ тàs

 $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a \gamma \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu \rho \gamma \iota \omega \bar{\nu} \kappa а \grave{\tau} \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa а \kappa \grave{a}$ $\tau \grave{a} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \sigma \nu \mu \mu о \rho i ́ a s ~ к а i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \tau i \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s . ~ \grave{a}$

 $\mu^{\prime}$ ย́vovs.



 $\kappa а i ́ \omega \nu$ ov̉тoı $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \beta o v ́ \lambda о \nu \tau a \iota ~ \sigma \pi a \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu ~ v ீ \mu a ̂ \varsigma, ~$







 Bens. § 128. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ ] $\pi \rho \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \Gamma$, Bens. (2nd edit.).




 є̇ $\xi \iota \sigma \omega \dot{\sigma} \rho \nu \sigma \iota \nu$.

## X. APXI $\triangle A M O \Sigma$.

[Or. n. - 366 в.c.]
§§52-57.
 av̉тov̀s $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ v ~ \epsilon i s ~ a i \sigma \chi \rho a ̀ s ~ o ́ \mu o \lambda o \gamma l a s ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ค́a$\theta \nu \mu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ v́ $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s ~ \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \phi a \nu \hat{\eta}-$ vaı ßov $\lambda \epsilon v o \mu e ́ v o v s . ~ a ̀ \nu a \mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon ~ \delta \grave{~} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ \varsigma$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta ~ \tau \iota \nu \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \mu \mu a \chi i ̂ \delta \omega \nu$ єis $\mu o ́ \nu o s$
入оүєіто $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau о и ̂ т о \nu ~ \gamma є \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ т \eta ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \omega т \eta \rho i ́ a \nu ~ a u ̉-~$ тoîs. каì тoùs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o u ¢ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ă $\nu$ тıs ảкоv́ $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, тоv̀s



 $\mu \epsilon \nu o \varsigma, \pi о \lambda \lambda o u ̀ \varsigma ~ o ้ \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi о \lambda \iota о р к о и ̂ \nu \tau а \varsigma ~ є ̇ \nu і ́ к \eta \sigma \epsilon ~$

8 131. aútol סuvaateúovaty] aútol is omitted by $\Gamma, \mathbf{E}$, Bens.; but we should certainly retain it. It points the contrast between the power which these men win for thermselves and the misery which they inflict on others.




 єîvaı тàs ả入入oтpías $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma ~ \delta \iota a \phi u \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \nu v \nu \grave{\imath}$ סè $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{~} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$ aủt $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ठıa-
 $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta ̀ \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu a \iota ~ \tau \rho о \pi a i \omega \nu$ ن́ $\pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$

 $55 \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \epsilon \mu a \chi \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ; ~ \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' є́тє́pas $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~$









 ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ßоv $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ тєрi тои́т $\omega \nu$. тivas $\gamma a ̀ \rho$


X. § 54. $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota] ~ \mu \eta ่ \tau \epsilon ~ \delta \dot{v} \nu a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu \eta ่ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~$ $\Gamma, E, B e n s$. This reading, though it has $\Gamma$ 's support, is innmeasurably inferior to the other, and possibly arose from a corruption of $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ to $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, when $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \delta \dot{v} \nu a \sigma \theta a \iota$ may have been inserted to balance it. \|| $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu l a \nu]$ Sauppe, Turr. Bens. $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \mu i a \nu \mathrm{Bk} . \S 56 . \quad \grave{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu]$ è $\sigma \tau \iota \mathrm{Bk}$.
$\mu e ́ \nu \eta \varsigma$ oűt




 $\sigma \tau a i \not \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ каì $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \rho о \gamma o ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon i \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$,

 àтоßа́лоı $\mu \epsilon \nu$;

## XI. APEOПAГITIKO乏.

[Or. vin.-355 в.c.]

$$
\text { § } 36-55 .
$$


 Хро́voıs $\gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu$ évas, тàs $\delta^{\prime}$ aitias oủ фрá $\zeta \omega$, $\delta i$


 $\rho о \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \sigma о \mu a \iota \delta ı a \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 тov̀s є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a \tau o u ̂ \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ \epsilon i \chi \chi o \nu, ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i \varsigma ~ a ̆ \nu \delta \rho a \varsigma$
 di $\lambda \lambda$ ’ '̇v aủtaîs тaîs $\dot{a} \kappa \mu a i ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi \lambda$ téovos é $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon l a \varsigma$ є่тv́rरavov $\hat{\eta} \pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ o ̋ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . ~ o u ̈ \tau \omega ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oi

$\pi \rho o ́ \gamma o \nu o \iota ~ \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho і ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \omega \phi \rho о \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \pi \sigma v ́ \delta a-$














 $\kappa а \grave{~ \sigma \omega ф \rho о \sigma v ่ \nu \eta s ~ є ่ \gamma к а т є ́ \lambda \iota \pi о \nu . ~}$








 є่ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \cup \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. тov̀s $\gamma$ à $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ то入入ov̀s ó $\mu o i o v s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$

 Turr. $-\dot{\text { èv }}$ raútaıs $\Gamma$, Bens. § 38. тotov̂rov $\mu \nu \eta \mu \hat{i o ̀ v}]$ Bens.

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v s ~$
 ov̉ тàs $\sigma \tau o a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu \pi \iota \pi \lambda a ́ v a \iota ~ \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a ́ t \omega \nu, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~$ тaîs 廿uरaîs є้ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ тò Síkaıov ov̉ үàp тoîs $\psi \eta$－


 $\pi a \rho a \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma \pi \epsilon \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v \varsigma ~ \kappa a i ̀$





 $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta$ ทєєєข．


 $\sigma \tau \omega \nu$ үє́ $\mu о \nu \tau a \varsigma$ є̀ $\pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ ，каì тàs $\psi v \chi a ̀ s ~ a u ̉-$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$＊$\gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \delta_{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \in \nu a \varsigma{ }^{*}$ є่ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a \iota \varsigma$
 Beus．（on his own conject．？）gave $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ous $\delta^{\circ}$ d $\sigma \not \subset a \lambda \hat{\omega}$ ：Bl．replaces

 insert ßou入nंबєの日al，which is bracketed by Bk．，and omitted by
 hand in $\Gamma$ wrote $\delta a \mu a \sigma \theta \eta$ at（which Turr．keep），－a word other－ wise strange to classical Attic prose．The corrector of $\Gamma$ gave таıঠ̇єu向va，（so Bk．Bens．），which suits the sense，but does not explain the corruption．｜｜＂$\langle\pi / \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a \iota s]$ Bk．conject．，Turr．




 ov̉ $\chi$ oión $\tau$ ’ $\grave{\nu} \nu, ~ \stackrel{a}{a} \nu \omega \mu a ́ \lambda \omega s$ тà $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \beta i ́ o \nu ~$
 єєка́бтоьs тробє́таттод. тoùs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ v i \pi o \delta \epsilon \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon-~$









 $\mu$ évovs.
 $\chi$ ро́vov $\omega \lambda \iota \gamma \omega ́ \rho o v \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~$







бials Bl. on his own conject. || $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \omega s$ ] Bk. Turr.- $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho(\omega s$
 Cobet, Bl. See comment. § 44. $\tau$ às ảmopias $\mu \dot{c} \nu]$ Г, E, Turr. Bens.- Tàs $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ároplas vulg. Bk. § 45. $\pi \epsilon \rho l{ }^{*} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $i \pi \pi \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu]$ Г, Bk. Turr.— $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \quad \tau \epsilon i \pi \pi \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{mss} .-\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \grave{\nu}$






 тє́poıs катєîðoע тоѝs тодíтаৎ, каї таîs тıرшрíaıs


 रарои̂̀ oủк є่ тоîs $\sigma \kappa \iota \rho a \phi \epsilon i o u s ~ o i ́ ~ \nu \epsilon \omega ่ т є \rho о \iota ~ \delta \iota є ́ т \rho \iota-~$










 $\lambda \epsilon ́ т \omega \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda$ ' où $\beta \omega \mu о \lambda о \chi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. каì тоѝs єùтра$\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda o u s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \kappa a i ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \sigma \kappa \omega ́ \pi т \tau \iota \nu ~ \delta \nu \nu a \mu e ́ v o \nu \varsigma, ~ o u ̂ \varsigma ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~$
 $\mu!\zeta_{0 \nu}$.



 ทं $\gamma o v ̂ \mu a \iota ~ \tau o v ́ t o v s ~ a i ̉ i ́ o u s ~ \epsilon i \nu a \iota ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o \mu e ́ v \omega \nu, ~$











 52 ßapßápoıs фоßєрои́s тoùs $\mu$ è̀ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ бєбшко́тєऽ


























 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o \iota a v ́ \tau a s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a s ~ \epsilon ่ v a \nu \tau \iota \omega \prime \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$





 $\tau o u ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \xi \iota \omega \hat{\nu} \tau a i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega-$

 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa a i ̂ \varsigma ~ к a i ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho ю \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a-~$

 $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є́ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta \varsigma$;

Baiter. §84. $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi 6 \lambda \epsilon t \pi o \iota o \hat{\sigma} \iota \nu]$ Bk. Turr. Bl. - $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o \iota o \hat{\sigma} \iota_{\iota}$


## XII. AITINHTIKOミ.

[Or. xix.-394 or 393 в.c.]

$$
\text { §§ } 18-27 .
$$
























XII. § 18. Пaбivos Mápov] Г, E, edd.: $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ oûs $\pi a \rho \grave{\nu} \nu$
 Г, E, Bens. || oixcious] oixє́tas $\Gamma$, Bens.: Bl. replaces the

 $\kappa \nu \rho i \omega \nu$ єì入ŋфо́та;





 $\mu \eta \delta a \mu \omega \hat{s}$ a $ข ่ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~ \lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ảpp $\omega \sigma \tau i a \nu$

















 opüv mss. Bk. 131. I cannot think that opwd derives any confiumation from the fact that Priweith (xym. \& 171 ) has it, since he, like Stobucus, sometimes agrees with the manifestly








 $\pi \rho a ́ т \tau о \nu \tau o s ~ \Theta \rho a \sigma v \lambda o ́ \chi o v ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \tau a \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ v̇т́́ $\mu \in \nu o \nu$,








 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ クं $\xi i \omega \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$, ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' ov่ $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \pi \iota-$






corrupt readings of the inferior mss. Here $\dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ is surely a

 mss. Bk. Turr.- $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \in \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \xi^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu$ Priscian xviil. § 174, Bens.








 $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda} 0 \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ каì тov̀s móvovs тov̀s $a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ каì
 кaì тav̂t' oủסéva र $\rho o ́ v o \nu ~ \delta \iota e ́ \lambda ı \pi \epsilon \nu * ~ o u ̉ \delta e ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \lambda-~$



## XIII. $A \wedge E \equiv A N \triangle P \Omega I$.

[Epist. y.-342 в.c.]

II $\rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \sigma o v ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega \nu ~ є ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \grave{̀ \nu} \nu$ aैтo-

 $\mu \eta ่ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \psi \omega ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau o \iota o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ o ̂ ~ \pi o \iota \eta ं \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ a ̉ \nu a \gamma \nu o ́ \nu-~$





Bens. - סè $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu a s \mathrm{Bk}$. § 25. ú $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \notin \epsilon \nu \in \nu]$ Г, Bk. Turr. Bens.-
 -aठaкретi 引: as in or. xiv. \& 47 all the mss. have oúderiav
 Bens.



 à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ois $\sigma v \nu \delta \iota a \tau \rho i \beta \omega \nu \tau \epsilon$ ov̉к à̀ $\lambda v \pi \eta \theta \in \operatorname{\epsilon i\eta s} \sigma v \mu$ -



 $\nu о \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ єivaı $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \eta े \nu$ є่ $\nu$ таîs ííaıs סıaтрь$\beta a i ̂ s, ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ́ \rho \mu o ́ \tau \tau \epsilon ı \nu ~ o u ̛ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi \lambda \eta ่ \theta o v s ~$
 $\gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ ov̉ס̀̀̀ $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ тоîs $\mu \epsilon i ̂ \zeta o \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$


 $\tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta \eta_{\nu} \nu, \pi \rho \circ a \iota \rho \in \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ठє̀ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a \nu \tau \eta े \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ o i ̂ s ~ \chi \rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon є \iota s$





 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \tau о и ́ т о \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \iota \mu a ̂ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ к о \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ \omega ́ s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ̂-~$


 Acc. to Bl., three letters seem to have been effaced in $\Gamma$
 סo弓ájєє Bl. on his own conject. \|| $\tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \nu \tau \epsilon]$ The $\tau \epsilon$ is added




 $\tau \omega \nu$.

## XIV. фІ^ІПП $\Omega$ I.

[Epist. iII.-338 в.c.]
 $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \kappa a i ̀ \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \sigma o \grave{\imath} \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \epsilon \xi a \rho-$






 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ єis ó $\mu$ о́voıà катабтท̂ба८ тоѝs" $\mathrm{E} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{\nu а} \mathrm{\varsigma}$,


 ß $\eta \kappa є \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau \iota ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega ̂ \nu a ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$


by E, Turr. Bens. \& 8. ís, éàv] Bk. Turr.-ஸ்oàv 「.- ís, åv Bens.
 Bens. II ímovooúal] $\Gamma$ (corrector), E, Koraes, Bk. Turr. Bl. -





 тov̀s ßapßápous, $\hat{\eta}$ бov̂ סıavoŋ $\theta$ évtos $\sigma v \nu \epsilon i ̂ \pi o \nu . ~$
 $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a i ́ \sigma o \iota ~ \pi \rho о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu)$, oủ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \vec{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ойє $\sigma \theta a \iota$




 $\rho \omega \nu \tau o i ̂{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ou้ $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon ้ \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\varphi} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \pi \rho a \chi \theta \eta-$ $\sigma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.
 $\pi \rho о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu, \kappa а i ̀ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi a \nu \tau a ́ \pi a \sigma \iota \nu ~ \eta ̉ \nu ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \kappa \omega ' s, ~ о \cup ̉ \kappa$ à $\nu \delta \iota$ ' є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda \hat{\eta} \varsigma \delta_{\iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \eta \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi a \rho \omega ̀ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~}^{\text {a }}$ $\pi a \rho \omega ́ \xi ้ v \nu o \nu$ ă $\nu \sigma \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ \pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa a ́ \lambda o v \nu$ є́mì $\tau a ̀ \varsigma \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ таи́тая. $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ผ́s $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu a \iota ~ \pi а \rho а к є \lambda \epsilon v ́ о \mu a i ́ ~ \sigma о \iota ~$







 of H. Wolf, received by Bk. Turr. Bl.- $\begin{gathered}\text { eqat } \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$, E, Bens.$\epsilon^{\prime} \tau \iota$ the other mss. \| ${ }^{\gamma} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ] mss. (except $\Gamma, \mathbf{E}$ ) Turr. (com-



 тoîs "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota, \pi \lambda \eta े \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ бoì $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, Tòv Sè $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \mu e ́ \gamma a \nu ~ \pi \rho o \sigma a \gamma o \rho \epsilon v o ́ ~ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~$








 $\sigma \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \iota, \tau a v ̂ \tau a \quad \nu \hat{v} \nu \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$
 $\sigma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.

 placed in the mss. after úmap $\xi^{\prime} a^{\sigma} \eta \mathrm{s}$ (four lines lower down). Dobree saw this, and Turr. assent, though they leave the vulg. in their text. Bl. makes the transposition in the new edit. of Bens.

## $|\Sigma A| O \Sigma$.

## I. ПEPI TOY $\triangle I K A I O T E N O Y \Sigma ~ K A H P O Y . ~$

[Or. v.-Probably 390 в.c.]

$$
\text { 1. } \S 7-24 .
$$






## ISAEUS.

The British Museum ms., codex Crippsianus $A$, already cited for Antiphon and Andocides, is the best for Isaeus, as it is also for Lycurgus and Deinarchus. Besides $A$, Bekker had five other mss. Three of these five have already been mentioned in relation to Antiphon and Andocides-Laurentianus $B$ (the secondbest for Isaeus), Marcianus L, Vratislaviensis Z. The other two were very inferior,-Ambrosianus A. 99, $P$, and Ambrosianus D. 42, $Q$. Bekker dismissed $P$ after or. 1; he used $L$, which closely resembles $Z$, only in I. and int. : $Q$ contains only I. and II. Thus for or. IV. and the eight following orations he consulted only three mss., $-A, B, Z$. G. F. Schömann had no further apparatus for his edition (1831), except a Paris ms., $R$, which had been collated for him in part of or. I. He had, however, examined the Aldine much more carefully than Bekker, and not without some gain. Schömann followed Bekker's text as a general rule, but altered his readings in some sixty places, for reasons which he gives either briefly in his critical notes or more fully in his excellent commentary, where his knowledge of Attic law is so fruitfully brought to the illustration of his author. Baiter and Sauppe profited by a new

єimeî̀ ádíкшs $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{a} \chi \theta a \iota$, $\pi \rho i \nu \quad \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \eta \sigma a ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma$
 тобì $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i s ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ M e ́ \lambda a \nu o s ~ t o ̂ ~ A i \gamma v \pi \tau i o v, ~ ̣ ̂ ̉ \pi \epsilon \rho ~$

 тov̂ $\theta \in i ́ o v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \eta ं \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v . ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ S ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ \nu ~ \mu a i ̀ v e \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 8 ~$






 $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$, oì $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \sigma v \mu \phi o p a ̀ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ́ \xi o v \sigma i a \nu$
collation of $A$, and of Burneianus $96, M$, which Dobson had given in vol. 4 of his edition (1828). C. Scheibe, in the Teubner Isaeus (1860), made it his special aim to complete the work of predecessors by restoring the distinctively Attic forms of words. The mss. of Isaeus have some forms which can be at once rejected, such as ovं $\theta \epsilon i s, ~ \epsilon ' \phi \dot{\sigma} \rho \in \sigma a \nu$, etc., but they have also some others on which critics are divided. Scheibe performed a delicate task with much tact and judgment. Thus he corrected

 for jeoiautv, etc. On the other hand he followed the consent of the Isaean mss. in retaining such forms as $\Delta$ cкalo $\begin{gathered}\text { év } \eta \nu \\ \nu\end{gathered}$
 to the mss. in cases where the question might be considered open, as when he refrained from altering El $\quad \eta \theta$ vias into El Dvías, фрárepes into $\phi \rho$ átopes. On two, at least, of these points the mss. are supported by epigraphic evidence coeval with Isaeus. In Attic inscriptions of the 4th century B.C., proper names in $-\eta$ s form the acc. regularly in - $\eta \nu$, rarely in $-\eta$ : and from фрátทр we have regularly фрátєра, фрáтєрєs, фрarє́ $\rho \omega \nu$, фра́тєрбt, фра́тєраs (ср. Meisterbans, Gramm. der Attischen Inuchriften, pp. 58, 63, 1885).

Schom. = Schomann, Sichb. = Scheibe. As before, Bk. = Bekker's Berlin text, Turr. - Baiter and Sauppe.


 бтaí. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu \tau a ̀$ oै $\nu \tau a$ каì $\gamma \grave{\rho} \rho$ ó татウ̀ $\rho$ ои $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\varrho}$












 $\kappa a i ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa а \theta^{\prime}$



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \in i ̂ o s ~ к а i ̀ ~ o ́ ~ \pi a ́ \pi \pi т о \varsigma ~ a u ̛ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ้ \delta \omega к \epsilon \nu, ~$ 11 aưtòs ảфєíגєтo $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \delta i ́ k \eta \varsigma . ~ к а і ̀ ~ o ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \iota-~$
§ 9. * ${ }^{*}$ Dıкato $\begin{gathered}\text { év } \eta s] ~ \\ a\end{gathered}$ Reiske conject., editors: $\hat{\eta}$ mss.
 by Reiske. § 10. тоút $\left.{ }^{*}{ }^{*} \gamma_{\epsilon}\right] \gamma \epsilon$ Dobree conject. - тоút $\omega \nu$ тє mss. Bk. Schöm. Turr.-тои́t由v тоє Schb, on his own conject. He had formerly proposed $\tau 0 v v^{\tau} \omega \nu \tau \delta \tau \epsilon$. -Cp. comment.
 o้ขт $\omega \nu$ тои́т $\omega \nu, \pi \rho \iota a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о$ каі̀ катабка́ұая тòv









 $\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau a \pi \epsilon \pi о$ í $\not \kappa \epsilon \nu$.

 - $\mathrm{K} \eta \phi \iota \sigma \circ \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o s ~ v i o ́ s, ~ a ̀ \nu \epsilon \psi i o ̀ s ~ \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~K} \eta \phi \iota \sigma o \delta o ́ t \varphi$






 smpplied the second tóv. The other possible courses are: (1) as Dobree suggested, to omit the first róv, reading кरิTov
 transpose it, reading кर̂mov $\epsilon \pi$. tò $\pi$ गòs $\tau \hat{\eta}$ aùt. oikiq̣. But from a palaeographical puint of view it is more probable that a second rob should have dropped out than that the first should here have been interpolated or misplaced. II $\tau \rho \iota \beta$ ívia $]$ tol $\beta \omega \nu$ a Schb., with Cobet: but see comment. § 12. Dıкaloy(mp] so Aldine, Schöm. Schb. (and in § 33).- Dixaioyév $A$ (and in 8 33, contrary to the otherwise uniform preference of the Isacan mss. for the accus. in - $\eta \nu)$, Bk. Turr., though Sauppe


 тòv vimè $\rho$ ì $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a i$ vitè $\rho$ avitov̂ $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau a, ~ \grave{a}$






 [MAPTYPEE.]
14

 àфєєis $\gamma$ àp тoùs $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o \delta o v ́ s, ~ \grave{\nu}$







 $\sigma a \nu, \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}, \hat{\eta} \delta^{\gamma}$ v" $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu, \kappa a i ̀ \kappa a \tau a ̀$




 Dobree. \| $\left.\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a \iota \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}, \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \dot{u} \sigma \tau \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu\right]$ Turr. and Schb. wish to place $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ either immediately before or immediately after



















 $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀$ ठ̀̀ $\Lambda \epsilon \omega \chi a ́ \rho o u s ~ a ̀ \nu \tau a \pi о \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v, ~ є ้ \gamma \nu \omega-~$ баע тà $\psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta} \mu а \rho \tau \cup \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ \Lambda \epsilon \omega \chi a ́ \rho \eta \nu ~ o i ~ \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau a i ́ . ~$













 19 'H $\mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \varsigma ~ \tau o i ̀ \nu \nu \nu ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \pi a Ө o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ \Lambda \epsilon \omega \chi a ́ \rho o v s, ~$


 $\lambda a ́ \chi \theta a \iota$. тоьои̂тоь ठє̀ $\gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \Lambda \epsilon \omega \chi \alpha ́ \rho \eta \nu$






 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ́ \nu v \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi а \nu \omega ̂ s ~ \psi \in u ́ \delta o \nu \tau a \iota, ~ \mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s ~ v i \mu i ̂ \nu ~$ $\pi а \rho є \chi о ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ тоѝs таро́ขтая, о̀тє $\Delta \iota к а \iota о \gamma є ́ v \eta \varsigma ~ \mu є ̀ \nu ~$






§ 18. < $\dot{\omega} s>\dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda б \gamma \epsilon \iota{ }^{*} \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$. I thus correct the reading of the mss., каi $\dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \iota$ тоь $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \nu$. I believe that ís dropped out after oûros, and that kal was then
 $\sigma \epsilon \tau \nu$. Scheibe deletes $\dot{\omega} \mu 0 \lambda 6 \gamma \epsilon \iota,-\mathrm{a}$ drastic remedy; Sauppe would either (a) delete кal $\dot{\omega} \mu 0 \lambda 6 \gamma \epsilon \iota$, or (b) change каi to








 тà $\mu$ є́ $\rho \eta$ àтоסои̂עal. ठıà тav̂тa үàp каì тov̀s é $\gamma-22$


 $\kappa є к о \mu і \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’$ оi тарà тои́тои $Ө \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~$
 öф $\lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ бі́кая. каі үа̀р Мєкішуа, кєлєи́оутоя











 kal before molñєוע: but in this nemative sentence it is less missed than it would be in an affirmative statement, such as that in \& 18. \| $\delta \in \delta \neq \mu \in \nu]$ Cohet, Schb. - $\delta \in \delta i a \mu \epsilon \nu$ mss. Bk. Schimm. Turr. M Mniwla] Reiske, Schiim. Turr.








## 2. $\S \S 39 — 47$.

















§ 24. [ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau a \tau a ̀{ }^{\prime} \mu \notin \eta$ ] Dobree rightly condemned these words as interpolated, and Turr. assent, though they keep them in the text. Schb. omits them.
2. § 39. $\mu \eta \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho a \tau \grave{\eta}_{\nu}{ }^{*}$ aùvov̂] for aủrov̂ I read aúroû. Schb., on his own conject., gives $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho a ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau$ roútov.-Turr. propose to omit $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ : if this were done, aúrô would be the adverb, 'there.' \|| Eiletovias] Ein $\eta \theta$ vias mss.,-a form which occurs as a variant in Theocr. 17. 60, but which seems very questionable. Turr. and Schb. retain it here, though with hesitation.









 $\kappa є \kappa о \sigma \mu \eta ं \kappa а \sigma \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ i \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu . ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi a \tau \rho i ́-~$















 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda ’$ ov̉ס̀̀ $\tau \dot{a}$ à $\nu a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a, \hat{a}$ Mevé $\xi \in \nu o s ~ \tau \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a-$

[^8]







 $\sigma a \varsigma ; \hat{\eta} \omega \varsigma \tau \rho ı \eta \rho a \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀$ какà тov̀s $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v \varsigma$





 $\mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \tau о i ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o \iota \varsigma, \sigma \grave{v} \delta \epsilon ́, \omega ̉ \Delta \iota \kappa a \iota o ́ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \varsigma, \pi о \lambda i ́ \tau \eta \varsigma$










vulg. Bk. Schöm. Turr. § 44. $\epsilon$ is $\tau i \eta \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu]$ eis $\pi \delta \lambda_{\iota \nu} A$, Schb. §46. 'O $\lambda \hat{v} \nu \theta \iota o \iota]$ Schöm. would read Kopiv $\theta \iota o \iota$. I have defended the vulg. 'Oגúvөıo in the Attic Orators, II. 351. Cp. comment.

 $\gamma \in \nu \in \varsigma$ ．

## II．ПEPI TOY AГNIOY KAHPOY． ［Or．xi．－359 в．с．］

$$
\S \S 1-19 .
$$

## NOMOI．





 $\pi \epsilon \pi о і ́ \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu i a \nu$ ，à $\nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ó $\mu о \pi a ́ \tau о \rho є \varsigma^{\circ}$



 àvє廿しoîs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ a ̀ \nu \epsilon \psi \iota \omega \nu ~ \pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu$.







II．\＆1．NOMOI］added by Turr．Schb．§ 2．$\mu \epsilon \chi \rho c$ àve $\psi(\hat{\omega} \nu] \mu$ x $\rho \iota$ Turr．Schb．（and below，$\$ 11,12$ ）．In § $11 M$ （1st hand）has $\mu$ éxp（Dobson）．－$\mu$ expts valg．Bk．Schim．If





 $\epsilon i \pi a ́ \tau \omega$ ö т८ ó $\pi a i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota ~ \tau о ข \tau \omega \nu \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́-$ $\nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ тòv к $\lambda \hat{\eta} \rho о \nu \kappa а \tau a \lambda \iota \pi o ́ \nu \tau \iota \cdot \kappa \ddot{\nu} \phi \quad \phi \nu \hat{\eta} \kappa а \tau \alpha ́$


 $\sigma v \kappa о ф a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\varsigma} \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \xi a \pi a \tau \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ .-~$. $\mu o v s ~ \zeta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ; ~ a ̉ \nu a \beta ı \beta a \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ o v ̉ \nu ~ a v ่ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \nu a \nu \tau i ́ o \nu ~$



 סıaßá入入є七ข каì тov̀s עó $\mu о \cup \varsigma ~ \delta \iota a \sigma \tau \rho e ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ \sigma u ̀ ~ \delta ' ~$ à $\nu a \gamma і{ }^{\gamma} \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon$ ．［NOMOI．］


 тро̀s татрós；тí тои́т $\omega \nu$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ òvo $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，oîs ó






 $\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa о \iota]$ Bk．Turr．－à $\pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \in \nu$ Schöm．Schb．—дд $\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$


 тоутоıбí.



















 т $\bar{s} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu i a s$.



A: д̀v $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta$ ккє $B, Z$. See comment. \& 6. $\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$
 d $\nu$ iniorevero] Schb. umits à $\nu$, with Dobree and Cobet; Buiter,















 $\lambda i ́ \delta o v ~ \theta v \gamma a ́ \tau \eta \rho ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho a \tau \tau o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\lambda a \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$ то̂̂ к入ウ่роv каì $\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \nu \iota \kappa \eta ́ \sigma a \sigma a ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~}$

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̀ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ o u ̛ \kappa ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \iota \delta \iota \kappa \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$, öт८ oủס̀̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$







too, approves: but see comment. § 9. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aú $\tau o \hat{u}] \pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ aúvoû Schb., on Baiter's conject. § 10. * $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ rpòs





 à $\nu \in \psi \iota o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ a ̀ \nu є \psi \iota \omega \nu \nu ~ \pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu ~ o ́ \mu o-~$ $\lambda$ дүєîtaı $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu^{*} \epsilon i$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ \varsigma ~ \delta i ̂ \delta \omega \sigma \iota$


 $\pi \alpha i ̂ \partial \omega \nu$, $\tau$ ov̀s $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ к \nu \rho i o u s ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ к а \tau a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau a ́ . ~$.
 є่àv $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \eta ̉ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon \psi \iota \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu$,










Tatpós] Tû̀ Dobree conject., Schöm. Turr. Schb.-тoû $\pi \rho o \grave{s}$

 printing this, approves Reiske's emendation, ci кai tere入en $\eta \kappa$ к's $\dot{\omega}$ E'ju: but, to make this tolerable, we must with Dobree
 emendation ci-in is decidedly better: see comment. Sauppe














14 каì $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\mu o \lambda o \gamma o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ єỉvaı тov̂ $\pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s$ $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ aitıâбӨaí $\mu \epsilon, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ढ̈s $\tau \iota$ є $\epsilon^{\prime \lambda} \eta \phi a$




 $\sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau i a s \ddot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$;







 тov̂ $\pi a \iota \delta o ́ s, ~ o u ̈ \tau \epsilon ~ o i ~ \Sigma \tau \rho a \tau i o v ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s ~ o i ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{Q}$


 тà то̂ $\pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ้ \omega \nu ~ a ́ \rho \pi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \eta ̀ \nu а \nu \tau \iota о и ́ \mu \eta \nu ~$





 тобайтаs $\delta^{\prime}$ àторіая катє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ "o ть àขтьүрá-
 $\kappa \lambda \bar{\eta} p o \nu$ єै $\chi o v \sigma a$ каi oi $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ тò $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ aủtท̂s







 кєLv] This is Schömann's correction of the corrupt reading
 comment. § 16. ס̌кaiws] Dobree and Schb. would omit
 But the vulgate is sound. The word $\delta$ scaicus concedes that there is a legitimate distinction between the daughter of


 $\pi$ aufl Baiter conject. See comment. II $\dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ oloi $\tau \epsilon$ ] Schb. errs in giving $\eta$ 若av olot on his own conject. See comment. § 17.









 тò $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \epsilon i ้ \nu a \iota ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o \nu ~ \kappa а т а \lambda \iota \pi o ́ \nu \tau о \varsigma, ~$






 тíou $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ o i ~ \tau a u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau о и ́ \tau ழ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ к о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu v ̂ \nu$ ả $\xi \iota o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ả $\nu \tau \iota \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ a ̀ ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \omega$

 ő тє ó таîs 'Aүvía тробท́кєє кат’ à $\chi \chi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a \nu, \tau i$

 тà єiрךнéva роцí̧ш.
conject. § 18. єîтa * $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi a ́ \sigma \eta s \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \psi \iota o \hat{*}{ }^{*} \pi \alpha \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i \nu a \iota, ~ к \dot{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon i \nu a s$
 I venture to think that the remedy for the corruption here is the simple change of $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi a s, \ldots \pi a i ̂ \delta a s$ into $\gamma \rho a \psi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta s . . . \pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s$.

 $\pi a i ̂ \partial a s$ being his own conject., and $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma \xi$ as for $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \xi \alpha$ (with a comma instead of a full stop at $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i(a) s)$ Reiske's. Sauppe

 Schöm.

## III. חEPI TOY KIP $\Omega N O \Sigma$ KAHPOY.

[Or. vili.-About 375 в.c.]
§ $1-42$.

 $\tau \rho i \omega \nu$ ả $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu \tau \nu \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ k a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 рои̂бı. тô̂ үàp $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v ~ \pi a ́ \pi т \pi o v ~ K i ́ \rho \omega \nu o s ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~$







 $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оь каї кратойб८* каі̀ то入 $\mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ä $\mu a \mu$ ѐ $\nu$ 入є́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$










 тoùs $\kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ v o u s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi a ́ \gamma \omega \nu, ~ i ̀ \nu a ~ \mu \eta \delta e ̀ v ~ a ̉ \pi o \delta i \delta \hat{~} \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$,

 $\pi a \dot{\nu} \theta^{\prime}$ ن́ $\mu \hat{a} \varsigma ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v a ~ \mu a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$, ǐ $\nu a \quad \mu \eta \delta \in ̀ ̀ \nu$






 $5 \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda o \tau \rho i \omega \nu$. Єै $\sigma \tau \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oủv $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu$,



 $\kappa a i ̀ \pi a \rho ’$ vi $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon v^{\prime} \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu$ ठıкаíшע каі̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota$



 $\theta \hat{\eta} \sigma a i ́ \mu o \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta i ́ k a \iota a . ~$

 $\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \gamma \approx \gamma є \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \kappa о \hat{\eta}$ каì $\mu а \rho \tau \cup ́ \rho \omega \nu$, тà
 $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \sigma \iota \nu$, єै $\tau \iota \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \rho i o \iota s$ à крєі́ттн $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a \rho \tau v-$




 $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \in \iota \nu$.





 $\theta v \gamma a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau \grave{̀} \nu \Delta \iota о к \lambda \epsilon ́ o v s ~ a ̉ \delta є \lambda \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$,









 рià $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, \pi \dot{a} \lambda \iota \nu \quad \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota \iota \hat{\omega}$ ढ่ $\mu \hat{\omega}$ татрі̀ каі $\chi \iota \lambda i ́ a s ~ \delta \rho a \chi \mu \grave{s} \pi \rho о i ̂ \kappa ’ є ̇ \pi \iota \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota$. таvтi 9 ồ̀ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t a ̀ s ~ a i t i a s ~ a ̀ s ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ o u ̛ t o \iota ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota ~$
$\dot{\eta} u i v \eta$ ทे "roúrous] As Schb. saw, the toûtov of the mss. should be
 87. Tîs aúroû $\mu \eta \tau \rho d s$ ] aúroû Baiter, Turr. S'chb.-aúroû 13k. Schōm. || *éttapas] tpláxonta mss. Bk. Schöm. Turr.Dobree conjectured retrapas, suggeating that $\lambda$ ' had been writen by mistake for $\delta^{\prime}$, and so Schb. reads. R(i4ke and Sir W. Jones had already proposed to substitute rpeis for roud-





 $\gamma \eta \mu a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ єै $\lambda a \beta \epsilon$ ，тávтa тav̂тa єỉסévaı тov̀s oiкє́та؟ 10 каì тàs $\theta$ єраттаívas às є̇кєîขos є́кє́ктттт．ßov入ó－

 aủтoîs $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \eta \tau \epsilon \mu \eta े ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota ~ \delta \omega ं \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ én $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi \circ \nu$

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \tau о ⿱ 亠 乂 \tau \omega \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \omega \nu \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ á $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$＂̈ $\sigma a$


 $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \varsigma$ ，тí vímo入єiттєта८ тоîs є̇кєívov $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \hat{\eta}$


 $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu a ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \ell . \quad[M A P T Y P I A]$.
12 ＇$\Upsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau о i ́ \nu v \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ i \delta i ́ a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta \eta \mu о \sigma i ́ a ~ \beta a ́ \sigma a-~$

 $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a i$ тı $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \zeta \eta \tau o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ，ov่ $\chi \rho \eta \hat{\sigma} \theta \epsilon \in \tau a i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



Bk．and Schöm．$\gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \circ \nu$ ．§ 9．ท̈ ox̀］＇malim abesse，＇ Baiter：Schb．brackets them．\｜$\epsilon i \delta \iota \tau \tau o \dot{s}]$ ］ $\boldsymbol{i}$ ，which $A$（1st hand）omits，is bracketed by Schb．§ 11．$\mu \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma a s] ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$




 à $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi \omega \nu$ 入óyoıs $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \rho \tau v \sigma \iota \nu ~ o v ̉ ~$ $\tau \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{a} \xi \iota \omega \prime \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \iota \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\varsigma}, \phi \in \mathcal{v}^{-}$



 poıs $\mu \dot{a} \rho \tau v \sigma \iota ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ่ \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ \Lambda a ß \grave{\epsilon}$ ov̉ע av̉тoîs tav-


Tívas єiкòs єió́vaı тà тa入aıá; $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda о \nu$ öт८ тoùs 14









 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \pi о \lambda \dot{v} \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau о и ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \tau v \sigma \iota \nu$.

- $\theta c \lambda$ rías Baiter conject. § 13. "Toútou סè фeи́yovtos] Turr., on the conject. of A. Voigtlaeuder. - toútous $\delta \dot{\text { é }}$ фeúgovtas mss. Bk. Sohom. Schb. The two latter indicate a lacuna, which Reiske proposed to fill up by inserting emioci\}avtes after $\phi$ eúgov-
 oì toùs фeigovtas, which, as Schom. says (p. 3s(j), 'placere





 ท̀ $\mu \in i ̂ S ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu \in \theta \dot{v} о \mu \in \nu$. кaì ov̉ $\mu$ óvov єỉs тà тоเаv̂та



 ท้̀ $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau$ ' є่кєî̀os $\theta v \sigma i a \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \pi т о v ́ \delta a \zeta \epsilon ~ к a i ~ o u ̛ т \epsilon ~$

 $\nu 0 \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ каì тà iєpà $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \rho о v \rho \gamma \circ \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu \kappa a i ̀{ }^{*} \sigma v \nu$ -

 17 єіко̀s oैута та́ттоу. каітоь єi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ Өvүатрьঠои̂s




nemini potest.' § 15. * $\hat{a}<\mu \alpha \theta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s>\gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon]$ ìva $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ mss., - a solecism. I adopt Dobree's suggestion, that a partic. has fallen out before $\gamma^{\nu} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, and that iva then arose through $a_{i}^{a}$ being connected with the last two letters of eimeiv (EIMEINA). Bekker conjectures ois, which would not account for iva. I| $\left.\pi a i \delta \omega \nu{ }^{*} \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu\right]$ The corrupt $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu$ vit $\omega \nu$ is given by $B, Z, A$ (corrector), Turr. - viécu (without $\pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu) A$ 1st hand.$\pi \alpha ́ \pi \pi o \nu v i e ́ \omega \nu \quad E$, Aldine. - $\pi a ́ \pi \pi o \nu v i \epsilon ́ \epsilon \nu \nu$ Reiske conject., Bk. Schöm. Schb. I accept Sauppe's conjecture $\delta \nu \tau \tau \nu$ as at least restoring the sense, but suspect that the fault really lies deeper. See comment. § 16. ${ }^{*} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau\{\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu]$ Schb. on his own conject., rightly. - $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \in \nu \mathrm{mss}$. Bk.
$\tau a \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ öтı à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \pi a ́ \nu \tau$ ' $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$, àкрıß'́ $\sigma \tau a \tau a \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oí тои̂ $\pi a ́ \pi \pi т$ ои $\theta \in \rho a ́ \pi т о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \imath ै \sigma a \sigma \iota \nu, ~ o u ̀ s ~ * ~ o v ̉ t o s ~ \pi a \rho a-~$


 $\kappa a i ̀ a ̉ \nu a ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota$. [MAPTYPIAI.]






















[^9]







 $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \omega, \kappa \dot{a} \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau$ тovंт $\omega \nu$ тov̀s $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \tau v \rho a s$. [MAPTYPEE.]














 23 каі̀ таиิтa $\Delta \iota o \kappa \lambda \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ a ̉ \kappa о v ́ \sigma a \varsigma ~ o v ̉ \delta \grave{v} \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon i ̂ \pi \epsilon \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀$




$\delta \in \delta \omega \kappa \in ́ v a l, ~ \sigma v \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$ tov̀s $\lambda a \beta o ́ v t a s . ~ \epsilon u ̉ \theta u ̀ s ~ o v ̉ \nu ~$





 * $\epsilon i ้ \sigma \epsilon \iota<\epsilon i \varsigma>\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ оiкià. $\tau a \hat{v} \tau^{3} \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$,



















§ 24. ov่ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ "el̈नє <els> tì̀ olxiav] Bk. conject., Turr. Schb. - oú $\mu \dot{\eta}$ eloins rìv olxlav mss., 13k. in Berlin text, Schom. 8 26. -каi> èкß $\dot{\lambda \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota v] \text { кai, rightly supplied }}$ by Schb., is absent from the mss., and from the texts of






 [MAPTTPEL.]
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a \rho \tau \cup \rho \iota \hat{\nu}$; oỉ $\mu a i ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon . ~ \pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тov̀s $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v-$










 * $\epsilon ้ \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \mu a ̀$ тov̀s $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ ' O \lambda v \mu \pi i o v s ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̀ \nu ~$

Bk. Turr. Schöm. § 27. катєлє $\pi \lambda \eta \kappa \tau о$ ] Z, Schb.-ката$\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \kappa \tau a \iota$ vulg. || $\left.{ }^{*} \mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o s\right]$ Schöm. on his own conject.,
 Due to Stephanus, who wrote $\gamma \rho \dot{\prime} \xi a$, , as do also Bk. Turr.
 Reiske adds $\pi \rho \rho \dot{s} \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ after $\epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$, quoting Dionys. De Isaeo c. 12, Bk.-Schöm. (p. 391) points out that it is uncertain whether Dionys. is there citing our passage, or some other of Isaeus, and that therefore there is no sufficient ground for the


 єivaı עо $\mu i \zeta \omega$ тàs єipך $\mu$ évas.


 öт८ oủк є่ $\gamma \gamma \nu \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ тท̂s à $\gamma \chi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \epsilon i \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ o i ~ \mu \epsilon \tau '$
 үáp; oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o ̀ \nu о \mu a ́ \zeta о \nu \tau a \iota ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ̂ s, ~ o i ~ \delta ' ~$












 $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \chi \rho \eta \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$.
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \kappa а \kappa \omega ं \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o ́ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu . ~ \epsilon i ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$











 $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} \varsigma ;$ ovं $\delta a \mu \hat{\omega} \varsigma \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma o v \theta \in \nu$.

Прòs čva $\delta$ è $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \sigma-$













 тท̂ऽ какผ́бє




 S'chb.- $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma$ boous mss. Bk. Schöm. § 34. * tav̂ta fǐขєтal] taûta Dobree and Schöm. (p. 395) conject., Turr.








 $\lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \nu, \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \tau a ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau a$ oi $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ oí Kípulos є̇тє-










 aıs каї кодакєіаия, є̈шऽ äтаута тà є̇кєívov $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ е́-






Schb.- тå入入а mня. Bk. Schöm. (in text). § Зе. києiv] Turr. Schb.-кúew mss. Bk. Schiöm. § a7. тd́ "тє фауєрd̀] re Sauppe, Turr. Schb. - тá үє фavepà mss. Bk. Schöm.


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о и ̂ \tau о \nu ~ o ́ \mu о \lambda о \gamma \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi a ́ \pi \pi т о \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu а т а ~ к а т а-~$


 $\mu а \rho т и ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \grave{\kappa} \kappa о$ v́ $\sigma a \tau \epsilon \mu а \rho \tau v \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, a ̉ \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi \in ́ \nu a \iota$

















 ov̉ $\delta^{\prime} \nu$, ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ó $\mu о i ́ \omega \varsigma ~ \kappa a ̉ \gamma \omega ́ . ~$




 $a ̉ \phi ’ \dot{\eta} \varsigma ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ s, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho i ́ a \nu, ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi o \iota \eta \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ \delta \iota a \theta \eta ́ \kappa \eta \nu$. * тoî̀ $\delta ’$ á $\delta \epsilon \lambda-41$












 $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s$.
 his own conject. \|\| *€ $\chi \epsilon]$ Baiter, Turr. Schb. $-\epsilon\lceil\chi \in$ mss. Bk.- toxe Bk. conject., Schöm. § 41. *Toîv] taî mss. and edd. But Attic inscriptions of the 5th and 4th centuries b.c. always have roiv as the fem., never rail. (Meisterhans, p. 50.) || " $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon]$ Sauppe, Turr. Schb.- $\delta \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon$ mss. Bk. Schöm.

 aira and rejecting $\chi$ cpia as a gloss. But then we should write $\phi \in \lambda \lambda i a$ (Xen. Cyn. 5. 18) : there is no adj. $\phi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ós. Schömann rejected arta as well as $\chi \omega p i a$, taking $\phi \in \lambda \lambda \in \in a$ as acc. sing. of $\phi e \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ús: so, too, Turr. and Schb. See cumment.

## NOTES.

## ANTIPHON.

Antiphon: 480-411 b.c. Approximate period of extant work, 421-411 b.c. Life in Attic Orators, 1. 1-17.

Style. Antiphon, the earliest forensic speech-writer ( loro- $^{\text {o }}$ rpdфos), represents that early style of Attic prose composition which Dionysius (de comp.verl. 22-24) calls the 'austere' or 'rugged' (av̇oтn $\dot{\alpha}$ áprovia), as distinguished from the 'smooth' ( $\gamma \lambda a \phi u \rho a$ ) of Isokrates, and the 'middle' ( $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta$ ) of Demosthenes. Its leading characteristics are (1) dignity: 'the movement of the whole is to be slow and majestic, impressing by its weight and grandeur, not charming by its life and flow': (2) reliance on contrasts of single words : e.g. $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau a i-\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma r a i-$ סо弓абтаi-крıтаi, Antiph. De Caed. Her. § 94 : (3) bold, but
 $\chi$ Өं்oнal, Tetr. 11. B § 10: (4) sparing use of the figures of thought' ${ }^{\prime}, \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ ocavoias, -as irony, rhetorical question, etc.: see Attic Oratorx, 1. 29 : (5) predominance of direct appeals to feeling (pathos) over subtle expression of character (êthos): (6) in the structure of sentences, the transition from a 'continuous' style, $\lambda$ ' $\xi$ cs $\epsilon \rho \rho o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$, in which clanses are simply strung together, to a periodic style, $\lambda \epsilon \xi \iota s$ кatє $\sigma \tau p a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$.

Thncydides belongs to the same stage of Attic prose as Antiphon, differing from him principally in (1) a more pregeant brevity: (2) a tendency to peculiar arrangements of words, caused by desire of emphasis on the key-note of the thought:

 bring a greater number of clauses within the compass of a single sentence, in order that the whole of a complex thought muy be ween at one view.-Sice Altic ()rutors, 1. 18-44. On Antiphon's Works in general, il, 45-70.
I. TETPAOIIA B. $\beta$. The Tetralogies have this special interest, that they represent rhetoric in its transition from the technical to the practical stage, from the schools to the lawcourts and the ecclesia. Antiphon stood between the sophists who preceded and the orators who followed him as the first Athenian who was at once a theorist of rhetoric and a master of practical eloquence. The Tetralogies hold a corresponding place between merely ornamental exercises and real orations. Each of them forms a set of four speeches, supposed to be spoken in a trial for homicide. The accuser states his charge, and the defendant replies; the accuser then speaks again, and the defendant follows with a second reply. The imaginary case is in each instance sketched as lightly as possible ; details are dispensed with; only the essential frame-work for discussion is supplied. Hence, in these skeleton-speeches, the structure and anatomy of the argument stand forth in naked clearness, stripped of everything accidental, and showing in bold relief the organic lines of a rhetorical pleader's thought.Attic Orators, I. 45 f . The Tetralogies are distinguished by their practical character from the 'displays' of the sophists as well as from the 'declamations' of the Augustan age.

The subject of the Second Tetralogy is the death of a boy accidentally struck by a javelin while watching a youth practising at the gymnasium. The boy's father accuses the youth-whose father defends him-of accidental homicide; and the case comes before the court of the Palladion. In order to understand the issues raised, it is necessary to keep in mind the Greek view of accidental homicide. This view was mainly a religious one. The death was a pollution. Some person, or thing, must be answerable for that pollution, and must be banished from the State, which would else remain defiled. In a case like the supposed one, three hypotheses were possible:-that the cause of the impurity had been the thrower, the person struck, or the missile. There was a special court-that held at the Prutaneion--for the trial of inanimate things which had caused death. Here, however, the question is only of living agents. The judges have nothing whatever to do with the question as to how far either was morally to blame. The question is simply which of them is to be considered as, in fact, the author or cause of the death.

The accuser's first speech consists only of a few sentences, in which he says that the facts admit of no doubt. A homicide has been committed, though an involuntary one. The penalty demanded is the banishment of the accused, in order that Athens may not be polluted by his presence ( $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \rho \rho \hat{a} \nu$
 the phrase $\epsilon i \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega}^{\prime} \nu \dot{\circ}$ vóuos $\epsilon i p \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ ib. and $\gamma$. § 11. The
condemned person remained in exile until the relatives of the deceased gave their consent to his return ( ${ }^{*} \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ à ${ }^{2} \nu$ aióé $\sigma \eta \tau a l ~ \tau \iota s$
 § 59, or. xuil. Adv. Macart. § 57) : the usual statement that the term was limited to a year (à $\pi \epsilon \nu(a v \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ ós) needs confirmation, at least for Athens. See K. F. Hermann, Antiq. I. § 104, 11.
 keeps out of law-suits and politics: $\dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\chi} \chi$ cos, the man 'of peaceful dispositicn'. In regard to law-suits, the Athenian áтрá $\gamma \mu \omega \nu$ stood in favourable contrast with the $\sigma v \kappa о ф a^{2} \tau \eta s$, but in politics he was despised: Thuc. in. 40, $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$
 јолеv.



 form '.
§ 2. kal av่тós] 'myself also':-alluding to the accuser's

ákpıß́óotepov] 'with more subtlety'-referring especially to the distinction which he proceeds to draw between $\pi \rho \alpha \kappa т о \rho \in s$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ dкovoitiv and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \theta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ altıo (§ 6). The accuser,

 $\alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon \in \tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$.

 - I ask you to entertain my defence, and not to give your judgment-under the influence of those mischances to which I have referred-on grounds of opinion rather than of fact'.

 rais $\pi$ pocipmuévals rúxals: i.e. 'I beg you not to receive my defence in the spirit-not to view them in the light-of the mischances', etc. The objections are (1) the phrase loa rais... rúxaus, which surely will not yield such a sense: (2) the necessarily favourable sense of $\alpha \pi \sigma^{\circ} \epsilon \xi a \mu \notin v o u s$. I propose,
 mivous: 'I beg you nut to entertain my defence the less favourably on account of the mischances to which I have
 seems to have dropped out.-An alternative remedy would be
to change $\dot{\alpha} \pi<\delta \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ ous for some partic. of opposite meaning ('having rejected'); but neither áжобокє $\mu$ 'б $\sigma \nu \tau a s$ nor $\alpha \pi \omega \sigma \alpha-$ $\mu^{\prime} \nu_{0}$ ous is palaeographically probable.
 who can speak. Eur. Alc. 57, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ é $\chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, ~ Ф о i ̂ \beta \epsilon, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$ $\nu 6 \mu o \nu \tau i \theta \eta s$, 'for the rich'-in their interest.
§ 3. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha{ }^{2} \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu$ тov́ $\omega \omega \nu$ ] 'The result has completely
 genitive $\tau$ oú $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ depending on the idea of contrariety implied
 тоút $\omega \nu$.
$\mu$ єьра́кьov] usu. denotes the age from about 14 to 18 : $\pi$ aîs is younger. Xen. Symp. Iv. 17, $ّ \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \pi a$ is $\gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau a \iota \kappa a \lambda$ ós,

 essence of $u ̋ \beta \rho \iota s$ is that it indulges the sense of power by humiliating another: $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \lambda а \sigma i \alpha ~ d o e s ~ w h a t ~ i t ~ l i k e s ~ a t ~ t h e ~ m o m e n t, ~$ without thinking of others. Aristotle distinguishes three $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \delta \eta$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \rho є a \sigma \mu \dot{s}$ (active spite), v̈ßpıs, outrage (Rhet. II. 2), -the point of è $\pi \eta \rho \in a \sigma \mu o ́ s$ being that it vexes the other, and of $u \beta \rho \rho s$ that it dishonours him. Arist. makes $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \lambda a \sigma i a$ the $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta$ о $\grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu a ̀ s ~ к a i ~ \lambda u ́ \pi a s,-$ the $\mu \in \sigma o ́ \tau \eta s$ being $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \sigma \sigma u ́ v \eta$. The accuser, in his reply, attributes $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \lambda a \sigma i a$ to the youth ( $\gamma . \S 6$ ).
3 ढv $\tau \hat{\omega} \gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma(\omega)$ The $\pi \alpha \lambda a l \sigma \tau \rho a$, the school of wrestling and boxing, is often mentioned in connection with the $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma-$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i o \nu$ as a place of training for boys: cp. Theophr. Char. vir. The $\gamma \nu \mu \nu a \dot{\sigma} \iota \nu$ was a more general resort, including grounds for running and archery, baths, and (as here) a range for javelin practice. Plato recommends that a boy should begin at six years of age to learn riding, and the use of the bow, javelin and sling: 'letters' he postpones to the age of ten (Legg. Vir. 794 c ).
d̉kovoious aitias] 'charges arising from an involuntary act'. A harsh phrase: but the conj. àoooious seems weak.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ фovev̂ctv €โvaı] Notice the simple $\mu \dot{\eta}$, where $\mu \dot{\eta}$ oú would be regular. Cp. Soph. Phil. 349, oú $\pi$ odiv $\mid \chi \rho \delta \nu o \nu ~ \mu ' ~$ $\epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \chi \circ \nu \mu \eta \eta^{\mu \epsilon} \nu a v \sigma \tau o \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \chi \dot{v}$. So Trach. 226. This is more frequent with $\tau \grave{\mu} \mu$ й, e.g. O. T. 1387: Xen. Cyr. v. 1. 25, $\tau i s$


 $\sigma \kappa \circ \pi o u ̂ ~ \tau v \chi \epsilon i ̂ v$.
§ 5. єไสยр...ároodav(uv] 'As to the boy, if it has been proved to you that he was not struck while he stood still ( $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\omega} s$ ), it is still more manifest that, since he came of his own accord into the course of the javelin, he was killed through his own error'. $\mu \eta$ ' and not oú before $\beta \lambda \eta \theta$ eis because it depends on $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \rho$ : but ou would have been admissible here, owing to the emphasis on the negative fact. Cp. on De Caed. Her. § 14.

 So we might have, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s \delta_{\hat{\eta}} \lambda \delta s{ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$, 'it is clear that he erred by carelessness'. The argument is: 'If he voluntarily left a safe place, he alone is answerable for the consequences'. In $\epsilon_{\tau} \tau \iota \sigma a \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \omega$ s $^{\prime}$ the comparative is merely rhetorical:-It must be, if possible, more obvious still, etc. Note the alternative forms $\sigma a \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \mathrm{~s}, \sigma a \phi \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu(\S)$ : cp . Isocr. Panegyr. § 193.

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \nu \circ \hat{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma$, failing in the designs which they may have formed. But to this $\tau \iota \delta \rho a ̂ \sigma a l$ is added, as if, instead of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} o \nu \tau \epsilon s$, such a word as $\kappa \omega \lambda \cup \theta \in \nu \tau \epsilon s$ had preceded: failing to execute some part of their designs. The redundancy was suggested by the antithesis between planning and doing: cp. Thuc. v. 13, oúк



 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a \chi \theta \in \nu$, к.т. $\lambda . \quad G o o d w i n$ § 110. 2.
${ }^{\ell} \xi \in \mu \in \lambda$ '́тa] 'was carefully practising'. Plat. Hipp. mai. 286 D,


ev $\gamma$ үuцvayoúvors] i.e. not among those who were practising athletic exercises (where the risk of an accident would have been greater), but 'in the rank' ( $\tau \alpha \xi(s)$ of the javelin-throwers, who had a special part of the gymnasium reserved for them.

 taken vengeance': but in Thne. vir. 77 it is passive, ámo-



 pair of compounds is incorrect: the meaning is, oúk $\overline{6} \pi$ r-
 is assimilated to that of the second. Cp. Thuc. 1. 142 \& 2,
 is a counter－fortress to theirs＇，as Shilleto takes it：the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ in $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \tau$ ．losing its proper force（＇on hostile soil＇），and being merely in rhetorical symmetry with $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \epsilon i \chi \iota \sigma \iota s .-\eta \hat{\eta} \omega \hat{\nu}]=\tau \sigma \hat{v} \delta \epsilon$ $\mu \dot{̀} \nu$ тoû $\nu \eta \pi i o v, ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu o \hat{v}$ §è $\tau o u ̂ ~ \gamma \eta p a \iota o ̂ ̂, ~ § ~ 11 . ~ M o r e ~ b o l d l y ~ b e l o w, ~$ § 9，山́s фоעє́a $\mu \epsilon \delta \iota \dot{\delta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$ ．

今9．$\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ áкovoics］＇not even involuntarily＇：i．e．the boy himself was aïtcos rov̂ $\pi a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o s, ~ § 6$.
 by the speaker＇s thought passing，as the sentence goes on， from the charge of which the son is really innocent to the consequences for both father and son，if he is condemned．
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ è $\pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha \alpha^{\tau} \omega \nu$ ］＇our aims in life＇．Cp．§ 3，rav̂тa
 a practice founded on a principle，Thuc．I．32， 37.
oûtós $\tau \in \gamma$ áp］［I say we，］for both he，etc．
 is better to take it，both with $\delta \alpha \alpha \phi \theta \circ \rho \hat{a}$ and with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \delta i a$, as denoting the condition．＇If he is to perish＇．．．＇if I am to be left childless＇．
$\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu . . . \kappa \alpha \tau о \rho v x \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \mu \alpha \iota]$ The accuser，in his second speech，
 aư่oû．This is characteristic of the Tetralogies（cp． $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ．§ 2 and
 pertories of points and topics，not examples of finished form．
§ 11．रךpaiov̂］A poetical word，used by Herod．；also once by Thuc．vi．54，Пєєб८бтрárov．．．$\gamma \eta \rho a \iota o \hat{u} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \nu \tau o s:$ but not in later Attic prose．

 to carry it．）

II．TETPAヘOГIA $\Gamma$ ．a．－An elderly man having died of blows received in a quarrel，the youth who had dealt them is accused of murder before the Areiopagus．The accuser＇s first address expresses in a striking form the religious view of homicide as a defilement．See Attic Orators，I． 55.


 ă $\phi \theta 0 \nu 0 \nu$ ．
§ 3．$\tau \hat{\omega} v \dot{d} \lambda \iota \tau \eta p(\omega v]$＇avenging spirits＇．The gods under whose protection the murdered person stood，and to whom his

（§ 4）－become $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \tau \eta \dot{n} \iota \circ$ ，punishers of $\sin$ ，in relation to the
 a genit．of the god offended or the place polluted（e．g．$\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ， ＇E入入ádos）：for the double meaning，cp．à $\alpha^{\sigma} \sigma \tau \omega \rho, \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega \rho$, тробтро́таєоя，ג̀фіктшр，тробіктшр．
ov่ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\xi} \kappa \circ v]$＇gratuitous＇：strictly＇alien＇，－not arising 7 from their oun sin．From another point of view，＇self－ inflicted＇woes are oiкeîa $\pi$ á $\theta \eta$ ，Soph．Ai． 260.
 B＇s satisfaction：（2）$\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \varepsilon i ̄ \sigma \theta a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \alpha ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \iota$ ，to revenge oneself on A for wronging B．The accus is more often omitted with $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon i v$, the dat．with $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \varepsilon i \bar{\sigma} \theta \alpha l$.
roîs é $\pi เ \tau เ \mu l o t s]$ A word of poetical cast，like many which the oller prose writers used：see Attic Orators，1．20．Soph． El．1382，тáлıтiцца $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \delta v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i a s . ~ L y c u r g . ~ I n ~ L e o c r . ~ § ~ 4, ~$

 offence also＇．$\dot{v} \pi a i t c o s$ is not＇accessory＇，like $\mu \in \tau a i t c o s$ or тараitios opposed to $\pi$ avaitcos，but＇under＇，＇liable to，aitia＇．

 privileges of elders＇，we can only render＇the institutions of our ancestors＇．But it may be suspected that the text is corrupt，and that the tò $\gamma \in \rho a t o \delta \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ of $N$ points to this． The contention is that he would in any case have been guilty of homicide；but that the offence has been aggravated by violence to a man so much older than himself．After Todv $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \rho a i o ́ t \epsilon \rho o \nu$, tú $\psi a s$ or a similar participle may have fallen out．
árapteiv］＇to miss＇：Andoc．De Myst．§ 20，סvoî̀ toîv $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau o \iota \nu$ какоî̀ oủk $\eta^{\nu} \nu$ aút $\hat{\psi}$ à $\mu a \rho \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~ C p . ~ \delta ı к а \iota o u ̂ \sigma \theta a l, ~ ' t o ~$ get one＇s due＇，to be punished，Thue．III． 40.

87．тเцшрєí0ar］Middle，＇to punish＇．Cp．Tetr．Г．$\delta$.



Tìv Bouncv́caarav 廿uxทiv］Cp．Andoc．De Reditu § 24， where he argues that not his $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ but his $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \eta$ was answer－
 $\pi a \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \in \nu$ ．Here，as there，the sinning mind is personified． In Thuc．$\psi \cup x \eta$ is nearly always＇the breath of life＇in a
 eivivóraто，of moral courage．

III．HEPI TOY HP $\triangle \triangle O Y$ ФONOY］Herodes，an Athe－ 8 nian citizen，had settled at Mytilene in 424 s．c．，after the
revolt and reduction of that town. He was one of the cleruchs among whom its territory was apportioned, but not otherwise wealthy. Having occasion to make a voyage to Aenos on the coast of Thrace, to receive the ransom of some Thracian captives who were in his hands, he sailed from Mytilene with the accused,-a young man whose father, a citizen of Mytilene, lived chiefly at Aenos. Herodes and his companion were driven by a storm to put in at Methymna on the north-west coast of Lesbos; and there, as the weather was wet, exchanged their open vessel for another which was decked. After they had been drinking on board together, Herodes went ashore at night, and was never seen again.

The accused, after making every inquiry for him, went on to Aenos in the open vessel; while the decked vessel, into which they had moved at Methymna, returned to Mytilene. On reaching the latter place again, the defendant was charged by the relatives of Herodes with having murdered him at the instigation of Lycînus, an Athenian living at Mytilene, who had been on bad terms with the deceased. They rested their charge principally on three grounds. First, that the sole companion of the missing man must naturally be considered accountable for his disappearance. Secondly, that a slave had confessed under torture to having assisted the defendant in the murder. Thirdly, that on board the vessel which returned from Methymna had been found a letter in which the defendant announced to Lycinus the accomplishment of the murder.

It was necessary that the trial should take place at Athens, whither all subject-allies were compelled to bring their criminal causes. The ordinary course would have been to have laid an indictment for murder ( $\gamma \rho a \phi \geqslant) \phi \dot{\nu} o v$ ) before the Areiopagus. Instead, however, of doing this the relatives of Herodes laid an information against the accused as a 'malefactor' (какоиิрүos). He was accordingly to be tried by an ordinary dikastery under the presidency of the Eleven. 'Malefactor', at Athens, ordinarily meant a thief, a housebreaker, a kidnapper, or criminal of the like class; but the term was, of course, applicable to murder, especially if accompanied by robbery. Date about 417 b.c. See Attic Orators, I. 55 ff.

## 1. Narrative : §§ 1-30.

 were now true, but which is not so: Ar. Ran. 866, $\epsilon^{\beta} \beta$ oùó $\mu \eta \nu$
 Cp. the use of $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota, \omega^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \lambda o \nu$, etc., with infin.: Goodwin § 49. 3.c.

 $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, -the power of self-defence.
§ 2. ov̉ $\mu \mathrm{e} v$ yáp] 'In circumstances where I was forced to suffer personal ill-usage on the charge falsely brought, legal experience did not come to my rescue; and here, when I must save myself by help of the truth, and by a narrative of the facts, I am embarrassed by my incapacity for speaking'. како$\pi a \theta \epsilon i v$, because he had been imprisoned, bail being refused: see § 17 .
 have brought the trial hither'. Here, too, the idea of motion can be elicited from $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \dot{\lambda} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ as $=\dot{\epsilon} \beta o \eta \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$. In Soph. Phil.

 Cp. Pors. on Eur. Hec. 1062.
 they told the truth': $\tau 0 i s$ a $\lambda \eta \theta \in \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \nu$, a somewhat harsh instrumental dative, 'by the truth', $=\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \dot{a} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \nu .-\delta \eta \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha$ aútá, to prove, establish their story, which, though really true, seemed improbable.
 'the actual facts ': $\dot{\eta} \dot{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon a \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, 'the true version' of the story. Cp. Tetr. B. $\beta$. § $2, \dot{\eta} \delta \delta \xi a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$, opposed to $\dot{\eta}$ di $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \in \iota a$.




 prose would usu. have $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}:$ cp. Thuc. Iv. 86, ov́к $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\grave{l}}$ как $\hat{\psi}$,


 rhetorical art . The proposed insertion of $\epsilon \hat{v}$ before eip $\sigma \sigma \theta a$ seserus to weaken the sense. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ ciq, $\delta$ ecudi $\eta \tau t$, instrumental dat., like tois a $\lambda^{\lambda} \eta \theta \in \sigma \omega$ in § 3: by means of, in the strength of.
 he is in peril, so will he be nervous: the second kat ='e'en', 'actually', brionging to '登apapotiv: mov = I suppose', rather than 'at mome proint'. The very fact that a man's life is at atake makes it almost certain that he will commit some indiscretion.
87. סtampásowvtal...óplovaivovs] 'But when they are
seeking（pres．）to effect some object without risks，they are more successful（as speakers）＇．Cp．Soph．Ant．675，т $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ $\delta^{\prime}$＇${ }^{\prime} \rho \theta o v \mu e ́ v \omega \nu$, ，of the prosperous＇．

 dikasts．
10 § B．ov̉ $\uparrow \hat{\omega}$ фєヒ́yєเväv］＇Not on the chance of eluding the judgment of the Athenian people＇．T仑̂ $\phi \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ is a dat．ex－ pressing the motive as a circumstance of the action，like $\epsilon \dot{v} v o i q$ $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \rho a \xi a$ ，I acted with（or from）good will ：as we might say， ＇I do not urge this by way of possibly escaping＇．фєúरctv

 a regular mode of respectful address：so Andoc．Myst．§ 135，тd $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ ．

кãv àvшんótoเs к．т．入．］＇I would commit my life to your decision even if you were bound by no oath，and were to decide on no basis of law＇：каi $\mu \dot{\eta}$ катд̀ $\nu \delta \mu о \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu a$ with


тарагонia］＇illegal conduct＇，often also in a general sense of anti－republican licence；oú $\delta \eta \mu о т \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \pi a \rho a \nu о \mu i a$（of Alcibiades） Thuc．vi． 28.
§ 9．kakoûpyos］On the strength of the information （e้ $\nu \delta \varepsilon \epsilon \xi$ दs какоир Eleven（ $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$, § 9：$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \chi \theta \eta \nu$, § 85）．The same summary procedure（instead of the ordinary $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \grave{\eta} \phi \bar{\partial} \boldsymbol{\nu} v$ ）was taken against the murderers of Phrynichus in 411 b．c．（Lycurg． In Leocr．§ 12）and against Agoratus（Lys．In Agorat．§ 85）．The speaker here seems to have been treated harshly，but not， as he alleges，illegally．
§ 10．中aoi $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ avi］They argue that the general term
 he admits this，but argues that these greater какоирүŋंмата should be tried under the laws special to each．The emenda－
 каi тд if $\rho \circ \sigma v \lambda \epsilon i v$, is needless，and suggests a contrast，which has no point here，between accidental homicide and deliberate murder．
11 द̀v rn̂ ajpopâ］The prosecutor in a case of фóvos gave the accused formal notice（ $\pi \rho \circ \rho \rho \rho \eta \sigma$ ss）to keep away from all public places：see below § 88．Several law－courts were situated in

 ผै $\phi \theta \eta \nu$ ой $\delta \epsilon \pi \dot{\omega} \pi о \tau \epsilon$ ．
§ 11. äтavta rà Sıkaनтŕpıa] viz. (1) the Areiopagus, (2)
 when a man alrealy banished for an accidental homicide is tried anew for murder, (4) $\tau \grave{o} \epsilon^{i} \pi i \quad \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \iota \nu i \psi$, for homicide where justification is pleaded, (5) тò $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ Притаvєí, when an inanimate object had caused death. In the last four courts the éфє́тar judged. Cp. Hermann Antiq. I. § 104.

тои̂to $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Séov $\sigma \epsilon$ ] The apodosis would probably have been
 to the length of the seutence the construction is interrupted, and $\S 12$ begins with $\hat{\alpha}$ (instead of $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a) \sigma \dot{v} \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$.
§ 12. divต́ $\mu$ отos $\mu \dot{\prime} v$ ] The usual $\pi \rho o \omega \mu о \sigma i a$ can scarcely have been dispensed with in cases of $\dot{a} \pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$. The expression is rhetorical: 'you have not taken the solemn oath of the Areiopagus'.
$\alpha \pi т о \mu \dot{v} v o v s$ т $\omega \hat{\nu} \sigma \phi a \gamma[\omega v]$ ' with hand laid upon the sacri-


 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \iota s$ ка日 $\dot{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon \epsilon$.
 the next place'. The accuser says that the accused would have fled, if he had not been arrested. The accused replies that he would have had no motive for secret flight. He could have left Attica openly, either (1) before the proceedings began, in which case jurgment would have gone by default: or (2) after the proceedings had begun, and when he had made his first speech in court,-in which case he would have accepted the penalty of exile.

Loov $\eta^{\eta} \nu \mu \mathrm{O}$ ] 'It was as good for me' not to come: 'I might as well not have come'. Blass inserts elval after j $\phi \lambda$ eiv, rendering a"quum erat licere mihi: but the sense is, 'I might as well have incurred judgment by default'.

тìv $\pi \rho o \tau$ épav] sc. $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ odoyiav: his first speech, in reply to the first speech of the accuser. In a trial which ran its full course, the accuser and the accused would each speak a second

 Tetr. Г. $\delta . \& 1$ by ímaлє $\sigma$ т
814. ápxalotárots] Isocr. Panegyyr. § 40, oi $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ év dapx $\hat{\eta}$
 крíets èmotウбavto тepl aitûv.
$\eta$ ทै oü |oŭ, and not $\mu \eta$, in the second clanse, heranse there is 13 an emphasis on the fact that he does not state the case
correctly．He seeks to lead you into an abstract speculation


§ 15．oúdels $\pi \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \epsilon]$ Grote（v．498）is with those who deny that Ephialtes took away the jurisdiction of the Areio－ pagus in homicide．In Lys．or．1．De Caed．Erat．§ 30，$\hat{\varphi}$
 $\delta \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi$ об́ $\epsilon \delta о \tau a l$ is not＇it has been given back＇，but＇it is assigned＇．
kal tav̂ta $\pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \dot{v}]$ Cp．§ 12 ä $\sigma \dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu, \S 11 \sigma \dot{v} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тои̂то $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．Better here，then，＇and having disregarded these ordinances＇：rather than：＇and，what is more，you have come forward＇，etc．
§ 16．ஹ́s kal тoîs тóтє Sıkaбтaîs，к．т．入．］＇as if，in fact，you meant to dispute the previous verdict＇．oi тóte סккaбтal are the judges who are now trying the case，but who，at the time denoted by $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega \nu$ ，will be＇the former judges＇，whose verdict he will then seek to upset．It seems unnecessary to conjecture тoî $\delta \delta \epsilon$ тoîs or toîs èv $\partial$ á $\delta \epsilon$ ．
$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \boldsymbol{v} \ldots \mu \eta \delta$＇］＇even if I am acquitted（so far from being finally saved）I am not even benefited＇．
 prisoned＇．$\mu \dot{d} \lambda \alpha$ is not＝＇rigorously＇，but merely gives a certain colloquial emphasis，＇I can assure you＇．


 $i \pi \pi \epsilon$ ús，etc．）．The only exception was when the accused was charged with $\pi \rho o \delta o \sigma i a ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \omega s$ or катá入vбוs $\tau o \hat{v} \delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v$ ：and the object，Demosth．says，was to prevent malicious collusion among the $\rho \dot{\rho} \eta \quad \rho \in s$ in the $\beta$ ou入 $\dot{\eta}$ ．
oi $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \operatorname{col} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa$ ．］$=0 \stackrel{\ell}{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa a$ ，by whom the arrest on the

14 é $\mu \mathrm{ol}$ นóvఱ é $\pi$ ย́ $\lambda\llcorner\pi \epsilon]$＇failed in my case only＇．The simple dat．gives this sense without the addition of $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$ proposed by Reiske．Baiter，needlessly，${ }^{\prime} \nu \dot{\nu} \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon$ ．
§ 18．Tov́s $\tau \in \phi\left(\lambda / v_{s}\right]$ Cp．Dem．In Timocr．§ 145，＂עva $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta i \grave{\alpha}$
 párкєvot єlev：where $\chi \in i \rho o \nu$, ＇at greater disadvantage＇，means that the disgrace of their imprisonment would estrange their supporters．
§ 19．$\pi \circ \lambda \lambda_{0}$ îs é $\lambda a \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \in i$ S，к．т．${ }^{\text {．］}] ~ ' p l a c e d ~ a t ~ a ~ d i s a d-~}$ vantage in respect to many points of your law and of justice＇： because he had been accused as a какои̂pros，and bail had been
 less than our due＇，Thuc．I． 77 ：Dem．De Cor．§ 3，$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 $\theta \epsilon$ is is Dobree＇s correction（supported by $N$ ）of the vulgate $\sigma \omega \theta \epsilon i s \alpha \nu$ ，which could only mean，＇when I might（justly）be acquitted＇，＇though entitled to acquittal＇，＝ö $\tau \epsilon \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon i \eta \nu$ áp（ $\epsilon$ l

 tically possible，$\sigma \omega \theta \varepsilon i s a ̆ \nu$ ill suits the context．
§ 20．A ${ }^{2}$ ．vov］a town on the coast of Thrace，on the pro－ montory s．e．of the lake Stentoris at the mouth of the Hebrus： about 100 miles n．of Lesbos．It is named by Thuc．vir． 57 as one of three Aeolic places（Methymna and Tenedos being the others）which helped Athens against Sicily．

Opą $\left.{ }^{(\nu} \nu\right]$ Her．v． 6 says of the Thracians，$\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu a$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\dot{*}} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \alpha^{\gamma} \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}$（as slaves）．Ө $\rho \hat{q} \tau \tau a$ ，a Thracian $\delta$ oú $\eta$ ，Theoph． Char．xxvili．
§ 21．$\dot{\eta} . . . \pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma เ s]$ the（real）occasion：cp．Thuc．1．23， 15 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu \pi \rho \delta \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$ ．But below § $26 \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{\phi} \phi a \sigma \iota s$ is the （false）pretext．$\pi \rho \delta \delta \phi a \sigma \iota s$ is a cause alleged－truly or un－ truly．

Tท̂s M MOupvalas］M $\eta \theta \dot{u} \mu \nu \eta$（Molivo）on N．w．coast of Lesbos．Thuc．irr．2，$\Lambda \in \in \sigma \beta o s \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{M} \eta \theta \dot{v} \mu \nu \eta s \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ ．The land of Methymna was not confiscated by Athens after the revolt （iir．50）：and in vii． 57 its people are $\phi \dot{\rho} \psi$ oú $\chi$ ún $\dot{\eta} \kappa o o t-a n$ exemption shared in 415 b．c．only by Chios（vi．85）．
［tòv＇H ${ }^{\circ} \omega \dot{\delta} \eta \nu$ ］A manifest gloss，which a comma after aưтòv fails to make tolerable．

§ 22．Eyiyvero］＇This，too，followed（imperf．）of necessity＇： but＇̇＇ध̇ยєтo，＇took place＇，－of the same thing viewed，not as a consequence，but as an independent occurrence at a given moment in past time．

тaût＇］＇all this＇：the $\mu \epsilon \tau \in \in \kappa \beta a \sigma$ เs and its circumstances．
823．ที kal vंт＇＇ן⿴ovi］The kal is redundant，and can be 16 expressed only if we say＇by me on my part＇：but the Greek love of balanced contrast borrows it from the form，＇j乡⿰讠
 O．C．53，Ant． 927.
els te tiv Mit．］The te after els corresponds with the kai before átiow（＇both－and＇，i．e．＇not only－but＇）：the clause
 the cause of a messenger being sent，－that is，it was on my
suggestion that it was proposed to send him (imperf.),-but' etc.
§ 24. 'ौ̇є

$\pi \lambda 0$ м̂s...' $\gamma$ ( $\gamma v \in \tau \circ$ ] 'The weather favoured our voyage':

§ 25. ' 'ส $\pi \in \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{\mu} v \nu]$ ' was still in the country'-i.e. in Lesbos, before he had sailed for the coast of Thrace. Reiske wrongly, 'Athenis adhuc versabar --supposing the speaker to have gone there from Aenos, before returning to Lesbos.
 $\eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \sigma \theta \eta$ : he had certainly been killed on land, they said, but how the budy had disappeared, they could not tell.
17 äv ésúvaтo] The imperf. with ă $\frac{1}{}$ might mean either (1) he would not now be able [as in fact he is]: or (2) he would not, at the supposed past time, have been able: the imperf. differing here from the aor. in expressing the man's state, and not merely his ability for a certain act at a certain moment. 'He probably would not have been in a condition to control his own movements'.
§ 27. óттท́p] A poet. word, used by Xen. in this sense, Cyr. Iv. 6. 17.
 the assertion of the prosecutors,- $\pi a \rho \in \chi \delta \mu \in \nu 0 s$, although I bring witnesses', etc. eira, = after all that I have proved: cp. Plat. Prot. 327 c.
 $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \theta$., but the unusual order arises from the eager stress on


18 § 29. TLalua is a certain correction of the vulg. Tò alpa. For the position of rı cp. Dem. Phil. iII. §47, éfot toivuv

 inadmissible'.
 longs more closely to the verb: cp. Plat. Phaed. p. $70 \mathrm{~A},(\dot{\eta}$

§ 30. ф $\lambda a \hat{p} \rho o v]$ 'compromising'.

## 2. Peroration: $\S 831-96$.

§ 81. тєкцךр $\omega \omega \nu . . \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon$ \{oเs] Arist.'s distinction between the conclusive $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \rho \iota \nu$ and the fallible $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu$ is not clearly drawn by earlier writers, though $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \frac{\nu}{}$ often = 'a proof'.

 furnished by facts, $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \dot{\eta} \rho \iota a=$ grounds of conjecture: and so Andoc. De Pace § 2, $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{l}$ rû̀ $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$. Here, however, $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \dot{p} \rho \iota a$ are rather positive proofs: $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$, signs.

тov̀s kเwठúvovs] 'their appointed dangers'.
§ 82. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ kaӨapoi] sc. ठ̀ves. Xen. Cyr. iII. 1. 16, $\tau i 19$


 $\tau \omega \nu$. Cp. Aesch. Theb. 597-600: Hor. Carm. III. 2. 26 : Eur. El. 1354.

 $\dot{\eta} \nu$. The pollution incurred by the slaying of the Persian heralds hindered the sacrifices at Sparta from being favourable until the $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu$ cs of Talthybius had been appeased, Her. vir. 134.
§83. текцท́pıa...тท̂s aitias, öть, к.т.入.] 'evidence concerning the charge (against me), showing that these men are accusing me falsely'.
 кal $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, is the simplest, but gives less point than oi $\mu a \iota \delta \epsilon \begin{gathered}\text { кal }\end{gathered}$ $<\pi \rho \dot{d}>\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu<\varepsilon i v a l>\dot{a} \pi o \psi$.
 which I was arrested, while as to the acts with which I am charged, I can still be brought to trial in the legal form'. He was arrested for кaкoupyia: if that means фóvos, he can still be tried for it by a roaфì фóvou: if it means anything else, he is innocent.
 the fault is not mine, but that of the accusers. When, however, my worst enemies have left me the chance of a second trial, surely you, the impartial awarders of justice, will never pronounce on the present issue a premature verdict of murder'.
 acquitted now, and tried again. For the form of the sentence,
 $\mu \hat{\ell} \nu$ Tts, к.T. $\lambda$.
88. Sóre тt кal тథ̣ Xpóvч] 'Leave some scope for that
other witness-Time'. Cp. Hor. Sat. 1I. 2. 94, Das aliquid jamae.
$\eta$ 'jlouv $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ ] 'I should certainly desire, judges, that in such cases [of alleged murder] the sentence ( $\tau \eta \dot{\nu} \delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ ) should be in accordance with the laws, [i.e. that death should be inflicted if deserved,] but that the investigation should, in every possible instance, be regulated by justice' (тò סiкaiov). Sauppe inserts тoúrous (sc. тoùs vó $\mu$ ous) before $\tau$ ò סiкaıov, thus weakening, I think, the antithesis between 'the laws' as the standard of punishment, and 'justice" as the canon of inquiry.- $\dot{\eta} \xi i o v \nu$ like $\epsilon \beta$ ou入ó $\mu \eta \nu, \S 1$, note.

oủтє $\tau \eta े \nu \delta \kappa \kappa \eta \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'No one would dare, through confi-$ dence in his own innocence, to contravene the sentence when once pronounced, or, if conscious of his guilt, to rebel against the law'. For a true antithesis, the italicised phrases should express opposite notions: but they are, in fact, merely different forms of the same notion. Cp. note on Lysias Pro Mantitheo,

 the actual truth (aúrô̂ $\tau o \hat{u} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta o \hat{s}$ ), but also to a verdict which contravenes the truth,-especially if there be no one to support his cause'.
§88. $\delta \iota \omega \mu о \sigma\left\{a \iota-\tau о ́ \mu \iota a-\pi \rho о р \rho \eta \gamma^{\prime} \sigma เ s\right]$ Oaths taken by the parties to a trial-the sacrifices on which they swear [see § 12]-the notices to the accused [§ 10]. For $\pi \rho \sigma \rho \rho \eta \sigma$ ss see Plat. Legg. 873 A, $\pi \rho \circ \rho \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma \epsilon \iota}-\tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau \omega ̂ \nu \nu о \mu i \mu \omega \nu є i ้ \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.

 (ó ठıафє́роута, к.т.入.), каi might stand: as it is, we must surely omit it.
 should accuse wrongly than that you, the judges, should decide wrongly'.
 $(\epsilon \nu)$ on you and on the trial'.
22 §90. Sเoнơarévovs] 'when they have first taken the usual oath' (made in rpaфal фóvou), and not merely the ordinary $\delta \omega \mu \mu \sigma$ of of parties to a law-suit. Cp. § 12.

ка́кєî] in the other trial also.

§ 91. $\mu \in \tau a \gamma v o v ̀ s ~ \gamma a ́ p] ~ s c . ~ T i s: ~ c p . ~ H e s . ~ O p . ~ 12, ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu ~ к \in \nu ~$
 more ingenious than probable.
 Athenian ecclesia on the fate of Mytilene, when Cleon's proposal of a massacre was first carried and afterwards re-scinded-about ten years before the probable date of this speech.-кai $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ ' $\lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, 'have actually repented '.
§92. тapaxp $\hat{\mu} \mu a]$ i.e. while the purpose is still clearly 23 present to his mind. From another point of view, an interval between the planning and the execution might be urged as heightening the deliberate character of the act: but here the contrast is merely between éкои́бוov and $\alpha$ кои́бьov.

 $\pi a \tau \rho \hat{i} a \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \eta$.
§93. vîv $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{c} \omega v$ ] The parenthesis beginning at $\dot{\epsilon} v$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau 0, o u ́ \tau \varphi$ interrupts the constr., which is resumed by é $\gamma \dot{\omega}$

 between $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ and $\xi u v v$ : the latter recurs in $\S 78$ of this speech, $\xi v \mu \beta_{o}^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$, and in § 87, $\xi v \nu \epsilon \epsilon \delta \omega ́ s$.
 the punishment'. Cp. Lys. In Agor. § 30, $\dot{\eta}$ jè $\alpha \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ aür $\ldots$ єं $\gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \tau 0$, 'this became the beginning'. The pronoun, which might have been neuter ( $\tau \hat{0} \tau 0$ ), is assimilated to the gender of the noun. See my n. on Soph. O.C. 88. In this construction the definite article is not usually added to the noun, but is, of course, quite admissible. It is unwarrantable, therefore, to delete тiv here.
§ 94. тоûто $\mu$ ѐv yáp] 'For on the one hand, if you listen to ine'-the correl. clause, то̂̂to $\delta$ é, той тоútors, being compressed into foû dé roúrous. This is better than to understand, 'if you listen to me in this matter'.
yvoportal, к.т.ג.] 'On the present occasion, then, take a 24 survey of the case; on the next, sit in judgment on the witnesses; form now an opinion, but defer a decision, on the
 $\mu \dot{\eta} \theta^{\prime}$ ws $\sigma \omega \phi$ рoviotai. See introductory note on Antiphon's style.
\$96. -ưTc....tapels] 'with due regard to your conscience as well as to my own right' : cp. § 7.
IV. MEPI TOY XOPEYTOX] The speech On the Cho- 25 reutes relates to the death of Diodotus, a boy who was in training as member of a chorus to be pronlueed at the Thargelia, and who was prisoned by a draught giren to him to improve
his voice. The accused is the choregus, an Athenian citizen, who discharged that office for his own and another tribe, and at whose house the chorus received their lessons. The accuser, Philocrates, brother of the deceased Diodotus, laid an information for poisoning before the Archon Basileus ; and, after some delay, the case came before the Areiopagus. It was not contended that the accused had intended to murder the boy, but only that he had ordered to be administered to him the draught which caused his death. According to Athenian law this was, however, a capital offence. The present speech is the second made by the defendant, and the last, therefore, of the trial. Its date may probably be placed about 412 в.c.: see Attic Orators, 1. 62.-A short extract is given here as illustrating the greater ease and freedom of Antiphon's later style, which is already beginning to emancipate itself from the stifiness of the av́ort $\rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o \nu i a$.

## Narrative: §§ 11-15.

§ 11. єis ©apyทìta... $\Delta$ เovvoioss] The second day of the Thargelia was celebrated by a procession and a musical contest ( $\mathfrak{a} \gamma \omega \bar{\nu}$ ) between choruses of boys: Herm. Ant. II. § 60. 21. At the Dionysia the chorus would have been dramatic.
 resort], without extorting pledges [from the parent who demurred to sending his son], without (even) incurring any dislike'.
 hand were most agreeable and advantageous to both parties, I made my demand or request, while the parents sent their sons without compulsion,-indeed, with good will'. The full con-



 only 'I conducted the levy' (sc. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma u \lambda \lambda o \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ). $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \in \epsilon є v o \nu=$ ' $I$ invited' (the official invitation being equivalent to a command: cp. Fr. inviter) : ríroú $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\text { softens }}$ this down, ' or rather, I made a personal request' : corresponding to the gradation of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ßоило́ $\mu \in \nu$ оt.
§ 12. $\left.\pi \rho \alpha \gamma^{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha\right]$ ' for I happened to be engaged in cases against Ariston and Philînus, and was anxious to lose no time after the impeachment ( $\epsilon i \sigma \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda a$ ) in making a due and formal statement to the Council and to the Athenian public'. Philinus and two other persons had been charged by the speaker with
embezzling public monies, as appears from $\$ \S 21,55$. Antiphon wrote a speech кa兀à Фi入ívou (Attic Orators, I. 63 note).
 Usually $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu o i ̀ \tau \iota \nu 0 s$, more rarely $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \mu \hat{\imath} \tau \iota \nu 0 s$.
§ 13. $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon เ \nu$ ] ' to conduct the levy and act as steward 26 of the tribe on each occasion ',-є́ка́бтотє, whenever it was called upon to contribute a chorus to a public festival. The $\dot{\epsilon \pi \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a i} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi u \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ were responsible to the Archon for the appointment of the choregi: cp. Dem. In Mid. § 13. Herm. Ant. I. § 149. 8. By $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi u \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma u \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$ below is meant to levy (such a contribution) in the tribe. ouddoreis, at Athens, were esp. those who called in property confiscated to the State: Herm, Ant. I. § 151, 4.
 statement is false, or made for effect'.

тоиि ópкштои̂] The officer of the court who tenders the oath. See De Caed. Her. § 12.
 тои́т $\omega \nu$ iб $\chi \cup \rho i ́ \zeta o \mu a \iota . ~ R e i s k e ~ i n s e r t s ~ o u ̈ т \omega ~ b e f o r e ~ \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a . ~$
 tion': i.e. 'unless Fortune so ordain it', (viz. that I should bring another person into peril).

## ANDOCIDES.

Anmocides: born about 440 b.c.: died later than 390 b.c. 28 Approximate period of extant work, $410-390$ в.c. Life in Attic Orators, 1. 71-87.

Style. Andocides is less a rhetorical artist than a vigorous speaker of quick native wit. The ancient criticism of oratory tended to resard it too much from the reader's point of view, and too little from the hearer's. This was unfavourable to Andocides. He is declared by Hermogenes (170 a.d. $\pi \in \rho l$ 18. B. xi.) to be wanting in the distinctive excellences of practical oratory, deliberative and forensic. His diction is
 He is sparing in the use of the rhetorical figures of language ( $\sigma$ ŋŋ́uata $\lambda(\xi \in \omega s)$, such as antithesis, parallelism between the forms of the two sentences (rapiowois), or assonance ( $\pi$ apo-

scavoias), such as rhetorical question. In the arrangement of subject-matter he is simple and inartificial ( $\dot{\pi} \lambda \lambda o u{ }^{\prime}-\dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}-$ oxevos). His strength lies in narrative, diversified by anecdote and enlivened by graphic description-sometimes by touches of true dramatic power. In addition to these literary merits, his speeches are of great historical value for the years 415390 b.c.-See Attic Orators, I. 88-108. On the Works of Andocides in general, $i b$. 109-141.
I. IIEPI THE EAYTOY KAOOAOY] Spoken before the Athenian Ecclesia not later than the summer of 410 в.с. [See Attic Orators, I. 109. Andoc. lays stress on the service which he has rendered to Athens by securing a supply of corn from Cyprus: but the battle of Cyzicus in 410 b.c. was followed by the re-opening of the corn-trade between the Euxine and Athens: Xen. H. r. 1. 35. The benefit for which Andoc. claims credit would have been of little importance had it been conferred later than the middle of the year 410.] The object of the speech is to procure the removal of certain disabilities under which he was alleged to lie. His disclosures in 415 b.c. were made under a guarantee of immunity from penalties. But the decree of Isotimides, passed soon afterwards, excluded from the market-place and from temples all 'who had committed impiety and who had confessed it'; and his enemies maintained that this decree applied to him. The appeal was unsuccessful. He returned to Athens only after the general amnesty of 403 в.c. Having first deprecated the resentment felt against him for having denounced the mutilators of the Hermae in 415 в.c. (§§ 1-9), he proceeds, in the following passage, to speak of his life in exile-his services to the army at Samos in 411 b.c.-his return to Athens during the rule of the Four Hundred-and his imprisonment at the instance of Peisander.

## $\S \S 10-16$.

§ 10. тót' aútòs $\gamma v o u ́ s]$ In 415 B.C., when he had denounced certain persons as concerned in the mutilation of the Hermae. aúrós: he himself felt the misery of his position as keenly as those who condemn him.

тapavola- - aváyкп] So in § 7 he says that he had acted
 that he was concerned in the sacrilege: this was his ' madness': the àá ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \eta$ was the necessity of denouncing the guilty, or else allowing the innocent to perish. In the De Mysteriis (see next Extract), speaking 11 years later, he protests his own entire innocence. (Cp. Attic Orators, I. 113.)
$\pi \rho a ́ r t e t v . . . \dot{d} \phi 0]$ ' To live a life and choose an abode in which I should be as far as possible out of your sight': örov, as relative to tolaûta no less than to $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \hat{l},=\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ois, or


Exkeivns... $\delta$ evp [] 'A longing for that civic and social life with you in Athens (éeivys), from which I passed into this exile' ( $\delta \epsilon v \rho i)$. He is speaking at Athens; but the words describe his feeling in banishment. The vividness is characteristic of Andocides.
§ 11. '̇k $8 \hat{E}$ тov́rov] 'from that moment'- which the con- 29 text fixes to 411 b.c.
 March to June, 411 b.c. For the details of the Revolution, see the life of Antiphon in the Attic Orators, I. 7 f .
 B.C.), had given Andoc. leave to cut down and export timber for oar-spars. Macedonia was the great timber-market of Greece (Xen. Hellen. vi. 1. 11). See my note on Theophrastus Char. xxili ( $=$ rI. p. 195), where the daajóv boasts that Antipater has offered him 'the privilege of exporting timber

 (for each $\kappa$ wreés).
 they stood me'-the cost-price.
§ 12. evik Cynossema in 411, and perh. also to that at Cyzicus in 410 в.c.
т. тis alrlas] 'this merit' or 'credit'. Cp. Aesch. Theb. 4,

el yúp, к.т.入.] 'For if the supplies had not been imported for the army at that time, the prospect before them was not a chance of saving Athens, but a risk of losing their own lives'. kivonvos $\dot{\eta} \nu$ is equivalent to an apodosis with ăv and aor. indic.



 in which the saving of Athens was the thing at stake: кivonvos
 a risk lust mot even thry themselves should be sared. Hence the unot: the form rept rou owinvat being adopted merely for the nake of nymmetry with repi roú owoda.
 with regard to the army at Samos）proved to be very different from what I had supposed＇：i．e．the relations of the army at Samos with the Four Hundred at Athens were such that the latter received Andoc．not as a friend but as a foe．E＇Xovra with

30 § 14．oi＇̇สl $\sigma$ тратiâs üvтєs］＇those upon service＇，＇the army abroad＇：cp．Plat．Phaedr． 260 в，оікоь каі є̇пі $\sigma \tau \rho а \tau є i a s$, domi militiaeque．otpateias is a v．l．，but $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ́$（see L．and S．s．v．）sometimes＝$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a$ ．The army at Samos was the mainstay of the Democracy against the oligarchical Revolution ： cp．Attic Orators，I． 9.
 т $\dagger$ pıov was called＇Eqria Bou入aia（Aeschin．F＇．L．§ 45）．In An－ doc．De Myst．§ 44，threatened persons $\epsilon \pi i$ т̀̀̀ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i a \nu ~ \tilde{\epsilon} \kappa a \theta \epsilon \in-$ కovтo．－$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ i $\epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ ，＇the sacred precincts＇of the altar．
cis．．． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ov่s $\theta$ eov́s，к．т．入．］＇although it was against the gods that I was said to have sinned，the gods seem to have been more merciful to me than men＇：exovta（acc．masc．）$\delta \nu \epsilon i \delta \eta$ ， because he was charged with having profaned the Mysteries and mutilated the Hermae．
ov̂ $\delta \eta$＇，к．т．．．］＇And then it was＇［at this point in my for－ tunes］＇that I most bewailed my fate：I who，at a moment when the People seemed to be in evil plight＇［the Democracy having been overthrown］，＇suffered in their stead，and further， when I was found to have been the People＇s benefactor，was condemned to new misery on this account＇：i．e．Andoc．suffered first as a democrat，and secondly as a patriotic democrat．The antithesis is defective，since the overthrow of the Democracy （какоиิбӨal）cannot properly be contrasted with the benefits which it had received from Andoc．－Cp．Thuc．viII．68，$\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$

§16．＊$\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \lambda u ́ \mu \eta \nu]$ A corr．suggested by Bekker．$\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda o i ́-$ $\mu \eta \nu$ might stand if for $\delta \sigma \tau \iota s$ we wrote $\epsilon l$ ：and this would also account for the now redundant $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ ．But，considering $\epsilon i \chi o \nu$, I think it more likely that the copyist＇s eye had wandered to тратоі $\mu \eta$ ．

кal ék тои́т由v，к．т．入．］＇even after my escape from these perils，grave as they were＇：i．e．undeterred by this warning． We cannot well render，＇even under these circumstances，grave as they were，when I had escaped＇；for $\dot{a} \pi a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \epsilon i$ clearly be－ longs to the preceding words．

II．HEPI T $\Omega$ N M $\ \Sigma \Sigma T H P I \Omega N$ ］－Date， 399 в．c．－Ando－ cides had laid information，in 415 в．c．，against certain persons
whom he accused of complicity in the mutilation of the Hermae. He did so on the guarantee of impunity (á $\delta \delta \iota a$ ) which a special decree of the Assembly had given to all who should inform. Subsequently another decree was passed-known as the decree of Isotimides-that all who had committed impiety, and had confessed it, should be excluded from the market-place and the temples.

Andocides had returned to Athens under the amnesty of 403 в.c. His accusers now ( 399 в.с.) charge him with having broken the decree of Isotimides by attending the Mysteries and eutering the temple at Eleusis. The form of the accusation is an $\check{\iota} \nu \hat{0} \epsilon \iota \zeta ً \zeta \zeta \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i a s$, an 'information' charging him with impiety. But, in order to prove that he came under the decree of Isotimides, they had to show that he had committed impiety in 415 в.c.

His speech is to show that he had not done so, either by profaning the Mysteries or by mutilating the Hermae. The Mysteries, from which it takes its title, is only one of its topics. It would be better described as a Defence on a Charge of Impiety. As to the Mysteries, Andoc. affirms that he neither profaned them himself nor informed against others as having done so ( $\$ 3.11-33)$. But his account of the Hermae affair is the most important part of the speech. (Analysis of the whole in the Attic Orators, 1. 117.)

1. The Mutilation of the Hermae-Information laid by Teucrus and Diocleides: §§ $34-45$.
 cated to the god. Cp. §62, ó 'Epuñs...ov $\dot{\eta}$ Airnis (the Aegeid tribe) d̀ $\nu \dot{\prime} \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon$. The 'Epuaî were plain four-cornered posts surmounted by a head or bust.
äठelav évópevos] 'having obtained special permission'. Teucrus was a $\mu$ étoucos who had withdrawn to Megara, and had thence sent word to the Athenian Council that he would give information regarding the Mysteries and the Hermae if he reseised lieense to do so (el oi dotecav doíc⿱). Thereupon the
 teehnieal term for the authorisation required by a non-citizen (رeirockos, givos, doìios) who desired to acense anyone of an offence againut the State,-by an äruos before he could exercise any civic rifht, -or by a citizen who proposed to re-enfranchise an dituos.
àтоурáфat 'denounces', in a 'list' or 'return' (äтоурафす) prosented to the Bow th, which the Eeclesia had invested with phenary powers for the occasion (§ 15, aúroxpárwp).
oi $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma$ ．ánध́Gavov］Grote（vir．268）doubts this assertion （ep．Thuc．vi．53，60），but it is incidentally confirmed by the statement ascribed to Charmides in § 49．See Attic Orators，i． 122.

32 § 36．$\tau \hat{\omega} v$ โ $\eta \tau \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$＇The Commission of Inquiry＇speci－ ally appointed by the Ecclesia．Cp．§ 14，$\tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta a$ § $\eta \tau \eta \tau \dot{\eta} s, \dot{\omega}$
 ＇impeached A．in the Assembly＇．
 Commonwealth＇．$\delta \dot{\eta} \mu о v$ катá入voıs，the crime of attempting to subvert the democratical government，－often coupled with the more general $\pi \rho o \delta o \sigma i a ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \omega s:$ for each there was a $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\eta}$ ． Lysias＇s or． 25 is $\delta \eta \dot{\mu о v ~ к а т а \lambda и ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~} \dot{\alpha} \pi$ о入оүia．
 the 及ou入cutnipoon to show that it was time to meet，and taken down when business was about to commence．There was a similar $\sigma \eta \mu \in i=\nu$ for the Ecclesia（Ar．Thesm．277）and for the law－courts（Vesp．690）．
§ 37．єiซaүץ．єis $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．$\beta$ ．］＇lays an impeachment before the Council＇．
©s $\delta$＇火＇Sol，к．т．入．］＇and stated how he had chanced to become an eye－witness of the plot＇．is here $=o ̈ \tau \tau \tau \rho \delta \pi \psi$ ：below $\S 40$ is $\delta \delta o t=$ merely＇that he had seen＇．
§ 38．aंтофорáv］＇He said that he had a slave at Laurium， and that he had occasion to go for a payment due to him＇． ámoфo $\alpha$ a，the＇return＇or＇profit＇accruing to the master from the labour of his slave．Aeschin．In Timarch．§ 97，（shoe－
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s$.
$\pi a v \sigma \in \in \lambda \eta o v]$ Plut．Alcib．20，eis $\delta^{\prime}$ aùt $\hat{\omega} \nu$（the informers）


 xiII．2．Grote（vir．271）rightly treats this part of the story as a later fiction．If Diocleides had made such a blunder，Andoc． would not have failed to note it＇．（Cp．Attic Orators，1．123．）

тঠ̀ $\pi \rho \circ \pi$ ．тov $\Delta .$, к．т．入．］＇When he had come to the gateway of Dionysus＇［the entrance to the $\Lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu a i o v$, the enclosure sacred to Dionysus on the S．E．of the Acropolis］，＇he saw several per－ sons descending from the Odeum＇（of Pericles，close to the Dionysiac Theatre on the e．］＇into the orchestra＇［the open central space of the Theatre］．＇Afraid of them，he drew into the shade，and crouched down between the pillar and the column with the bronze statue of the General＇［just inside the
 shadow].
àvà $\pi$ évre kal $\delta$ éka] ' in groups of fifteen'.
 judges, he assumed this story-a most extraordinary one-in order, I fancy, that it might rest with him to include in this list any Athenian he pleased, or at pleasure to exempt him'. Toû̀' $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \theta \in \tau 0$, made this (the story of the moonlight scene) the basis of his evidence: $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\tau}$. $\pi \rho$. in appos. with toûto, 'a most strange', 'an incredible affair'. I now prefer this version to rendering: 'He made this assumption, viz. that it was in his power', where $\delta \pi \omega$ s would $=\dot{\omega}$ s or $\delta$ 't $\iota$, in sense of 'that'.
§ 40. ката入ац $\beta$ ávєเv] So below, $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. The infins. might $=\kappa a \tau \epsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\mu} \beta a \nu \circ \nu$, $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \nu$, but perhaps represent rather the vivid каталa $\mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega, \lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \omega$ used by Diocleides in his narrative.
iv тب̂ X. ka0.] 'sitting in his forge'-he being a $\chi^{a \lambda \kappa \epsilon u ́ s . ~}$
rd 'Hфaiनтeiov] The guild of smiths, and perhaps artisans generally, held the annual festival of the $\chi$ aлкєia to Hephaestus early in Nov. (Pollux vii. 105). The 'Hфaiनтєiov, or temple of H., was on the w. of the Agora, having the Stoa Poecilè just above it (Paus. I. 14.6). For the custom of taking oaths in a temple, cp. Plat. Prot. 328 c, $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ eis iepóv, $\partial \mu o ́ \sigma a s, ~ o ̛ \sigma o v ~ a ̆ \nu ~ \phi \hat{\eta}$

oűkouv $\delta$ torro] 'Now, he said, he did not desire'-oblique for oúrouv $\delta \in ́ o \mu a$, , after a secondary tense ( $\epsilon \neq \eta$ in § 39).
kal vûv ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ кetv k.] 'and said, "Now pray come to the house of L., that you and I may there confer with A. and the other


 - oi = 'to oblige him' (Euphemus). кє $\begin{aligned} & \text { ev́ } \epsilon t \nu \text { does not, in Attic }\end{aligned}$ prose, take a dat. of the person commanded.
 - He said that the next day he was there [ $\dot{\eta} \kappa o \nu=I$ came promplly or punctuallyl, and was in the act of knocking at the door': кal $\delta \dot{\eta}=$ ' and even now'.
¿рá ye $\sigma$ ह, к.т. 入.] 'Are you the visitor whom the company here expect? Well, one ought not to reject such friends-and with these words he was gone'. oific - Andocides and the others who were already at the house of Leogoras: $\sigma$ t, Diocleides. Acc. to I.'s story, the father of Andoc. gives him a parting hint not to reject the overtures about to be made to him by the conspirators.- $\dot{d} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \lambda \lambda \iota \epsilon$, 'sought to ruin'.
842. öтl ßoudevorotro] 'that he would think it over'. He
said，$\beta$ ov入єv́бoцal：the fut．opt．here，as always，being the ob－ lique of the fut．ind．after a secondary tense．
 and gave us pledges on the Acropolis＇（by taking an oath in one of the temples：cp．on $\S 40$ ）．－$\delta \iota \alpha \psi \in v^{\prime} \delta$ ．$\delta \iota \delta o ́ v a l$ ，oblique pres． rather than imperf．：＇fail to keep our word or to pay＇：as ${ }_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$ for $\eta^{\prime \prime} \kappa \omega$ rather than $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime} \kappa о$ ．
 that citizens should be put to the torture．A decree of the Boùn＇（then temporarily invested with plenary powers）could of course suspend this．－Cp．Herm．Ant．I．§ 141． 15.
 （as $\sigma \kappa о \pi \hat{\omega}$ ö $\pi \omega \bar{\mu} \mu \dot{\eta}$ है $\sigma \tau a \iota$ ）：here，in a final clause，we should expect rather öncs $\mu \dot{\eta} \hat{\eta}$ ．But the notion of contriving how the object may be attained is uppermost，and so the clause is vir－ tually an object－clause．Xen．Cyr．II．1．21，oú $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta_{\iota}{ }^{\prime}$ Ĕv ä $\lambda \lambda_{0}$

§44．énl т $\grave{v} v$ éotlav］Cp．note on De Red．§ 15，p． 222.
 secret conference，had us seized and put in the pillory．Then they summoned the Generals before them＇［avaка入．，up to the及oùєuтท＇pıov］，＇and ordered them to proclaim that those Athe－ nians who lived in the city should proceed under arms to the market－place，－those at the Long Walls，to the Theseum，－ those in the Peiraeus，to the market－place of Hippodamus； that before dawn the Knights should sound the trumpet－call to the Anakeum ；that the Senate should go to the Acropolis，and sleep there；and that the Presidents should sleep in the Rotunda＇．

 denotes the two main long walls（the＇Northern＇and the ＇Middle＇），with the space enclosed by them，conceived as a district．－ $\mathrm{I} \pi \pi$ тo反．ajyopáv，the market－place of the Peiraeus．－ ＇Aváketov，temple of the Dioscuri（ ${ }^{〔} \nu$ акєs），n．w．of Acropolis．－日ó $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ ，a circular building，with dome，near the Bounєut $\dot{p} \rho o{ }^{2}$ in the Agora：the Prytanes and $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a r \epsilon i s$ dined there，Dem． Fals．Legat．§ 249.
＇̇ாi тoîs óplots．．．é $\xi \in \sigma \tau$ ．］＇had taken the field，and were on the frontier＇．
36 é $\delta \in[\pi v \epsilon t]$＇was entertained＇．The privilege of daily $\sigma i \neq \eta \sigma \iota s$ є̀ Притаעєí（Ar．Ran．764）was given either for a limited period or for life（áeíiros）：here the imperf．shows that at least more than one day is meant．

## 2. The Disclosures of Andocides: §§ 48-69.

 pare the prison-scene in Lysias, Agorat. $\S \S 39$ f.

ठัть, 'Avסokiסף, к.т.入.] öть redundant before the direct quota-
 Goodwin § 79.
 you pain'. But $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \epsilon \iota \nu$, 'I wished to say nothing'. $\mathrm{Cp} . \S 40$, ойкоиข ס́́оьто, 'did not desire'.
ois yàp éxpê] 'Your other friends ('̇ $\chi \rho \bar{\omega})$ and associates ( $\sigma v v \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a$ ), except us your relations'. ăvєv, 'besides', either $=$ 'except' (as here), or 'in addition to'. Cp. Dem. De Cor. §89,
 fame'. So when Caesar says (Bell. Gall. v. 12) of south Britain, Materia (timber) cuiusque generis ut in Gallia est, praeter fagum atque abietem, some render 'except'; others, 'in addition to'.


dvaykaiovs] ' near relations'. $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in i$ is is the larger term, 37
 sarii) are those to whom one is bound by the first, the closest natural ties; as тà àvayкaía are those things with which life cannot dispense.
§ 51. то́тєра $\pi \epsilon р \iota(\delta \omega]$ deliberative subj., to which answers $\eta$ $\epsilon^{l l \pi} \omega$ : the tense being, as usu., the aor., since the prospective act will be done once for all, not continued or repeated. But Eur. Ion $758, \epsilon \ell \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \geqslant \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$; 'shall we speak [once for all: aor.]; or keep silence?' [pres. of the continued act].
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \tau . \tau \bar{\omega} v \theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} v]$ For genit., cp. Aeschin. Ctes. § 157, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \tau \eta \dot{-}$ -

§ 52. iteीviккєav] In the 3rd pers. plur. of the pluperf.
 in an inscription of 323 в.c. (Meisterhans, Gramn. d. Attisch. Inschriften, p. 75). In the 3rd pers. sing. of the pluperf., - $\epsilon$ is contracted, of course, from $\epsilon \epsilon$, and the post-Attic - $\epsilon \sigma \sigma a \nu$ in the 3rd pers. plur. was perhapss an instance of false analogy. (Cp. Curtias, Greek Verb, p. 433 Eng. tr.)



दl $\mu \eta$ тis [pci] The vivid construction, instead of $\epsilon l \mu \dot{\eta}$ Tis 38 $\lambda \in \xi=$ or elmoc, after $\beta \in \beta a c o s$ ìv.
ámolavóvtas] Here, as in \& 51, the aor. refers, not to those
 who were threatened with death. It is stronger than $\dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma$ коутаs (ef. $\dot{\pi} \pi о \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \notin \nu$ ous of the same persons in § 51 ), just as 'to see them murdered' is stronger than 'to see them being murdered': the aor. pictures the deed as accomplished while he looks on.
 associates'. Here, the word 'ंтaipos seems to bear a merely social sense, referring to a circle of private friends (cp. § 61 $\pi \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$. On the other hand, the title of a lost speech by Andocides, Moòs roùs غ̇raípous, probably indicates the members of the oligarchical éraıpeíaı at Athens (Attic Orators, vol. i. p. 139). For the latter, or political, sense of $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \hat{\rho} \rho o s$, cp. below, p. 138, § 87.

 (Theophrastus, Char. viir).
 judges, nothing is so important for me as that, if acquitted, I should be acquitted with honour: and, further, that the general public ( $\tau$ oùs $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ dous $\alpha ँ \pi \alpha \nu \tau a s$ ) should understand my whole conduct to have been absolutely free from baseness or cowardice'. He wishes to be pronounced an honourable man (1) by his judges, (2) by of $\alpha \lambda \lambda o c$ ä $\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$. All that would be given by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$, which Blass proposes to insert after какஸ̂ єìvaı (see crit. note), is already contained in $\sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \iota$.
39 § 57. фє́pє $\left.\delta \eta \geqslant-\chi \rho \eta \eta^{\gamma} \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho\right]$ ‘Now consider-for a judge ought to examine the facts by a human standard [ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i v \omega s$ -making allowance for human infirmity], as if the misfortune had been his own-What would any one of you have done?'
 ( $=\epsilon l$ aủrds $\epsilon \neq \eta$ ). A simpler form would have been $\omega \ddot{\omega} \pi \pi \epsilon \rho \not \approx \nu$ (sc.

el $\mu \mathrm{e} v \gamma \operatorname{ajp} \eta \mathbf{\eta} v \delta v o i v]$ The thought is not completed till the end of § 60, хрŋ́ $\mu a \tau a$ è $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a \nu \epsilon$. Briefly, it is this:- 'If it had been a question between noble death and shameful life, my conduct might be condemned. But it was really a question between slaying the innocent by my silence, and saving them at the expense of the guilty, by speaking out. Therefore I spoke'. -In the series of clauses, note these points:-(1) All is
 protasis which has no formal apodosis. The virtual apodosis comes at § 60 , ( $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ бè $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa о \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$, 'considering all these things, I say') єv́pьккоу, 'I found' that the least evil was to speak. (3) The protasis begun by $\delta \pi \pi o v \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ is interrupted

consequences of his silence are pursued in $\varepsilon \quad \tau \iota \delta \dot{\epsilon} . . . \dot{d} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \lambda \nu=\nu$, the long parenthesis having broken the original construction, $\bar{\eta} \nu$ $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \iota \mu \grave{v} \nu \ldots a ̉ \pi o \lambda \lambda$ úvą. (4) The new independent constr. is continued in describing the consequences of his speaking: $\epsilon i \pi \omega \dot{\nu} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \nu \tau \alpha, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. Then at $\S 60$ comes the result, prefaced by the summary, raùta $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \alpha_{\nu} \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 was the very reverse: by keeping silence I must have perished ignominiously in my innocence, and must also have permitted the destruction of my father, of my brother-in-law, of all my cousins and relations, whom I and no one else threatened with death, by concealing the guilt of others. The falsehoods of Diocleides had sent them to prison; their only hope of deliverance lay in the Athenians learning the whole truth. I was in danger, therefore, of becoming their murderer, if I failed to tell you what I had heard. I was also in danger of destroying three hundred Athenians, and of involving Athens in the most serious evils. This, then, was the prospect if I were silent'.
 these words of кai $\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a i \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ is as needless as the similar insertion in $\S 56$ (where see $n$.), since the thought is contained
 follows $\Delta \iota o \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \delta \eta \nu$ as it often follows aúróv; e.g. Plat. Prot. 310 D, àv aủtû סiộ̂s áprúpiov кal $\pi \in i \theta \eta \mathrm{~g}$ éкєivov: see Shilleto on Thuc. 1. 132.

 depending on $\epsilon \dot{\xi} \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \xi a$ öтt. The optative has the effect of presenting this particular statement, not simply as a fact, but as a quotation of what was confessed by the conspirators. It
 facts,-that E. proposed this plan, etc., and that (as they said) it was I who "hindered the plan from being executed at the time"?

є́v Kuvorápyєt] io Kuvbrapyes, a gymnasium, with a sanctuary of Heracles, on the e. side of Athens, near the Atomeia $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta$.

Ti้v к $\lambda_{\text {eiv }}$ ouverp $(\beta \eta \nu$ ] 'broke my collar-bone': Dem. or.
 Attic), 'cut my head': Ar. Ach. 1180, каl т $\bar{s} \kappa є ф а \lambda \hat{\eta} s ~ к а т є ́ a \gamma \epsilon ~$ $\pi \epsilon \rho b$ AlOov atéuv (partit. genit.,- the more usual construction in this phrase).
8.62. Tòv' E. Tòv mapd rd \$.] 'The Hermes by the Phor-banteum'- the npúov of Phorbas, perh. the hero of that name worshipped in lhooles as having bunished snakes from the
island（Diod．v．58），and also famed in myth as a boxer（schol． ad Hom．Il．xxili．660，etc．）．The idea of rapá with accus．，in such general indications of position，is－＇that which one sees when one goes past＇the place．We may render it＇by＇．mapá with dat．，＇beside＇，is more precise． On finare this out，the conspirators were furious that I should know of the deed without having had a hand in it＇．The more usual phrase，$\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{\nu}$ motov̂ $a \mathfrak{i}$ $\tau \iota,=$＇to regard a thing as monstrous＇，＇to feel indignant at it＇． On the other hand，$\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi$ rotw（lit．，＇to do dreadful things＇）， refers to the external manifestation of horror or grief by
 ＇weeping，and displaying anguish＇：Thuc．v． $42, \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ $\delta \epsilon$
 outcry＇．
$\delta i ' \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} s]$ ob nos proditos，＇Otherwise our enmity will be more effectual than any friendships that you can make by betraying us＇．
§64．aủtoîs．．．ẻkelvols］For Ěkeivols following aủtoîs in reference to the same persons，see note on $\S 60$ ．

ผ́s ov̂v．．．èkeivol］＇In support of this statement，I gave up my own slave for the torture，（to prove）that I had been ill＇， ［imperf．，was at the past time in question，］＇and unable even to leave my bed；and the Presidents received［for examination］ the female slaves in the house from which the conspirators set forth to begin their work＇．ö $\theta \epsilon \nu=\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s$ olкias $\epsilon \dot{\xi} \hat{\eta} s$ ．
§ 65．фрáras］＇on condition of revealing＇．
Фワүov́rı七ov］Of the deme of Phegeus near Marathon．
 the Athenian citizens generally，as represented by the court） ＇took up your arms and went home＇．The order given in the
 ö $\pi \lambda a \lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau a s, \kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda \cdot$, § 45.
§67．$\pi i \sigma \tau เ \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$＇̉v d．．d́ $\pi เ \sigma \tau \circ \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu]$＇The most traitorous of all possible compacts＇：$\pi i \sigma \tau \tau \nu$ ，the pledge given by the con－ spirators to each other：àтьбтотár $\eta$ ，most disloyal to the State．


то́тє $\delta \in \in$ ］We might expect $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ 立，tum demum，then and not sooner：but the thought is（ $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ）$\sigma v \nu \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \rho \nu \psi a$ ，тóтє

43 § 69．бv̀ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}, \kappa . r . \lambda$.$] To the rpapuateús of the Court．＇Clerk，$ call the persons themselves who were released by my means＇．
$\mu$ éxpı roútov] 'They will come up and give evidence for as long as you desire to listen to it'.
III. HEPI THE MPO乏 $\Lambda$ AKE $\triangle A I M O N I O Y \Sigma ~ E I-$ PHNHE.-The speech On the Peace with Lacedaemon belongs to 390 в.c., the fourth year of the Corinthian War. Athens, Boeotia, Corinth and Argos were at this time allied against Sparta. The success of Agesilaus in 391 had led the Athenians, probably in the winter of $391-90$ в.c., to send plenipotentiaries, among whom was Andocides, to treat for peace at Sparta. According to the terms proposed by the Lacedaemonians, Athens was to retain her Long Wallsrebuilt three years before by Conon-and her fleet; she was also to recover Lemnos, Imbros and Scyros: and Boeotia was to be gratified by the withdrawal of the Spartan garrison from Orchomenus. The plenipotentiaries did not use their powers, but requested that the Athenian ecclesia might have forty days in which to consider these proposals; and returned, accompanied by Spartan envoys, to Athens. It was in the ensuing debate-early in the year 390 -that the speech of Andocides was made.-Attic Orators, I. 83. The genuineness of the speech has been questioned, but without sufficient reason. One passage of it ( $\delta \delta 3-12$ ) was adopted, with slight modifications, by Aeschines (De Fals. Legat. §§ 172-176). See Attic Orators, I. 129.

Andoc. first shows that a peace with Sparta is not a danger to the Athenian democracy ( $\$ \S 1-12$ ). He then argues that there is no good reason for continuing the war, and that the proposed terms are peculiarly advantageous to Athens ( $\$ \$ 13$ 231. If the Boeotians make peace on their own account, Athens will be left with one weak ally, Corinth, and another of which the policy is thoroughly selfish-Argos ( $\$ 824-27$ ).

8s 28-41 (end). Alliance with Sparta is better than alliunce with Argos.--Objections to the Peace answered.
828. Toเov́тตv $\delta^{\prime}$ ' $\left.\lambda \pi \pi \cdot \mu.\right]^{\prime}$ Committed, as we are, to such pro-pects, we have to choose between joining the Argives in war against Sparta, and joining the Boeotians in making a peace for the common interest'. $\tau . \quad \dot{\lambda} \pi \pi$. $\mu \in \tau a \sigma \chi$., 'having become sharers in such hopes', i.e. having entered into alliance with States which have such objects in view as Argos and Boentia have respectively. Arpos hoped to get Corinth if the war were proloneed (\$27): Bueotia was likely to make peace on its own account with Sparta (\$ 24).-кown: cp. \& 27,


words might also mean 'through our own exertions'. In De Myst. § 63, $\delta i$ ' $\eta \mu a ̂ s=$ 'on account of (betraying) us'.
 the thought on which the chief stress falls. : For if we are to take a prudent decision, we must refresh our memory of the

 traverse before arriving in Pallenè'. Thuc. 1. 20, $\delta$ pá $\sigma a \nu \tau$ tés $\tau \iota$ каl ккрঠvలยйवa, i.e. 'to do something if they must risk their lives' (and Shilleto's note): iv. 11, ràs $\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ p a s ~ v a u ̂ s ~ \beta i a ̧ o p e ́ v o u v s ~$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ã $\pi \delta \beta a \sigma \iota \nu$ кaтaүvívat, 'to force the passage, though they must wreck their ships in doing it'.
 made to us by Epilycus, on behalf of Amorges,--the slave of the Great King, and an exile': lit., 'as to the proposals made us by the envoy E.-in regard to these complying with Amorges', obeying his wishes. Amorges, son of the satrap Pissuthnes, revolted from Dareius II. [regn. 424-405 в.c.] and established himself in Iasus, a sea-board town of Caria. In 412 b.c. the Peloponnesians took Iasus, and delivered Amorges to Tissaphernes: Thuc. viri. 28: Grote vir. 504, 535.
§ 30. Evpakov́ato $^{\circ}$ 8'] In $^{2} 27$ b.c. the chief Ionic cities of Sicily-viz. Leontini, Naxos and Catana-in alliance with the Dorian Camarina, sent an embassy to Athens, with Gorgias at its head, asking aid against Syracuse. This was the occasion of the first Athenian expedition to Sicily in 427 b.c.: a second was sent in 425, and a third in 422. The application of Egesta for help against Selinus led to the great expedition of 415 b.c. Andoc. seems to be thinking of the embassies sent by the Ionic Siceliots, and to imagine that a rival embassy had been sent by Syracuse-which was not the case.
 'so ', 'accordingly', i.e. with our usual perversity.
 infin. stands in the nominative, if it is identical with the subject of the principal verb, holds good even when the infin.



 Pol. II. 11. 3) to choose (magistrates) by merit: so $\pi \lambda$ ouvivo $\eta \nu$. Here the idea is that the best men were chosen out (by destiny) for destruction. 'Having lost the very flower of
 $\dot{\eta} \beta \eta \delta \partial \nu$ (from the youth upwards-all the adults) $\dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \rho \rho a \nu \tau 0$ тd̀s кєфа入ás.
aiनx．8．oi $\sigma \omega \theta$ ยvtes aủr．］Not a formal anacolouthon：but we can see that the speaker＇s thought has changed its direction． He began the sentence as if it were to end in some such way as


 Andoc．refers to the beginning of Athenian intervention（427－ 422 B．c．）in the affairs of Sicily．The events noticed here occurred before the Sicilian expedition of 415 в．c．eip $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$
 ムакшьккй ：an allusion to the expedition against Epidaurus in which the Argives were assisted by the Athenians under Alci－ biades（419 в．c．，Thuc．จ．52－54）：éккалєìv aủt．$\tau \delta \nu \nu \quad \theta \nu \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ ， because the Spartans retaliated by invading Argos，thus opening the campaign which was closed by the battle of Mantineia（ 418 B．c．）and an alliance between Argos and Sparta．

缚 ovi $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a v \tau \epsilon s]$ Andoc．regards the aid given by Athens to Argos in 419 b．c．as the origin of the renewed war which ended in the final defeat of Athens．But the Sicilian Expe－ dition came after（not，as he seems to think，before）this event， and it was the result of that expedition which led up to the

§ 32．тоиิто v́mó $\lambda_{o เ \pi o v] ~ i r o n i c a l: ~ a l l ~ t h a t ~ i s ~ n e e d e d ~ t o ~}^{45}$ complete the list of our follies．

Kopıvөicv $\delta$ हो тov̀s v．＇ X ．］＇The Corinthian party which now holds the city＇＝the war－party，who were in the closest alliance with Argos，as opposed to the Philo－laconian or peace－party led by Pasimélus．The present rulers of Corinth，Audoc． means，are mere instraments of Argive ambition．See Grote Ix． 462 f．
§33．тoनav́rnv］explained by фaбl $\gamma$ á $\operatorname{instead~of~} \omega ँ \sigma \tau \epsilon$ фávar．Cp．below，p．69，§ 19，line 6.
tas tettapákove＇$\dot{\eta}^{\prime} \mu$ ．］The forty days for which the Athe－ nian plenipotentiaries at Sparta had asked，in order that they might refer the proposed terms to the Athenian Ecclesia．－ $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$, the envoys，of whom Andoc．had been one．
 us in making the reference＇：cp．Thuc．iII．47，тd K $\lambda$ éw ${ }^{\prime}$ os $\tau \delta$
 punishment is both just and expedient．

834．גav0ávovta，к．т．入．］＇must hoodwink and heguile the multitude，if he is to bring them to face dangers＇：see note on 829，ауаии
orija．］slabs set up in public places inscribed with the 46
terms of treaties，etc．：кãà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta \nu$, Ar．Av．1051，＇acc．to the compact＇：$\sigma \tau$ ．ai $\pi p o{ }_{2} \theta_{\eta} \theta_{\beta a i o u s, ~ t h e i r ~ t r e a t y ~ w i t h ~ T h e b e s, ~}^{\text {，}}$ Dem．Megalop．§ 27．－тaûta $\delta \epsilon$ ，＇in regard to these＇（ $\delta \epsilon$ in apodosis，$=$＇on the other hand＇）．
§ 35．$\gamma р а ́ \mu \mu а \tau \alpha ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma є ү р а \mu \mu.] ~ ' t h e ~ l e t t e r ~ o f ~ t h e ~ t e r m s ': ~$ cp．§ 40.

ข์тоvoєiv，к．т．入．］＇In regard to what is at your disposal＇ （ $\dot{\epsilon} \tau o i \mu \omega \nu$ ，the advantages offered by the Spartan terms），＇it is your habit to feel misgivings and to raise objections＇．
 is the choice now ；and some people are already saying that they do not understand the meaning of the Convention，if the city is merely to get walls and ships：＂we do not recover our possessions on foreign soil＂，they argue，＂and stone walls do not give us bread＂．＇一宅 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\chi} \pi \epsilon \rho \rho \rho i a s:$ Athenian possessions abroad，esp．in Thrace and the Chersonese，of which Athens had been deprived at the end of the Peloponnesian War．
 ната（property acquired in a foreign land）кai rà $\chi \rho \in ́ a$（debts） ì $\nu \dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．Xen．Mem．II． $8 \S 1$（where the speaker refers to the end of the War），$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\delta} \eta \ldots \alpha \dot{\phi} \phi \eta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho o \rho i \underline{q}$

 таúтクv，к．т．入．：＇These were the resources from which our fathers set out＇．

 Board which administered the common fund＇（of the Delian Confederacy），derived from the $\phi$ ópos of the members；Thuc． 1．95－96．The transference of the fund from Delos to Athens is said to have been proposed－not，as Andoc，implies here，by the Athenians－but by the Samians（Plut．Arist．25）：Grote v． 465.

入äóvтєs $\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{E ̇}$ Пел．］In 479 b．c．，when the walls of Athens were rebuilt and the Peiraeus was fortified．$\lambda a \theta$ óvt $\epsilon$ ：alluding to the artifices by which Themistocles gained time，Thuc． I． 90 f．Grote v． 331.
$\pi р ı \alpha ́ \mu . \pi . ~ \Lambda a к \in \delta$ ．］The statement that Athens＇bought im－ punity＇from Sparta is not only baseless but absurd．It seems to refer to the Thirty Years＇Truce between Athens and Sparta（445 b．c．）by which Athens gave up Achaia，Troezen and the harbours of Megara：see Grote v． 475.
óyסоض́коvтa kal $\pi \in \in v \tau \epsilon]$ i．e．from the battle of Marathon （ 490 в．с．）to the battle of Aegospotami（ 405 в．c．）：since the
policy by which Themistocles made Athens a naval power dated from the close of the first Persian invasion.
§ 39. evéxvpa] as pledges against the restoration of the Athenian $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}:$ Xen. Hellen. II. 2. 19, 20.

тd̀ тєíx $\eta$ kal vav̂s] The Long Walls (except the Phaleric wall) had been restored by Conon in 393 b.c.- $\nu$ aûs, without the art., because a fleet had yet to be created under the terms imposed by Sparta in 404 B.c. Athens had retained only 12 ships, acc. to \& 12 of this speech.
§ 40. $\pi \hat{a} \sigma l$ t $\tau$ rois $\gamma \in \gamma p$.] 'Anl we can have a peace on the basis of all the terms thus defined ': i.e. any new terms proposed by Athenian critics of the treaty can be added to those already formulated ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ).
 questions is with you' (the $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ ), 'and does not depend on the Lacedaemonians-thanks to us' (the envoys, who asked for the forty days).
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau a ́ s]$ i.e. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \cup \tau$ às à̇токра́тораs. The plur. of $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon u \tau \dot{r}, s$ is usually $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \epsilon$, but $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in u \tau a l$ in Thuc. vini. 77, 86.
oícos $\delta \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$.] ' He is the true plenipotentiary '.

## LYSIAS.

Lyslas: born 459 b.c. ace. to Dionysius and the Plutarchic 49 Life: between 444 and 436 b.c. acc, to K. F. Hermann, etc. : died later than 380 b.c. Approximate period of extant work, 403-380 в.c. Life in Attic Orators, I. 142-157.

Style. Lysias takes up the development of Attic prose at a point where the stiffness of the older rhetoric, as seen in Antiphon's work, had been modified, but no perfect reconciliation had yet been effected between literary finish and the Attic idiom of ordinary life. Lysias achieves this reconciliation. Unlike Antiphon before him and Isocrates after him, he has the art of concealing his art. His distinctive qualities are a delicate mastery of the purest Attic, a subtle prower of expressing character, a restrained sense of humour, and a certain thexibility of mind which enables him under the most diverse circumstances to write with almont unfailing tact and cham, with that xapes, hardly to be analysed save in so far as felicity of expression and an essential urbanity are iuplied in it, which the old crities felt in him.

Technically, Lysias represents the 'plain' manner as distinguished from the 'stately' and the 'middle': (l $l$ रxds $\chi$ a-
 Cic. or. 6. § 20 grandiloqui-tenues-medius et quasi temperatus.) His composition ( $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma$ ts) varies with the subject and the occasion. In the first of the following extracts, for example, the 'O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi t a k \delta s$, we find elaborate and artistic periods. In the fifth extract-from the кaवà 'Epatoofivous, where the public and private characters are combined-the periodic structure is blended with a style of greater ease and simplicity. In the last extract, the кат ${ }^{2}$ Пaүк $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ os, the manner of the composition is throughout of a simpler kind. The diction ( $\lambda \in \xi \xi$ (s) of Lysias is marked by a general avoidance of words or phrases foreign to the ordinary idiom of the day; by abstinence from rhetorical figures, except such as consist in the parallelism or opposition of clauses; and by the union of clearness with conciseness. His power of delineating character ( $\dot{\eta} \theta$ oтoila) is illustrated by the speech $\dot{\psi} \pi \bar{\epsilon} \rho$ Mavtit'eov, our third extract: his power of vivid description (è ápyeca) will be seen in the speeches against Eratosthenes and Agoratus. The arrangement ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi(s)$ ) of subject-matter is nearly always simple:-proem-narrative-proof-epilogue.-See Attic Orators, r. 158-198. On the Works of Lysias in general, ib. 1. 199-316.

The following selections have been made in such a manner as at once to illustrate the leading characteristics of his style and to represent the several departments of his work. Thus:(1) Epideictic. I. (2) Deliberative. II. (3) Forensic. $\delta \eta \mu \delta \sigma \circ 0<$
 Attic Orators, 1. 215: cp. 203, 211.)
I. OAYMHIAKOL. [Or. xxxir.]-This is a fragmentprobably the greater part-of an oration delivered by Lysias at Olympia. The great panhellenic festivals afforded an appropriate opportunity to poets and orators who desired to speak, not of those interests or glories which belonged to a single city, but of those which were common to Greece. The ancient Greeks, although they never formed a nation, had a national sentiment, founded on community of blood, speech and manners: and this sentiment was probably never called forth more vividly than when Greeks of all cities came together at Nemea or at the Isthmus, at Delphi or at Olympia.

 Olympia with ornate and carefully meditated speeches' (Philostr. 1. 11). The 'O\vuтık's of Gorgias 'dealt with the largest of political questions. Seeing Greece torn by faction, he became a counsellor of concord, seeking to turn the Greeks against the barbarians, and advising them to take the land of the
aliens-not each others' cities-for the prize of their arms' (ib.).

The Olympiacus of Lysias was spoken, according to Diodorus, in the first year of the 98th Olympiad, 388 b.c.- the year before the Peace of Antalcidas, by which the Corinthian War was brought to a close. Athens, Thebes, Argos and Corinth had then been seven years at war with Sparta. During this time two powers, both dangerous to the freedon of Greece, had been rapidly growing. In the east the naval strength of Persia had become greater than it had been for a century. In the west Dionysius I., tyrant of Syracuse, had reduced Naxos, Catana and Leontini: had twice defeated Carthage; and was threatening the Greek towns of Italy. A magnificent embassy from the court of Dionysius, with his brother Thearides at its head, appeared at the Olympic festival of 388 b.c. Tents embroidered with gold were pitched in the sacred enclosure; a number of splendid chariots were entered in the name of Dionysius for the four-horse chariotrace; while rhapsodists, whose skill in recitation attracted crowds, repeated poems composed by their royal master. While eye and ear were thus allured by the glories of the Syracusan tyrant, Lysias lifted up his voice to remind the assembled Greeks that in Dionysius they must recognise one of the two great enemies of Greece. Let them not admit to their sacred festival the representatives of an impious despotism. Let them remember that their duty is to overthrow that tyranny and to set Sicily free; and let the war be begun forthwith by an attack upon those glittering tents.-Attic Orators, 1. 203 \&.
81. трюิтоs नuvทंyєเpє] Heracles, the legend said, founded 50 the prizes of the Olympic games with the spoils taken in his

 olive-from the land of the Hyperboreans to the Olympian valley, -that 'garden of the gods' (кâтos) which had before been 'naked ' ( 0. III, 24).
 chariots entered for the races (horses being ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu a$ т $\hat{\eta} s \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\pi$ גoútou $\chi$ 入iöñs), and in the general splendour of the $\theta \epsilon \omega$ piat. -
 кal ooфias ( $=\gamma \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ s here) кal $\pi \lambda$ ли́тоv.
 of perems, orations, etc.); but not properly à $\hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{v a}$, a contest, since at Olympia there were not prizes for a $\mu$ ovoıкds $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\omega} \nu$, as there were at Delphi. Lucian, it is true, says of Herodotus,


 ＇sophists，historians and speech－writers＇，Lucian mentions Aetion as having exhibited at Olympia his picture of the marriage of Alexander and Roxana（ib．3）．
$\left.<\alpha^{2} v>\gamma \in v \hat{v} \sigma \theta \alpha i\right]$ It seems probable that we should supply $a^{2} \nu$ ，rather than change $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a l$ to $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \sigma \theta a l$ ．One of the two remedies is necessary．The aor．infin．，without $\alpha \nu$ ，might be used if the context made it clear that the reference was to the future：but，here，$\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau o \quad \gamma \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ would mean＇thought that it had become＇．On p． $69, \S 19$ ，ఢै० indeed，＇thought to acquire＇；but there a difference is made （a）by the fact that the sense of＇expecting＇can be given to őo ${ }^{\prime}$ a more easily than to $\dot{\eta} \gamma \mathrm{ov} \mu a \iota:$（b）by the fact that the context is clearer．
 plan＇，sketched this outline of the Olympic festival，leaving it for us to fill in the details．Now I，Lysias says，do not think that he meant this $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \bar{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \iota s$ to be frivolous．＇I am not here to dispute on subtleties or to cavil about words＇：like the sophists（Polus，Prodicus，Anaximenes，－Luc．De Her．3），who have displayed their niceties of dialectic or of grammar at
 of synonyms，Plat．Euthyd： 277 玉．

бофьттิิv，к．т．入．］＇These，I consider，are the tasks of worthless and needy declaimers＇．On $\sigma \circ \phi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，see below， introd．to Isocrates $\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \circ \phi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$\pi \mathrm{o}$（тov］Lysias never acquired the Athenian citizenship， though he had deserved it ；but at Olympia he would feel that he was at least a citizen of Greece ：see Attic Orators，i． 151.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega-\tau v \rho \alpha i v \nu \omega v]$ The king of Persia（Artaxerxes Mnemon， $405-359$ в．c．）：－Dionysius I．of Syracuse．
 $\kappa \omega \lambda \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$－arrest their consequences．

єiઈóтas．．．$\tau \hat{\omega} v$＊$\dot{\alpha} \tau v \chi \bar{\omega} v]$＇knowing that rivalry，indeed，is for the prosperous，but that the part of the unfortunate is to devise remedies＇．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{d} \tau \nu \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ is，I think，the true correction of the reading found in the mss．，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aúr $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ．＇The same men＇ would mean here oi $\epsilon \hat{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau 0 \nu \tau \epsilon$ ．But there is no point here in saying that it is the part of prosperous men $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\beta \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \tau \iota \sigma \tau a$ ．He is saying that the Greeks，being the reverse of prosperous，cannot afford to indulge in strife with each other， but must provide for their cominon safety．
51 § 5．T $\hat{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mathrm{X} \rho$ ．一 Tà $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda$ ．］Dobree thought that logical order required $\tau \grave{a} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} E \lambda \lambda$ ．－$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi \chi \rho \eta \mu$ ．：but the
change is needless．The orator puts his two main propositions first．The third（ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇EA入．）could almost have been understood．
av̉iòs］he himself，as distinguished from Dionysius，who in this view is his ally．Sauppe need not，then，wish for oûtos．
 merely＇made them lose＇their land，but＇left them stripped＇of it．
§ 7．ditéX＇OTOL］Sparta was not a town in the proper
 $\kappa \omega ̈ \mu a s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ oiкı $\sigma \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha$ ：Thuc．I．10）．In the Macedonian period it acquired walls；and Polybius describes Sparta as circular in form（ $\sigma \chi \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \epsilon \rho \eta$＇s，จ．22），having a circumference of about six miles－rather less than that of Megalopolis（1x．21）．
$\pi p o o p a \hat{\sigma} \theta a \mathrm{l}$ ］depending on $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i$ is，this might mean there is hope（1）that they are now providing，or（2）that they will con－ tinue to provide，－$\pi \rho о \circ \rho \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ differing from $\pi \rho o o ́ \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ or $\pi \rho o \iota 0 \in i v(a \nu \nu)$ by expressing that the vigilance is to be sustained： and this is the sense here．See Goodwin § 15，n． 2.
§ 8．© émเ亡̀v kalpós］＇The future opportunity＇（at what－ ever particular moment it is to come）：i．e．＇Now the future can give us no better opening than the present＇．$-\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \circ \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu,=\tau 0 \hat{v}$
 is，＇come upon us from both quarters＇．

## II．HEPI TOY MH KATAMY天AI THN IIATPION 52

 HOAITEIAN AOHNHEI．［Or．xxxiv．］－＇A Plea against abolishing the ancestral Constitution of Athens＇：a fragment， preserved（like the last）by Dionysius．When，after the fall of the Thirty，the Democracy was restored in 403 в．с．，it was the aim of Sparta to restrict it．One Phormisios proposed in the Ecclesia that only land－owners should have the franchise，a measure which，according to Dionysius，would have excluded about five thousand citizens．The speech from which he gives an extract was made against this motion during a debate in the Ecelesia．It appears to have heen written by Lysias for some wealthy citizen who was not personally affected by the proposal， and may probably be regarded as the carliest of the orator＇s works now known．－Attic Orutors，1． 211.8 1．Tàs yeүev．ourфopás］＇our past misfortunes＇：the defeat at Acgosprotami，which was popularly ascribed to oligar－ chic treason（see on Lys．In Erutosth．\＄3f，p．2iff），the surren－ der of Athens，and the tyranny of the Thirty．The date of the sperech is shortly after the restoration of the Democracy in 413 B．C．

む̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{2} \nu$ ] 'So that not even a later generation [much less our own] could desire a change in the constitution [from Democracy to Oligarchy]'. $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ à $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \imath \theta \nu \mu \epsilon \hat{i} v$, oblique of oúk à $\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \imath \theta \nu \mu o i ̀ \epsilon \nu .-\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$ : Democracy and Oligarchy.
 - $\delta$ is referring to (1) the Revolution of the 400 in 411 в.с., and (2) the tyranny of the Thirty. This gives more point. Yet $\delta$ od $\delta \eta \mathrm{kal}$ is possible. 'For that very reason [i.e. just because you have had these experiences], though I do not marvel at them, I marvel at you'.
 'I wonder at this in (belonging to) you', so also $\theta a v \mu a \dot{j} \omega \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$


Пєिpatws....̈नтєos] ' men whose fortune associated them with the party of the Peiraeus [the patriots whom Thrasybulus led from Phylè, but whose sympathies were with the party of the Town' [the oligarehical adherents of the Tyranny]. See mote below on In Eratosth. § 92, p. 74.
53 § 3. <oüтє $\pi$ गoút $\varphi$ >] Markland's conj. to supply the lacuna, before oưTє $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon$, is better than oüтє $\dot{\eta} \lambda$ ıкí $($ Stephanus) or oüte ov̇бia (Sauppe). 'Though I am not in danger of exclusion [from the franchise] on the score either of wealth or of birth, but have in both respects the advantage of my opponents'. The proposed restriction of the franchise probably threatened to exclude all who could not satisfy some definition of a pure Attic descent ( $\gamma$ évos), as well as those who did not possess a certain property qualification ( $\pi \lambda$ oû̃os).
 as in Andoc. De Pace § 37, Her. in. 108: v. 1. '́к $\kappa \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \theta a$, 'when we acquired', i.e. 'after we had acquired'.
 did not think of disfranchising any Athenian'. Note that the constr. differs from (though it is akin to) that in which oix ö $\pi \omega$ s... $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{d}=$ 'not only not...but': for this we should need


 $\pi а р \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau 0$.

Eủßocivtv] 'we even proposed to confer on Euboeans the right of intermarriage with Athenians': probably at some time subsequent to the revolt and reduction of the island in 445 в.c. 'In Euboea two-thirds of the island gradually became the property of Attic citizens' (Curt. Hist. Gr. II. 486), i.e. of $\kappa \lambda \eta p o \hat{u}-$
 to the citizenship of a foreign state: others were $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon a$ (exemp-
tion from the taxes on aliens) and ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \iota s$, right of acquiring land.
aंтo入oû $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon v}$ ] 'ruin' (by disfranchisement): so the mss.: Bekker $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$, 'eject from their rights'.
§ 4. $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} v \tau \in X \hat{\omega} v]$ 'along with the walls' (of Athens, demolished in 404 b.c. under the terms imposed by Sparta).
$\pi \lambda \epsilon^{\circ}{ }^{\nu}$ ] 'better' (than you could hope to do otherwise).
 arisen in our own time': those, namely, of 411 and 404 B.C. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is rightly supplied by Reiske.
§ 5. á $\lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{\omega} \tau \in \kappa \operatorname{kal} \mu \epsilon \mu \nu$.] 'Especially when you remember 54 that the champions of oligarchy, while nominally waging war on Democracy, are in fact lusting for your property': alluding to the recent spoliations by the Thirty. See Lys. In Eratosth.

 raid of the Tyrants on the $\mu \dot{\varepsilon}$ rockoc). On the art. with $\dot{\delta} \lambda_{c}$ rapxias, ס'ท̆ $\varphi$, , cp. ib. § 97, note.
§ 6. $\in \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \ldots \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \tau 0 v \sigma เ v]$ 'And then, these persons who are so enamoured of your possessions-what safety are they to find for the city, unless we do what Sparta bids us? But I would $a: k$ them to tell me, what will be left to the people. supposing that we obey her behests?' i.e. the proposed narrowing of the franchise would so diminish the number of omitat and $i \pi \pi e i s$ as to leave Athens, in a military sense, at the merey of Sparta; and if Sparta's dictation were obeyed, the end would be an Oliparchy of the closest type-like that of the Thirty. With Markland's हंpotêol (adopted by Baiter and Sauppe) we munt strike out rois (as they have not done), and render:'And then they ask, How is the city to save your property, unless we do what Sparta hids us?' But the tenor of the argumeut clearly supports the $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ of the mss.
§ 7. 'Apyéous... Mavtivéas] 'Now I observe that the Argives and Maritineians, while they maintain the same policy' [i.e. have democratic governments, instead of oligarchies servile to Spartal, "are in possession of their territory, thongh the Argives ( oois $\mu \dot{e} \nu$ ) touch the frontier of Lacedaemon, and the Mantineians (toris $\delta \ell$ ) are its neighbours, --the citizens of Argos being not more numerous than we are, while those of Mantincia do mot number 3000). Clinton (F. II. in. 517) computes that at this time Argos and Athens may cach have had abont 16,(0)f male citizens, which would five a total frep population for each of about $6 f, 000$ : similarly the free population of Mantineia and its territory would be about 13,000 (ib. p. 507).
 Mantineians.
$55 \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ov่ ka入ós] 'And so the venture strikes them as offering inglorious alternatives; if they conquer their neighbours, they must enslave them too $(\gamma \epsilon)$ : if they are vanquished, they will have robbed themselves of the advantages which they now enjoy'. Reiske's insertion of oủ before ката $\delta o u \lambda \omega \sigma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ (adopted by Baiter and Sauppe) seems to me to make nonsense of the whole sentence. Lys. does not mean 'the risk of failing to enslave them' (where, too, we should expect $\mu \dot{\eta}$, not ou'), but the discredit of being compelled to enslave them, in order to avoid $\tau \dot{\text { ò }} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s \dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.
$<\hat{\eta} \tau \tau 0 \nu>$ ] The sense shows that Reiske is right in supplying $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu$. It is perhaps to be supplied before $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \xi a \mu \in \dot{\mathcal{L}}$ ous in Antiph. Tetr. B. $\beta$. § 2 (above, p. 2, where see note).
 Athenians, during the Peloponnesian invasion of 430 в.c.),

 naval empire of Athens) $\nu$ oui $\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ oj $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$.
of kivסvvos oûTos] 'We know that on this one cast all our hopes of welfare are staked': ó кivס. oûтos, i.e. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \tau \rho i \delta o s$,
 be maintained or not.
 $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu) \epsilon \pi i$ тò $\delta i \kappa \alpha \iota \rho$, to amend (or to act) 'in the direction of right'; but hardly $\epsilon i v a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \grave{o} \delta i \kappa \kappa$., to be on the side of the wronged in the cause of right: hence Taylor's omission of $\epsilon \pi i$ seems warranted. 'Trusting in the gods, and hoping that Justice will be the ally of the injured'. $\mu \epsilon \nu$-каi, (as $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ - $\tau \epsilon$ Soph. O.T. 498), Thuc. II. 65, $\tau$ pía $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ह̈ $\tau \tau \eta$ à $\nu \tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi o \nu$
 understood, $\tau \grave{\delta} \delta i \kappa .=$ 'as is just', an acc. like ro $\lambda \in \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ (Thuc. viI. 68): but this is too harsh.
§ 11. $\phi \in \cup \xi \xi^{\circ} \mu \in \theta a$ ] 'go into exile' -as the mass of Athenian citizens had actually done when the Thirty limited the franchise to 3000 ; see Lys. In Eratosth. § 95, p. 76, and notes, p. 258.

56 III. YIIEP MANTIOEOY. [Or. xvi.]-The name occurs only in the title, which, contrary to the general rule, is perhaps of the same age as the speech-'A defence for Mantitheus on his Scrutiny before the Senate'. The office to which this scrutiny related was perhaps that of an ordinary senator, since in § 8 the speaker cites instances of persons
who had really done what he is charged with doing, and had yet been admitted to the Senate. The complaint against him was that his name appeared on the list ( $\sigma a \nu i \delta \iota o \nu, \S 6$ ) of those who had served as Knights in the time of the Thirty. As or. xxvi. against Evandrus shows (§ 10), the fact of such service under the Tyrants became, after the restoration of the Democracy, a disqualification for the office of senator. Mantitheus must, then, have been at least eighteen years of age in 405 b.c., and so must have been born before 422. He refers to his share in campaigns subsequent to that of 394 B.c. (§§ 1518). On the other hand, the tone of $\S 15$ rather suggests that Thrasybulus was still alive;-that is, that the speech is earlier than 389 b.c. The date may have been about 392 в.c. The speaker, who was taunted with youthful presumption ( $\$ 20$ ), cannot hare been much more than thirty.-Attic Orators, 1. 24 อั.
§ 1. Tஸ̂v av́rois $\beta \in \beta$.] 'their course of life'. Dem. De Cor.

§ 3. $\dot{\alpha} \xi \mathbf{\xi} \omega \hat{\omega} \mathbf{\delta} \mathbf{\epsilon}]$ ' What I ask of your House is this:-If I merely prove that I am loyal to the existing Constitution' [the restored Democracy], 'and that I have been compelled to share your dangers' [by ordinary service in war], 'let not that bring me any positive $(\pi \omega)$ credit: but if my career is shown to have been worthy in the most complete sense-in a sense directly opposed to the opinion and the assertions of my enemies-then I pray you to ratify my admission [to the Senate], and to pass a silent condemnation on my foes'.-каl $\pi \in \rho i \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{a} \backslash \lambda a$ : 'in all other things also': i.e not only in the rudiments of cirie loyalty, but in all its duties, public and private.
§ 4. Tท̂s ivv'E入入. $\sigma$.- Eátupov] The Athenian overthrow 57 at Aegospotami, 405 b.c.-Satyrus, king of Bosporus in the Tauric Chersonese (Crimea), 407-393 b.c. The Trapeziticus of Isocrates (or. xvir.) was written for a subject of this Satyrus. Cp. Attic Orators, ir. 222.
 down' [under the terms imposed by Sparta when Athens surrembered to Lysander in the spring of 404 B.e.], ' nor when the form of eovernment was being changed '[hy the establishment of the Thirty Tyrants a little later, in April, 40f]. Seo
 poúper seems to have dropped out of the mss.
856. Tivv a $\lambda \lambda \cot \rho . \mathrm{k} เ v \delta$.] i.e. the perils of the Thirty Tyrants, who were deprosed soon after the advance of Thrasybulus and the exilus from l'hyle to the I'eiratun (Dec. 404 B.C.), and
were succeeded by the Ten．The exiles were in possession of Athens in July 403，and the Democracy was formally restored in September．

тoîs $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \nu$ é $\xi$ ．］＇who had no share in their crimes＇，and therefore no claim upon their favour．
 gypsum），the official list．Cp．Lys．In Epicr．（or．xxvi．）§ 16，$\epsilon i$



тov̀s фu入ápxous，к．т．入．］＇that the cavalry commanders＇ （10 in number，one for each $\phi \cup \lambda \dot{\eta}$－opp．to takiap manders of the tribal infantry）＇should make a return of those who had served as Knights，in order that you might compel them to refund the sums paid to them for their equipment＇（кaтaбтáбєєs，＇appointments＇－allowances for outfit made by the State to $i \pi \pi \epsilon i$ is when first enrolled）．
§ 7．тoîs $\sigma v v$ íkoss］The Fiscal Board of Ten，－the Athenian＇Solicitors to the Treasury＇－by whom he would
 Cp．Harpocration p．279，$\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \delta \iota \kappa о \iota, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o u ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \cup \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon-$
 （i．e．at the restoration of the Democracy in 403 b．c．）：Herm． Ant．I．§ 151 n .4.

ои้тє кат．тара入．］＇nor that I had received any allowance＇ （in the first instance）．Bake reads катаßа入bvтa，which could hardly $=$＇refunded＇．Others regard the clause as interpolated．
éxelvors］The list kept by the фú̀apxoc：тoútoıs－the list on the raviotov．
 Mantitheus himself was probably for admission to the $\beta$ oun $\dot{\eta}$ （see introd．）．
$\mu a \rho \tau u ́ \rho \eta \sigma o v]$ viz．that I returned to the Peiraeus only at the time stated in § 4 ．
§ 9．taîs סokıц．］＇Cases of scrutiny＇：esp．the scrutiny by the Senate of officials designate．Four other speeches of Lysias are concerned with бокı $\mu \alpha \sigma \dot{i} \alpha$, viz．xxvi．xxxi．xxv．xxiv． See Attic Orators，I．215，and 242－254．
59 § 10．＇̇สifov́s］＇giving a dowry of 30 minas to each＇：i．e． giving with（ $\epsilon \pi i$ ）the bride（to the husband）：Il．IX．148，$\delta \delta \sigma \sigma^{\prime}$


ह̇vєє $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ ］＇shared my inheritance＇：Isae．or．viI．§ 5，

 merely, as the context shows, his discharge of public duties, but, more generally, the character which he bore in society, as distinguished from his conduct of family affairs ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \delta(\alpha)$.
$\pi \in \rho l$ кúßovs] Cp. Lys. or. xiv. § 27 (of the younger Alcibiades), катакъ;३єúซas tà o̊vтa. Isocrates says of the idle youth

 § 287.
 suit, nor a public prosecution, nor an impeachment (for an offence more directly against the State).
§ 13. eis 'A入iaprov] The Athenian expedition in 325 в.c. for the relief of Haliartus, defended by the Thebans against the Lacedaemonians, whose leader, Lysander, was killed in the battle under its walls: Xen. Hellen. mir. 5. 16 f.

ग̀you ${ }^{\prime}$ vovs] synonymous with voui乡ovtas. The contrasted 60
 kiv $\delta u v o \nu$. The notion of 'thinking' is common to both clauses. Yet it is repeated in different words, as if these words, too, were contrasted. This trait deserves remark as showing immaturity in the use of antithesis. Cp. or. xxv. § 22, ท่ үoú $\mu \in \nu 0$ o



 ḋmodounévous. See, too, note on Antiphon De Caed. Herod. § 87 (above, p. 21).
 for admission to the cavalry: the law was, ted v Tis ádoкíдабтos

$\tau \bar{\psi}$ 'Op0oßoúdч] prob. the phylarch of the tribe to which Mantitheus belunged.

8 14. 'фо $\delta(\omega v$ ] 'funds' for their equipment and journey. M. assisted the more needy of his own $\delta \eta \mu \delta \tau \alpha$, who were personally known to him. The tribe consisted of demes not adjacent to each other.
815. els Kópıvoov] in 394 r.c., when the allies, including the Athenian contingent under Thrasybulus (whose demo was Stuiria-hence i $\sigma e \mu \nu d s$ 2retpetis), were defeated hy the Spartans: Xen. IIellen. iv. 2. 9-23.

[^10]* évaro日avóvicv] 'died on the field'. The place to which the $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ - of the compound refers is left to be understood from the

 $\theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$. While $\overline{\epsilon \nu a \pi о \theta \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega}$ is current in classical prose, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \partial \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega$ is unknown to it, being (like the simple $\theta \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) a poetical word.
§ 16. $x \omega \rho i \omega v i \sigma x$.$] 'strong positions having been occupied$ (by the Athenians and their allies), so that the enemy (the Spartans) could not approach'. The reading mapléval (pass the Isthmus) would give more point.
61 'Ay $\quad$ бidáov $\delta^{\prime}$ eis тท̀v B. $]$ Agesilaus, recalled from Asia Minor, entered Boeotia from the north, and won the battle of Coroneia against the allies (394 b.c.).
diтохшploat...ßoŋ $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.] ' to detach some companies as supports' (of the allied forces in Boeotia). ßont $\dot{\eta} \sigma 0 v \sigma \iota$ can only mean,
 is opp. to peril at Corinth.
áyaintஸ̄s... $\sigma \in \sigma$.] 'barely saved': '.e. so as only just to satisfy the desire of safety: cp. Plat. Lysis 218 c, é $\chi \omega \nu$

$\left.\alpha^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{k} \eta \eta \rho \omega \tau i\right]$ 'without ballot'-waiving the chance of not being drawn.
§ 18. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ kal $\phi \rho$.] 'expeditions and terms of garrison duty'.
 long hair',-a custom which, at this period, was retained by the Spartans, but which, at Athens, was restricted to youths under 18, and to the ' $I \pi \pi \epsilon i s,-$ being regarded, in other cases, as a mark of foppery, or as an affectation of Spartan manners. конâ, Hamaker's conjecture, is irresistibly commended by the context here. The traditional ro $\lambda \mu \hat{a}$ is not only weak, but incompatible with the context; for the verb ought to denote some harmless personal peculiarity which is contrasted with sterling merit in the field ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \kappa \nu \delta \nu \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \downarrow \dot{\epsilon} \theta \in \lambda \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). So the

 ठ́ $\psi \epsilon \omega$ s (personal appearance). With $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủk supply $\chi \rho \eta$ ': we could not have $\chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ ov̉ $\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon i ้ \nu$.

62 § 19. $\mu$ ккро̀v $\delta$., к.т. $\lambda_{\text {.] }}$ 'though their voice was low and their dress decorous'. Cp. [Dem.] or. xxxvir. Adv. Callipp.

 as if his youth made it strange that he should take a leading part-being then, probably, about 30 years old.-каi є̇ $\mu a u \tau \hat{\psi}$, 'to myself also ' (and not only to my possible crities).


kpıral] 'the judgment on their character rests with none but you': крıтаi is more general than $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau a i$, judges of their (legal) cause. Cp. Antiph. De Caed. Her. § 94 (above, p. 24).
IV. YחEP TOY ADYNATOY. [Or. xxiv.]-'For the Invalid'. At Athens a certain allowance was made by the State to the doívarol: that is, to persons who were unable, through bolily ailment, to earn a livelihood, and who had less than three mi:are of private property. Once a year, or perhaps oftener, the list of applicants for such relief was scrutinised by the Senate and then passed by the Ecclesia (§22). It is on the occasion of such a scrutiny that the present speech is made. The speaker had for years ( 8 8) been in receipt of an obol daily ( $\$ 26$ ) from the State; but lately it had been attempted to show that he was not entitled to public relief. This objection is termed in the title to the speech (not in the speech itself) an impeachment (eisangelia); but had, of course, nothing in common with eisangeliae technically so called, except that it was an accusation laid immediately before the Senate. As appears from § 25, the date was later than 403 B.C. ; and it might be inferred that the memory of the tyranny in 404 B.c. was no longer very recent.-Attic Orators, 1. 254 .

## § $10-14$.

810. imm<кฑ̧]. 'As to my riding, which he has had the hardihood to mention to you, -so little does he fear Fortune, or respect your common sense-the reply is brief'. Túx $\eta$ may some day make him dóúvatov, and then he will need the
 ¿v $\tau \hat{y}$ marpiôc, 'the only privilege which Fortune [who has afflicted me] has permitted me to enjoy in my country'.
 beeanse he asks thrm not to believe their own eyes, \& 14.

фidogoфeiv] 'study'. Isocr. P'anegyr. §f, $\pi$ ŵs oú xpin 63 oxoteiv kai qu入ocopeiv toûtov tòv $\lambda$ doyov; (the theme of a I'anhellenic war on l'orsia): for фi入oroфia in the general sense of study, see Altic Orators, 11. 3f.
ta's $\mu a k \rho$. т $\hat{\omega} v$ àvayk.] 'for the longer of my necessary excursions'; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \nu a \gamma \kappa a i \omega \nu$ is partitive gen., not gen. after щакротє́раs. The longest of his ódoi were still only àvaүкаîau.
§ 11. $\dot{a} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \beta \eta s$ ] 'If I were wealthy, I should sit at ease on my mule, instead of riding other men's horses ': $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta$, a padded saddle with a back like a chair, used by luxurious persons, who preferred steady-going mules: hence the notion that $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho$. meant a mule. Cp. Dem. In Mid. § 133, $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta s$ ó oúrevos á $\rho \gamma$ vpâs. In Lucian Lexiphanes 2, ó $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho a \beta \eta \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta s=$ 'the muleteer'.-avéßaıvov: an effort for him, as the ordinary Greek saddle, or rather horsecloth ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \phi i \pi \pi \iota \circ \nu, s c . \sigma \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha)$, of that period had no stirrups.
§ 12. тov̂tov av́тòv... $\sigma เ \omega \pi \hat{\alpha} v]$ ' that the prosecutor himself should be silent, if he saw me in my mule-saddle (for what could he say?)' The insertion of ${ }_{a} \nu \nu$ before $\sigma \omega \pi \pi \widehat{\alpha} \nu$ is unnecessary, because the parenthesis, $\tau l$ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho d \nu$ кai ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \in \nu$; is equivalent to $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\text { ä }}{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \omega ́ \pi a$.- $\delta v \nu a \tau o ́ s, ~ ' s o u n d ': ~ c p . ~ § 4, ~$

ois] 'when I have the same reason for using both these resources' (crutches and riding).
64 § 13. к $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \eta \boldsymbol{\rho}$. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ह. ajpx.] 'to ballot for a place among the nine archons'. The archons were $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau o i$, chosen by lot, (opp. to aipєтоl, chosen by $\chi \in \iota \rho о \tau о \nu i a$, ) prob. from Solon's time, though Her. vi. 109 ascribes the change to Cleisthenes. Cp. the pseudo-Lysian or. vi. In Andoc. § 4, ä $\nu$ é $\lambda \theta \eta \quad \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \sigma \mu \in \nu$ os
 Basileus).- $\dot{\alpha} \nu \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \varphi$, ' a cripple'.
 not be deprived of his allowance by you on the ground that he is able-bodied, and excluded by the presidents of the ballot on the ground that he is physically disabled': oi $\delta \epsilon \in=o i \quad \theta \in \sigma \mu \circ$. Ó̇ral, who presided over the ballot for offices: Aeschin. In Ctes.
 $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ Ө $\eta \sigma \epsilon i \varphi$.
§ 14. $\left.\omega \ddot{\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho} \mathfrak{e} \pi \tau \kappa \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \rho \circ v\right]$ When the property of a deceased citizen was inherited by his daughter, her nearest male kinsman was legally entitled to claim her in marriage: and this claim was sometimes enforced, acc. to Isaeus, even when it involved the dissolution of a marriage contracted before her father's death:
 rovaîkas. So the prosecutor, the ádúvaros says with grim lumour, seeks to take the $\sigma v \mu$ popá-dowered as it is with its one obol a day-away from its lawful consort. The Greeks

make the fancy seem less strained. For $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ with gen. of the object claimed, cp. Isae. or. v. § 14 (below, p. 170) $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma-$

V. KATA EPATOEOENOYE. [Or. xir.]-Polemarchus, 65 brother of Lysias, had been put to death by the Thirty Tyrants. Eratosthenes, one of their number, was the man who had arrested him and taken him to prison. In this speech Lysias, himself the speaker, charges Eratosthenes with the murder of Polemarchus, and, generally, with his share in the Tyranny. A special clause in the Amnesty of 403 b.c. excluded the Thirty Tyrants, the Ten who had succeeded them, and the Eleven who had executed their sentences. But any one even of these might enjoy the Amnesty if he chose to stand a public inquiry, and was acquitted. When the oligarchy was finaily overthrown, Pheidon and Eratosthenes were the only members of it who stayed at Athens. As they dared to do this, they must have availed themselves of the permission to give account of their office. Here, then, we have not to do with an ordinary indictment for murder (रpaф̀̀ фóvou). The public inquiry into the conduct of Eratosthenes afforded Lysias the opportunity for preferring his accusation. This is indicated (1) by the wide range of topics in the speech, dealing, as it does, with the whole history of the Anarchy: (2) by $\S 37$, where the accuser says that he has done enough in having shown that the guilt of the accused reaches the point at which death is deserved: which he could searcely have said if (as in a rpaфi $\phi \dot{\text { onou) }}$ death had been the necessary penalty in case of conviction.

Date, 403 b.C., shortly after the formal restoration of the Democracy in Sept., and before the expedition against Elcusis had dislodged the fugitive Tyrants from that place (Xen. Hellen. 11. 4. 43): see $\S 80$ of the speech, $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 1. 261 f .

## 1. Narrative: the Murder of Polemarchus.-§§ 1-30.

8 1. av̉roîs]= toîs ipiákovta, whose names were already before the court. In § 33, тoútous= the Thirty ass represented in court by Eratosthenes.



8. Toúvartiov 86 .eģapaprávetv] 'And I believe that our asperience (as accusers of E.) 'wall be contrary to all prece-
dent. Heretofore the accuser has always been expected to show what enmity exists between himself and the accused: here, it is from the accused that we have to ask what was that enmity towards the Commonwealth which gave them the heart to sin so enormously against it'. Personal enmity ( $\epsilon \chi \nexists \rho \rho a)$ was regarded as a proof that the accuser was in grim earnest,- that he was not a mere busy-body ( $\pi 0 \lambda v \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \omega \nu$ ) or mercenary calumniator ( $\sigma v \kappa 0 \phi a^{\prime} \nu \tau \eta$ ). Thus the accuser of Agoratus begins by showing that his own wrongs entitle him

 personal quarrel with $A$. is the same as that of the Athenian People': In Agor. § 1.
 $\epsilon i \eta$ may be the oblique either of $\hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ or of $\hat{\eta} \nu$ : $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тoùs кat $\eta \gamma$. $\dot{\epsilon \pi \iota \delta}$. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho$. $\eta^{\eta} \tau \iota s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$, (or $\hat{\eta} \nu$, was when they brought the charge): but is best taken as representing $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \ell$. Just to avoid this ambiguity, the Greek imperf. and pluperf. are usually kept in the indic., even when they might be changed into the optat. For an exception, see Dem. Adv. Onet. 1. § 20, aं $\pi \epsilon \kappa \rho i \nu \alpha \nu \tau о$ öть oúdeis $\mu$ ápтus mapei $\eta$ : this would usually mean, 'they replieu, "No witness is present"" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota)$ : it really means, "they replied, "No witness was (at that former time) present", ( $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \nu)$.-Cp. Goodwin pp. 148, 153.
ov̉ $\mu$ évтol...'́pyí̧.] 'I do not speak, however, as one who has no personal resentments or grievances' [against the Thirty]; 'I only mean that everyone has abundant matter of indignation against them, either on private or on public grounds': i.e. if there be any one who (unlike myself) has no private wrongs to resent, he may remember the wrongs of the community. The first part of L.'s speech (§§ 1-36) deals

§ 3. $\pi \circ \iota \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \alpha l]$ better, I think, here than $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, which Rauchenstein prefers. The fut. indic., after a verb of fearing, usually implies that the thing feared is vividly seen as the more probable of the possible results; the subjunctive suits the present context better, because it better expresses a mind divided between fear and hope.
66 § 4. Kéфa入os] A Syracusan who settled at Athens as a $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$ токкоs. Plato marks his hospitable disposition in the Republic (328 D), of which the opening scene is laid at the house of his eldest son Polemarchus.-Attic Orators, i. 145.
èsıкаन ${ }^{\prime} \mu \in \theta a$...éфv́y.] 'maintained' an action-'defended it'. Though $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ can be said of both parties to a suit, yet бiкп $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \dot{\beta} \epsilon \sigma \theta a i \quad \tau \iota \nu$ is esp. said of him who 'goes t ) law' with another,- $\dot{\delta} \delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \omega \nu$.
§ 5. оикофа́vтal] 'mercenary accusers'. Cp. Lys. or. xxv.



$\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l]$ 'and that the rest of the citizens should come into the paths of virtue and justice '. It is needless to conjecture $\pi \rho о т \rho \in ́ \notin \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$.
[kal] tolav̂ta $\lambda$ '́youtes] The кai here seems clearly a spurious addition, whether due to a mere error of the eye, or to a desire of connecting фа́бкоעтєs with $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \tau \epsilon$. Only two versions of it are possible, and neither is tolerable. (1) 'and saying things of that kind',-so that the words become a general statement appended to the special statement фá⿱ккогтєs $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \nu a \iota,-a$ sort of 'etcetera': (2) 'though they said such things'. But, in a simple contrast between deed and word, кai would not thus be added to the participle. Here, if so added, it ought rather to mean ' even when saying'.
 own affairs ( $\$ 81-36$ ), I will endeavour to bring to your memory in regard to your affairs also': $\alpha^{\nu} \nu \mu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota=\delta \iota \delta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \iota$ à $\alpha \mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \tau \alpha$, with каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$.
 the rule of the Thirty was unconstitutional (ov่ $\mu \in \tau \dot{a} \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu$ ), and was known, when a real modıtela had been restored, as the à $\mathbf{v a p \chi i a .}$

 (Athenians in Sicily), Thuc. vir. 13: but act. $\chi \rho \eta \mu a t l \xi \in \iota$, , to transact (public) business, ib. I. 87.
$\pi$ ávews] 'at any rate'--i.e. whatever view their colleagues might be disposed to take of the project for plundering the resident aliens.

8 7. ท่ Yoûvto... ใToเoûvto] See note above on p. $60 \S 13$,

iva....mpos tove äd ${ }^{2}$ ous] 'in order that, as aqainst the others', [the eight rich $\mu$ '́rockot,] 'they might have the plea ' $[\eta$ vivid for ein] that these measures had not been taken from mercenary motives, but in the interests of the Constitution,just as they might defend any other measure adopted for
 ixocev: the nom., as if lya é $\chi \omega \sigma \sigma$ àmodoyian (instead of liva arrois $j$ àmo入.) had preceded.-ridoycs, i.e. for reasons satisfactory to the government, though not communicated to the people.
 the $\mu$ '́токкоь], and the visits began'. Cp. Dem. De Cor. § 132,
 without special authority from the Ecclesia.
§ 8. тò '́pyaftripıov] The shield-manufactory, the property of the brothers, near the house in the Peiraeus occupied by Lysias: see Attic Orators, I. 147.- $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \phi \quad \nu \tau 0$, ' proceeded to take a list of'.
${ }^{\prime} \dot{\text { ® }}$ $\hat{\eta}$ - - єiŋ $\nu$, oblique of $\epsilon i \mu l$ (not of $\hat{\eta} \nu$ ): see on $\eta^{\prime} \tau \iota s \epsilon^{i} \eta$, § 2.тaûta, not тои̂тo: so § 14.
 p. 11).
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vimŋрєт $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ] apparitors, attending him in his official capacity, since the search was made by authority of the Thirty.


 be content', i.e. 'you must be'. 'You may think yourself lucky enough if you save your life'.

 $\gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu$ е́vol.
 the historic pres. $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ is equivalent to a secondary tense: $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \in \psi \eta \tau a \iota$, and not $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \in \psi a \iota \tau 0$, by the vivid construction.
§ 13. vimápXovtos] 'was assured '.
§ 14. $\pi \rho o ́ \theta . \pi$. тฑेv $\sigma \epsilon a v \tau o \hat{v}$ 8.] i.e. do all that lies in your power, $=\delta \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \gamma \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ $\sigma o l \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$.
§ 15. öтt á $\mu \phi$ [日vpos el $\eta$ ] 'that there was a passage through it' (from the front-door, aú入є $\epsilon o s$ $\theta \dot{\rho} \rho a$, to the back-door, $\kappa \eta \pi a i a$ $\theta \dot{v} p a)$. Of the three doors in § 16, one would be the $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha u \lambda o s$, another the к $\eta \pi \alpha a$ a.
 should be saved, but if I were caught-well, in that case I thought that I should get off nevertheless, supposing Th. had been persuaded by $D$. to take the money; or if he had not, my prospect of death would only be the same' [as if I did not try to save myself by flight]. Instead of $\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a \iota, a \dot{a} \pi о \theta a \nu o u ̂ \mu a \iota$, depending on $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \nu \mu o v \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$, we have the futures infin. depending
on in $\gamma$ oúunv，－inserted to avoid the awkwardness of one con－ ditional clause immediately following another（ $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega}, \epsilon i$

§ 16．eis äбтv］The ship－master lived in the Peiraens， where Lysias himself resided．－aủróv，Polemarchus．

§ 18．тр七ิv．．．av̌róv］＇Though we had three houses，they did not allow the funeral（ $\dot{\kappa ф о \rho а ́) ~ t o ~ t a k e ~ p l a c e ~ f r o m ~ a n y ~ o n e ~}$ of them，but hired a mean tenement，and there laid out the corpse＇．$\kappa \lambda i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ，also written $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{i} \sigma \iota o \nu$（from $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ ，not $\kappa \lambda \hat{\nu} \nu \omega$ ？）， is used by Antiphanes（＇Aréotpla 2，Mein．Frag．Com．p．348） of an outhouse or shed for cattle：$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oixias tò к入í̄cov tò

 sc．סoús．
§ 19．кó $\sigma \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ］here，apparently，＇valuables＇，articles of vertu，etc．，as dist．from＇゙ $\pi \iota \pi \lambda \alpha$ ，furniture．кó $\sigma \mu$ os in sing． usu．$=$ dress，personal adornments，as $I l$ ．xiv．187，$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho i$ хроі өйкато ко́б $\mu$ оу．
 might mean，＇thought that they had acquired＇）．So $\S 26$ ，ouk
 In such cases，the work of indicating future time is done by the principal verl，（ $\backslash \pi i j \omega$ ，etc．），and the aor．infin．has its proper function of marking a momentary as opposed to a con－ tinued or repreated act．It is tempting here to read $\kappa \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota$ ： but the context，and the usage of oromac，seem to render it unnecessary．－－Cp．nute on p． $50 \S 2, \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \eta_{\sigma} \sigma a t o . .<a ̆ \nu>\gamma \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．
 benelit of the Treasury＇．（ $\dot{a} \pi \hat{\varepsilon} \delta \Delta \nu \tau o$ would have meant＇sold＇．）

тобav́тๆレ．．．тฑ̂s үáp］Cp．Andoc．De Pace § 33 （above，p．


ӧтє то̀ $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau 0 v]$＇when Melobius first visited the house＇． Francien puts a comma after olkiav，understanding＇when she first came（as a bride）to the house＇：but a（rreek would not

 ral insertion of oúg＇is a rhetorical，though mot a logical， wecossity．＂They outraged us as other men would not outrage their litterst enemies＇．Omit the＇not＇；the statement remains intulligilis，but ceases to be effective．
cloфopás－$\lambda$ voquévous］Occasionial＇war－taxes＇，in addition 70 to the regular petoictov paid by resident aliens．－$\lambda v \sigma a \mu t{ }^{2}$ ous：
 $\epsilon i \sigma \phi \circ \rho a ̀ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \lambda u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ a i \chi \chi \mu \lambda \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ к a i ~ \tau o l a u ́ t a s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a s ~ \phi i \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a s . ~$
§ 21. $\mu \in \lambda \lambda$ ov́ $\sigma$ as ék $\delta(\delta o \sigma \theta a l]$ 'prevented the approaching marriage of many a daughter'-by leaving her father without the means of giving her a dower ( $\pi \rho о i ̂ \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta o u ̂ \nu a \iota)$.
 cp. Antiph. De Caed. Her. § 1 (above, p. 8). 'For my part, I could wish that their story were true, since my own share in that gain would not be small': i.e. his brother would be alive, and their wealth would be intact.-ou้тє...тоьâ̂тa úmá $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota$, 'they have not such a case': cannot plead such innocence.
§ 24. kal $\pi$ po's ' 'tepov] The man stained with murder
 єîvaı тò $\pi a \lambda a \mu \nu a i ̂ o \nu \nu \dot{\sigma} \mu s)$ : and for the relatives of the slain it was not öctov to accost him (Isae. or. Ix. § 20: cp. Soph. O.T. 238). By a rhetorical exaggeration, Lysias says that he would think it impious to speak even about Eratosthenes, $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$ ci $\phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ la, for E.'s advantage.
 supplied in brackets might easily have dropped out, by accident, or through their supposed redundancy. They are clearly requisite to the rhetorical point of the passage viz., the contrast between his alleged protest and his subsequent acts. In protesting, was your aim to kill us, or to save us? 'To save you'. And yet afterwards you did everything in your power to kill us? 'Was the object of your protest to kill us? or to save us?'
§ 26. $\epsilon \theta$ '] єira, 'so'-i.e. after protesting as you allege.
 protest was fruitless'. See on Andoc. De Pace, §§ 29, p. 232.
ov̉k olet... Sov̂val] ' do you not expect to pay the penalty?' See above on § 19, ※ّоуто ктท́бабӨaц.
§ 27. ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \delta \eta^{\prime} \pi{ }^{\text {mov] }}$ 'For I presume that they did not mean to make the case of the resident aliens the test of his loyalty': i.e. the Thirty Tyrants had proved the fidelity of Eratosthenes to their commands in the case of so many citizens that they did not need to test him on humbler victims. é $\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \mu$ $\beta a \nu o \nu$, like ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu \lambda a \beta \epsilon i \nu$. ${ }^{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a$ : besides, as it happened, he was a peculiarly unsuitable instrument in this particular case, if (as he says) he had opposed the measure.
72 § 28. тoîs $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ ä $\lambda \lambda$ oıs 'A 0 .] 'The other Athenians' are, as $\S 30$ shows, those on whom the Thirty had imposed odious tasks, esp. of domiciliary search.
§29. kal $\left.\lambda_{\eta} \psi^{\prime} \in \sigma \theta \epsilon\right]$ ' from whom will you ever exact satisfaction?'
 not in the house but in the street-when he might have saved him without breaking the decree of the Thirty-that he arrested him and took him to prison': i.e. the commands of the Thirty (if such had been given to Eratosthenes) would have been satisfied by a domiciliary search: cp. § 8. He was not obliged to arrest Polemarchus when he met him in the street.

Baiter (see the critical note) keeps closer to the mss. by reading $\sigma \dot{\psi}$ ¢ovta aúróv, 'when trying to save himself' (the active as in $\S 11$ ): but the words катà $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ тои́тoıs $\dot{\epsilon} \psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \mu \in ́ \nu a$ must then be taken with $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega}$, against the sense, since then they tend to excuse E. Sauppe, $\sigma \dot{\psi} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon$ aủтò̀ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau$. $\dot{\epsilon} \psi$. $\pi a \rho \delta \nu$, i.e. aútoy $\tau \epsilon$ кai, 'to save at once his life and the letter of the decree'. This is neat, but the double use of $\sigma \psi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu$ is unseasonably epigrammatic.
 ance for those who destroyed their neighbours to save themselves, those others' [who were not members of the Oligarchy] 'have a better claim to your indulgence' [than Eratosthenes has]; 'for they incurred peril if they failed to go when they were sent' [to make an arrest], 'or if, when they had found the person at home, they denied the fact. But E. might have said that he had not met with Polemarchus, or at all events that he had not seen him': हैंtira-i.e. if it was urged that he had certainly met him.
 could not be disproved, or even tested'.
 false antithesis ( $0 i \mu \cdot \alpha \pi \circ \theta$. and oi $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda$. being the same), cp. note on Pro Mantilheo § 13 (p. (60).
 discussion at the Board of the Thirty, in which E., as he alleues, had opposed the measures taken against Lysias and Polemarchus: 88825 f .

тapeivar-map' av́rois eival] 'Sinee, so far from being allowed to assist at their councils, we were not allowed even to remain in our own homes' (chez nous: 'p). apmad se, Cic. De Or. 1. \$214)--roirots rois rpákovta, as represented by Liratosthenes.

тávтa тd какá, к.т.入.] Dohree would read $\pi$ ávтa кака́, as
 кака, all sorth of evils: па́vта та кака́, all possible evils.
§ 34. тоûto $\mu$ '́v̌ol ov̉ фєúyc] ' I do not shrink, however, from meeting you on this point': i.e. as I cannot prove that you did not protest, I am ready to assume that you did.
*є́roínoas] So Dobree for moıñals. The optative can be defended as an abstract hypothesis. But the mention of Polemarchus in the sentence certainly strengthens the presumption that Lysias said, 'what would you have done?' rather than, 'what would you do?'
 Kayser, $\dot{\epsilon} \tau v \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \ldots \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \psi \eta \phi \dot{i} \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$; The imperf. $(\dot{\alpha} \nu) \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \epsilon \psi \eta-$ $\phi i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon ;=$ 'would you have been disposed to acquit him?' The aor. ( $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ ) $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon ;=$ ' would you have acquitted him?'-which is more forcible here, since it implies that his condemnation is already assured. And if $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \psi \eta \phi \ell \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is genuine, then $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \cup ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ may well be so too, though $\dot{\epsilon} \tau v \gamma \chi \dot{\jmath} \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ would be equally fitting.
§ 35. kal $\mu$ èv $\delta \eta$ そ́] Cp. § 30. 'And further': i.e. apart from the intrinsic merits of the case, it will be taken as a precerlent. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ simply 'Athenians': not $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \ddot{\xi} \sigma \tau \epsilon o s$ opp. to oi éк Пєєpatîs (below § 92). no worse off than the rest of you'; i.e. will retain their civic privileges, instead of being punished with ditıuia or death.


є́ккๆри́ттоvoเv] ' banish by proclamation':--referring, apparently, to some particular members of the late Oligarchy who had vainly sought refuge in other cities. The party of the Thirty still had their head-quarters at Eleusis: see introd., p. 249.
$\tau \mu \omega \rho 0 \nu \mu \hat{v} v o v s]$ The act. might seem more natural here, but the midd. need imply no more than that the chastiser's own sense of justice is satisfied: cp. below § 94, In Agor. § 76.
§ 36. тov̀s... $\sigma$ трatๆүoús] The six generals who were put to death after the Athenian victory at Arginusae ( 406 в.c.) for having failed to pick up the floating bodies of the slain, or to save the men in the disabled ships, (both are included under roùs éк $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ өa入ár $\tau \eta \mathrm{s}$ :) Grote, viII. 238. Cp. Plat. Apol. p. 32 в,

 all that lay in their power to bring disaster on your fleet' (at Aegospotami, 405 в.c.). 'The general belief...held that the Athenian fleet had been sold to perdition by the treason of some of its own commanders', Grote, viri. 300. Lysias means that the oligarchical éraıpiat-worked by such men as soon
afterwards became Tyrants-had prepared this result. Cp. Xen. H. II. 1. 32, Isocr. Philipp. § 62.

ล̇токтเทvúvaı] imperf. = őть à $\pi \epsilon \kappa \tau i \nu \nu v \sigma a \nu$.
oủk äpa Xpท́] The construction, as originally planned, was
 where, however, oủ ko\á $\sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ would stand, as in Thuc. 1. 121,
 $\mu \varepsilon \nu$. (See note to p. 93 § 8 , ou $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \delta \dot{\eta} \pi{ }^{2} o v$, к.т.入.) But here the insertion of xpí gives a new turn to the close:-'now ought they not to be punished?' Cp. the insertion of $\dot{\eta} \gamma \circ \cup{ }^{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$ in $\S 15$, note, p. 252.

## 2. Peroration.- $\$ 892-100$ (end).

This passage is translated in the Attic Orators, 1. 189-192.
 of the Town': those who were at Athens under the tyranny of the Thirty, and who were thus identified with the oligarchical side in the struggle between the Tyrants and the patriots. oi $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ Пєьрatw̄s, the popular party: the exiles led by Thrasybulus, who came from Phylè to the Peiraeus in Dec. 404 b.c., and recovered Athens before the end of July, 403 в.c. Cp. above,
 oütos ที้.
 vanquished, you are the equals of the conquerors' (the patriotic party), 'whereas, had you conquered, you would have been the slaves of the Tyrants' : i.e. they had been forced to fight against their own interests. toútots = toîs тptáкolta, as in § 33.
§93. âv Eктígavto] 'they would have gained wealth for their own houses from the administration' (if they had prevailed in the struggle). There seems no reason for suspecting the genuineness of ay. If it were absent, the statement of fact would apply to the time before the struggle, when the Thirty were in power.

т $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ v aya0̂̀v] 'their prizes' (their power and ill-gotten wealth): $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$, ' their dishonours'- the outrages in which they compelled Athenian citizens to be their instruments: see 830.
"ev̉vot ب̧oveo eivar] ' (Instead of seeking to win your loyalty hy giving you partnership in their prizes), they fancied themselves friendly if they gave you a share of their dishonours'. I read suvos, instrad of covous, which the mss. give, for the following reasons. (1) eîvous can be taken only as accus. plur. We find, indeed, a statement that the comic poet Philemon
used cêvous for $\epsilon \mathfrak{v o t}$, as if by crasis from cűvocs (Philem. fr. 122, Meineke): but such a notice is not sufficient warrant for assuming so strange a license in Lysias. (2) tüvous being, then, accus. plur., the words would mean, 'thought that you were friendly'. But the sense wanted is, 'thought that you ought to be much obliged to them'. This sense would be obtained if we adopted Dobree's suggestion, and read củvous फैovio < $\delta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu>\epsilon i v a l$. (3) But it appears more probable that an original $\epsilon \hat{v} v o t$ should have been altered to $\epsilon \check{v} v o u s$, for the sake of symmetry with $\pi$ וб oous in the preceding clause, than that $\delta \in i v$ should have been omitted.
§ 94. toîs $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu$ [oıs] The party of the Thirty at Eleusis:

$\tau \hat{\omega} v$ émıkoúp$\omega v]$ 'the foreign troops': the Lacedaemonian garrison of 700, under Callibius, which supported the Tyranny: Xen. Hellen. II. 3. 13 f. $\quad \epsilon \pi i к о \nu \rho o \iota=\xi \epsilon \nu о \iota, \mu \iota \sigma \theta \circ \phi b \rho \circ \iota$, here used invidiously, because the Athenian oligarchs promised to maintain the Spartan $\phi \rho o \nu \rho o l: ~ X e n . ~ l . c . ~ \theta \rho \in ́ \psi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ o ̂ ̀ ~ a u ́ r o l ~ u ́ r t ı \sigma \chi \nu o u ̂ \nu t o . ~$
§ 95. тобav̂ta] ' only thus much': Thuc. II. 72.
á $\phi \eta \rho \in \dot{\theta} \eta \eta \tau \epsilon \alpha^{d}$ ö $\left.\pi \lambda a\right]$ The Thirty formed a picked body of 3000 hoplites, and then proclaimed a general muster of all the hoplites in Athens. When this was over, the 3000 seized the arms which the other hoplites had piled in various places, and deposited them in the Acropolis; Xen. H. II. 3. 20, 41 : Grote VIII. 336.
 included in the list of 3000 should quit Athens: Grote viII. 349.
 the Thirty, issued an edict prohibiting all the members of their confederacy from harbouring fugitive Athenians': ib. 350. The emigrants were received, however, in Megara, Thebes, Orôpus, Chalcis, Argos.
§97. тòv Өávarov] 'death', not 'the death which threatened them': the art. giving merely a certain rhetorical emphasis,
 $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu$ os democracy: see Shilleto, Dem. Fals. Legat. $\S \S 100,149$.

Stéфvyov... $\left.{ }^{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon\right]$ The thought of the slain being uppermost in his mind at the beginning of the sentence causes him to use the third pers. pl. in reference also to the survivors, though these are the same whom he presently addresses in $\eta^{\prime} \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.
 become hostile soil, or in the land of strangers'.
 unwilling subjects of the Thirty], 'you restored others to their country' [viz. тoùs $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ Пєє $\rho a \iota \omega \hat{s}$, the patriotic exiles].
§ 98. ďv...éфєv́yєтє] '. would now be exiles'.
$\mu$ ккрŵv...eveka $\sigma$.] 'would now be in slavery on account of petty liabilities', i.e. small debts which their poverty made them unable to discharge, thus giving the creditor a claim upon their persons. Isocr. Plataicus $\S 48$, tovs $\pi a \hat{\imath} \delta a s$ (the children of the

 is opp. to $\theta \eta \tau \epsilon i a, ~ l a b o u r ~ f o r ~ w a g e s . ~$
§ 99. Tîs दُभฑ̂s $\pi$ poo.] lit. 'nothing is wanting to my zeal', 77 i.e. 'my indignation is perfect'. ovi $\delta \epsilon \nu$ was supplied by Canter.
átéSovto] 'bartered away': meaning, perh., that the Thirty allowed sacred buildings to be sold and put to secular uses. Others understand, 'sold the sacred vessels and furniture' of the temples: as if $\tau \dot{d} i \in \rho \alpha$, a general term, had different meanings with àmédovтo and émialvov.
elotóvtes éplaıvov] 'defiled by entering them'-since the Tyrants were $\epsilon \nu a \gamma \epsilon i s$, guilty of blood.

8 100. єl $\sigma \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta ่ v ~ \psi \eta \hat{\phi} \circ v \phi$.] 'will be aware of you when you give your verdict'.
 This is Baiter's simple correction of the corrupt ката $\psi \eta \phi \iota \epsilon i \sigma \theta a$.



áкŋкóaтє, к.т.入.] Inexactly cited by Arist. Rhet. iII. 19. 6,
 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ 入óros $\dot{\eta}$, (enumeratio and not altera oratio, Quint. vi. 1. 2,) еїрпка, дакүко́ате, ехєєтє, кріретє.
VI. KATA AIOPATOY. [Or. xiri.]-Agoratus, son of a 78 slave, had gained the Athenian citizenship by pretending (falsely, his accuser says) to have had a hand in the assassination of Phrynichus in 411 b.c. (\$76.) For six years afterwards he had lived at Athens, exercising the trade of an informer, and laying 'all conceivable indictments' ( $\tau$ ds $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ rpaфás, \& 73) before the law-courts. He is now charged with having slandered away the lives of several distinguished citizens just before the establishment of the Thirty.

It was in the apring of $404 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. that Theramenes came back from sparta with the hard conditions of peace. Athens had been suffering for months the extreme of fumine and misery;
the mass of citizens were thankful for relief on any terms. But there were still a few men, influential by their position and services, who stood out against the bargain which the oligarchical party were about to strike with Sparta. The oligarchs, impatient to get rid of their opponents, had recourse to the aid of Agoratus. It was arranged that he should himself be charged with plotting to defeat the peace, and should then denounce a certain number of other persons as his accomplices. One Theocritus accused him before the Senate. A party of Senators went to the Peiraeus to arrest him. Agoratus, feigning alarm, took sanctuary at the altar in the temple of Artemis at Munychia. Certain citizens who suspected him to be the victim, or the agent, of a plot, gave bail for him, and offered to take him out of Attica to await quieter times. He declined this proposal, and appeared before the Senate to give information. He denounced, first, the men who had bailed him ; then several of the Generals and taxiarchs ( $\S 13$ ), among whom were the General Strombichides, Dionysodorus (kinsman of the accuser in this case), and probably Eucrates the brother of Nicias; also a number of other citizens. These, with Agoratus himself, were imprisoned; and it was decreed that they should be tried both by the Senate and by a special court of Two Thousand. Immediately afterwards the peace with Sparta was ratified.

The government of the Thirty having been established, the prisoners were tried; but not by the Two Thousand; only by a new oligarchical Senate. They were all condemned to death, except Agoratus, who was banished. In 404 b.c. he joined the democratic exiles at Phylè, and afterwards returned to Athens with them; but appears to have been ill received (§ 77). He is now accused of murder by Dionysius, cousin and brother-in-law to Dionysodorus.

The procedure was by ${ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \varepsilon \epsilon \xi(s)$ (information) laid before the archon, followed by $\dot{a} \pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{y}$ (summary arrest)-just as in the case of Herodes (see introd. to Antiph. De Caed. Her., p. 208). -Date about 399 в.c.-Attic Orators, i. 269 f.

## Narrative: § $\$ 5-48$.

§ 5. ov่ $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$. Xp. ข̈ $\sigma \tau$.] The capture of 170 Athenian triremes by the Peloponnesians under Lysander at Aegospotami took place about Sept. 405 b.c. (Grote viir. 297): Lysander next took measures to secure Byzantium, Chalcedon, Lesbos, etc., establishing 'dekarchies': and it was about Nov. 405 b.c. when he arrived with his fleet of 200 triremes in the Saronic Gulf (ib. 307).
 to become allies of Sparta, retaining their walls entire and their
fortified harbour of Peiraeus': Grote vili. 308. Agis referred the envoys to the Ephori, who would not even receive them: Xen. H. 11. 2. 11-15.- $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ єipभ́v ${ }^{\prime}$ s, 'peace', not 'the peace': see In Eratosth. § 97, đòv Өávaтov, note, p. 258.
 - thinking that they had found an excellent opportunity, and that this was the moment at which they were most likely to constitute the government in accordance with their own views'. It seems better thus to add ăy than to alter the aor. inf. into $\kappa а т а \sigma т \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \theta a \iota$. One of the two remedies is required. For we cannot render-'that they had found an...opportunity to constitute the gov. as much as possible ( $\kappa a i \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ )' etc....as if катабт. depended on $\epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi$. кацрóv. And here vo $\mu i \xi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 constituted'. Cp. Olympiacus § 2 (p. 50), note on $\langle\hat{a} \nu\rangle$ үєує́ $\theta$ Oat.
§ 7. Ta§เapxouิvras] The commanders of the tribal infantry ( $\tau a \xi i a \rho \chi \circ$ ) would have democratic sympathies, while the $i \pi \pi \epsilon i s$, with their tribal commanders ( $\phi \dot{v} \lambda a \rho \chi o c$ ), would, as the wealthier class, be the natural allies of oligarchy. Cp. Arist.
 Xial $\pi$ a $\rho \dot{\text { à }}$ тоúтots $\dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu:$ and $i b .13 . \S 10$.
á $\mu \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \pi \omega$ ] ' by some means or other'. Lys. or. xxiv. De
 $\gamma^{\epsilon} \pi$ rov. Cp. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{j} \theta \in \nu$. On the stem $\dot{\alpha} \mu o-p e r h$. akin to that of eis-see Curt. Gr. Etym. 600.
 $\lambda \omega \nu$ тal: here it represents the latter.


 $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta{ }^{\prime} s \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon \nu$ (and yet died poor).
§ 8. $k \pi l$ 8́ka $\sigma \tau a ́ \delta ı a]$ i.e. to the length of about a mile and a quarter.
 Legat. \& 76, Cleophon threatened 'to cut off the head of anyone who mentioned peace'.
§ 9. $\lambda$ 'үe öтl...eip him envoy with plenary powers to treat for peace, he will
 no breach shall be made in the walls, and that Athens shall suffer no detriment whatever: and "I think", he added, "that I shall even ohtain some firther advantage for the city from the Lacedaemonians".' बotєiv єip $\dot{\nu} \eta \nu$, Xen. C'yr. 111. 2. 12.-
$\pi ⿰ 丿 \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ might（less well）be taken as＝＇to effect that＇no breach shall be made：cp．Isocr．Adv．Soph．§ 1，$\pi \in \pi \sigma \iota \eta$ кабь $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta о \kappa \epsilon i \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．For $\pi о \iota \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \nu$ instead of $\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota$（or－ot）after
 olo七т depending on öть：he said olomat：cp．Soph．Phil．617．－ The subject to $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu, \epsilon \lambda \lambda \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma a t$ is not $\tau$ oùs $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta$ ．understood， but aúrbv，Theramenes．
 when three new $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma 0 i$ were appointed（Xen．H．II．1．16）． The people were doubtless disgusted with his conduct the year before，when he had been active in procuring the judicial murder of the Generals after Arginusae．Thirlwall thinks that in that affair Theramenes was the agent of an oligarchical plot（iv．138）．
 first to Lysander，who was now blockading the Peiraeus［Xen． H．II．2．9］，and remained with him＇three months or more＇， on the pretext that L．detained him．In the fourth month，at his own request，he was sent to Lacedaemon as a plenipo－ tentiary，with nine colleagues：Xen．H．II．2． 16 f．Lysias omits the visit to Lysander，and represents the long sojourn of Ther．as made at Sparta（ $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \in \hat{\imath})$ ．

Sıa日єiŋ ．．．ámópws］＇reduce you to destitution＇，－by the pres－ sure of famine，which was already severe when he left Athens
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi b \rho \omega s$ is not indispensable，but neither is it，I think，a gloss．
§ 12．ov̉k ग̂̀ $\lambda \in \varepsilon$ єis $\tau d$＂̈ $\pi \lambda a$ àvar．］＇because he did not come to pass the night at his post＇$:$ cp．Xen．H．ri．4．24，$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \in-$
 415 в．с．the hoplites were ordered to muster under arms in the agora（and bivouac there），Andoc．De Myst．§ 45 （p．35），note． For $\tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \ddot{o} \pi \lambda a$ as＝＇the place where the arms are piled＇，＇the


éкєโทఱ．．．$\tau$ av́r $\eta]$＇So，having contrived the appointment of a court to try him，and having taken seats in it themselves， the promoters of the oligarchy put Cleophon to death by means of this pretext＇．Acc．to Lysias or．xxx．§§ 10 f．，the $\beta o u \lambda \eta$ ，with the corrupt connivance of Nicomachus（then a $\left.\nu_{0} \mu_{0} \theta \in \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}\right)$ ，claimed a legal right to sit in judgment along with
 already denounced the $\beta o v \lambda \dot{\eta},-\phi \dot{a} \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} a \iota$（was in a conspiracy against the Democracy），$i b$ ．
 means of＇，cp．Lys．or．viI．§ 20 （below，p．91）द̀v тoútч $\tau \hat{\uparrow}$
 60），év 入óyous $\pi \in\{\theta \in \epsilon \nu(i b .1394)$ ．
 which stern experience taught us to know＇：${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \nu$ ．，came to know：$\eta_{\nu}=o \ddot{a} a \nu$ ，as oft．after $\tau$ otoûtos．Cp．Shaksp．All＇s W． mi．6．24，＇Such will I have whom I am sure he knows not＇．


§ 14．ท๋ท．．．катабкá廿al］＇For its terms were that．．．we should destroy＇．The subj．to $\dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ is $\epsilon i \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ ，which，as $=\sigma \dot{v} \mu$－及aбıs or $\dot{\text { j}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ oyia，takes the infin．
§ 15．$\lambda_{\epsilon у о \mu e ́ v \eta v, ~ к . т . ~}^{\text {．}}$ ］＇These men［Strombichides and the democratic party，§ 13］seeing that，though the talk was of peace，the work really in hand was the overthrow of the Democracy，said that they could not allow such terms to be ratified＇．－$\delta \nu \delta \mu$ ．$\lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu$ ．$\epsilon i \rho$ ．，lit．＇that（the arrangement）was nominally called peace＇．The conjecture $\gamma^{\epsilon \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\mu} \dot{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$ is unsuit－ able，since peace had not been concluded：we should require रıя above，$\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}<\dot{\alpha} \nu>\ldots \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ．
oủk è $\lambda$ єoûvтes．．．$\pi$ oเที์．］＇Not because their pity was moved by the threatened destruction of the walls，－not because they shrank from the thought of our fleet being surrendered to Sparta－for these things did not touch them more nearly than they touched every one of yourselves－but because they per－ ceived that this was the way to ruin your Commonwealth：they were not，as some allege，reluctant that peace should be made， but they desired to obtain for the Athenian people a peace on better terms than these＇．－Lysias has to show two things： （1）that the democrats were right in objecting to this peace；
（2）that they sincerely desired $a$ peace，and were not pursuing a party war－policy in selfish disregard of the extreme sufferings endured by their fellow－citizens．They would have made sacrifices，he says，however painful，if these sacrifices had not further involved the destruction of the Commonwealth．

817．‘ौоуто］＇mira locutio ：an $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon$（ $\lambda$ оуто？＇Dobree ：but 81 ¢ $\lambda \lambda$ ．＝＇elected＇as the least of two evils．Isocr．Philipp．§93，

 at which the peace was accepted took place，acc．to Xen． $\boldsymbol{H}$ ． 11．2．22，on the day after the return of Theramenes with the terms offered by sparta．In the Altic Orulors，I． 270 note， I have given reasons for dissenting from（irote＇s view that Agoratus laid his information ufter the capitulation of Athens，
and for believing that the account of Lysias is correct in this particular．
§18．oú छ̀vveiסóta ékeivols］＇though he was in none of their secrets＇．－غ̇кєivoıs，the Generals and the democratic party： aúroîs below，the oligarchic conspirators．
 фaivolro，thinking that $\dot{v} \pi \delta$ has arisen from $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\nu}$ ：but $\dot{v} \pi 0 \phi$ ． expresses what is hinted by the look of that about which we cannot be sure．＇In order that the affair might have a more plausible colour in your eyes＇．－кai $\dot{\nu} \mu a ̂ s: ~ ' y o u, ~ t o o ~ ' ~ w i l l ~$ see（as clearly as the speaker himself）．
 verb $\epsilon i \sigma \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ is often used of suborned agents．Cp．Andoc．
 $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \sigma \nu$ ．On the other hand，غ́кпєє $\mu \pi$ оvбь could mean only， ＇send forth（from their secret councils）＇，－a use of the word which seems rather forced（at least for prose）without further definition of the place to which $\epsilon \kappa$ refers．
［тク̀v $\pi$ ァò т $\omega \hat{\nu}$ трเáк．$\beta$ ．］plainly a gloss which has crept in from § 20 ad init．
 （＇dappled like a deer＇）may have been that of a slave or freedman，－a branded $\sigma \tau \iota \gamma \mu a \tau i a s . ~ P e r h . ~ к а \lambda o v \mu e ́ v o v . ~$
82 § 20．＊éү＇́vero］Markland＇s correction of é $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \tau$ ．I formerly preferred $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau$ ，on the ground that it shows the $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a$ in the making，and is thus more vivid．But there seems to be no warrant for such a phrase as $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\gamma} \omega \psi^{\prime} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ in the sense of रрáф $\boldsymbol{\psi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ ，to＇propose＇a decree．Rather reluctantly， then，I have acquiesced in the emendation，－which，from the palaeographical point of view，is an easy one．

кaì ©́s тotov́т．ov̂бเv av̉т．тòv vov̂v $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ éX $\eta \tau \epsilon$ ］＇and that （iva），knowing them to be such，（ $\dot{\omega}$ soc．o $\dot{v} \sigma$ ．，）you may carefully note their conduct＇：i．e．this knowledge will throw light on the facts which I have to relate．Bekker with one ms．$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma$－ $\epsilon \chi \eta \tau \epsilon$ ，＇may disregard them＇，and so Reiske conj．${ }^{\circ} \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$ ：but this destroys the point．
§ 21．©́v גंторрทítu］Cp．Andoc．De Myst．§ 45 （p．35），
 often present at the sittings of the $\beta$ ou入 $\dot{\eta}$, Dem．F．L．§ 18，$\tau$ ò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \beta o u \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \iota o \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \partial \nu \hat{\eta} \nu i \delta \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ：to move that they with－ draw，was $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta a l$ toùs $i \delta \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha s, A e s c h i n . ~ I n ~ C t e s . ~ § ~ 125 . ~$
 then in process of being established＇,$=\AA$ то́тє каӨiбтато－the Oligarchy．－Eкєivots，the democratic opposition．
§ 22．vuvi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \ldots . . \dot{\text { E }} \downarrow \eta$ ¢．］＇but，as it was，the Council passed the following decree＇－merely for the arrest of Agoratus， instead of compelling Theocr．to give a complete list of names．
 Andoc．De Myst．§ 45 （p．35）．

Nıкias．．．Nıкоц＇́vךs］supporters of the democratic party， mentioned only here．
ov่X oia $\beta$ éntเซтa］＇seeing that the state of affairs in Athens was not all that could be desired＇，$=o \dot{v}$ rolav̂ta oĩa a $\nu \quad$ óvta
 то入ıтєvбаนе́vov．
$\pi \rho \circ \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \sigma 0 \mathrm{at}$, к．т．$\lambda$. ］＇said that they could not allow A．to be taken away，－vindicated him from arrest（áфпnpoûvтo），－and offered bail，binding themselves to produce him before the Council＇．$\dot{\alpha} \phi a \iota \rho \epsilon \bar{\sigma} \theta \theta a \iota ~ \epsilon i s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{a} \nu=$ vindicare in libertatem： but A．was not a slave，and here $\dot{\alpha} \phi p p$ ．merely $=$＇asserted his right to be at large＇against oi árovtes．Cobet was not right in altering $\pi a \rho \epsilon \xi \xi \epsilon \iota$ into $\pi a \rho \alpha \xi^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ：the surety＇produces＇（ $\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon$ ） the bailee，the accuser＇brings＇the accused＇into court＇（ $\pi \alpha \rho-$ ár $\epsilon$ ）．Cp．Lys．or．xxili．§ 9 （p．99），Є̇ $\gamma \gamma v \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \bar{\xi} \epsilon \iota \nu$ єis

§ 24．Tòv $\beta \omega \mu \dot{\circ} v$ Movv．］The altar in the temple of 83 Artemis：Mouvvxias vad̀＇Apré $\mu i \delta o s$, Paus．I．1． 4.
§25．тарорц．Sv́o $\pi \lambda$ 人ía Movv．］＇having brought two boats alongside the shore at Munychia＇．The blockade of the Peiraeus need not have hindered this（Xen．H．ir．2．9，the Pelop．Hleet rà $\pi$ 入oîa eipy $\tau 0 \hat{u}$ cllo $\pi$ 入ou）：see Attic Orators， 1．271，note．
${ }^{\prime} \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ s $\tau \mathrm{d} \pi \rho$ ．катaनт．］＇until quieter times＇：i．e．until the terms of peace should have been fixed，and the strife of parties in Athens allayed．
§27．$d \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu^{2} \nu \delta \eta^{\prime}$, к．т．入．］＇But this is not all－your situation was very different from theirs＇：i．e．much more perilous，if you stayed：if they were ready to go，much more would you have been so，had you uot had a secret under－ standing with the government．
ßaravio日ŋ̄val］Citizens were protreted from torture by $\tau \delta$

ov́ $\pi a \tau p(\delta \alpha$, к．т．А．］＇you would not have forsaken your 84 land＇：Aporatus，ace．to Lys．，being of foreign and sorsiles
 above）＇left behind＇．
§ 28．тробтоเท̂］sc．àroктєìval，as below § 75，$\epsilon$ l $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oủv

$\pi a p \in \sigma \kappa \in \cup \alpha \dot{\sigma} \theta \eta$ ］＇contrived＇（between Agor．and the $\beta o v \lambda \eta$＇）： so тарабкєváбаעтєs，§ 12.

тò $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a]$ The object of the new $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ ，as $\S \S 29,30$ show，was to authorise the arrest of Agoratus，notwithstanding that $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma u \eta \tau a l$ had been found for him：$\S 24$.
 The plural verb would most naturally refer to Agoratus and the persons who，by giving bail for him，had frustrated the first attempt to arrest him．They were probably still with him at Munychia（cp．§ 24）when he was arrested by the second mission from the Council，armed with the second decree （ $\S 828,29$ ）－which apparently authorised an immediate arrest，
 may，however，also refer to the fact that，besides Agoratus，two other persons denounced by Theocritus were brought before the Council at the same time，as we learn from a later part of the speech（§54），where the phrase is，vimo $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\beta o v \lambda \hat{\eta} s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon-$ $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$.
 aür $\eta$ ．Cp．note on Antiph．De Caed．Herod．§ 93 （p．23），
 the punishment＇．
é $\pi$＇aúтоф $\omega \rho \neq]$＇I will convict him in the very act＇，－i．e．I will show precisely how and when he did it．
§ 31．＇Epp $\omega \tau 0 \ldots$ ov＊$\sigma \eta$ s］＇So vigorously was the Council bent on mischief＇：Thuc．ir．8，＇$\epsilon \rho \rho \omega \nu \tau 0$＇＇s $\tau \delta \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ ．At first the
 then Agor．had given certain names（§30）：and，after that，the Council still pressed for more：this fact was elicited by the
 threatened with torture（cp．§ 27），d⿱亠乂⿱亠乂⿱一𧰨刂к.

 $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a \sigma a \nu(411$ в．c．）．The theatre was at the N．W．side of the Munychian hill．－$\epsilon$＇र＇$\gamma \nu \in \tau о$ ，＇came to be held＇．
－ข゙т $\omega$ $\sigma$ фó $\delta \rho a$ ］＇Some persons were so anxious that the information regarding the Generals and the Infantry Com－ manders should be laid before the people as well，（in regard to the others，the information laid before the Council sufficed，） that they bring Agoratus before the people in the Ecclesia also＇（каі＇єкєì）．каі єєкєі．．．єis $\tau \delta \nu \delta$ ．，i．e．＇there also＇，and this time before the people．－$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \chi \rho \eta$ ，к．т．入．Dobree is right in
condemning $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \imath \sigma \tau s$ as a scholion，but $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ ßou入 $\hat{\eta}$ is prob． genuine：it makes the contrast with iv $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta$ ．clearer．Cp． § 33.
§ 33．ảvaүvต́бєтal］sc．ò रраццатєús．
 which were given before the Council and those which were given before the Ecclesia＇．

 ［hitherto he had been at anchor off the Peiraeus，$\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \tau \delta \nu \Pi$ ．，

 ＇E入入dót äpxєเข Tグs è $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a s$.
§ 35．катєoтa＇Ө $\eta \sigma \alpha v]$＇were installed in office＇．The 1st aor．pass．seems here to differ from the 2nd aor．act．кatध－ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ in $\S 34$（＇were established＇）by suggesting the formal induction into oflice．On the other hand，in Antiphon De
 than кaté $\sigma \tau \eta$ ．The pass．aor．is mainly poetical．
kploเv．．．$\ell \pi$ olovv］＇proceeded to direct that these men should
 merely $\epsilon^{\kappa} \kappa \rho \iota \nu o \nu$ ，＇proceeded to try them＇．
o $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \delta \bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ ］＇whereas the words of the people＇s decree had been，＂before the（ordinary）court，with a jury of 2000 ＂．＇
§ 36．El．．．ekplvovto］＇If they had been on their trial before the ordinary court，they would have been in a fair way to be acquitted＇：the imperf．referring here to a continued action in
 simply，＇If they had been tried，they would have been ac－ quitted＇．Cp．Goodwin § 49． 2.
dv $\Psi^{\ddagger}$ ］referring to $\eta ้ \delta \eta$ ：＇for now，when you could no longer do any good，you had recognised the desperate situation of Athens＇．－vvิv $\delta^{\prime}$ ，＇but as it was＇，with historic pres．，as in § 22.

837． $2 \pi l$ т $\omega$ v $\beta \alpha \alpha^{0} \rho \omega v$ ］＇The Thirty sat on the seats usually nccupied by the Presidents of the Assembly＇（ 50 in number）． Cp．P＇lat．I＇rot． 315 c ，where Hippias the sophist is seen èv $\theta$ ón $\varphi$ ，surrounded by his hearers int $\beta \dot{d} \theta \rho \omega \nu$ ．
kadioxous］＇urns＇for the secret ballot，－the $\psi \eta \bar{\phi} \circ$ being dropped through a funnel－shaped top（ $\kappa \eta \mu$ ós）．Lycurg．In
 owr $\eta$ pias eivas．

838．ovi6evds direqれ申loavto］The Thirty afterwards as－ 87 sumed the right of putting to death without trial any one who
was not in the list（кará $\lambda \frac{\gamma o s)}{}$ of 3000 ．Isocrates speaks of them as having executed＇ 1500 citizens without trial＇（ $\dot{\alpha} \kappa i \quad i \quad o u s:$ or．xx．§ 11）．Cp．Xen．H．iI．3．51：Grote viif． 327.
§ 40．$\mu(\hat{\lambda} \lambda a v[\tau \epsilon]]$ Baiter and Sauppe would omit $\tau \epsilon$ ：and I incline to think that it may have been a spurious addition， designed to link the participles．If we retain it，we must
 before or after $\eta \mu \phi \iota \in \sigma \mu \dot{\nu} \eta \eta$ ．
 the testamentary disposition－$\delta \dot{\alpha} \theta \in \sigma \iota s$ ，the making of a $\delta \iota a-$ $\theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$－is an act completed at a definite moment．
88 § 42．$\tau \mu \mu \omega \rho \epsilon i v] ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ could stand：cp．In Eratosth． § 35，ن́rè $\rho \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho o v \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o u s(p .74)$ ：but the active marks more clearly that the cause in which the punishment is dealt is another＇s．
§ 44．Tov̀s ék $\Sigma a \lambda$ ．一 ${ }^{\prime} \xi{ }^{\prime} E \lambda \in v \sigma$ ．］Cp．In Eratosth．§ 52， $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \quad$（Eratostheness）$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ovvap才ó̀ $\tau \omega \nu$ єis $\Sigma a \lambda a \mu i \nu a$ каi

 тov̀s évodi $\epsilon$ ，at Athens．From § 30 of the speech against Eratosthenes（above，p．72）we may infer how frequently such to $\delta a \iota{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho a \iota$ were thus indulged．In Lys．or．xxv．§ 15 a speaker claims credit for not having gratified his enmities during the Oligarchy．
 from § 44 ．
 § 15.
 in the sentence．Before $\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \beta o u \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu$ they are clearly，as Dobree saw，an interpolation，either from the previous or from the subsequent clause．＇You put them to death，by de－ nouncing them as plotters against the Commonwealth＇． There is no incongruity in this，since the oligarchical Bou入n claimed to represent the Commonwealth，and would affect the language of patriotic citizens．－Dobree，with great plausibility，
 $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\psi} \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \varphi$ ．

99 VII．MEPI TOY EHKOY．［Or．vir．］－＇On the Sacred Olive＇．The man for whom this defence was written－a rich Athenian citizen（ $\$ \S 21,31$ ）－had originally been charged with destroying a moria，or sacred olive，on a farm which belonged to him．As to do this was a fraud upon the Treasury，the
form of the original accusation had been an apographè (aंme$\gamma \rho \alpha{ }^{\phi} \eta \nu, \S 2$ ). But the charge was not supported by the persons who had rented from the State the produce of the moriae
 accusers had therefore changed their ground. They now charge the defendant merely with uprooting the fenced-in stump ( $\sigma \eta \kappa$ коs) of a moria; and they lay against him an indictment for impiety. The chief accuser is one Nicomachus.

Throughout Attica, besides the olives which were private property ( $\delta \delta$ oul $\epsilon \lambda a i o u, \S 10$ ), there were others which, whether growing on public or on private lands, were considered as the property of the State. These were called moriae ( $\mu$ opiau)-the legend being that they had been propagated ( $\mu є \mu о \rho \eta \mu \dot{v} \nu \boldsymbol{\sim})$ from the original olive which Athene herself had caused to spring up on the Acropolis. This theory was convenient for their conservation as State property, since, by giving them a sacred character, it placed them directly under the care of the Areiopagus, which caused them to be visited once a month by Inspectors ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a i ́, ~ § 29$ ), and once a year by special Commissioners ( $\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon,, \S 25$ ). To uproot a moria was an offence punishable by banishment and confiscation of goods (§ 41).

The case is tried by the Areiopagus under the presidency of the Archon Basileus. The offence was alleged to have been committed in the archonship of Suniades (§ 11), O1. 95. 4,
 took place not earlier than 395 B.c.; probably later.-Attic Orators, 1. 289.

## §§ 17-25.

§17. Itt roivuv] The speaker has been arguing that he could not have destroyed the olive without the knowledge of his slaves. He now adds that the deed would have been liable to detection by (1) former tenants of the farm, § 17: (2) passers-by, (3) neighbours, \& 18.
el maptorn $\mu$ ot] 'if it had occurred to me': i.e. 'if I had been so ill-advised' as to pay no heed to my slaves. Cp. the
 dinarareiv, 'this suggested to E . that he should lead them back '.

тобои́т由v $\mu \epsilon \mu$.] 'when so many persons had rented the farm'; the speaker having let it to four different tenants in succession, before he took it into his own hands ( $\$ 89,10$ ).

 secret ' (that a moria had existed on the land).

тpoosorias] 'when there was no statutable limit to my
liability': i.e. a $\gamma p a \phi \dot{\eta}$ might be laid at any length of time after the alleged offence. In some cases (e.g. the үраф̀̀ $\pi$ apavó$\mu \omega \nu)$ the $\pi \rho \circ \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu(\alpha$ was a year.
toîs eipyar $\mu$ évots] 'while it was the common interest [ $\pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \circ$, acc. absol.] of all who had worked the farm that the olive should be safe, so that, if any of them were inculpated, they could have laid the blame on him to whom they gave up the land': (i.e. each would shift the blame on to his own

 غ̇ка́ $\sigma \tau \varphi \pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$.
 man who had rented the farm to prove that, at the time when he gave it up, the olive was still safe. Tenant $A$ would be prepared to show that he had transmitted the olive to $B, B$ to $C$, and so on. A series of vigilant witnesses would thus fix the responsibility on the latest tenant,-the speaker.

Iva...e[xov] the secondary tenses of the indic. in final clauses with iva (or $\dot{\omega} s, \delta \delta \pi \omega s$ ) 'denote that the end or object is dependent upon some unfulfilled condition, and therefore is not or was not attained': Goodwin § 44. 3.- $\dot{a} \nu \in \nu \in \gamma-$

 whose shoulders he will lay the blame of his acts': but in

§ 18. тарєбкєvaनá $\mu \nu$ ] 'Now, supposing that I had arranged matters in these quarters also' [as well as with my oiк' $\quad$ cal], i.e. had bribed the former tenants of the farm to be silent: so In Agor. § 12 (р.79), סıкабтйpıov тарабкєvá $\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s:$ ib. § 22 (p. 82) $\epsilon \kappa \pi \alpha a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \hat{\eta} s .-\pi \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \alpha l=$ to bribe, as § 21.
à $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu$, к.т. $\lambda$.$] 'know not only such of each other's$ concerns as are plain for all to see': $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$. with tav̂ta, a
 (above, p. 52). - кal $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{l}$ ék. $\pi v \nu$ Өáv., 'inform themselves about these also'.

Stá申opor $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{\tau} \hat{\omega} v$ '̇رū̀v] 'at feud with me about my own': $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ emphatic, things which are really mine, but which they claim as theirs: alluding to boundary disputes or the like.
§19. kal $\mu$ ท̀ $\mu$ óvov ov̈т $\omega$ ] 'instead of making audacious charges in this off-hand way (oür $\omega$ ) on his bare word ( $\mu$ óvov)': cp . Plat. Gorg. 494 E , ôs ầ $\phi \hat{\eta} \hat{\alpha} \nu \in \delta \delta \eta \nu$ oü $\tau \omega$ (in this reckless


тd $\left.\pi \rho^{\ell} \in \mu v a\right]$ 'that my servants cut the olive-stump out by
the roots，and the waggoner carted the wood and drove off with it＇．$\pi \rho \epsilon \mu^{\prime} \alpha=\tau \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \chi o s$ ，the roots or stump of the $\mu$ орia：in § 11 we have even $\sigma \eta \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$ є́ккєко́ф $\theta a \iota,-\sigma \eta \kappa o ́ s$, properly the fence round the stump of a sacred olive，coming to mean the fence with the stump．
§ 20．кalтоц．．．то́тє］＇Now it was your further（каi）duty at the time（то́тє，when the alleged act was happening）to call the passers－by as witnesses，and to make the fact known＇．
 тaíry．

 not longer have been suspected of calumny＇：－оủk åv＇́̇óкєєs， you would not have continued to seem：implying |  |
| :---: |$\pi \epsilon \rho$ éळókets．The words might mean，＇you would not now seem＇，

 shows that the imperf．refers to the past．
 seen me destroying the sacred olive，you had invoked the presence of the Archons，or other representatives of the Areiopagus＇．ф＇jбas（Xen．Cyr．Iv．1．22）a rare form，Reiske＇s emend．of $\phi \dot{\eta}$＇s $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon i \nu$ ．The conject．$\phi \dot{\eta} \nu a s{ }^{\prime} \mu$＇$i \delta \omega \omega^{\prime}=$＇having informed against me［by a фá⿱宀丁九s laid before the magistrates］ as having been seen by you＇． $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ：referring to the procedure called $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma$ ts，which consisted in bringing the proper officer to the spot where the criminal was to be arrested： here that officer would be the ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \omega \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u$ s，or one of the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a l(\xi \S 25,29)$ charged by the Areiopagus with the care of the sacred olives．
 is a very hard one，seeing that he would have claimed＇，etc．The antecedent to $\delta$ s，if expressed，would have been $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\delta}$ roúrov， ＇at his hands＇，after $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ ．As the antecedent is not expressed，the relative $\delta_{s}$ is virtually equivalent to $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$ oücos．For this causal use of the relative，cp．my note on Soph．O．C＇．2f3．－The conjecture ö $\omega$（to replace òs）is neither needful nor tenable．When $8 \sigma \omega=$＇inasmuch＇，it always stands with a double comparative or a double superlative（e．g．$\mu \dot{d} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$

\＆んol kal тav́тŋv］＇he thinks that this，too，［the fact of his having no witnesses］must be turned to my prejudice＇［by the insinuation that I have bribed them to be silent］．тaúrŋv
入аßóvтеs व́申орн加．
kal тоv́тov，к．т．入．］＇Nor do I wouder at him：of course， when he is bringing a vexatious charge，ho will take care that，
if he has no witnesses，at least he shall have such assertions at command＇：тo九oút $\omega \nu$ गó $\gamma \omega \nu$ ，allegations of bribery on the part of the accused．－тoútov：either toûto or a clause with $\varepsilon i$ or öть would usually follow tav⿲ásc：but here the sentence oú dá $^{\rho}$ к．т．${ }^{2}$ ．takes its place．Cp．p．240，note on Lys．or． xxxiv．§ 2.
§ 24．тupkaïás］$\mu$ орía which had been burnt down，as often happened in the raids during the Peloponnesian War． On the vitality of the olive cp．Her．viri． 55 ：Soph．O．C．
 renewing＇）：Verg．Geo．II，30， 181.
 giously cultivating sacred soil，Aeschin．In Ctes．§ 113，（the
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S} \gamma \hat{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ iєpâs，Thuc．I． 139.
 of my own property＇．The $\mu$ opial were not part of his ovंria， but belonged to the State，so that $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu a ̈ \lambda \lambda$ ．ov $\sigma$. strictly $=$＇all my property besides＇：Plat．Phaed． 110 e，каi 入iӨоьs каi $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ каi тоîs

 both of them＇－both in the ropial and in my own property： i．e．he regards himself as bound by public duty and by religion to protect the ropial．Cp．or．xxxiv．§ 9 （p．55），o кivovvos out os，the interests staked on the maintenance of the Consti－ tution．
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \grave{\eta} s$（＇Inspector＇）$\eta \dot{\rho} \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu$ оs．－The $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \sigma \nu \epsilon s$ were higher officials，＇Commissioners＇，who made a general survey once a year．
épya̧．，к．т．入．］cultivating the soil around the sacred olives＇ （to the endangering of the $\sigma \eta \kappa 0$ ）：not so much as $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{d} \sigma$ ．in $\S 24$ ，which means to cultivate the spot on which a $\sigma \eta \kappa \dot{s}$（now destroyed）had stood．

VIII．KATA OEOMNHETOY．［Or．x．］－Theomnestus， a young Athenian，had been indicted by one Lysitheus for throwing away his shield in battle，but had been acquitted． The present speaker had been among the witnesses of Lysitheus， and in the course of the trial had been called a parricide by Theomnestus．A certain Dionysius，also a witness of Lysi－ theus，was next prosecuted by Theomnestus for perjury，and was sentenced to disfranchisement（§22）．The present speaker then brought his action against Theomnestus．

The Athenian law against Defamation（как $\quad \gamma \circ \rho(a)$ punished with a fine of 500 drachmas（about £20）the utterance of certain reproaches classed as $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \rho \rho \eta \tau a$（§ 2）．To call a citizen a murderer，a striker of father or mother，or to charge him with having thrown away his shield in battle，was among these．The present case had already been submitted to arbi－ trators（§6）；it now came before an ordinary court，under the presidency of the Thesmothetae．

From § 4 the date is certain．The speaker had been thirteen years old in the time of the Tyrants（ $404-3$ в．c．）， and was now thirty－three：the speech belongs therefore to 384－3 в．c．－Attic Orator8，1．293．
§§ 6－20．
 public（ $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau 0 i$ ），and private，chosen（aipeтoi）by the parties themselves．Here，private arbitration is meant．
¿торр $\eta$ т $\tau \omega$ ］＇forbidden＇words，which rendered those who used them liable to a $\delta i \kappa \eta$ как $\eta \gamma \quad$ рias．
dтeктоvéval］The term used by Theomnestus，acc．to the

 the intention or principle of a law is to be considered where the letter is not explicit：e．g．Arist．Mag．Mor．II．ad init．
 I． 1.
§ 8．oủ Yà $\delta \eta \eta^{\prime} \pi o v$, к．т．入．］＇If anyone were to call you a ＂striker＂of father or mother［the $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{6} \rho \eta \eta \tau a$ ，or actionable words］you would claim damages from him：surely，then， if anyone were to say that you had＂smitten her who bore you＂or＂him who begat you＂，you would not consider him deserving of impunity or innocent of libel＇．For the form of

 Isocr．Paneqyr．§ 181 （below，p．133），кal үà al $\sigma \chi \rho \partial \nu$ i $\delta i$ a
 к．т．入．－$\dot{\omega}$ s ou＇oiv sip．on the ground that he has not＇：but is $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\prime}$, ＇ 8 if he had not＇．
 expert in this subject（roûto，$=\tau \delta$ како入oyeiv），and have studied both the theory and the practice＇．moteiv，how＇to invent＇ taunts ；$\lambda \in \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ ，how to utter them．

рiquat riv dör．］píirect，abicere，was stronger than dimo－ $\beta$ ad入ect，which，like iacturam facere，was capable of meaning
simply 'to lose ': hence $\dot{\rho} t \psi a \sigma \pi t s$ is the term of reproach, one who flings away his shield.
 $\beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \dot{\varepsilon} \nu a l$ was the word actually used in the law, as appears from the epitome of this speech ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ Өєо $\mu \nu$. B § 5). Retaining єip $\quad$ тo, take it as depending on $\epsilon i$ : ' If some one were to say... and it had been prescribed by the law...': the actual provision of the law being stated hypothetically, as one of the data of the imagined case.
 down as one who had thrown away his shield, saying merely
 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta a$ : wrongly, I think. The perf. is thoroughly Greek: ' you would be content to have thrown away', i.e. you would acquiesce in the position of one who was said to have done so. He is supposed to say, oű $\mu$ o七 $\mu$ é $\lambda \epsilon$. Cp. Her. vi. 129, oủ

 the Eleven [the Commissioners of Police], you would not be satisfied if a person were arrested for "robbing a man of his cloak" or "stripping him of his tunic"; you would acquit him on the same principle, because the epithet "clothesstealer" was not applied to him'.- $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta$, , $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \epsilon \delta$., true perfects pass.: lit. 'if one were to arrest another saying that (he
 the under-garment, the $\chi$ เт $\omega$ v.
ov่8' єi... $\tau$ [ $\theta \in v \tau a l]$ ' Nor, if any one were convicted of "having sold a boy into slavery", would you call him a kidnapper,-on your principle [ $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho$, with fut. ind. $\mu a \chi \hat{\eta}]$ of cavilling about words, instead of attending to the facts which all men have in view when they establish the terms '.- $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \gamma$.: cp . Her. v. 6, $\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \nu a \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \xi a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}$ (for exportation as slaves).
94 § 11. ef $\tau \iota$ тoivvv, к.т. ..] 'Well, here is another illustration, judges. The defendant appears to be so averse to trouble or exertion that he has never even gone up to the Areiopagus. As you are all aware, when cases of homicide are tried in that place, the term employed in the preliminary oaths of the parties is not this [qoúrov, i.e. the accuser does not say that the accused is $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o \phi \delta \nu o s$ ]; it is the phrase in which I have been reviled [i.e. $\kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ]; for the prosecutor deposes that the prisoner "hath slain", and the prisoner replies, "I have not slain".
§ 12. ov̉kovิv äтoттข ... $\delta \iota \omega \mu$ о́бaтo] 'Now it would be absurd that the prisoner, after having been adjudged guilty of
＂slaying＂，should defend himself on the plea that he was＂a homicide＂，the prosecutor＇s statement having charged him with＂slaying＂．＂As to the text of this passage，which has been corrupted in the mss．，see the critical note．
 differ from that which the defendant is prepared to maintain ［ $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \bar{i}]$ ？＇$i . e$ ．the defendant uses $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，implying $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho о \phi \dot{\delta} \nu o s:$ the Areiopagus，vice versa．

тєутак．$\delta р а х \mu$ ．］about $£ 20$.
§13．＊$\lambda a \mu \beta$ ávess］＇If you accept the laws in the sense in 95 which I now take them＇；－oür $\omega$ ，i．e．with a view to the spirit rather than to the letter．The mss．have $\lambda a \mu \beta a \dot{v} \epsilon \omega$, ，an impossibly harsh anacolouthon when ei precedes and $\dot{d} \xi$ tois follows．It was probably a mere error of transcription．
oúk dُ $\xi$ เoîs］for oủ instead of $\mu \eta$ after $\epsilon i$ in such a sentence， cp．In Eratosth．§ 36 （p．74）oủk ăpa $\chi \rho \eta$ ク́．
§ 14．кit＇oúk alox．，к．т．入．］＇Now do you not blush for being such a simpleton as to suppose that you are to be enriched， not by the rewards of patriotism，but by the profits of impunity？＇i．e．do you not see that every one will soon recognise you as a ouкофávтทs？
 text is sound（as it seems），to the anomaly in Suph．El．780，
 ＇rules＇of Greek grammar were in the making in those days， and the thought sometimes overbore the normal usage：here， fur instance，the writer was thinking most of the negative fact．

Ǩv $\pi \omega$ s，к．т．入．］＇if，even at this late hour，when he stands at your bar，he can be educated，and prevented from troubling us in the future＇．（ $\left.\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \nu_{,}\right) \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，＇better late than never＇：cp．note on Soph．O．C＇．1276．－There was one $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a$ （tribune）for the accuser，another for the accused：Aeschin．

 rollers（ägoves）and triangular tablets（kúp，i申es）preserved in the Prytancion were known as the＂laws of Solon＇．Acc．to P＇ut．
 cuncelled＇）äтavtas，but，as（irote says（III．18（）），＇there is room for supposing that the repeal cannot have been so sweeping＇． Solon was popularly credited with political reforms that came： after him（iib．1fis），and so also，doubtless，with laws that had been before him．
 $\dot{\eta} \mu$ lpas кal vúkтas iбas）occurs also in the $\nu \dot{\text { ofoses }}$（interpolated？）

 citing Plato comicus（circ． $420-390$ b．c．）．
$\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ ］＇If the Heliaea award an additional penalty＇ （in an aggravated case）．The Periclean subdivision of the $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota a i a$ into $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{p} \iota a$ was subsequent to this law．
 calling Apollo to witness his oath＇［＇̇ँcook．would ordinarily mean＇having sworn falsely＇by the god］．－＇If in fear of the proceedings，he should attempt flight＇：－a detached phrase， which in the original may have depended on $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \sigma \nu \mu \beta \hat{\eta}$ or the like．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi[\lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \theta$ úpa］＇Whoever shuts the door of the house［lit． excludes by the door］when the thief is within＇．．．（to prevent the master entering，or to secure the burglar？）．－$\dot{\alpha} \pi i \lambda \lambda \omega$ ， from root $F \in \lambda$ ，whence $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \omega$ ，$\epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ，ä $\lambda-v-\sigma \omega-s$ ，a chain－ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda-l-\sigma \kappa-o \mu a l$ ，etc．Curt．Gr．E．§656．Hesych．quotes $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota$ ＇enclosures＇$=\sigma \eta \kappa о$ ，${ }^{\text {Eккк }} \lambda \eta \sigma i a \iota:$ whence the Lacon．àre入入á－ $\zeta \epsilon \iota \nu=\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，to hold assemblies．－On the question between the spellings $\dot{d} \pi i \lambda \lambda \omega$ and $\dot{d} \pi \epsilon i \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，cp．my note on Soph．Ant． 340 （appendix，p．250）．
kal $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\text { čv．．．} \delta c a \phi \in ́ \rho o v] ~ ' a n d ~ m a k e ~ n o ~ c a v i l ~ o n ~ t h a t ~ a c-~}$ count＇，－said derisively to Theomnestus：i．e．＇you cannot pretend that here the strange word makes the sense of the law doubtful＇．
§ 18．tò dipyúpiov $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \mu \mathrm{ov}]$＇The money shall stand at whatever rate the lender chooses＇．The word $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \mu \nu \quad$ here does not refer to weighing，but to the exaction of interest as high as he pleases：i．e．$\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota=\delta \dot{\prime} \nu a \tau a \iota ~ i \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ or $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ，the loan can stand，can remain put out：cp．Andoc．
 the sense of＇weighable＇（ $\varsigma v \gamma \dot{\varphi}$ i $\sigma \tau$ тával $)$ ，cp．Pollux IV．173，who cites $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \mu$ as used for $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \mu c a$ ，＇weights＇，by Cephisodorus （Fragm．Com．342）：Polyb．viif．21．§ 1，$\epsilon^{2} \lambda \kappa о \nu \tau a ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega s$ oтá $\tau \mu \mathrm{ov}$, ＇turning the scale of the crisis＇．
§ 19．oikท̂os кal＊$\delta$ oú $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\eta s,}$ к．т．入．］＇He shall be required to make good the injury done to the male or female slave＇．Cp．


 （free）member of a household；sometimes，as here and in
 free attendant－e．g．an esquire，etc．：later，it is simply a more honourable name for the slave as the personal attendant of his master．In Thuc．iv． $16 \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \pi \omega \nu$ is the servant of the Spartan
hoplite: in VII. 13 the $\theta$ єра́тоутєs perh. include the free $\theta \hat{\eta}$ res who had been pressed for naval service, as well as the $\delta 0 \hat{\lambda} \lambda o u$. $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ was esp. the Chian word for oikéral, Eustath. ad Dionys. 533.
 ceiving knowledge, even when it is hammered into him: cp.
 ஸ́ $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota$, i.e. men of iron endurance: Ar. Acharn. 491, à $\nu a i \sigma-$ $\chi$ uvios $\sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho o u ̂ s \tau^{\prime}$ à $\nu \eta$ ' $\rho$, shameless and brazen.

## тоv̂ $\beta$ ท́цатоs] Cp. note on § 15.

IX. KATA ПАГK $\triangle E \Omega N O \Sigma$. [Or. xxim.]-The speaker had formerly indicted Pancleon, a fuller living at Athens (§ 2), for some offence not specified, and, believing him to be a resident-alien, had summoned him before the Polemarch, who heard cases in which foreigners were concerned. Pancleon thereupon put in a 'plea to the jurisdiction', on the ground that he was a Plataean by birth, and, as such, entitled at Athens to the rights of an Athenian citizen : and that, therefore, the action ought not to have been brought before the Polemarch. This plea ( $\pi a \rho a \gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}$ ) gave rise to a prerious trial to decide whether the action, in its original form, could be brought into court (§5). In such a case the first speech was usually made by the maintainer of the special plea: here it is evidently made by the opponent. The date is uncertain.-Attic Orators, 1. 302.
 form '. The speaker's object is to show that Pancleon is not an Athenian citizen but a foreigner, and that therefore the Polemarch was the magistrate who hid jurisdiction in the סík $\eta$. What the matter of the original $\delta i \kappa \eta$ was, does not appear. Here we are concerned solely with the question concerning its jorm. The issue is that raised by the maparpaф $\eta$, or special plea, put in by P'ancleon, who relied on exceptio fori, denying that the Polemarch had jurisdiction. Every answer made in writing by a defendant to a plaintiff was $\dot{a} \nu \tau c \gamma p a \phi \dot{\eta}$. The
 which consisted in an objection to the form of the procedure.
 Tìv oik $\quad \nu$ : which is only another way of saying that his duterpaфn took the particular form of a $\pi$ apaypaфn'.

8 2. praфeiov] The Athenian fuller had a thriving trade, as the woollen iud́tov was sent to him to be renovated; this process consisted in seouring, -i.e. rubbing in 'Cimolian clay', a sort of white earth,-and carding ( $\kappa \Delta \pi \tau \tau)$, to raise the
nap．In Ar．Eccles． 415 and Athen．xiri．p． 582 we have
 their i $\mu$ ária by the promised day．Cp．Theophr．Char．xxir， where it is noted as a trait of the $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \lambda \in \dot{v} \theta \in \rho o s$（the man who shows＇excessive indifference to honour where expense is concerned＇），that＇he will stay in the house when he has sent
 èк $\pi \lambda \hat{\lambda} \nu \alpha u$ ）．
$\left.\pi \rho о \sigma \in \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu\right]$＇summoned him before the Polemarch， supposing him to be a resident alien＇．Harpocration p． 246 s．v．По入є́ $\mu a \rho \chi$ os（quoting Arist．＇s＇A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$ то入ıтєía）aúvós $\tau \epsilon$
 deserted a $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta s$ ，or for having none）каi клйриу каi


$\delta \pi \delta \dot{\theta} \in \nu \quad \delta \eta \mu$ отє́vorto］＇to what deme he belonged＇．Cp．
 $\mu \epsilon \tau$＇＇̇ $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．
 ［one of the $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \eta \rho \in s$ ，or officers who assisted at the summons］ ＇having recommended that I should also summon him＇［as he had evaded the citation before the Polemarch］＇before the tribe of which he pretended to be a member＇（the adviser said，$\sigma \kappa \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ：this becomes $\sigma \kappa \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \sigma \iota \tau$ after $\dot{\eta} \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \eta \nu$ ）．Before he could be brought under the jurisdiction of a tribe，it was necessary to ascertain his deme．
 thontid tribe＇，to which the deme of Deceleia belonged．Judges， appointed annually for each tribe，made the circuit of the demes included in it，deciding causes where not more than ten drachmas were at stake．Pollux viir．100，$\pi \epsilon \rho u$ дѝтєs кarà


tò koup．тò mapd tov̀s＇Epp．］＇the barber＇s shop by the Hermae＇．The northern limit of the Athenian Agora was formed by rows of Hermae（busts of the god，on plain quadrangular posts），which extended from the Поккi入 $\eta$ $\sigma$ тоd， or Portico of Frescoes，on the eastern side of the Agora，to the Bafìtoos orod，or Portico of the Archon Basileus，on its western side．Among these Hermae，a few were of marble， bearing metrical inscriptions，and erected there by special permission in memory of the capture of Eion on the Strymon from the Persians－though neither Cimon，nor any individual victor，was allowed to be recorded by name．（Curtius，Hist．

Gr. II. 564: cp. his explanatory text to the 'Sieben Karten' of Athens, p. 52.)

Tàs $\mu$ èv фev́yoı, к.т.入.] 'on learning that he was actually defending some actions before the Polemarch, and had already been cast in others, I brought mine also ': they said, фeúrєt,

§ 4. 'ini $\lambda_{a \beta 6]}$ 'stop the water-clock': since the time occupied by the $\mu$ áprupes was not deducted from the time allowed for the speech.
§ 5. '̇к тоv́т $\omega v$ ] ' on these grounds' (the statements about Pancleon just mentioned): not 'by these persons', which in Attic prose would be úmd $\tau$ oút $\omega \nu$.
àvтүрáqaто] 'entered a plea against the jurisdiction of the court': see note on § 1 .
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ v́тarov] 'the oldest inhabitant of Plataea that I knew'.
§ 6. tis tòv $\chi^{\lambda}$. Tupóv] 'They said that I should be most 99 likely to obtain precise information ( $\pi v \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota \stackrel{a}{ } \nu$, oblique of $\pi \dot{v} \theta$ oto $a v \nu$ ), if I went to the cheese-market on the first day of the month ',-fair-day at Athens: Ar. Vesp. 171, Eq. 43. Cp. Theophr. Char. Iv (xiv in my edit., and note there, p. 223).tò $\chi$. $1 . ~ \tau u p o ́ v$, the place where fresh cheese is sold, like oi $i \chi \theta \hat{u} s$, the fish-market, Ar. V'esp. 789, $\tau \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \chi a \nu a$, the green-market, L!ysistr. 557, al $\mu \nu \rho \rho i v a l$, the myrtle-wreath-market, Thesm. 448.
§7. ¿ंфєनтஸ̂Ta] 'who had forsaken him',-the word expressing, not merely the flight of the slave ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ оо $\rho \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \tau \alpha$ ), but the fact that he has set up for himself.- $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta \nu$, the fuller's trade.
 7. Eival: the relatuve clause being substituted for the partic. in order to give greater precision and emphasis to the statement of the fact, $\ell \phi \eta$.
§ 9. ¿үó $\mu \in v o v]$ 'being carried off', sc. $\epsilon$ is סou入ciav by his


то́тє $\mu \dot{\jmath} v$ oűv] 'At that time, then [ $\tau \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon$, in contrast with what happened next day], some of Pancleon's supporters said that he had a brother who would vindicate his liberty. On this understanding they gave bail that they would produce him in the markel-place, and departed'. mape $\xi \in \frac{1 \nu}{\text { : ©p. In Ayor. }}$
 cóplov.
810. Tn̂ $\delta$ ' voctep.] 'Next day, with a view both to this
special plea and to the original action' [brought before the Polemarch § 3], ' I thought it right to take witnesses with me to the place, that I might know who it was that proposed to assert Pancleon's freedom, and on what ground he would do so. Now, as to the understanding on which he was bailed,
 man', etc.
§ 11. єis тоûтo $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, к. т. $\lambda$.$] ' But the defendant's supporters$ and the defendant himself carried matters with such a high hand that, though Nicomedes on his part, and the woman on hers, were willing to let Pancleon go if any one would assert his freedom, or else would claim him as a slave, Pancleon's friends did neither one thing nor the other, but carried him off '.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ roúrots] the understanding that his brother was to vindicate his freedom, §9.
 not a Plataean,--or rather, not even a free man': $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ( $\lambda \epsilon \xi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ )
 oưo ${ }^{\prime}$ ' $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \in \dot{\theta} \theta \in \rho \circ$.
évóxous...toîs $\beta$ ßaloss] ' liable to the penalties of forcible
 had jurisdiction in cases of alkia and $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \beta \iota a i \omega \nu$, Dem. $A d v$. Pantaen. § 33: Herm. Ant. І. § 146. 10.
 changed by a conviction from that of a citizen to that of a slave.
 his affidavit on the occasion of the suit brought against him by Aristodicus here) that the Polemarch had no jurisdiction over him, it was proved by testimony that Pancleon was not a Plataean: and, though he indicted the witness for perjury [ $\epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \kappa \eta \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s, s c . \psi \in v \delta o \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \iota \omega \nu]$, he failed to follow up the indictment, but allowed A. to obtain a verdict against him. And when his term of grace had expired, he paid the fine, on such conditions as he could obtain' (from Aristodicus).-

 ȧтoঠoûval.
§ 15. $\pi \rho i v ~ \tau o[v v v] ~ ' N o w ~ b e f o r e ~ h e ~ h a d ~ e f f e c t e d ~ t h i s ~ c o m-~$ promise, in his fear of Aristodicus he removed from Athens and resided as an alien at Thebes'. caûra, the arrangement abating the sum, or allowing him to pay it gradually. After чّкєь below, є̇кє̂̀ is rightly supplied by Markland.

## ISOCRATES.

Isocrates: 436-338 b.c. Period of extant work, 403-102 338 b.c. Life in Attic Orators, II. 1-34.

Style. The work of Isocrates was to establish a standard type of literary rhetorical prose. His discourses were meant to be read rather than to be spoken. This is one essential characteristic which distinguishes them from the compositions of the other Attic orators. Isocrates is properly an 'orator' only in his forensic speeches, the earliest, and in his own view the least significant, of his writings. In his later life he altogether repudiated Forensic Rhetoric; on the other hand, 'want of voice and nerve', as he tells us, deterred him from taking part in the debates of the Ecclesia. The real work of his life was twofold. First, he was an educator, the head of a school which became renowned-teaching young men the art which he calls $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \sigma^{\gamma} \omega \nu \quad \pi a \iota \delta \in i a$, the discipline of discourse-meaning by this, the art of speaking and writing on large political subjects, considered as a preparation for advising or acting in political affairs. (Cp. Attic Orators, II. ch. xili. on his фidoooфia, or theory of culture.) Secondly, he was a political essayist. In this quality-his writings being widely read throughout Greece-he had perhaps a greater influence on popular opinion than belonged to any literary man of his time. The style of literary prose which he developed had found general acceptance before 350 в.c.; through Greek teachers who gave it a more florid colour, it did much to mould the style of Cicero, who speaks of himself as using 'all the fragrant essences of Isocrates, and all the little stores of his disciples' (ad Att. II. 1) ; and through Cicero, or directly, it has exerted an influence on modern literature. It has been truly remarked that, for the modern world, the oratory of the preacher is the best image of that grave eloquence in which Isocrates excelled. Isocrates is one of three Greek writersPlato and Demosthenes being the others-to whom Bossuet declares himself most indebted in the matter of style.

Isocrates has not the distinctively Attic spirit, the subtle grace or finished simplicity of Lysias. His diction, indeed, resembles that of Lysias in purity, and also--though it has a geueral bent towards grandeur-in avoiding ornament of a poretival kind. But his composition is of an altogether different stamp. Instead of aiming at the vigorous compression fittest for real contests, it has a certain rich diffuseness. Dionysius speaks of the $\dot{u} \pi a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ккो $\pi$ epiooos, the 'meandering' perionds of laner. having in his mind such an image as that of a river which leads us on from bead to bead through tho
soft beauties of its winding course. Isocrates was the first great artist in a Greek prose which, without being bound by the constraints of metre ( $\left.{ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\mu} \mu \epsilon \tau \rho о s\right)$, has yet a free rhythm of
 The peculiar smoothness of Isocr. results esp. from his careful observance of his own rule, $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, i.e. a vowel at the end of a word must not be followed by a vowel at the beginning of the next. Plutarch speaks of Isocr. as
 Demosthenes did not press this rule so far (Attic Orators, Ir. 67). The figures ( $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) specially used by Isocr. are those which depend on parallelism: viz. (1) $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \theta \in \sigma \iota s-a$ parallelism in sense: (2) $\pi$ apiow $\sigma$ ts, a parallelism in form between two clauses or sentences: (3) $\pi а \rho о \mu о i \omega \sigma \iota s$, a parallelism of sound, when the latter of two clauses gives an echo of the former, either at its opening or at its close, or throughout. The same antithetical bent appears in Isocr.'s treatment of subject-matter, where his habit is to work out a leading idea by developing all the contrasts which it suggests. Thus the subject of the Panegyricus is a Greek war with Persia. Greece is dealt with in the first part, Persia in the second: in part I., again, Athens is contrasted with Sparta: the services of Athens to Greece are divided into the civil and the military, the latter being classed as in wars between Greeks or in wars between Greek and barbarian: part II. shows that Persia is open to attack, while Greece has every motive for attacking.

Isocrates marks the moment at which the purest Attic prose begins to pass over into an artificial literary prose of greater richness, but with less of spiritual grace and delicate precision. In this respect his literary style corresponds to his intellectual position. He belongs to the latter days of free Hellenic life, and while he has the political exclusiveness of a true Hellene, is already able to conceive that men not Hellenic in blood should come to share in Hellenic culture-a conception which, in the generation after his own, began to be realised by the civilisation of Hellenism. This has been well brought out by Curtius (Hist. Greece v. 116, 204). 'Athens', says Isocr., 'has so distanced the rest of the world in power of thought and speech that her disciples have become the teachers of all other men. She has brought it to pass that the name of Greek should be thought no longer a matter of race but a matter of intelligence; and should be given to the participators in our culture rather than to the sharers of our common origin ' : Panegyr. § 50.-See Attic Orators, II. 16; 54-79: 425 f.

On the Works of Isocr. in general, ib. iI. 80-260. The
following Extracts represent the several departments of his writings :-
A. Scholastic: I. Nicocles, $\$$ § 14-24: II. Encomium on Helen, $\$ \S 54-58$ : III. Evagoras, $\$ \$ 47-50$ : IV. Against the Sophists: V. On the Antidosis, $\S \S 270-302$.
B. Political: VI. Panegyricus, $\$ \$ 160-186$ : VII. Philippos, $\S \S 81-104$ : VIII. Plataicus, $\S \S 56-63$ : IX. On the Peace, 8 § 121 -131: X. Archidamus, 8 § $52-57$ : XI. Areopagiticus, $\$ 836-55$.
C. Forensic: XII. Aegineticus, $\S \$ 18-27$.
D. Letters: XIII. Letter v., to Alexander: XIV. Letter iII., to Philip.-See Table in Attic Orators, iI. 83.
I. NIKOK $\wedge$ HE H KYПPIOI. [Or. ini.]-Nicocles succeeded his father Evagoras as king of the Cyprian Salamis in 374 в.c. The discourse of Isocrates entitled חॉòs Nıкок入є́a (or. In.) was addressed to the young king soon after his accession. It is a series of precepts on the duty of a ruler to his subjects. The Nıкoк $\bar{\eta} \bar{s} \dot{\eta}$ Kúmpoo is a companion piece to the former. Here it is Nicocles who is supposed to speak, and who instructs his Salaminian subjects in their duties towards their king. Since the prince can appeal to his people's past experience of his rule (\$ 63), the date can hardly be earlier than 372 в.c.: on the other hand it cannot be later than 355 в.c., and may probably be placed between 372 and 365 в.c.

In the following passage the king urges the advantages of a Monarchy as compared with an Oligarchy or a Republic. Here Iscucrates is essentially the professional rhetor-it being distinctive of Rhetoric that, like its counterpart Dialectic, it is equally ready to argue either side of a question (tajuavia ouddojiserat, Ar. Rhet. 1. 1). Isocrates has given the other side in his 'Apeoтayctukbs (p. 151) as well as in the Panathenaicus, where he interprets his own political ideal,-a Democracy tempered by a censorship.-Attic Orators, in. 87, 90 f.

The advantages claimed for Monarchy, it will be seen, are briefly these: (1) it discriminates merit, $\S \mathbb{\$} 14,15:(2)$ it has more insight into the natures and actions of men: (3) it is the mildest of governments, \& 16: (4) its ministers learn and perform their duties more thoroughly, $\xi_{\$} 17,18$ : (5) it is prompt in action, \& $19:$ ( (\%) it has fewer jealousies, $\S 20:(7)$ it has a more direct interest in good government, \& 21: (8) it is more effective in war, \& 22.-As a plea for monarchy by the citizen of a Greek Republic, compare the brief apeech invented by Herod. for Dareius in the debate of the Persian conspirators, ur. 82.
§§ 14-24.
 aim at conditions of equality for all who participate in the franchise, and the principle which they approve is that no one should be permitted to have the advantage of his neighbour'. ràs iobot $\eta$ as, plur., because the two forms of 'civic equality' are different : ol $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in$ रovтes $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ sòicelas are in the one case the many, in the other the few. Cp. Thuc. III. 62, odcrapqia iбóvouos, i.e. constitutional oligarchy, opp. to a $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a$.
104 тó $\gamma \in \beta$ Ки́ $\lambda \eta \mu a]$ ' the intention ', -that to which its theory points,-that which it purposes to achieve. Plat. Laws 769 D ,



 $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta о \imath ̂ \delta \delta$, ' but does not attain a perfect result'.
§ 16. ràs tupavviSas] 'Again, all would allow that despotic governments have superior insight into men's natures and actions'. Here, as in $\S 22$, the $\mu o \nu \alpha \rho \chi i a$ is tacitly iden-
 is above and against the laws; it is characteristic of him that
 Eth. Nic. viri. 12). Depending much on the choice of instruments (Arist. Polit. viII [v] 11. § 12), he has, indeed, practice in the study of character: only, as Arist. says, the rupapyis is apt to be $\pi$ ovnpoфф $\lambda_{0}$, , to favour bad men. The founder of a despotism was usually a man of exceptional energy and sagacity: the fallacy here consists in crediting the tupavy's with the merits of some típavoo.
$\phi \hat{\rho} \rho \in \sigma \theta a l \mu$. тov̂ $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ovs] ' to be carried with the stream of the crowd':- $\alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, 'then, again'.
 more agreeable, the milder and the juster form of government, might be proved in ampler detail ; however, the general view just given may perhaps suffice': lit. ' not but that (ou $\mu$ ì $\nu$ $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha})$ it is easy to see this comprehensively ( $\sigma v \nu(\delta \delta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\nu})$ by means of the foregoing considerations ': סıà тoút $\omega \nu$, i.e. in $\$ \S 15,16$.

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \epsilon$, , 'nevertheless he kept his seat': where, after ò $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu_{;}$

 reasoning at one view', joined with $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \rho \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \lambda o \gamma(\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$, 'to reason from far back', i.e. to connect a series of syllogisms.
$\pi \epsilon p l$ $\delta \hat{\varepsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} v \lambda \lambda_{0} \pi \omega \hat{\omega}$ ］See introd．ad fin．Eight points of advantage are claimed for Monarchy．Three－graduation of merit，－insight，－clemency，－have now been noticed．Five（ $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\lambda_{0}(\pi \alpha$ ）remain．＇As to the other points，the superiority of Monarchies［to Oligarchies or Democracies］with respect to deliberation or action in needful matters may best be judged by us，if we endeavour to institute a systematic comparison in the most important provinces of activity＇． Lit．，＇if，comparing the most important actions［as performed by Monarchy and by its rival forms of government respec－
 $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \in \iota s)$ ．

โठ $\omega \mathrm{\omega} \tau \mathrm{al} \gamma^{〔} \gamma \nu$. ．］＇return into private life＇（their year of office having expired）．
§18．of $\delta$＇$\alpha \in l . . . \gamma[\gamma \nu \in \sigma 0 \alpha]$＇while the ministers of a Monarchy，having permanent charge of their duties，even if their natural abilities are inferior，have at least（ouvv）a decided preeminence in the lessons of experience．Further，the one class［ol $\mu \dot{\prime} \nu \quad$ the ministers of an Oligarchy or a Democracy］ betray many interests by neglect，because they rely upon each other［i．e．what is every one＇s business is no one＇s］；but the ministers of a Monarehy neglect nothing，since they know that everything must pass through their hands＇．－The plur．ai movapxial，§ 17，leaves room for doubt whether of $\delta^{\prime}$ ácl roîs
 his ministers：the context favours the latter view：cp．§§ 15， 16.
 тais $\delta \eta \mu к к \rho$ ．mean the citizens of oligarchical or democratical states；lit．，those who are in these forms of government，i．e．who bold their powers．So of $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { rais } \mu \text { popapxiaus ought to mean，}\end{aligned}$ those who hold monarchical power，viz．oi $\mu$ obapo $\quad$ ou．But，for the sense of the context，$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \beta \in \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \tau \tau 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$ ought to mean that the subjects，as well as the monarch，are eminently
 against this：since Monarchy is here conceived（§ 15）as a system which fixes each man in his proper rank，and thus precludes uneasy rivalry．Therefore I take of èv rais movap－ xiaus ovtes here as meaning＇those who live in monarchical States＇．
 general term，including（e．g．）the Athenian ßou入ों，є́кк入ךбia，

 right mornents to slip＇，do not＇lag behind＇them．

ments（oi $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ）cherish enmities；．．．monarchs，（oi $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ，）having a life－long tenure of office，maintain their friendships also through life＇．
§ 21．oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v$ wंs i8ioss］＇The monarch regards the public interests as his own，－the citizen，as belonging to others＇：－a remark utterly untrue to the spirit of the Athenian democracy
 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．Thuc．II．40．The essence of Greek political life，while vigour remained to it，was the identi－ fication of the citizen＇s interests with the city＇s：av̈rך $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\eta}$
 Soph．Ant． 189.
èv tois oैx ${ }^{\lambda}$ oıs］＇before mobs＇；i．e．＇before the Ecclesia or law－courts＇：cp．Eur．Hipp．989，oi $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu$ бoфoîs｜фav̂入oc，$\pi a \rho$＇ ${ }^{\delta} \chi \lambda \omega \mu$ оvбเкผ́тєроь $\lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \epsilon เ \nu$ ．
§ 22．ov̉ $\mu$ óvov $\delta^{\prime}$ ．．．．$\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner\in \lambda$ ńфaनเv］＇It is not only in matters of routine and in the affairs of every day that monarchies are superior；they hold in their grasp［perf．］also all the gains of war＇．
 or of display［ ${ }^{\circ} \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ ，so as to strike terror］，－in order to persuade or to compel，－to buy advantages in one quarter，or to conciliate by attentions in another＇．Cp．Andoc．De Pace § 37，p．47，which Isocr．may have had in mind，$\tau \dot{a} \mu \dot{e} \nu$
 ßıaбá $\mu \in \nu o l .-\tau a i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a \iota s ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i a \iota s$, attentions，flatteries，other than money（implied in éкт $\rho \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$ ）：for the idiom，see Lysias
 tacitly identified with $\mu \sigma \nu a \rho \chi i a$ ，as in § 16.
§ 23．Пєрбஸ̂v．．．$\Delta$ เovv́ $\sigma \iota o v]$ In illustrating the advantages of movapxia，Isocr．takes the word in its widest sense，and draws his examples from the most diverse forms of govern－ ment，viz．（1）the Persian monarchy，－a hereditary and consti－ tutional despotism，－$\mu о \nu a \rho \chi i ́ a ~ \tau ข р а \nu \nu \iota к \eta, ~ b u t ~ к а т \grave{\alpha ~ \nu o ́ \mu о \nu ~ к а і ~}$ $\pi a \tau \rho \iota \kappa \eta$ ，Arist．Pol．III． 14 ：（2）the rvpavi＇s，an unconstitutional despotism，which is only a perverted form，$\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta a \sigma \iota s$ ，of monarchy，and not properly a mo入っтєia at all：（3）the consti－ tutions of Sparta and of Carthage，in both of which the general tendency was oligarchical，and the＇royal＇office meant principally the chief command in war：Arist．Pol．II． 9. § 11.

тп入ıkav́тך $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ．］The real lessons taught by the Persian Wars were that free men fight better than slaves，and that good strategy is incompatible with the caprices of a feeble despot．

тодьорк．］When Dionysius became tyrant of Syracuse in 406 в．c．the Carthaginians were rapidly conquering the Sicilian cities．His first operations against them failed：and the words in the text refer，not to an actual siege of Syracuse（ $\tau \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ aúrov̂ $\pi a r \rho i \delta a)$ ，but to its imminent danger after the fall of Gela and Camarina．The peace which he made with Himilcon in 405 b．c．was a compromise which gave him leisure to confirm his own power．His tyranny was disastrous to all the higher interests of Hellenic civilisation．Cp．Lysias or．xxxin． § 5 （above，p．51）．
§ 24．тov่s ăpıซтa тผ̂v＇E．тo入ıт．］An awkward clause， referring as it does to $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta$ ．only：for grammatical clearness， it should stand between каi and $\perp$ акє $\delta$ ．
 ginian Council of One Hundred and Four with the Spartan Ephors，and the Carthaginian Elders（ $\gamma \epsilon \rho \circ \rho \tau \epsilon s$ ）and Kings （ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i s)$ with those of Sparta．－The Carthaginian＇Kings＇or Suffetes seem to have been chosen annually from a few principal families：Corn．Nepos speaks of Hannibal being made rex when appointed to his foreign command（c．7），and Diod．（xiv．54）of Himilcon，and Herod．of Hamilear（vir． 166）；Grote．x．548．－Of the Spartan kingship，Arist．says，





тo八入oús．．．évós］$\pi$ o入入oús－e．g．in the case of the Sicilian expedition，and of the defeat at Aegospotami：evos－e．g． Cimon，Phormio．The argument might be illustrated by the story of the dissension among the Athenian commanders before the battle of Marathon，when the four who agreed with Miltiades resigned to him their days of command（Her．vi． 109 f．）．

II．E $\triangle$ ENHE ELK $\Omega$ MION．［Or．x．］－The Encomium 107 on Helen（like another of his works，the Busiris，or，XI．）is a slight essuy by Isocr．in a province not his own．Declamations on suhjects taken from epos or from the myths had always a prominent place among the＇displays＇of ordinary Sophists． Such，for instance，are the Encomium on Helen and the Defence of Patumedes ascribed to Gorgias；the speech of Odysseus Against P＇alumedes ascribed to Alcidamas；the speeches of Ajax and Odysseus in the contest for the arms， ascribed to Antisthenes．The bent of Isocrates，as he himself tells us，was not towards this kind of composition．He was not， indeed，hostile to it，any more than he was hostile to criticism
of the poets and other branches of literary work which employed the Sophists. The encomia which he depreciates in or. x. $\S 12$ are encomia on bumble-bees and salt; on the other hand he expressly commends the choice of such a subject as Helen (§ 14) ; and if he speaks of Busiris as a poor theme (or. xi. § 22), he clearly means only that it is one which baffles the panegyrist. Yet it is important to note that he comes upon this field of 'display' not as a candidate for distinction, but merely as a critic. The Busiris and the Encomium on Helen are alike criticisms, in which he first reviews the work of others, and then shows, for the sake of vindicating his right to criticise, how he would have done the work himself.

Two indications help to fix the time at which Isocrates wrote. 1. From § 3 it may be inferred that Gorgias was dead; and Gorgias died about 380 в.c. 2. In § 1 there is an allusion to the three chief Socratic sects-the Cynics, the Academy, the Megarics. These sects must have already been mature. The language implies further that Antisthenes, founder of the Cynies-who died in 376 b.c.-is still alive. The Encomium may probably be put about 370 b.c.-Attic Oratore, II. 93, 102.

A translation of the following passage will be found in the Attic Orators, II. p. 78.

## §§ 54-58.


 lovers-Theseus, Menelaus, Paris and the heroes who fell in the War of Troy-Achilles, Sarpedon, etc.: §§ 39-53. тav̂'
 $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \theta u \gamma a \tau \rho o ́ s, \S 53$.

 forcible.
 ' this attribute' or 'quality' (viz. тó кá $\lambda \lambda o s$, beauty) : a meaning derived from that of 'species' or 'kind': cp. Lat. genus, e.g. Cic. De Or. Ir. 4. 17, qui in aliquo genere aut inconcinnus aut multus est, 'in any respect'. Isocr. has also some peculiar uses of $i \delta \dot{\epsilon} a \iota$ in reference to literary composition, viz. (1) as = $\tau \rho \sigma \pi \sigma \iota \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu$, the branches or styles: Antid. § $11:(2)=\sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \tau \alpha$, figures of rhetoric, Panath. § 2: (3) in a larger sense, all 'artificial resources' which can be formulated, Antid. § 183: see Attic Orators, II. 39 and note.
 homage'.
§57. $\dot{\text { 5 }} \boldsymbol{\pi o \kappa a \lambda o v ̂ \mu \epsilon v ] ~ h e r e , ~ a s ~ u s u a l l y ~ ' c a l l ~ c o n t e m p t u o u s l y ' : ~}$
 but not always so: e.g. Arist. Eth. II. 9, тoùs $\chi$ a入є $\frac{1}{}$ aivoptas á $\nu \delta \rho \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma \mathfrak{a} \pi$ окало̂̀ $\mu \in \nu$ : cp. Shilleto on Dem. F. L. § 274.

 Biov тоtoú $\mu$ evov.
örou $\left.\delta^{\prime}\right]$ 'but we honour for all time, and as benefactors to the State, those who have guarded the glory of their own youth in the chasteness of an inviolable shrine'.-áßarov,

 povба каі є̇кßакхєن́ovба...таıঠєи́єє. Soph. frag. 86 (Aleuadae),
 $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \lambda \lambda a$ (vulg. тà $\beta a \tau \alpha \dot{)}$ ), wealth can win its way into sacred places no less than into those that all may tread.
III. EYATOPAE. [Or. Ix.]-On the occasion of a festival held by Nicocles, king of the Cyprian Salamis (cp. introd. to or. III., p. 283), in memory of his father Evagoras (who died in 374 в.c.), Isocrates sent this encomium as his tribute. The date is probably about 365 в.c.

Evagoras appears to have been a man of unusually strong character, and of great abilities both military and political. Cyprus was divided between Phoenician settlements, such as Citium and Paphos, and later Greek settlements, such as Salamis and Soli. But the bulk of the population was, till long after the time of Evagoras, Phoenician; and continual contact with the non-hellenic East must always have tended to clepress the Greek element in Cyprus. Evagoras was the champion of Hellenism against barbarism at this out-post; first, as restorer of that Greek civilisation which the Phoenician and Tyrian masters of Salamis had effaced; afterwards, as antagonist of Persia in a War of Independence. Perhaps the wost striking passage in the memoir is the following, which describes how commerce, arts, letters, humane intercourse with the outer world, having become extinct under the rule of the barbarian, speedily sprang into a now life under the rule of the Hellene.-Attic (brators, II. 113.

$$
8847-50 .
$$

847. $\pi a p a \lambda a \beta \omega ̀ \nu \tau \eta \dot{\nu} v o ́ \lambda เ v$ éк $\beta$.] 'When the city (Salamis) same into his hants, it had been reduced to barbarism; owing
to the domination of the Phoenicians, it had no intercourse with Greeks, no lnowledge of the useful arts, no commerce, no harbour : but he supplied all these deficiencies ', etc.
 into Cyprus seem to have found Phoenicians already established. The Greek settlements traced their origin to Athens, Salamis, Arcadia, Cythnus (one of the Cyclades): Her. vir. 90. Long after the time at which Isocrates is writing the Phoenician element in Cyprus greatly preponderated over the Hellenic: thus Scylax in his IIfeim 0 ous, p. 97 (written in the time of Philip of Macedon, 359-336 в...), calls the inhabitants of the interior collectively 'barbarians'. Of the Greek cities on the coast, the chief in the time of Scylax seem to have been Salamis, Soli and Marium. (See Rawlinson on Her. v. 104.)

In 500 b.c. the Cyprian Salamis was ruled by a dynasty of Greek princes tributary to Persia (Her. v. 104, 114). Acc. to Isocr., this Greek dynasty-which claimed descent from Teucrus-was dispossessed by a Phoenician adventurer (íc Фovikns àvì qujás, $^{\text {§ }} 19$ ), whose descendants (ékrovol, § 21) held the throne until it was again taken from them by Evagoras, the heir of the old Greek kings.

Grote would place the Phoenician usurpation about 450 в.с. (x. 21), with good reason: though Isocr. at least seems to have conceived it as occurring much earlier. The restoration of the Greek 'Teucrid' dynasty by Evagoras cannot have been later than 411 в.c., in which year Andocides visited Cyprus, and found Evagoras reigning at Salamis, [Lys.] In Andoc. § 28. And Evagoras must have been 'not merely established, but powerful' (Grote x. ${ }^{25}$ ) when he ventured to harbour Conon after Aegospotami ( 405 в.c.). At the time of his death in 374 b.c. Evagoras was an old man (§ 71).
 a centre or seat of commerce, to foreign traders. At Athens the $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \pi \delta \rho \circ 0 \nu$ was the 'Exchange'. It is unnecessary to read


 'caused to be built'. Her. and Thuc. always use the midd.

тaîs ä $\lambda \lambda$ aus katarkevais] 'and, further, so embellished the city with public buildings that it is surpassed by no other
 $\theta$ єрaтeíaıs, note. The term катабкеvai might perhaps include


 of temples, $\sigma$ тoai, theatre, $\pi \rho \nu \tau a v e i ̂ o v, ~ g y m n a s i u m, ~ b a t h s . ~$
 in progress', = тобои̂тov $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta i \delta \dot{\partial} \nu \alpha l,-o p p$, to $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \delta i \delta \delta \nu a l$ or $\dot{u} \pi o-$ $\delta_{i} \delta^{\prime} \nu a$, , to fall back, fail. At Athens $\epsilon \pi \pi \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ had the special meaning of 'benevolences' contributed by the citizens in the emergencies of the State. Hence the story in Athenaeus Iv. 168 of Phocion's dissolute son: 'Once, when subscriptions to the Treasury ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon t s)$ were being made, he came forward in the Ecclesia, and said, "I, too, advance" ( $(\pi \pi i \delta i \delta \omega \mu t)$-" in profligacy", roared the House with one accord'.

тoเov́тoเs $\left.\eta{ }^{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \sigma เ \nu\right]$ ' with such qualities', a dat. of circum-
 it is said that Evag. was distinguished in yoath by $\sigma \omega \phi$ pooivin as well as $\dot{\rho} \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ and кád入os,--in manhood, by $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho i a$, бoфía, бкка.обúvŋ.

§ 49. 'ย́'котто] 'do justice to': Dem. F.L. §65, oưס' ầ
 oratione consequi aliquid, Cic. Post Red. ad Quir. 2. § 5.

тòv tóтov ròv $\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner e ́ \chi$.] 'The coast adjacent to Cyprus'meaning esp. the seaboard of Cilicia and Caria. Phoenician trading posts had existed there from early times, but on the Cilician coast the Greeks had few settlements before the time of Alexander.
dimporoiotws, к.т.入.] ' their temper was so unsociable and savage that they deemed those rulers the best who were most cruelly disposed towards the Greeks':-a description which doubtless includes the Phoenician colonists as well as the natives of the Asiatic mainland. In the pseudo-Lysian speech ' Against Andocides' it is stated that when he visited Cyprus he was imprisoned by the [Phoenician] king of Citium, кai


\$ 50. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \lambda \lambda$. oltเves... $\delta$ ógovat] ' vie with each other, which of them shall seem'. The relative with fut. ind. here expresses a purpose, oitrves $\delta \dot{\prime} \xi$ ouac being equiv. to an object-clause, $\partial \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \omega$ s
 8 2: Goodwin \& 65.

ктท́ $\mu a \sigma$ - $\langle\pi เ \tau \eta \delta$.] By ктท́भата are meant esp. worls of art, the beautiful objects which surrounded a Greek in his home-


(business or recreation) in the most general sense: cp. Thuc.

$110 \pi \lambda_{\text {éovs }} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$, к. . ....] 'a greater number of men versed in literature and art ( $\mu$ ovaıкí), and men of intellectual accomplishment generally ( $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \pi \alpha a \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma t s$ ), reside in these regions than in the communities [ $\pi a \rho$ ' ois, apud eos] which they formerly frequented'.
 larger sense. But cp. Epist. viII. of Isocr. (roîs Mvti入ךขaiwv äp $p o v \sigma(\nu)$, which commends to the government of Mytilene the eminent musician Agēnor, by whom the grandsons of Isocr. had been taught music- $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon v \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$, Ep. viII. § 1. In § 4 ib . he calls Mytilene $\mu \mathrm{ov} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\iota} \mathrm{\kappa} \mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\alpha ́} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{\nu}, \mathrm{i.e}$. famous for poetry, letters and art-where again the larger sense is uppermost. (See Attic Orators, II. 247.)
$\left.\pi \rho о \sigma о \mu о \lambda о ү \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \in \epsilon \nu\right]$ 'concede'. $\pi \rho \sigma$ s in this verb and its subst. $\pi \rho o \sigma o \mu 0 \lambda$ oria does not usu. mean 'besides', 'in addition', but merely 'to', i.e. 'in discussion with another': as $\pi \rho \circ \sigma o-$ ขонásw in Her. II. 52 is not 'to give an additional name', but 'to accost by a name'.
IV. KATA T $\Omega \mathrm{N} \quad \Sigma O \Phi I \Sigma T \Omega N$. [Or. xin.]-'Against the Sophists'.-As Isocr. himself tells us (Antid. § 193), this discourse was written at the beginning of his professional life; and it may probably be assigned to the year 391 or 390 в.с. The speech would thus have the character of a manifesto in which, at the outset of his career, the teacher protests against the system adopted by other members of his profession, and declares the principles by which he himself intends to be guided. In its extant form the discourse is plainly imperfect. It breaks off at the point where Isocr. is passing-as he passes in the introductions to the Busiris and the Encomium on Helen-from destructive criticism to positive illustration.

Isocr. accepts for himself the name of $\sigma o \phi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} s$, as of honourable import when rightly understood (Antid. § 220), but distinguishes himself from the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \lambda a \hat{\imath} o c ~ \sigma o \phi \iota \sigma \tau a i$, the common herd of the profession (Panather. § 18). Under the title of ooфıг ${ }^{2}$, three classes of teachers are censured in this piece, viz.:-
(1) The Eristics,-oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ tàs $\epsilon \rho \rho \delta$ as $\delta \iota a \tau \rho i \beta o \nu \tau \epsilon s$, § 1: whose characteristic is that they profess, for a small fee, to impart
 does not specially designate, the minor Socratics, and particularly Eucleides.
(2) The professors of 'Political Discourse'-oi tou's $\pi 0 \lambda_{C}$ тıкoùs $\lambda$ óyous $\dot{\nu} \pi \iota \sigma \chi \nu$ оú $\mu \in \nu 0$-meaning the teachers of Practical Rhetoric, Deliberative and Forensic. Now the general aim of these teachers was that of Isocr. himself, viz. to train men for the active duties of civic life. The point of this censure is that they claim too large and infallible an efficacy for their method: $\pi a l \delta e i \alpha$, instruction, can do much, but it must be aided by $\phi \dot{\sigma} \iota \iota$, natural aptitude, and by $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i a$, experience.
(3) The writers of Treatises on Rhetoric, oi $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu a s$ rod́qavtes. These are censured for devoting themselves to the Rhetoric of the law-courts, neglecting the higher or political province of their art, and so becoming 'teachers of meddlesomeness and greed '.

Here, Isocr. is stating what his $\phi \iota \lambda o \sigma o \phi i \alpha$, or theory of culture, is not. In the discourse on the Antidosis (written 35 years later, in 353 b.c.-see p. 117) he states what it is.Attic Orators, п1. 127-134.
 postors has created an impression that the votaries of indolence are better advised than those who give their days to serious study'. j $\quad \theta \theta \mu \epsilon i v$-careless enjoyment, opp. to strenuous pre-
 in the special sense of Isocr., is the art of speaking or writing on large political subjects, considered as a preparation for advising or acting in political affairs. See Attic Orators, In. 36, ch. xiII, on his 'Theory of Culture'. The term $\phi$ inooooia was often used at this period, as later, in the general sense of

 Socratics, e.!. Antisthenes and the Cynics, Eucleides and the Megarics-to whom he alludes again in a later work, the Encomium on Helen, § 1. There, we find a clear allusion to Plato also, as teaching that Valour, Wisdom and Justice form the subject-matter of one science. Here there is prob. no reference to Plato, who at this time ( 390 в.c.) was perh. not yet conspicuous: in the Panathenaicus (339 B.c.), however. the éportкoi ódidoyoc named as popular with young men (§ 118) must certainly include the dialogues of Plato.
8. 2. Bounєvouévous vimèp aútĉv] 'deliberating about the future : $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho$ aúT $\hat{\omega} \nu=\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ : a common use of dimép in early Greek, but somewhat rate in good Attic: cp.
 тра́үнатоя.
oú тท่v ikeivตv, к.т.X.] 'not that he knew their [the gods'], mind, but because he wished to show us that, for men, know-
ledge of the future is a thing impossible ':-a fortiori, if not even gods are certain about it.
111 § 3. 'e่ $\pi เ \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{\eta}$ ] Cp. § 8, where 'those who profess to have knowledge' ( $\epsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta \nu)$ are said to be 'less consistent and less successful than those who act upon opinions', oi rais $\delta$ ógaus $\chi \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu 0$. The mention of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ here again points to the Socratics. The view of Isocr. was that the teacher of $\phi$ ( $\lambda_{0}$ ooфla has to prepare men to deal with occasions (кalpoí) as they arise. It is impossible to foresee exactly all these occasions; there can be no science ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi / \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu)$ of them. There can be only opinion ( $\delta o ́ \xi \sigma$ ), conjecture ( $\sigma \tau \circ \chi a \sigma \mu \delta s$ ), about them: and he is the wisest man who-exact foresight being out of the question-can best conjecture what any given crisis will demand of him (Attic Orators, II. 40). Cp. Isocr. Antid. § 184, iva...
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda a \beta \in i ̂ \nu$ av̉тou's oủ ot̀v t' $\epsilon \sigma \tau i v$.
§ 4. ov่k äv ท̉щфเซß. ต่s [oủk] єű $\phi \rho$. тvyx.] 'Were they selling any other of their possessions for a small fraction of its value, they would not dispute [ $=$ they would admit] their own folly'. This is plainly the sense : but, in order to obtain it, we must omit oủk before $\epsilon \hat{v}$. For oủk $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ùs oủk $\epsilon \hat{u}$ $\phi \rho 0 \nu \in \hat{\imath}$ means, 'he does not maintain that he is senseless': see
 maintains that we do not speak truly: Parm. 135 a, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon i v$ $\dot{\text { wंs oủk }}$ ย̈бть таûta, к.т.入. Dobree (Advers. I. 275) saw that the second oủk must be omitted here, noticing Isocr. or. xviII. Adv. Callim. $\S 35$ as a case in which, on the contrary, ou should be inserted: ís $\mu$ èv $\chi \rho \eta_{\eta} \ldots$ oủ $\delta$ aủtòv oi $\mu a \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu$. But there, I think, the order of the clauses confirms the text: 'As to the propriety...I do not think that even he would deny it'. Had ả้ $\nu \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ preceded $\dot{\omega} s \chi \rho \eta^{\prime}$, then ou must have been inserted.
dpyupi(iov, к.т.入.] 'The scientific aim of the teachers described, coupled with their moderate earnings, and contempt, genuine or affected, of "filthy lucre" ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma v \rho i \delta \iota o \nu, ~ к . \tau . \lambda) ~ a r e$. features which meet in the minor Socratics, and in them only': W. H. Thompson, Phaedrus, p. 177, n. 9.-For àтокалои̂עтєs, cp. Helen. Encom., § 57, note.
§ 5. mapà тoútoเs... $\mu \in \sigma \in \gamma \gamma v o u ̂ v \tau a l]$ 'they canse the fees paid by their pupils to be deposited with these men'. Isocr. says that the $\sigma 0 \phi \iota \tau \dot{\eta}$ s, who professes to teach his pupils virtue, believes so little in the virtue which his pupils will have acquired at the end of the course that he requires them to deposit their fees beforehand in the hands of a surety, who acts as a middleman ( $\left.\mu \in \sigma \epsilon \gamma \gamma v \eta \eta^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ ) between teacher and disciples.-The form
$\mu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \gamma \gamma$ woir $\theta a \iota$ is illustrated by $\mu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \gamma \gamma v \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s$ in Panath．§ 13， where Sauppe conj．$\mu$ ебє $\gamma \gamma \cup \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{atos}$ ．
 Ar．Av．463，ò $\delta \iota \alpha \mu a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ov̉ к $\omega \lambda v ́ \epsilon \epsilon$ ．
évepya！ouévovs］＇those who engender virtue and temperance＇ 112 （in their disciples）．The same topic of ridicule is used by


 aंтобтєройעтєऽ，к．т．入．Cp．ib． 460 е．
§ 7．Tàs évavtićocts］＇contradictions＇．Plat．Pol． 454 A ，
 to press a verbal discrepancy．Cp．Isocr．Evag．§ 44，ómoiws
 $\lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$ ，observing consistency alike in word and deed．
§ 8．Taîs $\delta$ ógaıs］See § 3，غ் $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\mu \eta}$ s，note．
§ 9．ả $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ kai toîs tov̀s $\pi 0 \lambda$ ．$\lambda$ ó $\gamma$ ．vi $\pi เ \sigma$ ．］Isocr，now turns from the＇Eristics＇to the second class of $\sigma 0 \phi \iota \sigma$ ai whom
 Forensic Rhetoric：see Attic Orators，1．90．Isocr．would limit the name to what he regards as the properly＇political＇ themes：forensic speeches are in his view merely sham $\pi 0 \lambda_{c}$－ tixol．Cp．below，§ 20，and introd．
avaıo日ทंт $\omega$ s－$\pi a p a \lambda เ \pi \in i v]$＇So stupid are they，and so dull do they conceive others to be，that，although they compose worse than some amateurs extemporise，they yet promise to make their pupils such consummate speakers that they shall miss not one of the topics which their subjects afford＇．

§ु 10．тais ${ }^{2} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ pials．．．$\left.\tau \hat{n} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{l}\right]$＇the lessons of experi－ 113 ence＇．．．＇the native power of the learner＇．In Antid．§§ 186－191 Isocr．explains that three things go to make a consummate speaker，－фv́бıs，$\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a, \quad{ }^{\prime} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i a,-$ and that the first is by far the most important．The mistake of the teachers censured here is that they represent $\pi a \iota o ̄ \in i a(=\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu$ i $\pi / \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$ ）as being of certain and absolute efficacy．
öซov हैveฮтเv ev ékóotn］＇the capability＇of each art ；what it can，or cunnot，do．
§11．Tìv фi入oroфlav］i．e．the study of mo八etikoi hóyoc，as opp．to natural power or practical experience in them：cp．§ 1， note on à $\lambda$ a̧ovevéoda．




тov̀s $\phi \lambda$ vapoûvтas] Dobree would omit $\tau 0$ ós, or change it to aủtoús: needlessly, I think.

тa's $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a s]$ 'for I perceive that the slanders which arise do not touch the offenders only, but affect all who are engaged in the same pursuit',-Isocr. regarding himself as a бофь $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta}$ s, though not $\dot{a} \gamma \epsilon \lambda a i o s$, 'of the herd'; see introd. Cp. the Antidosis, the apology for his life, of which a great part
 ठ $\alpha \beta \circ \lambda \eta$ (§ 168).
§ 12. тoıทтเкои̂ $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu$.] ' who are not aware that they are measuring a creative process by the analogy of an exact art': i.e. to make a really good speech is a $\pi$ oı $\eta \tau \iota \kappa \partial \nu \nu \rho \bar{\alpha} \gamma \mu a,-i t$ demands some degree of inventive faculty and natural ability; but these sophists pretend that they can teach a man to speak well with as much certainty and precision as they can teach him his letters. $\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta$, an art with fixed rules, where nothing is left to imagination or invention. For $\pi o \iota \eta-$
 says, 'malim moukinov'.
 different in all respects from those used by others'.- $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \in \sigma \iota \varsigma$, invention, and $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota s$, arrangement, were the two provinces of $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\partial}$ тóтos, the treatment of subject-matter (Dionys. De Comp. r): as $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi$ (s (in the narrow sense), diction, and $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \theta \in \sigma \iota s$, composition, were the two provinces of $\lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa$ s̀s tóтos, the treatment of language.
 the 'art with fixed rules', and $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, political speaking, the ' creative' effort.
§ 14. i8ı̂ิtal] 'Many of those who have studied this art [of ' political discourse'] have remained private persons, while others, who have never attended the lectures of any professor, have proved themselves powerful orators and statesmen': $i \delta \iota \hat{\tau} a l$, with an allusion to the case of Isocr. himself, who, as he tells us, was hindered by want of nerve and weakness of voice ( $\tau$ ó $\lambda \mu \alpha-\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$, Panath. § 10) from entering public life. See Attic Orators, II. 5.

тoîs $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{l} \tau \grave{s} \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \pi . \gamma \in \gamma$.] 'those who have passed the ordeals of experience '-in the Ecclesia and the law-courts.

[^11]where to look for them. The very phrase tórou, loci communes, meant those pluces (in the mind or memory) where classified arguments or illustrations are stored. 'Those things which they now light upon at random [ $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$, temere], the discipline teaches them to find by a more ready method': $\epsilon \xi$ є́тоциот́́pov, the comparative only, because, though a systematic training gives the speaker a surer command of his weapons, it cannot enable him to foresee the exact requirements of each occasion.
áyตvıoràs...入óy. moıทтás] 'It cannot make them good 115 debaters or masterly orators, but it can improve their natural power, and in many respects sharpen their insight'.- $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$ $\nu$ vori's, a combatant in real debate, opposed to a mere student or declaimer. Cleon's speech in Thuc. III. 37, 38 brings out this image of debate as an á $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ : Attic Orators, 1. 39.
§ 16. T $\hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu ~ i \delta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}]$ 'the forms', or 'elements': strictly, the various kinds, classes of argument or ornament which prose composition employs. Cp. Autid. § 183, tàs iס́áas
 all the resources of literary art which can be reduced to formulas. Isocr. also uses ióéa in narrower senses, as (1) branches or styles of composition, Antid. § 11, or (2) figures of rhetoric, Panath. § 2. Attic Orators, 11. 39.

тois pą $\delta i \omega s$ í $\pi เ \sigma \chi^{v}$.] 'those who make rash promises'. Cp. $\$ 9$.

тò $\delta \mathbf{e}$ тoút $\omega v$ ] 'But to choose from among these resources
 to each subject,-to combine and arrange them fitly,-further, not to miss the right moments [for using each], but to stud the whole discourse with points happily made, and to clothe it in phrase of gracious movement and melody, -this, I say [ $\hat{b} \overline{]}$ ], demands much study, this is the task of a mind possessing vigour and imagination, and, for this, the learner must not only have the due natural gifts,- he must further learn to distinguish the branches ( $\epsilon \pi \delta \eta$ ) of oratory, and must gain practice in their use. The teacher, again, must expound the theory ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu_{i} v$ ) with all possible precision, so as to omit nothing thant can be taught; while in the practice ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) he must set such an example that those who have already been formed in the rough (eктutwoívias), and who are capable of imitating him, may from the outset (einis) exhilhit a style of more than ordinary elegance and finish'.-iv $\theta$ unjuagь, rhe-
 capable of forming a sagacious objga (imerríu being out of the question: cp. 3 3, wote) ; parodied by Phato, fiory. 4 fi3 A, doxei

 courage of its conjectures＇．
§ 19．äpть ávaфvóuєvol，к．т．入．］The sophists who have ＇lately sprung up＇，and＇recently embraced their pretentious callings＇，are both the two preceding classes－（1）the Eristics， （2）the professors of $\pi$ тоıтькоi 入órou．These，he says，will at last be converted to his principles（ $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \nu \dot{u} \pi o ́ \theta \in \sigma \iota \nu$ ）．He now comes to the third class．

тéxvas］Artes，treatises on Rhetoric．The writers primarily meant are doubtless Corax of Syracuse（circ． 466 в．c．），and his pupil Tisias，on whom see Attic Orators，1．exxi f．：perh．also Antiphon．Gorgias，Thrasymachus of Chalcedon，and Pôlos had also written $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu a l$ ，but were probably less liable to the charge brought here－that of dealing exclusively with Forensic Rhetoric．
 $\dot{a} \pi{ }^{\text {a }}$ 入orial．This was strictly true of Corax，whose express object was to help Sicilian litigants（Attic Orators，I．cxviii）， and also perhaps of Tisias．Aristotle makes the very same criti－ cism on the writers of $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu a \iota$ generally who had preceded him，
 Rhetoric which trains for political debate），oú $\delta \grave{\iota} \nu \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma o v \sigma \iota, \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \delta \epsilon \grave{c}$ $\tau 0 \hat{v} \delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon \chi \nu 0 \lambda 0 \gamma \epsilon i \bar{\nu}$.
 when the accomplishment，in so far as it can be taught，is available for all other branches of oratory just as much as for the forensic＇．－то̂ $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau о s: ~ с р . ~ § ~ 12, ~ \pi о \imath \eta \tau \iota к о и ̂ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau о \varsigma, ~$ and note．He prefers this vague term，because it suits his doctrine that Rhetoric is not a mere $\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta$ ，but largely a matter of natural aptitude．一oùठèv $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda \frac{\lambda}{}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. ：i．e． Rhetoric is $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon u \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ as well as $\delta \iota \kappa a \nu \iota \kappa \eta$ ．
 disputations＇：cp．§ 1，note on $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau$ às＇$¢ \rho \iota \delta a s .-\kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta \alpha$ ， like versari，but with a contemptuous sense，implying busy idleness（＇to potter about＇），cp．Isocr．Philipp．$\S 81$（p．136），
 platform－．
 arguments，$\lambda$ orioıa．），on which a man could not act in real life without instant and utter disaster＇．－$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ aủt $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ，sc．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\lambda_{0} \gamma \delta \delta i \omega \nu$ ：＇still they are wont to urge these in the name of virtue and of temperance＇．－$\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \eta \gamma \gamma$ ．，＇profess＇：cp．$\epsilon^{\prime} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda-$ $\mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu, \S 1$.

were such as belonged to practical civic life, i.e. either delibe-
 Isocr. says, neglect the real benefits which their study can confer ( $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ т пообóvтa aúrois ája日á, that is, in the higher or deliberative branch), and undertake to be 'teachers of meddlesomeness and greed' (i.e. of the forensic branch,-the art of litigation).
§21. каítol... $\left.\dot{\phi} \phi \in \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \in \downarrow\right]$ ' Those, however, who choose to obey the precepts of this study [ $\phi \iota \lambda 0 \sigma 0 \phi i a s-t h e ~ t r u e ~ \lambda o \gamma \omega \nu$ $\pi a i \delta e i a]$ will be aided by it to acquire moral worth much more surely than rhetorical skill': $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{v}$ $\theta \hat{\alpha} \tau \tau 0 \nu$, because, as he has said (§ 10), the teacher cannot promise to make the pupil a good speaker.-Cp. Antid. §§ 274 f., p. 118.

ẃs Ëनtt Sıkaloo. סıסakтóv] Plat. Protag. 328 D (Socrates to
 be taught is a paradox of the same sort as the profession of Socrates that he knew nothing. Plato means to say that virtue is not brought to a man, but must be drawn out of him,-and cannot be taught by rhetorical discourses or citations from the poets' (Jowett, Plato, 1. 119). Cp. Antid. § 279, p. 120.
ov $\left.\mu \eta \dot{\eta} v \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}\right]$ ' At the same time I think that the study of 117 political oratory is most likely to be helpful [ $\sigma u \nu$-] in stimulating and forming such a disposition ': $\sigma \nu \nu a \sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota a \nu$, i.e. will help a man to practise these virtues.-ov $\mu \dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ : or. III. § 17, note, p. 284.
V. IIEPI ANTIDOEESE. [Or. xv.]-'On the Antidosis', or 'Exchange of Properties'. - In the discourse 'Against the Sophists' Isocr. sought to distinguish his own conception of his calling-as a $\sigma 0 \phi \omega \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$ in the higher sense-from those of teachers whose views he deemed false and mischievous. In the present discourse-written 35 years later ( 353 B.c.) -he explains his own conception more fully, and defends his art
 tugether, these two essays express his whole literary and ellucational creed, both on its negative and on its positive side: they are the prologue and epilogue of his professional life.

Isocrates had lately been called upon to undertake the tri--rarchy, or to make exchange of properties (antidosis) with his challenger. The case had come to a trial; the trierarchy had beten imposed upon Isocrates, and he had discharged it (\$ 5). Vexed, however, by the gencral prejudice against his pursuits to which he felt that the verdict had been due, he deternined to publish an Apologis-a discourse ' which should be an image of his mind and life' (\$ 7). This he throws into the form of a aperch made in court against one Lysimachus (\$14), who, by
working on popular prejudice，is seeking to cast the burden of the trierarchy upon him．Much of the discourse is not，he allows，in the forensic style（§ 10）；yet，by the concluding allusion to a verdict（§ 323），he aims，in some measure，at sustaining the fiction to the end．

It is known that，in 355 в．c．，Isocrates had really been chal－ lenged to an exchange of properties by one Megacleides；and， being unable through illness to appear in court，had been repre－ sented by his adopted son Aphareus，whose speech on the occasion is quoted by Dionysius．Now this is probably the trial to which Isocrates refers as having been decided against him．It must have taken place at least a year before the date of this discourse，since it is implied that the public service had now been discharged（§5）．Lysimachus is a fictitious person who stands for the Megacleides of the real trial．－ Attic Orators，II． 134 f．

The following passage contains the pith of the whole dis－ course－his account of his фi入oroфia，and the general grounds on which he rests its claims．

$$
\S \S 270 — 302 .
$$

§ 270．$\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{l} \mu \mathrm{\epsilon} v$ ov̂v тои́т $\omega v$ ］i．e．the practical worth for the State of those studies to which Isocr．has given his life， and the danger to Athens from the ovкoфáyтal who denounce them：§§ 199－269．－Tò $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ єîval，＇for the present＇：so éкc̀v єîval，тd тク́Mєроу єîva८（Plat．Crat． 396 e），катà тoûto єîval，
 § 100． 2.
ooфias kai фi入oooф．］＇Wisdom and philosophy＇．The term $\phi i \lambda o \sigma o \phi i a$ ，said to have been invented by Pythagoras， prob．did not come into general use at Athens much before the time of Socrates．Cp．Thompson＇s note on Plat．Phaedr． 278 D．Attic Orators，II． 36.
$\pi$ áбals taîs $\pi \rho a \not \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon i a l s]$＇for they［these notions，$\sigma o \phi i a$, $\phi i \lambda o \sigma o \phi i a]$ have nothing to do with any legal issue＇－and would usually，therefore，be out of place in a forensic speech， such as this purports to be．Cp．Isocr．Ad Nicocl．［or．Ir］§ 18，
 éri¿そnuious，＇make their industries profitable to them，and their lawsuits costly＇．
 such issues，and since，too，．．．＇The каi before крivонаи＝ ＇both＇（not＇actually＇），answering to the кai before $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ка入ov－

action concerning the $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \delta o \sigma$ เs had been brought against him on the strength of a general prejudice against his pursuits． The real issue，for him，is to vindicate his past life．
 be deemed such＇－the genuine фiлобофia：＝$\hat{\eta} \hat{a} \nu \delta i к a i \omega s ~ \nu o \mu i-$ jotro．
 Isocr．does not deny $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$ in the Platonic sense，a possible knowledge of absolute truth，but merely an $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ of the contingencies which may arise in practical life．His view means no more than that the future is uncertain．See Attic Drators，п． 52.
$\epsilon^{E} k \tau \hat{\omega} v \lambda \operatorname{lon} \omega \hat{\omega}$ ］＇in the next resort＇：lit．＇of the men who remain＇，－men gifted with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ being out of the question．
§272．ov゙тต．．．$\sigma \phi$ óSpa．．．kal $\pi \circ \lambda$ v́］The adverb $\sigma \phi \delta \delta \rho a$（the 118 adjective would have been $\sigma \phi 0 \delta \rho a ́)$ goes both with $\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \delta o \xi a$ and with $\pi$ o $\lambda \dot{u} \ldots \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \tau a$ ．For the combination $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a \operatorname{\pi o\lambda } \dot{u}$ ， cp．or．IX．§ 48，$\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \dot{u}$ 入iav（ $=\lambda i a \nu \pi o \lambda u ́)$ ，note，p． 291.

т $\eta v$ ápx $\eta v$ ］adverbial，＇at the outset＇：Andoc．De Pace
 єip $\eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ä $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ．In negative sentences，$\alpha_{\rho} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，without art．，$=$＇at all＇（omnino）．
§ 273．wंs ăp＇＇̇yต́，к．т．入．］＇as to suppose（ăpa）that， standing in peril as I do［of your verdict］，I would have re－ solved to use arguments which contravene your views，did I not consider that they follow from those which I have already urged，and that the proofs by which I can support them are sound and clear＇．He means，＇It will startle you to hear that virtue camnot be taught：but a definition of the intellectual and moral scope which I claim for my фi入oroфia is a necessary supplement（ $\dot{\kappa} \dot{\lambda} \lambda o v \theta o s)$ to what I have already said on its practical worth＇．

8275．ov $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} v{ }^{2}$ d $\lambda \lambda$＇，к．т．$\left.\lambda.\right]$＇At the same time，I grant that their characters are likely to be inpproved and ennobled＇：






8276．$\pi$ ．Tds vimodícets，к．т．入．］＇he is certain not to take his themes from the dishonest or frivolous controversies of private litigation，but from great and noble subjects which concern the welfare of mankind and the interests of the

Commonwealth: since, if he does not find such themes, he will utterly fail to achieve his objects ',-viz., will fail to deserve ধ̈Talvos and $\tau i \mu \eta_{\eta}--i \delta i \omega \nu \sigma \nu \mu \beta \circ \lambda .$, 'private contracts', i.e. all the transactions between men which give rise to diкau: cp. Lys. In Erat. § 98, $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ èvєка $\sigma v \mu \beta o \lambda a i \omega \nu$ (for small debts), note, p. 259. So in Arist. Rhet. I. 1 § 10 Forensic Rhetoric is $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \dot{a} \sigma \nu \nu a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau a$.
$\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda a s$ кal кa入ás] Earlier in this speech Isocr. has given examples of what he means by $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \iota ~ \dot{v} \pi o \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma$,-viz. the comparative claims of Athens and Sparta to the hegemony (§ 59), treated in his own Panegyricus: and the measures needed for a reform of Athenian policy ( $\S 65$ ), treated in his De Pace.
§ 277. T $\omega \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega v$ ] 'Next, he will select the most impressive and the most beneficent of the actions which illustrate his subject'. Thus, in showing that Athens had a better claim than Sparta to lead Greece, Isocr. adduces (in the Panegyricus) the great services of Athens to Greece: these are $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha}_{\xi \in \iota s} \sigma v \nu$ тєivovoal $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{u} \pi \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$, historical facts bearing on this theme.-ó $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тàs rocaútas, к.т.入.: i.e. the habitual contemplation of noble actions, as illustrating a noble subject, will educate the intelligence no less than the literary faculty of the student--will give him $\tau \dot{\delta} \epsilon \hat{\nu} \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i v$ as well as $\tau \dot{\delta} \epsilon \hat{v} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.

 $\lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$ ( $\dot{\eta} \phi i \lambda o \sigma o \phi i a$ ), and note, p. 299. The argument is that the professor of persuasion will cultivate virtue, because virtue is persuasive.

 $\delta \iota a \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \in \mathcal{\nu} 0$, ' men of tarnished character'. The fallacy peeps out in the tacit substitution of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \in \hat{\delta} \delta<a \kappa \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סокойขт $\omega \nu$ єv̂ $\delta \iota a \kappa \in i \hat{\sigma} \theta a l$ : for, even granting that the surest way to seem anything is to be it, yet for Isocr.'s argument it would suffice that one should seem without being.
120 Tds ék тoû $\beta$ iov $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v}$.] 'the proofs which have been afforded by a man's life': i.e. apart from the logical value of the
 will be the more persuasive in proportion as his past conduct makes it probable that he is sincere.
 the civoca felt by the hearers for a man whom they believe to be good. Arist. Rhet. iI. 1 says that $\dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau i s-t h e ~ p e r-~$ suasiveness arising from the speaker's qualities as conceived by the hearer-has three elements,-the intelligence ( $\phi$ оóv $\eta \sigma \iota s$ ),
the moral worth ( $\dot{d} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta}$ ), and the good-will ( $\epsilon \nsim \sim \circ \sim a$ ) towards themselves, which the hearers recognise in him. The évoias dúvauls of which Isocr. speaks here means, the power of the good-will which the hearers feel for the speaker: thus it answers to the whole $\dot{\eta} \theta_{\iota \kappa \grave{\eta}} \pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ of Aristotle, and not merely to the third element therein.
 'that probabilities ( $\epsilon і к о \tau \alpha$ ), proofs ( $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \dot{\eta} \rho \iota$ ), and the rhetorical instruments of persuasion generally ( $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \nu \epsilon i \delta o s$ ), are valid only for that particular occasion to which they may severally be applied',-whereas a good reputation creates in every case a presumption that its possessor is acting rightly. -єіко́та. The topic of єiкós, general probability, had been prominent in the early $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \chi \nu a t$, as those of Corax and Tisias: see Attic Orators, I. cxxi. Arist. defines the enthymeme, or
 i.e. drawn (1) from (mere) general likelihoods: (2) from particular signs which may, or may not, be conclusive.-тєкцйрıa here $=$ merely 'sure signs', as opp. to 'probabilities': not, in Arist.'s technical sense, the demonstrative as dist. from the

 guishes $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ (1) $\lambda о \gamma \iota \kappa \eta \eta^{\prime}, ~(2) ~ \dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$, (3) $\pi \alpha \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ : here Isocr. means (1), and perhaps (3), but treats (2) as something of a different and higher order.
 as I felt, of the terms which I used ': ${ }_{\eta} \nu$, was, as I felt and hinted at the time, referring to § 275 , where $\pi \lambda \epsilon \frac{\nu \epsilon \xi i a}{}$ is named with an apologetic explanation that it is not employed in its
 $\gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \bar{s} s$, єi $\mu \notin \mu \nu \eta \sigma a \iota$. Goodwin § 11.6.

тapa入oy̧̌o $\mu$ évovs] 'making a false reckoning', cheating in bills or accounts (not 'reasoning falsely'): cp. Dem. Adv.

 таûта d̀mpтд́кабш.
$\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda$ 有 $\dot{\lambda} \lambda a r t o u ̂ v \tau a l]$ 'are at a greater disadvantage': cp. i $\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \in i s$, Antiph. De C'red. Her. § 19, note, p. 212.
§ 282. kal vîv $\pi \lambda$ féo " $X \in \epsilon v$ ] ' are not only more fortunate now [in this mortal life], Lut will receive the better portion from the gods'.
8283. Kal rais di $\eta_{\eta} \theta_{e}$ lais] =: rois Epyous, ' not only are the 121 realities of the case thus'. Philemon fruy. 40 , rais $\dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i$ acow. The tendency to use the plarals of abstract nouns, common in later Greek, is marked in Isocr. Cp. \& 284, tais
 $\pi \iota \kappa \rho 6 т \eta \tau$ а ：see also Areop．§ 44，p． 154.
oủ8̇̇ toîs óvó $\mu a \sigma t v]$＇some people do not even employ the names of things in their natural sense＇（much less distinguish between the things themselves）．Thuc．III． 82 （of the moral confusion arising from the passions of party strife），$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \in i \omega \theta v i ̂ a \nu$

§ 284．$\beta \omega \mu 0 \lambda 0 x$ ．－モv̉фveis］＇buffoons，who can mock and mimic，are said to have＇wit＇，－a description which should be reserved for those whose wit is most happily bent towards virtue＇．By some such turn as this we may express the paron－ omasia in єن̇фuєîs－äpıaтa $\pi \epsilon ф v к o ́ \tau a s . ~ C p . ~ A d v . ~ S o p h i s t . ~ § ~ 14, ~$ p．114，where the intellectual sense of $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \phi u \eta{ }^{\prime}$ s is uppermost．

таîs какоך $\theta$ eiaus，к．т．入．］＇who practise malignity and villainy in all their forms＇［the plur．as in § 283］，＇who make petty gains，but acquire an evil repute＇［if nothing else］：cp．Adv． Sophist．§ 4，p．111，цєкрой кє́ $\rho \delta o u s, ~ § ~ 9, ~ p . ~ 112, ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \iota к \rho о ́ т \eta т \iota ~$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \tau \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
§ 285．teparodoyias］＇who give the name of philosophers to those who neglect necessary things and affect the marvellous lore of the old sophists＇：a reference，probably to the Socratics， and especially to the Platonic dialogues．тєратолоуia，к．т．入．， possibly alludes more particularly to the traces of Pythagorean－ ism and to the cosmogonic speculations in Plato．


 $\phi \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \varepsilon$ ，and note on $A d v$. Soph．§ 1，p． 293.
§ 287．＇Evvєaкроv́vov］Thuc．II．15，каì $\tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \hat{\eta} \nu \hat{v} \nu$

 ［when the natural springs，$\pi \eta \gamma a i$ opp．to к $\rho \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ ，sprang directly from the rock］Ka入入ıpón $\dot{\omega} \nu о \mu a \sigma \mu e ́ \nu \eta$ ，ėкєivoí $\tau \epsilon$［the old Athe－

 $\chi \rho \eta ิ \sigma \theta a \iota$.

бкıрафєioıs］＇gambling－houses＇．Stephanus Byz．p．607，
 ó $\sigma \kappa \iota \rho \alpha ́ \phi p o v \rho о s ~ \sigma \eta \mu a i v \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \sigma \tau о \nu ~ к \nu \beta \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$ ．Pollux VII． 203 quotes $\sigma \kappa \iota \rho \alpha \phi \iota a$ and тò̀ $\sigma \kappa \iota \rho a \phi є v \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ from the $\mathrm{K} v \beta \epsilon v \tau a i$ of Amphis．The Etym．Magn．，p．717．28，has $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha^{\prime} \phi \iota a, ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$




 кобт $\hat{y}$ и̇тобпиаive．Meineke Frag．Com．484，Müller Frag． Hist．i．322．－Cp．Lys．Pro Mantith．§ 11，ठбo九 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ кúßous ท̂ тб́тous，к．$\tau . \lambda_{.}$，note，p． 245.
 of this age＇－i．e．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\nu} \epsilon \omega \nu$－alluding，not to official $\pi a \iota \delta o \nu \delta \mu o \iota$ ， but to those who dissuade young men from following the $\phi i \lambda o-$ ooфia of Isocr．
 grateful＇：$\eta ँ \nu$ like $\chi \rho \eta \eta \nu$ ，$\epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota$ ，Goodwin § 49． 3.
§ 288．$\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon v$ ès．．avt âtv］＇The tribe of informers are so 123 distinctly the public enemies＇［i．e．so hostile to public morality］ －that，so far from being disposed to censure those who pay a ransom of 20 or 30 minas for the paramours who are to help them in squandering the rest of their substance，they positively rejoice in the dissolute acts of such men＇．－$\lambda v o \mu \dot{\jmath} \nu o t s: i . e$ ．they ransom $\delta o \hat{\lambda} \lambda a r$ from those into whose hands they had come as prisoners of war．Cp．Antiph．De Caed．Her．§ 20，p．14，tá

§ 289．т．Taîs dikцais］＇that youthful prime＇：for plur．， cp．§ 283，$\tau$ aîs dं $\lambda \eta \theta$ eiaus，note．

Ek $\pi a\left(\delta \omega v\right.$ ］＇from boyhood＇．Dem．In Mid．§ 154，кáy $\omega \mu^{\prime} \nu$
 Properly said of one who is＂$\phi \eta \beta$ os（aet．17－20）but not yet technically div $\eta \rho$ ．
§ 290．$\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega ิ \tau a]$＇who duly and meetly watches over his own youth＇．Contrast Helen．Enc．§ 58，p．108，какŵs

 ＇we have this weakness more than men，but ever rule it well＇： lit．＇administer＇，i．e．control it．

ท̂ Tढิv av́roû］neut．，＇his property＇：but ėtép $\mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{D}$ masc．， －other men＇．For the thought that self－government（éqкрdтeia， perfected in owppoovivn）should precede the attempt to rule others，cp．Plat．Gorg．p． 491.
$\$ 291$ ．is $\quad \sigma v \mu \beta_{\epsilon} \beta_{\eta \kappa 6}$ тоя］＇on the ground that they have been endowed with a good and noble gift＇：ov⿲弓．，as an ＇accident＇of genius，opp．to the skill gained by $\mu \in \lambda \in \tau \eta$ and фidotarla．

8292 ．नupplpet，к．т．${ }^{2}$ ．＇It is expeedient in all cases，and 124 especially in the case of oratory，that credit should the won by the efforts of study rather than by the gifte of fortune＇．
 at random: while those who have acquired this faculty by study and reflection say nothing without consideration, and so commit fewer errors in practical affairs': i.e. the discretion trained by study will be carried into $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \epsilon s$, real life. Cp. § 277.
 the best constitution, and are most conservative of the laws bequeathed to you by your ancestors':-implying that all this is true of Athens, though not its distinctive glory. Isocr. thought, however, that the Athens of his day had departed too much from the lines of the old Democracy : see Areopagiticus, §§ $36-55$, p. 151, with notes, pp. 340 f .
§ 294. тท่̀v фрóvๆбเv...тò̀s $\lambda$ óyovs] 'by unequalled excellence of training in the twofold province of thought and of expression'. ф oóv $\eta \sigma$ ts, as dist. from military and political ability, means here the general cultivation of the intelligence by literature and art.
 discipline' of thought and expression. - $\sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho \bar{q}$, in the forensic sense, of an adverse verdict. Cp. Andoc. De Myst. § 86, $\dot{\epsilon} \omega \rho \bar{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ठ̈ $\tau \iota \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \phi o \rho a i ́$ (penal disabilities),


§ 295. $\gamma \in \gamma \in ท \eta$ न0al] ' to be established' as the teacher: emphatic perf. Cp. Thuc. II. 41, $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ ' $E \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\delta} \delta o s$ $\pi \alpha \ell \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ єival.
 of oratory (1) the greatest prizes,-i.e. political power or literary fame : (2) 'the most numerous and most various fields of exercise', $\gamma \operatorname{v}^{\mu \nu} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota a-\mathrm{viz}$. the law-courts, the ecclesia, the public recitations: (3) experience, $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho i a,-$ the result of using these opportunities.
 that the wide currency (коьоóт $\eta \tau a$ ) and standard character ( $\mu \in \tau \rho \hat{\prime} \dot{\tau} \eta \tau a)$ of the Attic idiom, no less than a general flexibility of mind and love of literature, contribute not a little to the formation of an orator; and hence they conceive, not without reason, that all masters of eloquence are pupils of Athens'. $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho เ o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha:$ because the Attic dialect-afterwards the basis of the кoıv̀̀ $\delta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau о s-r e p r e s e n t s ~ a ~ t e m p e r a t e ~ c o m-~$ promise between the Ionic and the Doric,-elastic without too much softness, precise and vigorous without harshness. In Thuc. 7. 63 Nicias reminds the $\mu$ étoнко serving in the Athenian army that their familiarity with the Attic dialect
had been a recommendation for them to all Greeks: rîs...
 $\pi \epsilon \lambda i a \nu: \mathrm{cp}$. Thuc. II. 41 (of the typical Athenian), $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$
 $\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$. Here, $\epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \rho \pi \tau \epsilon \backslash(a=$ ' flexibility of intelligence': not exactly 'versatility', as with Thuc., nor yet 'liveliness', ' wit', as with Aristotle (Eth. N. II. 7. § 13).
 virtually pronounced yourselves as unjust as the Lacedaemonians would be, and will have acted as they would act, if they were to think of fining those who practised warlike exercises, or the Thessalians, if they proposed to punish those who cultivated skill in horsemanship '. - $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тolaúr $\eta \nu$ and






 things, while their competitors did not].- Өєтта入ol: 'Breeding the finest horses in Greece, they were distinguished for their excellence as cavalry; but their infantry is little noticed (Grote, II. 370).
 p. 293.

 ${ }^{\boldsymbol{j}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda a \chi i \sigma \tau \eta s \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega s \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda t \nu$.-ă $\sigma \tau v$ : Athenians spoke of Athens as daбтv (without the art.), 'town': cp. Philochorus fray. 4 (Frag. Hist. I. $3>4$ ), ä $\sigma \tau v \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho \rho \sigma \eta \gamma \delta \rho \epsilon \cup \sigma a \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$, where he derives it from $\sigma \tau \eta \hat{\eta} \nu a$, as the place where wanderers ( $\nu 0 \mu d \delta \delta \epsilon$ ) 'fixed their abode'. ă $\sigma \tau v$, for Fä $a \tau v$, is the Sanscr. vâstu, place, house (Curt. Gr. Et. § 20G), the local habitation of the $\pi \dot{b} \lambda^{\prime}$ cs or civic society. $\pi \dot{d} \lambda \iota s$ is akin to Sanser. pur (Cawnpore), from root $\operatorname{par}\left(\pi \epsilon \lambda, \pi \lambda_{\epsilon}\right.$ ), denoting fulness (whence also $\pi$ пои́s): hence (1) a throng: (2) a town.
 the rude hands of others'. The meaning is not that the mercies of others are cruel, but that their way of doing good is harsh. The flatterers of Athens alluded, of course, to Sipartan mauners.
 txovtes, \& 299, opp) to of $\mu\langle\nu$, ib.] disparage these merits, and, recounting the malignities and disasters of the slander-
mongers, denounce Athens at large as unsocial and cruel': i.e. they quote the bitter things which the oukoфávtal say of Athenian life, and then point to the penalties which these calumniators sometimes incur,-inferring that Athens is savage because such men are punished.
§ 301. $\pi \in \rho$ เтоוoûvtas] 'surrounding the name of Athens


$\sigma$ тєфavicaıs] A wreath of wild olive at Olympia; of laurel at Delphi; of pine at Nemea; of parsley at the Isthmus. Cp. Plut. Praec. Ger. Reip. xxvir. 820 c (simple rewards, of an honorary, not a substantial kind, ought to suffice in a

 the competition of political life is not for money or gifts, but in truth a sacred contest, of which the prize is a wreath' (as in the great national $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon$ s of Greece).
 rank'. For the pleonasm, cp. Xen. Cyr. 1.. 3. 8, тои̃то тоок'крıтає єivaı $\beta$ еौтıбто⿱.
VI. MANHTYPIKOE. [Or. Iv.]-The title means, 'A Discourse for a Public Festival' : in this case, for the Olympic festival of 380 b.c. The duty of Hellenic unity against the barbarian had already been the theme of Gorgias and of Lysias in speeches delivered at Olympia. It is not likely that, like theirs, the oration of Isocrates was recited at the festival by its author. His want of nerve and voice, and much in the composition itself, would probably have deterred him from such an attempt. The discourse may, indeed, have been recited for him ; but it is more likely that it was first introduced to the Greek public by copies circulated at Olympia, and sent to cities in which Isocrates had friends among the leading men.

His appeal to Panhellenic patriotism was made at a time when such patriotism was sorely needed. By the Peace of Antalcidas in 387 в.c. Artaxerxes II. had become master of the Asiatic Greeks, and ultimate arbiter in the affairs of western Hellas; the Aegean, no longer protected by an Athenian fleet, was infested by pirates; the party strife which the decarchies had exasperated was everywhere filling the smaller cities with bloodshed; and Sparta, regardless of the autonomy which the Peace had guaranteed to every state, was using these troubles for her own ends. In 385 B.c. the Spartans had destroyed Mantineia; in 383, besieged Olynthus; in 382, seized the Cadmeia; in 380, besieged Phlius.

The Panegyricus falls into two main divisions. In the first ( $\S \S 1-132$ ) Isocrates urges that Athens and Sparta, laying aside their jealousies, should assume the joint leadership of Greece. He argues that, if Sparta at present holds the first place, Athens has the better historical claim to it; and that. therefore, a compromise might well be made. In the second part ( $\S \Im 133-189$ ) he shows the direction in which the forces of Greece, once consolidated, ought to be turned-namely against Persia.

The Panegyricus is the earliest and the most complete expression of its author's ruling political idea-the idea of a Panhellenic War on Persia. This, he believed, would heal Greek discord, liberate the Asiatic Greeks, draft the roving and lawless paupers who infested Greece into new Asiatic settlements, and bring wealth into Greece Proper. (See the Life of Isocr., Attic Orators, II. 20 f.) The tradition that Isocr. spent ten or more years on this discourse (Quint. x. 4: Plut. Mor. 350 E ) shows the ancient feeling that it was a masterpiece of careful work. It is, indeed, admirable alike for finished brilliancy of composition and for the lucid power with which a wide range of topics and a multitude of details are marshalled in subordination to the central thought.

$$
\text { Motives for an Invasion of Persia : } \S \S 160-186 .
$$

§ 160. ${ }^{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \circ\llcorner$ סoкєi] The general and permanent causes of Persian weakness have just been stated: viz. that the country cannot have good soldiers while the mass of the people is an unruly, nerveless and slavish mob, or good generals while the Persians of the upper classes are insolent and abject by turns, with pampered bodies and craven spirits. He now goes on to urge that the present moment is peculiarly favourable for an attack by the united forces of Greece.

ס mapळ̀v кaıpós, $8 v$ oủk dंфєтєov] So Bekker, Baiter and Sauppe with the first hand of the Urbino ms. (I). Between кaupos and òv oúk dфetéoy the Ambrosian ms. (E) and the corrector's hand in the margin of I insert oû $\sigma a \phi \in \in \sigma \tau \in \rho o \nu$ ouôév, i.e. 'than which nothing could be a clearer summons'.

 є்тір.

Tl yàp äv kal $\beta_{0}$ we desire in prospect of a war with Persia, beyond thuse which are already assured to us?'
8161. Alyumtos-Kúnpos] (1) This revolt of Ejgypt is not known from other sources, but is noticed again in the

Philippus，§ 101．From Panegyr．§ 140 it appears that Egypt had held out for three years against three of the best Persian generals，and had finally discomfited them．（2）The war between Persia and Evagoras，king of the Cyprian Salamis， seems to have begun in 385 в．c．，and to have lasted ten years ：at this time a Persian fleet was blockading Salamis， § 134．See Attic Orators，II． 158 and notes．

Фoเvík $\boldsymbol{T}$－$\Sigma$ vpía－Túpos］Evagoras had＇ravaged Phoenicia， stormed Tyre，made Cilicia revolt from the Persian king＇： Isocr．Evag．（or．Ix．）§ 62.
$\Lambda$ vkias］＇Of Lycia no Persian has ever become master＇． Lycia had been tributary to Persia（Her．III．90）from the time of its conquest by Harpagus，the general of Cyrus：but the warlike dwellers in the Lycian highlands had not been thoroughly tamed．${ }^{\kappa} \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ，then，＝＇subjugated＇as dist． from $\hat{\eta} \rho \xi \epsilon$＇（nominally）reigned over＇．Cp．Her．II． 1 （Cam－ byses goes against Egypt）ä入入ous $\tau \epsilon \pi a \rho a \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \eta \rho \chi \epsilon$（his
 he had the mastery＇．
§ 162．＂Eкатó ${ }^{2} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ s］Hecatomnas，Greek prince of Caria， had been appointed admiral of the Persian fleet at the beginning of the war with Evagoras，but had afterwards become disaf－ fected，and had secretly supplied Evagoras with money：Diod． xIv．98．－＇inio $\boldsymbol{i} \alpha \theta_{\text {os }}$ ：prop．＇quarter－master＇，as supervising $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu o l$ ，stations or quarters ：a term for the military governors （properly subordinate to the $\sigma \alpha \tau \rho \alpha{ }^{\pi} \pi \iota$ ）in the Persian provinces： so Panegyr．§ 120 the Persian king dictates to Greece，róvov oủk $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \mu$ ous $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau$ тaîs $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau a ́ s . ~ A ~ G r e e k ~ p r i n c e ~ t r i b u-~$ tary to Persia was esp．סvváotŋs．
 the s．w．corner of Asia Minor］to Sinope［on the Euxine］ Greek settlements fringe the coast of Asia＇（ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$＇A $\sigma$ ias $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тара入iay，Ep．Ix．§ 8）．$\pi a \rho a ́$ in the compound here with accus．$=$＇along＇：but mapoккєiv $\tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ，to live near or among， Thac．I．71，III． 93.
ovis ou＇$\delta \in \mathfrak{E}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda_{\text {．}}$ ］＇and these we need not incite to war，－ we have only not to restrain them＇（and they will go to war of their own accord）．
$\delta \rho \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho[\omega \nu$ ］＇Now，when such bases of operation have been established，and when Asia is encompassed by hostile forces so great，why need we examine the probable issues in minute detail？When they［the Persians］are unable to cope with small fractions of our strength，it is plain what their situation would be，if they were forced to grapple with the whole＇．－ópu $\quad$ т $\eta \rho i \omega \nu$ ：i．e．Egypt，Cyprus，Tyre，Cilicia，
and the Greek cities of the coast, -viewed as so many points from which the assailants of Persia will set out ( $\delta \theta \in \nu \dot{j} \rho \mu \eta_{\eta}-$ бovtal): Polyb. I. 17, єis тaútŋע ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ) $\sigma \nu \nu \grave{\prime} \theta \rho o \iota \sigma a \nu . . . \tau a ̀ s$


 this form: but cp. (e.g.) '́pp $\omega \mu \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \nu$, Antid. § 72, Archid.
 § 279.
aúrás, к.т. $\lambda_{\text {.] }}$ ' But if we are the first to occupy them [the cities on the coast], it is likely that the populations of Lydia, Phrygia and the upper [interior] country generally will be at the mercy of those who hold these bases of attack': $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu=\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi i \quad \theta a \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \eta \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$.
§164. vंबтєpl/avtes] Referring to the subjugation by Persia of the Greek cities on the Ionian seaboard (500495 b.c.). The Greeks of Greece Proper (oi $\pi a \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho \in s$ Spartans as well as Athenians) having 'allowed the barbarians to get the start of them', and 'abandoned' the Ionians to their fate, were afterwards forced to fight, without Asiatic allies, against the whole strength of Persia. Had they gone to Asia soon enough, they might have vanquished in succession ( $\epsilon^{2} \nu \mu^{\prime} \rho \in \epsilon$ ) each of the nations that made up the Persian host.- $\epsilon \theta \nu \omega \nu$ : Her. vir. 61 f. enumerates 46 nations or tribes as represented in the land-force of Xerxes.
§ 165. Séઈtıктaı] 'It has been proved' (by experience):


\& $\pi$ roviontv] 'they have come upon us': cp. Her. Iv. 203, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \epsilon \pi \pi i \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~K} v \rho \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ пódı $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$, when the Persian army suddenly appeared before Cyrene: Isocr. Evag. § 58, иккрой
 was aware, Cyrus was almost at his palace gates.
 an error in the first instance' [by failing to support the Ionisns in their revolt], ' retrieved all these faults when they were confronted with the most urgent perils ' [in the I'ersian invasions].

8 160. Exáotcv, к.т.入.] 'The Persian king does not rule the perples of Asia by their consent [ $\dot{\text { kóntcol }}$, predicate], but by surrounding himself [roonoáuevos, causul] with a power which overmatches those peoples taken singly ' $\left.\varepsilon^{\kappa \kappa \alpha} \sigma \tau \omega \nu\right]$ : if thry unite, and are helped from Greece, they will prevail.$\beta$ où $\eta \theta$ tutes $=$ ei $\beta$ Boul $\eta \theta$ timev, Gioodwia § 109.
 strictly, in the time of the men who are now capable of active service. In Antid. § 290, etc., $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota c l a s=$ ' youth', but it is the context which so defines it: here it has the military
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$. Men who at this time ( 380 в. С.) were 40 years old would have known the closing years of the Peloponnesian War, the troubles bred by the Spartan $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \rho \chi i a \iota$, the Corinthian War, and, generally, that widespread distress and disorder of which Isocr. speaks below ( $\S 168$ f.). See Attic Orators, 1I. 17.
$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v s$ kal $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon$ เs] With this picture cp . the following, abridged from Isocr. Epist. ix. §§ 8-10 (date, about 356 в.c.) : 'It is strange that no powerful statesman or speaker has yet taken pity on the miserable condition of Hellas. Every part of it is full of war, factions, massacres, woes unnumbered. Most wretched of all are those Greeks on the seaboard of Asia whom by the treaty [of Antalcidas, 387 в.c.] we gave over, not merely to barbarians, but to those of our race who are barbarian in all save speech. These roving desperadoes, under any chance leader, form armies larger and better than those of the settled communities; armies which do trifling damage to Persia, but bring desolation to the Greek cities which they visit: they slay, they banish, they violate, they plunder'.See Attic Orators, II. 244.
§ 168. '̇ாเкovpeiv] 'to serve as mercenaries': Thuc. Ir.
 name for $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ офо́роь.
ovyкєцนévais] 'composed ', 'invented' by the [tragic] poets. In pure Attic of the classical time $\kappa \in \hat{\epsilon} \mu a t$ is the perf. passive of $\tau \ell \emptyset \eta \mu$, , $\tau \in \epsilon \epsilon \mu a \iota$ is the perf. middle: e.g. a father $\tau \in \theta \epsilon \iota \tau a \iota$
 who quotes as an exception, belonging to the early decadence,
 $\kappa \in \mu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \varphi)$.

є́фориิvтєs] Not, I think, merely 'gazing upon', but 'seeing in their own lifetime', or ' with their own eyes' (and not merely


 $\dot{j} \lambda \lambda u \mu \hat{e} \nu o u s$, к.т. $\lambda_{0}$ : and other passages quoted by Thompson on Plato Gorg. 473 c, aútós $\tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \beta \eta \theta \epsilon i$ каi toùs aútoû $\epsilon \pi \hbar \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s(\lambda \omega \beta \eta \theta \in \hat{\ell} \nu \tau \alpha s)$.
§ 169. єv̉ $\theta_{\epsilon}$ [as] • simplicity '. - $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, individuals as opp. to whole countries.
 reduced successively Caulon，Hipponium and Rhegium in Magna Graecia：Diod．xiv． 106 ff．Sicily．He had sur－ rendered Acragas，Himera，Selinus，etc．，to Carthage，and had brought other towns－as Naxos，Leontini，Messene－under his own power：Diod．xirf． 114.
ékSédovtal］＇have been abandoned＇［not＇restored＇to a lawful possessor，the sense of $\epsilon \kappa \delta i \delta \omega \mu$ in Il．III．459］－by the Peace of Antalcidas：below，§ 17．j．－$\tau \dot{\alpha}$ 入otid̀ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta$ ：Greece Proper as opp．to（1）Sicily and Magna Graecia，（2）Asiatic Hellas．
 $\pi$ роє宁代єs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \S 172$ ．With the same meaning he says in Epist．rx．§ 8，$\theta a \nu \mu a ́ \zeta \omega ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu a ̌ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu a \mu \epsilon ้ \nu \omega \nu$ ．
 remedy：Thuc．vili， 68 （of Antiphon）крátıбтos $̇ ้ \nu \theta \nu \mu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota . .$. каi $\hat{a} \gamma^{\nu}$ oí $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v , ~ a ~ m a s t e r ~ o f ~ d e v i c e ~ a n d ~ o f ~ e x p r e s s i o n . ~}$
 where an accidental verse occurs in prose，it may usually be noticed that the rhythm or division of clauses imposed by the sense would have prevented the metre from being perceived in speaking：thus，here，there is a pause at aúroús， and the words eimep $\bar{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ ăkıot $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ mapovi $\eta \bar{s}$, к．т．入．，cohere elosely．So in Dem．Olynth．I．§ 5 （quoted by Sandys），$\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$
 by the coherence of $\delta$ orc with the following words．
 ［not necessarily＇advocate＇，though Isocr．implies this］the project of the war with Persia＇：＇the war＇，because the project had long been familiar to the Greeks：thus Agesilaus had entertained it，Isocr．Philipp．§8 85.

тuxòv $\mu$＇v $\nu$ үáp，к．т．${ }^{\text {．}}$ ］＇Perchance they would have achieved something；but even if they had been baffled，still the counsels bequeathed by them would have been as oracles for the
 up＇，from weariness or．disgust，before they had carried their

 to his judges，Plat．Apol． $39 \mathrm{c}, \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \hat{\omega}$ نे $\mu i \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \varphi \hat{\jmath} \hat{\eta} \sigma a$, ， к．т．$\lambda$ ．
 publie life＇．With a similar reference to his own abstention from political life（owing to want of $\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$ and rúd $\mu a$ ），he says，
 $\delta \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \in \sigma a \nu$ ö $\nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, where see note.
 $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \kappa 0 \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ : 'At the same time [i.e. discouraging as is this apathy on the part of the statesmen, and little as iסtêtai may seem entitled to speak] the rest of us are bound to consider', etc.- $\epsilon^{2} \rho \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \notin \rho \omega s, \S 163$. - $\neq \chi \theta \rho a s$, 'discord 'among Greeks.
 that we make treaties of peace': not the treaty: cp. Lys. In Erat. § 97, note on ròv өávaтэข.
 the speaker is thinking of the moment from which such a peace is to date, and at which such a concord is to be established: the pres. would have expressed merely the continuance of the conditions. 'It is impossible that we should cement an enduring peace, or that concord should be established among the Greeks ' $\cdot-\epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, masc., $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \rho \beta a \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$.
§ 174. ぞ каi тàs є́тaıp...троáүє८] 'which dissolves friendships [cp. غ̇ $\tau a \iota \rho i ́ a s ~ \lambda c \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, Soph. $4 i .683]$ and draws kinsmen on to quarrels'. $\pi \rho o a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ of leading onward in an evil path; cp. Theogn. 396, $\pi \epsilon \nu i \eta \nu$, (just as here, $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \rho i a$, ) $\eta^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ $\theta \nu \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ द́s $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa i \eta \nu$ [where $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \iota$ is a doubtful v. l.]: Dem.

 Greece to Asia' : Plat. Legg. 873 e , $\tau \grave{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \phi \lambda \partial \nu$ [vulg. $\delta \phi \lambda_{0 \nu}$ ]
 which is adjudged guilty (of causing death) they shall slay and cast beyond the borders': Eur. Helen. 394, $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \cup \mu a \kappa \dot{\omega} \pi \eta$ Soopifal, 'to carry a host from its own land in ships', = $\pi \circ \rho \theta \mu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ or $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$.
 warfare' (between Greek cities).

катахр 'wastefully, perversely ' (abuti), but 'to the full' (cp. 'to use $\left.u p^{\prime}\right)$ : so Panegyr. § 9, rò $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \hat{\psi} \tau a u ́ \tau a u s[s c . ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$,


 the Convention [of Antalcidas] is a reason for pausing, instead of making haste and accelerating the expedition'. For $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ '

 of the Peace of Antalcidas ( 387 в.c.) were as follows (Xen.

Hellen．v．1．31）：－King Artaxerxes thinks it just that the cities in Asia，and the islands of Clazomenae and Cyprus， shall belong to him．He thinks it just also to leave all the other Hellenic cities autonomous，both small and great－ except Lemnos，Imbros and Scyros，which are to belong to Athens，as they did originally．Should any parties refuse to accept this peace，I will make war upon them，along with those who are of the same mind，by land as well as sea，with ships and with money＇．Grote Ix． 534.
 in Greece Proper，or belonging to the Aegean coasts and islands，which Athens or Sparta might otherwise have claimed as tributaries：those abandoned to the barbarians are the Hellenic cities of the Asiatic seaboard，with Clazomenae and Cyprus．
 stipulations of the Treaty which we actually observe are the very worst．The articles which grant independence to the islands and the Greek cities of Europe have long ago been violated，and are dead letters in the record＇．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ：
 of the terms（of peace）：ib．§ 34 $\sigma \tau \eta \lambda \lambda a \iota ~ \sigma \tau a \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha$, ，note．

 helping Amyntas II．of Macedon against Olynthus，besides devastating Mantineia，besieging Phlius，and seizing the Theban C＇adıneia（§ 126）．－For the constr．，$\delta \delta \dot{\text { e }} \pi \alpha^{2} \nu \tau \omega \nu$［sc．



 бхєт入ı由́тaтov．oûs $\gamma$ áp，к．т．入．For other examples see Madvig， Syntax \＆ 197.
 $\chi$＇由́pav $\mu \dot{\prime} \nu \epsilon t$ ：＇these articles，on the other hand［ $\delta \epsilon$ in apodosis］， remain undisturbed＇．－$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$ ：＇dictates＇（of the Persian king）：see the terms，\＆175，note．
 who negotiated this peace＇：cp．Andoc．De Pace है 29，d $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{iv}$ imptoßen diplomatic agents of Sparta generally，but esp．to Antalcidas， by whom，with the help of the satrap Teiriba\％us，the terms of the treaty were virtually settled．Grote Ix． 531.
exp $\mathfrak{v}$ ．．．．тepl aúтīv］＇Whether it was their view（1）that each State should retain its own territory，or（2）that each
should have dominion also over all that it acquired by conquest, or (3) that each of us should keep these possessions which we happened to hold on the eve of the peace,---they were bound to define some one of these views,-to apply their principle impartially,-and on this basis to frame the terms of the treaty ' ( $\pi \epsilon \rho$ i au่ $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$, neut., about the interests thus involved). Isocr. means: The Peace of Antalcidas is based on no intelligible principle. If (1) had been adopted, Persia would not have got the Greek cities of Asia: if (2), autonomy would not have been guaranteed to the Greek cities of Europe: if (3), Athens and Sparta would not have had to renounce dependencies which they claimed. Observe that $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta o p \iota a-$ $\lambda \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ refers, not to all that each State had from time to time acquired, but to all that it might hereafter acquire.
§ 178. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ouk $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon[\nu \omega \nu]$ After $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ we should expect $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ( $=\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \mathfrak{l} \dot{\epsilon} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{d} \mu \dot{\eta} \epsilon \chi \chi \circ \nu)$ : but the emphasis on the negative fact warrants oú. Cp. Lys. In Eratosth. § 36,


 $\gamma \in \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ could mean only, 'I will show that the dishonour has happened ', $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$. being a predicate. But $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \omega^{\sigma} \omega \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a}$ a àcuià $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$ can mean, 'I will show the dishonour that has been done to us', because $\pi \epsilon \rho i \dot{\eta} \mu \mu \hat{z}$ s is really a part of the adjective; and when this part has been put in its right place between $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu i a \nu$, the supplementary $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ้ \eta \nu$ can be allowed to wait. Cp. Thuc. vir. 23 , ai $\pi \rho \grave{o} ~ \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau o s ~ \nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon s$ vavuaरovoral, 'the ships fighting before the mouth of the harbour': here, the complete adjective is $\pi \rho \delta$ то仑 $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a \tau o s$ $\nu a v \mu a \chi o \hat{v} \sigma a<$, and it is enough that the first part of it, $\pi \rho \delta \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{v}$ orбmatos, should stand between the article and the subst. So


 the firmament is divided into two parts, "Asia" and "Europe", the great King has under this Treaty taken one-half,-as if he were dividing the territory with Zeus, and not making his compact with men'. That is, the Persian king has taken all Asia, as if he were a god who would not yield up more than half of the whole earth even to Zeus himself. Isocr. prob. began with the thought of Artaxerxes being on earth what Zeus is in heaven, and then passed to this image of him as one who, in partitioning the earth, would consider himself the equal of Zeus. On $\nu \notin \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho \delta s$, cp. Lys. Mantith. § 10,


Arist. Meteor. 1. 2.- סixa тєтر.: cp. Soph. Tr. 100, סıбनai ท̈тєєроь.
§ 180. Toîs koเvoîs tŵv íp.] 'The national temples' (at Olympia, Delphi, etc.), not merely the 'public' temples of





 $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \nu \quad \chi a \wedge \kappa \hat{\eta} \nu$.



 on the whole war against all Greece ${ }^{\prime}$.
§ 181. Tov่s... $\pi \epsilon \rho$ र्d Tpwïkd $\gamma \in \nu$.] 'those who were en- 134 gaged in the Trojan war'. If the meaning were, 'those who lived in the time of the Trojan war', we should rather expect катà т̀̀ T T $\omega i ̈ \kappa \alpha ́$.
 merely 'desirable' things, but such things as misht satisfy the highest aspirations. So again in Isocr. Philipp. § 19.


$\theta \in \omega p$ iq.... $\sigma$ тратєíq] 'like a sacred embassy rather than a hostile expeilition ${ }^{\text {, }}$, i.e. encountering no resistance, but received with joyous welcome and homage in its stately progress. The image suggested by $\theta \epsilon \omega$ pia is the more appropriate, since the Hellenic gods are conceived as making common cause against those barbarians who had destroyed their shrines when Iunia was conquered: see § $15 \overline{5}$, oi каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ z $\delta \eta \eta$
 $\mu \eta \sigma a \nu$.
§ $183-8$ 184. фép yáp...èv0upoupévovs] 'Let us see : who are fitting oljects of hostility for those who desire no aggrandisement, but have a view to justice in the abstract?... Anl who are proper objects for the envy of those who, though not dewoid of courage, exercise that quality under the restraint of prudence?... And against whom should those men march who are at once loyal to their duty and mindful of their interest?' i.e. The arma of (ireece ought to be turned against P'ersia, (1) if we consider abstract justice-because Persia has wronged (ireese: (2) if we desire a fied of enterprise agreenble at once to our valour and to our discretion-because Persia is
rich and weak：（3）if we think both of duty and of interest－ for the above reasons combined．The phrase rov＇s $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$－
 $\mu \notin \nu o u s$ is a circumlocution for＇men in whom courage is sub－ ordinate to prudence＇．The $\phi \theta$ óvos felt by such men－however timid－will find in Asia a field of plunder both ample and safe．
 shall we distress the cities by levying soldiers on them，－ a burden which at present，in their warfare with each other， they find most oppressive＇．oú $\lambda v \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma$ ．каталє́ $\gamma$ ．，not，＇we shall abstain from vexing by a levy＇，but，＇we shall levy without vexing＇；since all will prefer the service to staying
 citizens for ordinary military service，and the consequent demand for mercenaries（ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ iкoupoı，§ 168），was a growing symptom of the decay in Greek political life：see Attic Orators，II．17．－Cp．Thuc．vi．43，oi ék той ката入ógəv，those on the roll for service ：oi $\epsilon \xi \omega$ toû ката入óóov，＝emeriti，Xen． $\boldsymbol{H}$ ． II．3． 51.
$\hat{\eta}$ ขéos $\eta$ тa入aıós］Doubtless the poetical màatós is to be explained by a reminiscence of the familiar Homeric
 Od．1．395，etc．
 and repute＇：$\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ ，the rumour in men＇s mouths（volitare per ora），as gratifying to the living，－$\mu \nu \eta \dot{\mu} \eta \nu$ ，the posthumous fame of the dead．Arist．Rhet．inf．7．§ 11，（words or phrases of an unusual or a poetical colour may be used by the speaker）örav
 $\eta \hat{\eta} \dot{\partial} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \eta{ }^{\prime \prime} \phi i \lambda l a($（＇when the speaker has got his hearers into his power，and has worked them up into enthusiasm by praise or blame，by indignation or by love＇），oìo каі＇Iбокрáтךs $\pi$ оєє
 $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ is a slip for $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$－a strange one，since it weakens the mapovouaola（similarity of form）and destroys the rapo－ $\mu \circ \dot{\omega} \omega \sigma \iota s$（similarity of sound）．Cp．Phil．§ 134，кal тウ̀ $\phi_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$
 $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \quad \epsilon \chi \omega \nu$ ．
 selves bear each a double name，as Alexander and Paris， Hector and Darius；of which the one indicates their connexion with Hellas，the other with interior Asia＇：Curt．Hist．Gr． 1． 79 ．
$\pi<เ \epsilon i v . . . \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon เ \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ＇who that has the gift of the poet or$
the art of the orator will not devote his labour and meditation to the purpose of bequeathing for all time a monument of his own intellect and of their heroism?' moteiv: as in Plat. Ion

 § 10, note.
VII. SIAIHПO乏. [Or. v.]-This appeal to Philip of Macedon may fitly be taken after the Panegyricus. As the latter recommends that Athens should lead a Panhellenic War against Persia, so this discourse presses the task on Philip. It is the final expression-as the other was the first-of a lifelong desire.

Philip had taken Amphipolis in 358 b.c. and Potidaea in 356. The hostilities between him and Athens, carried on intermittently from 356, were closed in March, 346, by the so-called Peace of Philocrates. Before that event Isocrates had been composing a letter to Philip 'On Amphipolis', urging, in favour of peace, that Amphipolis, the chief cause of the war, was not a desirable possession either for Athens or for the king of Macedon ( $\$ 81,3$ ).

This letter had not been sent when peace was concluded (87). Isocrates now writes on another and a larger subject. He sees in Philip, at length reconciled to Athens, the man who can lead the united Hellenes against Persia. Ever since the failure of the Panegyricus to bring about such an expedition under the joint leadership of Athens and Sparta, he had been lonking for an individual powerful enough to execute his favourite plan (iş $834,128,129$ ). He had already applied to Dionysius I.-prohally about 368 в.C. (EP. 1. § 8) -and in 356 to Archidamus III. (Ep. Ix. § 16). This oration was addressed to Philip soon after the Peace ( $(\xi 88,56)$, but before the conclusion of the Sacred War ( $\$ \S 54,74$ ); that is, between March and July, 346 b.c.

The most striking characteristic of the whole discourse is the recugnition of Philip as the first of Hellenes and the natural champion of Hellas, in whom-though his subjects are aliens-the Heraclid spirit is as true as it was in the Argive Temenus, the founder of his dynasty.-Attic Orators, II. 166-176.
§3 81-104.
 after the had acquired the tyranny'. The words are, in fact, clomely similar: Lip. 1. (to Dionysins) 8. 9, kal $\mu$ خ Oaumáons,

 （take upon me so grave a task）кai $\delta v o i ̂ \nu ~ ' ̇ \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega}$ тoî $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau o \iota \nu$ ， $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \rho \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$＇E入入ádos $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ кal $\sigma$ oi $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon u ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．Dionysius became tyrant of Syracuse in 406 b．c．，and the probable date of the Letter to him is 368 в．с．（Attic Orators，пі．239）：к $\tau \eta \sigma^{\alpha} \mu \in \nu \circ \nu$ ， then，cannot＝＇immediately on his acquiring＇：but Isocr．is thinking of the career of Dionys．as divided into two great chapters，that which preceded and that which followed his acquisition of the tyranny．Isocr．felt that the war must be led either by a city or by a prince．He appealed successively to Athens－to Dionysius（when now a $\tau$ úpapyos）－to Archidamus－ and to Philip．We need not，then，insert toे before $\tau \eta \eta^{\nu}$ тvpavvída ктךба́uєvov．
$\mu \eta \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \omega s$ $\delta v v a ́ \sigma \tau \eta s$ ］＇nor in any way a person of influence＇：cp．Paneg．§ 170 ，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon v \delta \nu \tau \tau \nu$ ，＇the leading statesmen＇，note，p． 313.
 of exchanging scurrilous personalities with the busy triflers of the platform＇（ $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ，the raised place for speakers in the ecclesia）．－$\delta \chi \lambda \omega$ ，an invidious term for $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ ，the audience in

 ＇to be defiled＇，一i．e．，here，to have mud thrown at one，to be coarsely abused．－$\lambda o \iota \delta o \rho \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$, midd．，＇to revile＇，with dat．（the act．$\lambda o \iota \delta o \rho \epsilon i ̀ \nu$ usu．with acc．）．－On ка入ıvסov $\mu$ évols，op．$A d v$ ． Soph．§ 20，note．Baiter and Sauppe give here кu入ı $\nu \delta 0 \nu-$ $\mu$ évous（a collateral form with the same meaning），but retain the other form in Isocr．Panegyr．151，$\pi \rho о к а \lambda \iota \nu \delta o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota: ~ A d v . ~$ Sophist．§ 20，ка入८ข $\delta о \nu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ ：Antid．§ 30，т $\omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\imath} \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \iota \alpha$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \delta o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ：§ 213，ка入ıข $\delta o v \mu \not ́ \nu a s$.
§ 83．$\pi \rho$ òs тov̀＂E $\mathrm{E} \lambda \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ as］In the former part of the discourse Isocr．has impressed on Philip that his duty is to reconcile the four great cities of Greece－Sparta，Argos， Thebes，Athens－and has shown in detail that this task is feasible（ $\S 830-67$ ）．
 ［ $\delta$ cavooav，thoughts about my own work］as at the former period of life when I was writing on this same subject＇．The Pane－ gyricus appeared in 380 в．c．，Isocr．aetat．56：but he had certainly commenced it some years before－acc．to the tradition， at least ten：see introd．to Panegyr．，p．263．In 346 b．c．， when this discourse was sent to Philip，Isocr．was ninety years of age．
 reference to the opening of the Panegyricus，§ 14，＇ $\begin{gathered} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ \left.\delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\eta} \nu \mu \grave{\eta}\right) ~\end{gathered}$


 à入入à катаүє入ầ каl катафроуєìv．－тoû хpóvov：Isocr．spent ten years on the Panegyr．acc．to Quint．x．4，［Plut．］Vitt．X． Oratt．，Phot．Cod． 260 ：Plut．Mor． 350 e makes it＇almost three olympiads＇（nearly 12 years）．
 ä $\pi a \dot{\xi} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau a l, \delta i s ~ \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ oúk $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi \in \tau \alpha$, ，a thing can be well said once，but canuot be well said twice（quoted and questioned by
 places Demosth．repeats phrases or short passages of his own． See on this－which illustrates the ancient view of oratory as a fine art－Attic Orators，1．lxxii．
§ 85．ov่ $\mu \grave{\eta} v$ ふ̉тобтaтє́ov］＇I must not desist，however， but must speak on my chosen theme as the moment may prompt，and as may be expedient for the purpose of persuading you to act thus＇．$\delta \pi \iota \bar{\alpha} \nu$ imont $\sigma \sigma$ ，＇whatever may suggest
 7，$\dot{\pi} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \delta \nu$＇A $\gamma \eta \sigma i \lambda a o \nu$ ，＇it occurred to him＇．As the Pane－ gyricus was the result of long thought and study，so the present discourse is to be rather an extemporary appeal of a more personal kind．
e $\lambda \lambda$（ $\pi \omega \pi \tau$ not－＇omit anything＇，（wh．would be rather
 $\pi \rho o t$ ．Ex $\delta \in \delta$ ．meaning，＇up to the level of＇the work which he had formerly put forth（the Panegyricus）．
dul＇ov̉v vimoyp．］＇yet at least I think that I can trace a pretty clear outline for those who are able to execute my idea，and to carry the work through＇：i．e．for one who，like Philip，is in a position to levy war against Asia．Cp．$\dot{u} \phi \eta \gamma \dot{\eta}-$ бaro，Lys．Olymp．§ 3，uote，p． 238.
 until one has got the（ireeks either as active supporters of the project，or as cordially favourable spectators＇：rois＂E入入．，the Greeks collectively．Agesilaus had，of course，（ireek troops； but the public opinion of Greece at large was not with him．

A A $\eta \sigma$ Aaos］Alluding to the campaigns of Agesilaus in Asia
 Kepric atpatsimate xpémevos［the remmant of the Ten Thom－
 conquered Asin Mhor west of the Halys＇．Seo Aunals in Altir fratorn，1．xlvi．＇A Amsilans．．．nesimilated his expedition （ 395 ，B．c．）to a new Trojan War－nn effort of united Greece，for
the purpose of taking vengeance on the common Asiatic enemy of the Hellenic name' : Grote Ix. 357.
§ 87. Tov่s étaípous] See Isocr. Epist. IX. § 13 (Agesilaus)



 '̇aipous are not 'his friends', but, 'the members of the oligarchical clubs' (é eaıpiaı) by whom the Spartan $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \rho \chi i a \iota ~ h a d ~$ been supported in the various cities: cp. Lysias In Eratosth.
 (at Athens in 404 в.c.). Not long after these סєкархial had been established by Lysander (in 405-4 b.c.) a reaction set in against them, and in many cities they were either dissolved or modified, with the express approval of the Spartan Ephors. When Agesilaus went to Asia in 396 b.c., Lysander accompanied him, 'in order', as Xen. says (Hellen. irr. 4. 2), 'to restore, with the help of Agesilaus, the decarchies which he [Lysander] had established in the cities, but which had been banished (éклєптшкvias) by the (Spartan) Ephors, who directed the cities to resume their traditionary constitutions'.

Isocr. correctly represents the general situation in the Asiatic Hellas of 396 в.c. ; but he does not accurately describe the attitude of Agesilaus. It was Lysander, not Agesilaus, who desired to restore the $\dot{\epsilon} \tau a \hat{\rho} \rho o \iota$, the oligarchical partisans. Agesilaus appeared in contrast with Lysander as $\delta \eta \mu о \tau<\kappa$ ós (Plut. Ages. c. 7). Isocr. seems to confound the political enterprise, in which Lysander sought (not very successfully) to engage Agesilaus, with the marked eagerness of Agesilaus to enrich or honour his personal friends (see Xen. Ages. 1. 18, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s} \pi a \mu \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \quad \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ è $\left.\lambda a \beta o \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda_{0}\right)$ : and this misapprehension appears when, in the passage cited above (Epist. Ix. § 13), he uses the phrase toùs éautoû фíhous.
 Greeks were involved in troubles and dangers by that strife between the democratic and oligarchic parties which arose from the attempt to restore the oligarchic exiles. (2) The tumult excited in Greece Proper by the outbreak of the Corin-
 caused Agesilaus to be recalled from Asia-left the European Greeks no leisure to think about a war against Persia.
§ 88. ék $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ á $\gamma v v^{2} \eta_{\hat{c} v \tau \omega \nu] \text { ] And so, from the oversights }}$ which were made at that time, it is easy to deduce the lesson that no prudent man will make war on Persia until he has reconciled the Greeks and cured them of the madness which now possesses them' [the discord which is ruining them]:


§ 89. T $\omega \hat{\nu} \mu \dot{e} v \stackrel{d}{ }{ }^{2} \lambda \omega \omega v$ ] ' Most other people, perhaps, if they were minded to urge on you an expedition against Asia, would have recourse to this topic of exhortation-that all who have ever undertaken a war against Persia have had the fortune to exchange obscurity for eminence, poverty for wealth, a humble station for the lordship of wide lands and of cities. My appeal to you, however, will not rely on such examples ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоьótcy masc., cp. Panegyr. § 173, ধ่к т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ aúr $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ) but on the case of those who are adjudged to have failed-I mean the comrades of Cyrus and Clearchus '. סo弓áv$\tau \omega \nu$, who have been set down as failures-more than סoкoúv$\tau \omega \nu$.
§ 90. Tìv Kúpov $\pi$ тотételav] ' the impetuosity of Cyrus ',- 139 at the battle of Cunaxa ( 401 в.c.). The Greeks were conquering, and those around Cyrus were already saluting him as king. But he still restrained himself : oư $\delta^{\prime} \omega \omega^{\epsilon} \xi \xi \eta \chi \neq \eta \delta \iota \omega \in \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Xen. Anab. 1. 8. 21). Presently, however, to defeat a movement of the enemy, he charged the Persian centre, and routed the 6000 who surrounded Artaxerxes. His own body-guard went on in pursuit. Cyrus was left alone with his immediate staff ( $\dot{\mu} о \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon\lceil 0<$ ). At this moment he caught sight of his hated brother. He cried, 'I see the man'-rushed at himwounded him-and was slain (ib. § 25).
 the military resources of the Persian empire. Cp. Panegyr.
 p. 311.
 a conference'. We cannot render 'having caused to be invited', since the act. $\pi \rho о к а \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ is not classical as $=\pi \rho о к а \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$. Take
 cis $\lambda$ byov e $\bar{\lambda} \theta \epsilon i \nu$ to explain it. Note the series of participles:

 in closer connection with $\alpha \pi \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \omega \epsilon$ ). - Xen. does not say that Tissaphernes offered 'large presents' to the leaders, and 'their pay in full' to the soldiers. Acc. to Xen., Tissaphernes offered (1) a safe conduct back to Greece, (2) a market for provisions on the march,-if the Greeks would abstain from ravage, and would pay for what they took: Xen. Anal. II. 3. $26,27$.



$\pi a \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇E入入立 $\omega \omega$ ．The oaths between the Greeks and Ariaeus（the Persian leader of the Asiatic contingent under Cyrus）were ratified in more solemn fashion after the battle：a bull，a wolf，a boar，and a ram were slain，and their blood reccived in a shield，the contracting parties dipping their weapons in it．Xen．Anab．II．2．9．Here Isocr．seems to be thinking of this，rather than of the simple $\delta \in \xi$ càs $\delta o u ̂ \nu a u$.
 of the $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i$ ：four，including Clearchus，were put to death soon afterwards，and Menon a year later：Xen．An．11．5．31， 6． 29 ．
 rทoav ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu$ ：［grammatically，the words might equally well mean，



 $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \kappa a i ~ \tau o ́ ~(i f ~ i t ~ h a d ~ n o t ~ b e e n ~ f o r ~ t h i s ~ o r ~ t h a t), ~ \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$
 $n$ ． 1.

бol Sé，к．т．入．］＇But for you it is not difficult to guard against the mishap which occurred on that occasion［such rashness as that of Cyrus，$\S 90$ ］，and it is easy to provide a force much stronger than that which overmastered the power


ข์тap̧̧ávт $\omega \nu$ ］＇have been secured＇：for the tense，cp． Panegyr．§ 162，тооót $\omega \nu$ ó $\rho \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu \dot{\nu} \pi a \rho \xi d \nu \tau \omega \nu$, p．128，and below § 95，v̇ $\pi a \rho \xi$ ǵa $\eta$ ．
§ 93．$\pi$ ро́тєроv］In Panegyr．§§ 145－149，where he thus sums up the lesson of the famous Retreat，－$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \beta \eta \sigma a \nu$［came down to the coast］$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \phi i \lambda$ ias $\omega^{\prime} s$ aútò $\nu$ ［ $\tau$ òv $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in a] \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \cup o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．
értoràs үáp，к．т．入．］＇For，as my argument had brought me to the same topics，I spared myself the labour of striving to find new words for ideas which had been fully illustrated already＇（in the Panegyr．）．ĖTiotás：i．e．the course of the discussion led him to a point where the same $\delta$ ádola must be repeated：cp．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, Panegyr．§ 165，note，p． 311.
§ 94．тoîs $\mu$ èv ov̂v oikciots，к．．入．］＇Now，I may perhaps draw upon my own materials［i．e．repeat my own thoughts or language from former works］，if in any case there be urgent need，and it be fitting：but I will adopt nothing from the work of others， any more than of old＇${ }^{\circ}$－катєтєirn：cp．Dem．In＇Timocr．§ 18，
 pressing need' for you to hear.
§ 95. тท̂s ékelvots vimaṕ̧̧á $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ] ' which had been raised by them '[the Cyreians]: $=\hat{\eta} \dot{\cup} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \xi \epsilon$, not $\hat{\eta} \dot{u} \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \chi \epsilon$ : cp, on $\S 92$.
 followers] had the Greeks most strongly prepossessed against them on account of the decarchies of the Spartan period'.
 ঠєкархіа (the rule of $\delta \epsilon \in \kappa а$ ). Harpocrat. supports $\delta є к а \delta а \rho \chi l a$, Suidas and Xen. $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \rho \chi i a$. The fact that $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\sigma} \alpha \rho \chi o s$ had a technical military sense, 'a commander of ten' (freq. in Xen.), seems to favour $\delta$ eкархia in the sense of government by ten:

 aítuiv $\pi a \tau p i \delta a s ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \nu \mu \eta \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o l$ (those who supported the oligarchies of ten, established by Lysander, and so ruined their native cities) ; see note on $\S 87$, roùs éraipous.--'̇ं $\pi$ 亿 Дaкє $\delta a \iota-$ $\mu \rho \nu i \omega \nu$, 'in the time of the Lacedaemonians', i.e. of their $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \circ \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ia, which now ( 346 в.c.) was a thing of the past: it lasted, roughly, from 404 B.C. to 371 B.C., when the battle of Leuctra gave the ascendancy to Thebes.
§ 96. है غ́то[น०v] 'readily ': cp. Adv. Sophist. § 15, p. 114, 141

$\tau \hat{\omega} v \geqslant \lambda a v \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v-\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \pi \lambda \iota \tau$.] 'A large and powerful force can more easily be raised from among the homeless vagrants than from among the dwellers in cities'. Cp. Epist. ix. (to

 'vagrants' were political exiles, driven out by the troubles bred of the 'decarchies '-ruined men and criminals of every

 and note.
oủk ग̀v $\begin{aligned} & \text { evicóv] Cyrus (in } 401 \text { b.c.) found it hard to raise }\end{aligned}$ 10,000 mercenaries from all Greece: in 338 b.c. 10,000 such formed a single contingent at Chaeroneia: see Attic Orators, ii. 17.
tois oul入éyovor] Thius Cyrus gave Clearchus about $£ 10,000$ with which to levy mercenaries: ò $\delta \dot{\text { e }} \lambda a, \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ tò $\chi$ puolov $\sigma \tau p \alpha$ -

 soldiers.
 who was phaced in command [aur.] of that expedition', -as being the leader of tare Greck mercenaries, und the only officer
who knew from the first the real object of the march（Xen． Anab．III．1．10）．
 been placed in control of any naval or land－force＇．To make this exact，kи́ $\not \circ o \nu$ must mean＇commander－in－chief＇．Clearchus had held a command under Mindarus at Cyzicus in 410 b．c．， and under Callicratidas at Arginusae in 406 b．c．At the end of the war he was sent as general to Thrace，but was recalled by the Ephors before he had arrived there．He disregarded their orders ；and sentence of death was recorded against him at Sparta．After holding Byzantium for a time，he took service with Cyrus．－a duxias：his seizure and execution by Tissaphernes，Xen．Anab．II．6． 29.
§98．тобаиิта кai тท入ıkaûta］with $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ஸ̂v：＇so great．．． that if I were speaking of them＇．．．The sentence，as commenced，



 broken up into a protasis and apodosis，$\epsilon i \quad \delta \iota \epsilon \xi\llcorner i \neq \ldots \ldots \nu o ́ \eta t o s ~ a ̆ \nu$ סoкoin $\nu$ ，thus forming an independent conditional sentence on a new plan．
§ 99．тои́тov ォaтท́p］Artaxerxes II．［Mnêmon］regn．405－ 359 в．c．（Clinton $F$ ．H．II．Append．c．18）．катєто入є́ $\mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is incorrect：he gained no great military success over the Greeks． But Greek disunion and Persian money combined to bring him his political victory over Athens and Sparta in the Peace of Antalcidas（387 в．c．）．－oútos：Artaxerxes III．（Ochos）：359－ 339 в．c．Isocr．writes in 346 в．c．
§ 100．ó $\mu \dot{\text { èv ．．．．vitos } \delta \in \in \text { ］＇The former king［Artaxerxes }}$ Mnêmon］received the surrender of all Asia under the Treaty； the present king［Artax．Ochos］，so far from being capable of extending his dominion，is not master even of the cities which were surrendered to him＇．Note the aor．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta 0 \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，whereas in Panegyr．§ 175，p．131，we have ai $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \delta о \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ al of the same cities，when the king was still master of them．－$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda a \beta \epsilon \nu$ ， correlative of $\epsilon \kappa \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a l: ~ s e e ~ P a n e g y r . ~ § ~ 169, ~ n o t e, ~ p . ~ 313 .-~$ $\sigma v \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa a, s:$ for the terms of the Peace of Antalcidas，see Panegyr．§ 175，note，p．314．－ovitos roбvútov $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$［тoû］वैp $\rho \in \iota \nu$ ： cp．Lys．In Eratosth．§ 17，oüt $\pi$ о入入ô̂ $\bar{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \eta \sigma \epsilon \kappa \kappa \iota \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ к а і ~$
 $\delta \in i s$, Lysis 204 E ．

тои̂тоv av̉т $\hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ áфєбтával］＇that the king has abandoned them［ $\left.\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu=\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \pi_{o}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu\right]$ from cowardice，or that they have conceived disdain and contempt for the barbarian authority＇
[ $\delta$ uvaoteias, the Persian suzerainty over Greek cities with municipal self-government]: i.e. these cities could not be more practically independent than they are if Persia had given them up, or if they had revolted from Persia.
 the next topic. This passage, to the end of $\S 104$, is parallel to Panegyr. §§ 160-166 (pp. 127 ff .).
 xpóvov, in the days of the late king's prosperity after the Peace of Antalcidas: see note on Panegyr. § 161, p. 309. This revolt prob. began earlier than 385 в.с.
 time [ou $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$, Panegyr. § 172, note] the Egyptians were afraid lest the king should some day make an expedition against them in person, and become master of the difficult passage over the river, as well as of their defences generally'. $\dot{\eta} \delta \subset a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi о \tau а \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \delta \sigma \chi \omega \rho i a$, the passage of the Nile at Pelusium, on the e. frontier of Egypt. When Cambyses invaded Egypt in 625 в.c. he approached by way of Cadytis (Gaza), obtaining safe guidance through the desert from 'the King of the Arabians' (Her. 1II. 4.),-i.e. from some powerful sheikh,who also provided a supply of water for the three days passage of the desert. Psammenitus, with his army, awaited the Persians at the Pelusiac mouth of the Nile, and was there routed by Cambyses, who next besieged and took Memphis (Her. III. 10-13). $\delta v \sigma \chi$ woia, here, suggests the desert by which the Nile is approached from the $\mathbf{E}$.
vivv $\delta^{\prime}$ ov์тos, к.т.入.] The chronology of the revolt, spoken of here as not yet subdued, is uncertain. Schäfer (Demosth. $u$. seine Zeit, 1. 436 f.) thinks that Artaxerxes Ochos made three expeditions against Egypt, viz. (1) On the occasion noticed, but without date, by Diod. xvi. 40. (2) In the winter of 351- 350 b.c., when Nectanebos II. was assisted by Diophantus and Lamius: Diod. xiv. 48: cp. Isocr. Ep. vill. § 8. This would be the expedition alluded to here, when the king was ignominiously repulsed. (3) In 340 B.c., when Egypt was reconquered, the king again commanding in person. See Thirlwall, c. 48, Vol. vi. p. 187 n.; Clinton, I. H. Vol. 11. Append. c. 18.-Altic Orators, II. 172, note 4.
 \$ 1li1, p. 309. то́т $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in paochtos is accurate only if we supprese Iscer. to npeak of a moment soom after the Peace of Antalcidas in 387 B.c. The war between Persia and Evagoras of Salamis becgan prob. in 38:5 в.c. In 380 в.c. ('yprus and Cilicia had revolted and Phoenicias had been ravaged.

143 § 103. 'ISpı́ќa] Idrieus, second son of Hecatomnus [Panegyr. § 162, note, p. 310] succeeded his sister Artemisia as dynast of Caria in 351 в.c., and reigned till 344 в.c. On the chronology of the Carian princes cp. Clinton F. H. II. Append. c. 14 (Attic Orators, II. 173).
$\eta$ ที $\left.\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v, \kappa . \tau . \lambda_{\text {. }}\right]$ ' else he would be the most heartless of men'- $\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda .$, most devoid of natural affection. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aiкє $\sigma a-$
 Idrieus, Mausolus and Artemisia were the three children of Hecatomnus. Artemisia married her own brother Mausolus, dynast of Caria from about 377 to 353 в.с. He seems to have died a natural death: Diod. xvı. 36 says merely $̇ \tau \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon ย \dot{\tau} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ : but he had taken part in the revolt of the satraps from Artaxerxes Mnêmon (362 b.c., Diod. xv. 90) and may have suffered imprisonment.- $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ r o ́ v: ~ I d r i e u s ~$ began his reign as a loyal subject of Artaxerxes Ochos; but he may have thrown off his allegiance later, for Dem. alludes to him as тòv Kâpa who had seized Chios, Cos and Rhodes (De Pace § 25).
§ 104. $\theta_{\epsilon}$ partéєเv] ' to court his favour ' : alluding esp. to the fact that, soon after his accession in 351 в.C., Idrieus responded to a demand of Artaxerxes Ochos by sending 40 triremes and 8000 mercenaries against Cyprus: Diod. xvi. 42.$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$-from the seaboard to the Persian coast.
 send abroad over the face of Asia that name, which had no sooner sounded in the ears of Greece than it destroyed our empire, as also the empire of Sparta'. The 'liberation of the Greeks 'from Athenian tyranny was the watchword of Sparta in the Peloponnesian War: see esp. the speech of Brasidas in Thuc. Iv. 86. The oppression of the cities by the Spartan oligarchies was the cause which chiefly discredited and at last ruined the Spartan $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \mathrm{v} i a$ : see Isocr. Panegyr. § 64, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Eì $\omega$ 'т $\omega \nu$ évi $\delta o u \lambda \epsilon u ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ (i.e. to the $\mu o ́ \theta a \xi$ Lysander, who set up the decarchies).
VIII. H $\Lambda$ ATAIKO乏. [Or. xiv.]--The revolution of 379 B.c. at Thebes had been a blow to Spartan influence throughout Hellae, and especially in Boeotia. Agesilaus in 378 and 377 , Cleombrotus in 378 and 376 , had invaded Boeotia without gaining any advantage. By the end of 376 the oligarchies supported by Sparta had been abolished in all the Boeotian towns except Orchomenus; and the Boeotian Confederacy, with Thebes at its head, had been reconstituted.

After its destruction in 427 b.c. Plataea had been left desolate till 386, when it was rebuilt by Sparta as a stronghold
against Thebes, Cut off from Spartan support, Plataea had come ( 377 or 376 в.c.) into the Boeotian Confederacy ; but, like Thespiae and Tanagra (§9), had joined it unwillingly. The relief felt by most other towns at riduance from the philoSpartan oligarchies was more than balanced, in the case of Thespiae, Tanagra and Plataea, by hatred of Thebes. Diodorus states that the Plataeans secretly offered their town to Athens. At any rate the alarm felt at Plataea was so great that it was only on the days of public assemblies at Thebes that the men ventured to go into the fields, learing their wives and children within the walls.

On one of these days a Theban force under the Boeotarch Neocles surprised Plataea, in the latter half of 373 B.c. The town was destroyed, and the territory was again annexed to Thebes. The inhabitants, with such property as they could carry, sought refuge, like their ancestors in 427, at Athens. Their case was discussed there, not merely in the Ecclesia, but in the congress of the allies ( $\sigma v \nu \in \delta p t o \nu \S 21$ ); Callistratus being the foremost adrocate of Plataea, as Epameinondas of Thebes. It was not till 338, after Chaeroneia, that Plataea was restored; this time through the enmity of Philip, as formerly through the enmity of Sparta, towards Thebes.

The speech of Isocrates is supposed to be spoken by a Plataean before the Ecclesia; and there is nothing in the matter or form of the speech itself to make it improbable that it was actually so delivered. The date is $373 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. - Attic Orators, II. 176 f.

Peroration: §§ 56-63.
 supplicate you all to give us back our land and our city'. $\dot{\pi} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho$ $\omega^{\circ} v$ (neut.), in the name of the miseries which have leen set forth ( 8 量 $46-55)$. The town of I'lataea had been wholly, or in areat part, demolished, and the territory had been annexed to Thetres. The whject of the appeal is to oltain the assistance of Athens in rrstoring the town and recovering the land. äravras: all of youl : in allusion to the fact that the Plataeans had bome tims with Atheus thmoty marriare: \& 51, tais
 'we are all your kinmmen in heart, and most of us in blood:
 even foyond thene which have been recounted'.- taldovias, 2.ot $\pi$ íaxovtas: cp. Andoc. De Myst. § 53, intodavúvtas, wote.
§ 57. $\mu$ óvol] 'And you, above all the Greeks, owe us this good office, that you should succour us when we have been
 plying that no other Greeks ought to pity them): cp. Soph.

 ยौ $\chi \epsilon \iota$ 。

тоиิтov тòv 'ैpavov] (1) The primary notion of čpavos seems to be 'a putting together', 'a collection' (of money): from rt. a $\rho$, with the fundamental idea of motion towards a goal which
 subscription' (whether for a pic-nic as opp. to an $\epsilon i \lambda \alpha \pi i \nu \eta$, as in Od. I. 226, or for any other purpose). (3) Then figuratively, a contribution or offering to a cause: Thuc. II. $43, \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau$ т....
 their lives '. Cp. [Dem.] In Aristog. 1. § 22, 'everything that each man among us does by injunction of the law is his contribution ( $\left.{ }^{\prime} \rho a \nu 0 s\right)$ as a citizen of the Commonwealth'. At Athens there were organized societies which, as well as the subscriptions paid to them, were called ${ }^{\prime} \rho a \nu o t$. Some of these were private clubs for social purposes; others, associations for mutual relief in case of need, with collecting officers, $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau a i$ : Dem. In Mid. § 184. Cp. Theophr. Char. I. toùs סavєi¢ou'̇vous خ̀ '̇pavi¢ovtas, 'applicants for a loan or a subsoription'.
avartároıs] 'homeless': lit. 'forced to arise'. Used by Isocr. either of a ruined town, Panegyr. $\S 98$, $\dot{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ává $\sigma$ тatos $\gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \notin \nu \eta$-Athens sacked by the Persians: or a desolate house, ávactárous oľkous, Arch. § 66, or a ravaged country, 'Ira入ia ává $\sigma \tau a \tau o s$, Panegyr. § 169, or a population made homeless, ó $\mu$ ópous àvaбтátous, $i b . \S 108$.
 Persian War, your fathers had quitted this land [Attica], our ancestors were the only people north of the Peloponnesus who shared their dangers and helped them to recover their city'. Isocr. is thinking here of the fact that the Plataeans (with the Thespieans) were the only Greeks who joined with the Athenians in meeting the Persians at Marathon: cp. Thuc. nir. 54. But, as $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \iota \pi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ and $\sigma v v^{2} a \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ show, he has confused this with the crisis of the later invasion, when Athens was twice occupied by the Persians-first under Xerxes, in the autumn of 480 b.c., secondly under Mardonius in the early summer of 479 . Similarly in Panegyr. § 94 he supposes that the Persian offers which the Athenians rejected were made by Xerxes in 480 в.c. They were really made by Mardonius in 479. Cp. Grote v. 147.

 $\dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \gamma \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$, a fate ill-merited by our former services to you. - Tv $\chi \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \mu \in \nu \quad \dot{u} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$, we are in the position of having first rendered: 'which we ourselves were in fact the first to render'.
§ 58. $\epsilon$ ' $\delta$ ' oủv] 'If, however ': $\delta$ ' oủ $\nu$ marking the descent from the greater to the lesser claim, as often the return from a parenthesis : e.g. Aesch. Ag. 34, Her. VI. 76 : cp. my note on Soph. Ai.28.- $\pi \epsilon \pi$ op $\begin{aligned} & \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \ell \nu \eta ~: ~ s e e ~ o n ~ \\ & \pi a \theta \text { óvtas, § } 56 . ~\end{aligned}$
 to the heroism of Athenians and of those who fought by their side' [at Plataea, 479 B.c.]: viz. (1) the tombs of those who fell in the battle of Plataea, at which yearly honours were paid: (2) the festival of the 'Eлєu*ध́pla, or Liberation, held once in every four years. Thuc. irr. 58 (Plataeans to Spartan judges), iкє́ $\tau a \iota \quad \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \theta a$ ن́ $\mu \omega ̂ \nu \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi a \tau \rho \psi \dot{\omega} \omega \nu \tau \alpha ́ \phi \omega \nu$, 'supplicate you by the tombs of your fathers'. Plut. Aristeid. 21, ouvcévat

 $\theta \epsilon \rho i \omega v$.
§ 59. Onßaîol] 'The Thebans naturally wish to destroy them [ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ o $\quad \eta \mu \epsilon i a$ ], since the memorials of those achievements are their shame'. In Thuc. iII. 62 the Theban speakers seek to excuse the $\mu \eta \delta \iota \sigma \mu$ ós of their fathers on the ground that (in 480 B.c.) the Theban government was neither a democracy nor yet an $\delta \lambda i \gamma a \rho x i a$ loóvopos, but merely a $\delta u v a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a$ $\delta \lambda l \gamma \omega \nu$ àdoẁ. 'The Theban people, and the Boeotians generally, with the exception of Thespiae and Plataea, seem to have had little sentiment on either side, and to have followed passively the inspirations of their leaders ': Grote v. 104.
 acquired the leadership of Greece'. Thuc. I. 95 ( 478 в.c.), oi $\tau \epsilon \alpha{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda o c " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon s{ }^{\eta} \chi \chi \theta 0 \nu \tau$ (at the insolence of Pausanias) кai oú $\chi$



880. Exeivov tòv тótov] Plataea and its territory. Thuc. 1r. 71 (Plataeans to Archidamus, 429 b.c.ı, páprupás $\tau \in \theta$ धoùs тои́s $\tau \in$ opкious tóte [after the battle in 479 B.c.] yevoutévous


ois xad入ıер $\eta \sigma a ́ \mu \varepsilon v o t]$ When Pausanias offered sacrifice before the battle of llataes, the signs were at first unfavourable; but when at last he looked towards the temple of

 donius, on the other hand, ou סúvatal tà $\sigma \phi a ́ \gamma \iota a ~ к а \tau а \theta \dot{u} \mu \iota a$ (satisfactory) $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a l, i b .44,45$.
 thought, too, for your ancestors, and avoid an incidental neglect [ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ] of your duty to them also; for what would be their feelings-if indeed the departed [тois $\epsilon \kappa \kappa i$ i] have any consciousness of what passes on earth-supposing they should become aware that, by your fiat, those who stooped to be the barbarian's slaves were being set as masters over their brethren,-while we, who fought the fight of freedom, have, alone of the Greeks, been made homeless;-that the tombs of those who shared their peril are deprived of the due honours, because there are none to render them,-while the Thebans, who stood in the ranks of the enemy, are lords of the land?' The $\pi \rho \delta$ yovoc invoked are not merely the Athenians who fell at Plataea, but the Athenians generally who were contemporary with the Persian Wars.- $\pi \alpha \rho a \mu \in \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a l: c p$. Dem. Fals. Legat. § $175, \delta \epsilon \delta \iota \omega ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{̀} ~ \sigma \nu \mu \pi \alpha \rho a \pi o ́ \lambda \omega \mu a \iota ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ r o u s, ~ ' f e a r i n g ~ l e s t ~ I ~$ should be ruined as an incident of their ruin ': cp. In Mid. § 116, $\pi a \rho a \pi \delta{ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon \nu$ 'has incidentally become a victim' (to Meidias, whose principal victim was Demosthenes).
§61. тádovs, к.т.入.] Cp. the Plataean appeal to the



 $\lambda \epsilon і \psi \in \tau \epsilon ;$
§62. $\Lambda a k \in \delta a l \mu o v i \omega v]$ Alluding to the destruction of

 gratification of the Thebans) $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta \iota \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu \kappa \rho i \sigma \iota \nu$ (a prejudged case) ка $\theta \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\mu} \mu \in \theta a$.
$\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu$ ias, к.т.. .] 'these evil rumours': cp. Adv. Sophist.
 $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu a s$.- ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ : ' do not espouse their violence, to the loss of your present repute' (for $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \epsilon i \kappa \epsilon \iota a)$.
 lend in ycur own survey those points also which I have omitted,-you must think first of the oaths and compacts [exchanged with the Plataeans by the Greeks in 480 b.c.], next of our friendship with you, and of Theban enmity,-and so give the rightcous sentence in our cause'.- $\sigma v \nu \iota \delta \delta \nu \tau a s:$ cp. Nicocl. § 17, note.
IX. IEPI EIPHNHE. [Or. vint.]-'On the Peace'. 146 Like the dreopagiticus, this is a political pamphlet with the form of a deliberative speech. In 357 b.c. Chios, Cos, Rhodes and Byzantium revolted from Athens. The Social War was concluded about midsummer, 355 в.c., by a treaty which declared the independence of the seceding states. The Speech On the Peace was probably written while negotiations for peace were pending, i.e. in the first half of 355 b.c.

The leaders of the War Party-esp. Chares and the orator Aristophon of Azenia-are the men against whom Isocr. directs this vigorous appeal. Athens, he urges, must resign pretensions to foreign dumination ( $\dot{\rho} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ ), and be content with the headship ( $\dot{\eta}\rangle \epsilon$ ноvia) of a free league. The following passage is his censure on the corrupt public men of the day.

## §§ 121—131.

§ 121. ※ิv ėv0vpovpévovs] 'Remembering these things'the dangers of an ambitious foreign policy, as illustrated by the experience of Athens and Sparta, §s 74-120.

Tìv éri $\tau 0 \hat{v} \beta \eta_{\eta}^{\prime}$. Suvaor.] ' the mastery of the platform ' (in the Ecclesiai: the ear of the House'. Cp. Philipp. § 81,


трои́yayov] Cp. P'anegyr. § 174, note, p. 314.
§ 122. á kal $\pi$ ávт由v, к.т. 入.] 'And, just for that reason, nothing is more surpriwing than that you elect', etc. ä, acc. referring to the whole preceding statement, lit. 'and as to these things' (like quod before si and misi): cp. Thuc. In. 40


 dè $\delta x y$ оу фépet.
$\pi \rho \circ \chi \in\left\llcorner\rho\left(\xi \in \sigma \theta_{\epsilon}\right]\right.$ ' elect', lit. ' make ready for yourselves' : cp. [Thern.| In Aristog. 1. § 13, ópüv ípâs кatatátтontás $\mu \epsilon$ (desig-

8123. $\langle\pi i \quad \mu \dot{v} v e k e(v \omega v]$ ' in their time'-i.e. when they were in the assemdant: cp. P'hilipp. § 95, òeкapxias $\tau$ às $\dot{\epsilon \pi i}$


Sis ríin кarad.] By the Fonr Hundred in 411 b.c., and the: Thisty in f01 B.C. Cp. Lysias or, xxiv. \& I, f). 52.
rds фuyás, к.т. A.] 'and that the exiles who were sent into hanishasent in the time of the tyrants [the Fone Mundred]. and in the tume of the Thirty, were restored, not through the
mercenary adventurers, but through those who hate such
 $\nu \omega \nu$ would more naturally mean the Peisistratidae; but è $\bar{e} \lambda i \hat{i} \gamma \omega$ $\chi \rho b \nu \varphi$, with $\delta i s$, excludes that view.
§ 124. ékaтє́p $\omega \nu]$ i.e. the supporters of an imperial policy ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ ), and its opponents.
oú $\delta$ Ė $\phi 0$ ovov̂ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ] ' nor are jealous '-with a righteous jealousy or envy: cp. the use of $\phi \theta 0 \nu \epsilon \mathrm{il}$ in Panegyr. § 184, note, p. 317.
§ 125. $\left.\delta a \sigma \mu \circ \lambda_{0} y \in i\right]$ ' levies imposts', an invidious mode of describing the collection of the $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \tau a \xi \iota s$, as the tribute of the allies ( $\phi 6 \rho o s$ ) was euphemistically called under the revived Athenian Confederacy. Cp. Panegyr. § 132, र $\rho \grave{\text { خे.... тoьoútoıs }}$
 баб $о$ офорєіे, Aesch. Pers. 586.
 no property-these, on the other hand [ $\delta \hat{6}$ in apodosis], have been raised from a low estate to wealth, through our folly': a common topic of accusation against the demagogues, and often probably a false one. Cp. Lysias, or. xix. § 48, speaking of the demagogue Cleophon (condemned to death by the oligarchs in $40 \check{\text { b.c. }}$ ), $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \delta о \kappa \hat{a} \tau о$ र $\rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \mu \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha$

 $\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ (his legatees), $\dot{\delta} \mu 0 \lambda о \gamma o v \mu \epsilon \nu \omega s \pi \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \epsilon \in s \in i \sigma \iota$.
 such men as these in the leadership of the people'. Thuc.
 $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \quad \pi \iota \theta a \nu \omega ́ \tau a \tau o s$, where it has not necessarily a bad sense : cp. what he says of Pericles, II. 65, oủk ${ }^{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \tau 0 \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ úmò $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ous $\hat{\eta}$ aúrds $\hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon$. Lysias or. xxviI. § 10, каiтot oú $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ ả $\gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$
 Isocr. has the word again in Panath. § 148, Пєєбєбтрátov...ঠ̀s

 $\tau \delta \nu \delta \eta \mu \circ \nu \kappa a \tau \epsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \epsilon, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. Cp. Helen. Encom. § 37 (of Theseus),
 тais $\delta$ єvं $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \sigma i a l s ~ \delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$, 'having for his body-guard the affection of the citizens,-_placed in authority above the laws, but leading the people by acts of kindness'. Plato never uses the word. In Arist. the bad sense is usu. marked, e.g. Polit. viII [ v ] in. § 12 (the flatterer is popular both in demo-

 $\tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \hat{\varsigma} \dot{\partial} \mu \iota \lambda o u ̂ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.
$\pi \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \omega ิ \nu \tau 010 \hat{\tau} \omega \nu]$ meaning e.g. Cleon, Hyperbolus, Cleophon, and, among contemporaries, esp. Aristophon of Azenia. In this speech Isocr. distinguishes practically three stages of Athenian statesmanship: (1) the stage before Athens was imperial-represented by Aristeides, Miltiades, Themistocles, § 75 : (2) the best period of the empire-under Pericles: (3) the period of its decline, and then of unbridled democracy, represented by the $\pi$ ov $\eta \rho o i \delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma o i(\$ 129)$.
 pótatos $\gamma$ evómevos. Cp. Plat. Gorg. 515 E, tavti $\gamma$ à $\rho$ द̀ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$

 but even his enemies admitted his personal probity.
cls $\tau \dot{\eta} v$ dikро́то $\left.\lambda เ \nu . . . \mathrm{X} \omega \mathrm{p} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{s}} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{i} \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\right]$ i.e. to the Treasury, the $\delta \pi \tau \sigma 0$ ó $\delta о \mu о s$, or chamber at the back of the Parthenon

 where Pericles tells the Athenians that they have (1) 600 talents a year from the фópos of the allies; (2) 6000 talents [about $£ 1,400,000$ ] in money $\notin \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$, the greatest total having been 9700: (3) रovoiov ả̃ $\eta \mu \circ \nu$ [uncoined] каl aprúptor in sacred offerings, vessels, etc., to the value of 500 talents. Cp. Grote vi. 165.
§ 127. Tג $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda о v ́ \mu \in \nu \alpha \ldots \eta \xi(\omega \sigma \alpha v]$ ' these neglected affairs, 148 however, [ironical, $=\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ไôıa $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, their private fortunes,] are found to have increased in a measure for which formerly [i.e. in the early days of their poverty, § 125] they would not have presumed even to pray to the gods'. - $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta o \sigma \iota \nu$ : see on


§ 128. oi $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \ldots$ oi $\delta \epsilon \in$ ] of $\mu \hat{e} \nu \ldots$...oi $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ are the two classes of the $\pi$ ohital: oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ are the very poor, who suffer positive want: oi óf, the comparatively rich, who are oppressed by public burdens.
$\pi \in v i a s . . . t v \delta \epsilon l a s]$ ' their narrow circumstances and their privations : for the plural, cp. Autid. § 283, rais à $\eta$ そeiacs, note, p. 303. - $\pi$ pòs $\sigma \phi$ âs aúroús, 'to themselves', i.e. 'among them-selves'-since they find no sympathy from their so-called patrons, the $\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma o t$.
 of arbitrary impusts and of public services': $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau$ áyuata,
 Өŋ́кas, p. 132), i.e. нpecial taxes impresed at the will of the demagogues, and, in general, extratordinary demands on the citizen's purse or labour: $\lambda$ ectoupgian, the ordinary or
 the festivals- $\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma i a, ~ \gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \iota a \rho \chi i a$, etc., 一not including the trierarchy, which is indicated by $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\grave{l}}$ ràs $\sigma u \mu \mu o \rho l a s$. The入etrovpriac may be classified as (1) 'recurring' or annual, द́ $\gamma \kappa \dot{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \iota o t:$ (2) periodic at longer intervals, as the sacred missions, $\theta \epsilon \omega \mathrm{pia}$, to the great festivals: (3) extroordinary: e.g. missions to the Delphic oracle, and the trierarchy. See my note on Theophrastus Char. xxix. (=xxvi.) p. 227.
 the Navy Boards and Exchanges of property'. The duty of a trierarch was to maintain in efficiency, for one year, a trireme found, rigged, and manned by the State (Dem. In Mid. § 156),--the average cost being about £240 (ib.). Till 358 в.с. the trierarchy had been discharged by one person, or by two persons jointly. In 358 b.c. the 1200 richest citizens were divided into 20 бumuopíal (' partnerships', ' associations ') of 60 each, for the division of the burden,-a company (ouv$\tau \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon(a)}$, usu. of 15 , jointly defraying the cost of each trireme. This plan proved unfair to the poorer men, as the simple or dual trierarchy had been hard on the rich. Demosth. or. xiv. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \sigma v \mu \mu \circ \rho \iota \omega \bar{\nu}$ points this out ( 354 b.c., the year after this speech of Isocr.). A subsequent reform (340 B.c.?) distributed the burden acc. to assessed property, at the rate of one trireme to about $£ 2400$ of taxable capital. (Cp. my note on Theophr. Char. xxv. $=$ xxir, p. 253.) - $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s:$ challenges to exchange properties with the person on whom a $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v p \gamma i a$ had been laid, or else to relieve him of it: see introd. to Isocr. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ג̇ขтьס́ó $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ s, above, p. 299.
§ 129. ouvifeiv] 'see at a glance' [i.e. comprehending in one view all that you know]: cp. Nicocles § 17, note, p. 284: Plataicus § 63, p. 332.
¢́ $\eta \tau o ́ \rho \omega v]$ Thuc. has the word thrice,-always of the regular speakers in the Ecclesia, and always in a more or less unfavourable sense: iIf. 40, vi. 29, viri. 1. Cp. Isocr. Panathe-


 $\mu \eta \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho \ldots \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \delta \nu \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta s$.
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ oैv $\quad$ cas] ' are on the side of the Commonwealth and of its best advisers '-opp. to $\dot{u} \phi$ ' aúroîs $\epsilon i \nu a \iota$, servile to the demagogues.
 peachments [for offences more directly against the State], the indictments, and, generally, the vexatious proceedings of which they are the instruments'. Cp. Lysias Pro Mantith. § 12,

X. APXIAAMOE. [Or. vi.]-At the beginning of 366 B.c. 149 Sparta, Athens, Corinth and the smaller states dependent on Corinth, as Epidaurus and Phlius, were allied, and were at war with Thebes and her allies, of whom the chief was Argos. But in that year the treacherous attempt of Athens to seize Corinth gave the Corinthians a sense of insecurity and a desire for peace. They accordingly sent envoys to Thebes, asking on what terms peace would be granted to the allies. The Thebans prescribed, as one condition of peace, the recognition of the independence of Messene, the new state founded by Epameinondas in 370. A congress met at Sparta. The Spartans refused to recognise the independence of Messene; and accordingly remained, with Athens, at war against Thebes. The Corinthians, Epidaurians, Phliasians, and probably some other small states, accepted the condition, and made peace on their own account, b.c. 366: see § 91 .

The Archidamus is in the form of a deliberative speech. It purports to be spoken in 366 в.c., by Archidamus III., son of the king Agesilaus, during a debate at Sparta on the Theban proposal. There seems no reason to doubt that the speech was written in 366 в.c., either just before or soon after the actual decision of the question. It may have been composed in the first instance as an exercise; yet, as discussing a question of contemporary politics from the point of view which a large party at Sparta must realiy have taken, it claims to be considered as something more. Isocrates probably sent it to Archidamus,-not, of course, for delivery, but as a proof of sympathy with the Spartan policy.-Attic Orators, แ. 193 f.
§§ 52-57.
§52. ©v èvevpovuívovs] Remembering the examples of recovery from apparently hopeless disaster-Dionysius of Syracuse, when he was on the point of abandoning his city to the Carthaginians ( 394 в.c.) - Amyntas II. of Macedon when compelled by the Illyrians to evacuate Pella ( 393 B.c.)-and Thebes, lately at the mercy of Sparta, and now the foremost State in Greece (88 40-51).

тротeтw̄s ...̀poえoylas]' commit yourselves with headlong

 sparta to recognise the independence of Messene.
 of our own country than in the cause of others'- e.g. of the Chians, the Syracusans, the Amphipolitans.
 nian-were it but one-went to the rescue of an allied city under siege, it used to be allowed on all hands that the deliverance of the community was his work' $-\alpha \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \circ \lambda о \gamma \epsilon i \tau o$, expressing a customary action; so, though more rarely, with
 § 30. 2.-Tapà roûtov, 'all along of him', i.e. indirectly the work of his spirit and example, even where it was not due to his personal effort.
$\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} v \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta u \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu]$ ' The greater number of such names may be heard from the older men among us, but even I can recount the most famous of them '. The speaker, Archidamus,
 note in Attic Orators, II. 195). He means,- - though I am too young to remember these men, as my elders can, I am still familiar with their deeds'. $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$., к. $\tau . \lambda_{\text {. }}$, is a reminder that the days of Spartan heroism are within living memory.
§ 53. MeSápıros] When Chios revolted from Athens in 412 b.c., Pedaritus was posted there as Spartan governor: Thuc. viII. 28. Soon afterwards the Athenians set about fortifying Delphinion, a promontory on the e. coast, ib. 38. Pedaritus-who received no support from the Spartan fleet at Rhodes under Astyochus-attacked Delphinion with a small force. He was defeated and slain, Thuc. viri. 55. The words
 inaccurate impression. Pedaritus did, indeed, hold out in Chios for a year, but his command ended disastrously.Attic Orators, II. 198.

Bpari(fas] The majority in Amphipolis were loyal to Athens, and it was only by offering the most favourable terms that he enticed the place to capitulate ( 423 в.c.) : Grote vi. 559. Thuc. Iv. 106.- $\bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon$ : at the battle of Amphipolis ( 422 в.c.), in which both Brasidas and Cleon were killed.b $\lambda$ iovous: Brasidas made his sally against the retreating Athenians with a mere handful of men,-áтолє $\xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \cup s . . . \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta} к о \nu \tau \alpha$
 habitants of Amphipolis, as the phrase suggests, but the Peloponnesian troops shut up in it: Thuc. l.c.

Гú $\lambda_{\iota \pi \pi \% s] ~ N i c i a s ~ h a v i n g ~ o m i t t e d ~ t o ~ i n v e s t ~ S y r a c u s e ~ i n ~} 415$ в.c., Gylippus was able to enter it in 414 , and in 413 crushed the Athenian force in the last sea-fight. $\delta \dot{v} \nu \alpha \mu \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \rho a \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu$ aút $\hat{\nu}$, i.e. $\dot{\eta}$ Éкрár $\epsilon$, the Athenian force which was overmastering the Syracusans-against which, alone, they could not cope.-каi катà $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda .$, with $\epsilon \lambda a \beta \epsilon \nu$, alluding to (1) the
sea-fight, (2) the defeat and surrender of the force retreating by land: Thuc. vin. 70, 84.
 in those days the individual Spartan was capable of guarding foreign cities, now the Spartans collectively should not even
 $\lambda a \tau \tau \epsilon \nu-\nu v \nu i$ ì $\delta e ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ oú $\delta \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ : when such a contrast is to be expressed in dependence on a comment, such as al $\sigma$ रoóv $\dot{E} \sigma \tau$, the regular Greek idiom co-ordinates the clauses, turning סıєфú入atтєv as well as $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ into the infin. A modern


§55. ÉT $\epsilon$ pas $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \pi$ $\pi$ ó $\lambda \epsilon เ s]$ Alluding to such cases as those of Srracuse, Mytilene, Melos, all of which might be said, in some sense, to have suffered $\dot{i} \pi \dot{\xi} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta$. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$, in the cause of Spartan against Athenian ascendancy.
$\left.\alpha^{2} \delta \eta \phi a \gamma o v ́ v \tau \omega v\right]$ 'eating their heads off' : Phot. 9. 23 हौ $\phi \eta$
 of the Old Comedy), Meinek. Frag. Com. p. 145. Cp. кpitwv
 506.

 conditions fit only for those who', etc.
§ 56. $\sigma \chi \in \tau \lambda \omega ́ \tau a \tau o v]$ ' most intolerable': cp. Philipp. § 103, p. 105', $\sigma \chi \in \tau \lambda \iota \omega$ татоs, ' most heartless' : or. xviil. § 35, $\lambda \epsilon \xi \in \iota \nu$ ís òєı̀à кal бұє́т入ıa $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$, 'monstrous and cruel things'.

фидотоváтarol] 'most lahorious ' : referring to the military and athletic exercises of the Spartans. Cp. Arist. Pol. v



 $\psi \cup x \eta$ qudoroфos. But of literary industry as opp. to physical







 Leuctra, 371 в. С. : ср. $\S 10$ of this speech, $\epsilon i$ $\delta \epsilon \ldots \ldots \pi \rho о \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota$

 aủrติ้.
 thrice invaded the Peloponnesus-in 370, 369, 367 в.с. But he had invaded Laconia only once-in 370 в.c. The next invasion of Laconia occurred shortly before the battle of Mantineia in 362 в.с.
 those who succumb to a single reverse] hold out against pro-
 in close connection with du $\nu \tau \alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$.
§ 57. Me大ซ $\mathrm{\eta vi} \mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{\nu]}$ ' Who would not reproach us, if, when the Messenians stood a siege of twenty years in defence of this territory, we should resign it so hastily under a treaty?' The толсоркia is the siege of Ithome in the first Messenian War, $743-723$ в. с., acc. to the legendary chronology. Cp. § 27 סcà
 Messene after the lapse of 400 years: where $\tau \rho \kappa a \kappa o \sigma i \omega \nu$ would agree better with the common tradition that the Second Messenian War ended, and the conquest of the country by Sparta was completed, about 688 в.c.-Attic Orators, II. 197-9.
kal $\mu \eta \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \circ \gamma, \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon\lceil\eta \mu \epsilon \nu]$ ' and should not even mention our ancestors'-i.e. should not once recall their laborious conquest of Messenia:-not 'remember', which would be $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\varphi} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ (or $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta a)$.
XI. APEOHATITTKOE. [Or. vir.]-As a picture of the older Athenian Democracy this discourse supplements the Panegyricus. The latter describes the external relations of Athens in her great days; the Areopagiticus, the inner life.

In this speeeh Isocrates contrasts the Athenian Democracy as it existed in the middle of the 4th century b.c. with the Democracy of Solon and of Cleisthenes ( $\S 16$ ). He dwells chiefly on two features of the elder Democracy:-1. the preference of election (aipe $\sigma s$ ) to ballot ( $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma \tau s$ ) in the appointment of State officers, $\$ 822 \mathrm{ff}$; 2. the supervision of public morals exercised by the Council of the Areiopagus: § $83-55$. It is owing to the prominence of the latter topic that the speech has been called 'A $\rho$ 'oтayitukbs. It is cast in a deliberate form. Isocrates supposes himself to have given notice in writing to the prytanes of an intention to speak ' $O n$
the Safety of Athens' ( $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma o \delta o \nu ~ a ́ \pi o \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a \sigma \theta a \imath, ~$ § 1,15 ): and to be now urging in the ecclesia, as absolutely necessary to the welfare of the city, the restoration of censorial power to the Areiopagus (cp. § 84). Like the De Pace (Or. viri.), this speech was not delivered, or meant for delivery, in the Assembly. The deliberative form was adopted merely for the sake of giving greater life and impressiveness to the pleading.

The date is to be inferred from five indications:-(1) There was now peace on the frontiers of Attica ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \omega^{\rho} \rho a \nu$ ), and a confident sense of security at Athens, $\S \S$ 1-3: (2) The Athenians had 'lost all the cities in Thrace' (§ 9): (3) had spent more than 1000 talents on mercenaries, $i b:(4)$ had got a bad name in Hellas and incurred the enmity of Persia, § 10: (5) had been forced 'to save the friends of the Thebans' and to lose their own allies. The latter half of 355 в.с. is the date to which these indications seem to point. (See Attic Orators, II. 202 f., where the question of the date is examined in detail.)

The powers exercised by the Areiopagus before the reforms of Ephialtes were of two kinds, definite and indefinite. The definite powers were: 1. A limited criminal jurisdiction: 2. the supreme direction of religious worship, especially of the cultus of the Eumenides. The indefinite powers were: 1. A general supervision of all magistrates and law-courts: 2. a general guardianship of the laws, with the right of protest (though not of veto) when proposed new laws conflicted with old: 3. a general control of the education of the young: 4. a general censorship of public morals: 5. competence to assume, in emergencies of the state, a dictatorial authority.

The definite powers of the Areiopagus were never at any time taken from it. But Ephialtes abolished almost wholly the indefinite powers. It is for the revival of these-especially of (3) and (4)-that Isocrates is anxious. While it possessed these, the Areiopagus had been the strongest influence, though mainly a negative influence, in the State; it had been able to impress a conservative character upon the whole civic body. Deprived of these, it was merely a criminal court of narrow competence. Its connection with what was most venerable in the old religion, and the high standing of its individual members, still secured to it, indeed, a large measure of respect. Iscoerates speaks of the good influence which, even in his own day, wrompht on those who became members of the college. Bat politically the Areiopagus was now powerless. The plea of Isocrates for a restoration of its strength is strikingly illustrated by the protest of Aeschylus against its enfeeblement. It is not on any well-defined function, but rather on those prerogatives
which, being vague, were boundless, that orator and poet alike insist:-

Here, on the Hill of Ares,
Once seat and camp of Amazons who came In anger against Theseus, and defied From their new ramparts his acropolis, And poured blood unto Ares, where is now The hill, the rock of Ares-in this place Awe kin to dread shall hold the citizens From sinning in the darkness or the light, While their own voices do not change the laws.

This Court, majestic, incorruptible, Instant in anger, over those who sleep The sleepless watcher of my land I set. Attic Orators, II. 202: 211.

## §§ 36-55.

§ 36. रєүєข $\mu^{\prime}$ vas] I believe this to be the true reading,
 the Urbino ms.), because the perf. better expresses the feeling of Isocr. that this glorious chapter of Athenian history was closed. The $\pi \rho d{ }_{\xi} \xi \in \epsilon s$ are the political and social life of Athens under the Old Democracy, and before the rule of the demagogues. Isocr. would date the latter from the death of Pericles -regarding the administration of Pericles as a transitional period, in which the deterioration, moral and political, was mitigated by the personal qualities of the leader: see esp.



кa入̂̂s kal тג̀ тpòs $\sigma \phi$ âs aủтov̀s e[Xov, к.т.入.] 'were so happy in their relations to each other [i.e. in their social and private life], and in their administration of the Common-
 тà $\delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \iota a ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ o s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau a ~ o u ̉ ~ \pi a \rho a \nu о \mu o v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu . ~$

бaфध́ $\sigma$ троv] For the form cp. note on Panegyr. § 163, ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \varsigma, \mathrm{p} .311$.
 young Athenian's studies in that age were, indeed, numerous; but it did not follow that, when he entered on man's estate, he was allowed to do as he pleased; rather he was subject to stricter supervision just in the years of his prime than during his boyhood'. Affirmatively, the sentence would be: $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \bar{\nu} \nu$
 $\dot{\xi} \xi \hat{\eta} \nu$, к．т．入．Place the whole in brackets：prefix oúk：and we have the negative form．This is due to the Greek love of symmetrical antithesis，and therefore of co－ordinated rather than subordinated clauses．Cp．Archidamus § 54，тóтє $\mu \hat{\ell} \nu$ ， к．т．入．，note，p． 339.

 after the age of 17 ，the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\eta} \beta \omega \nu$ or $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu i \sigma \tau a i$ （＝the Spartan $\pi$ aı $\delta o \nu \dot{\prime} \mu o l$ ）：Herm．Ant．III．§ 34．6，§ 35.18.
 passed his бокснабia as such：at 20，his бокцнабia єis ă $\nu \delta р а$ s

 manhood when passion is strongest：cp．dntid．§ 289，p．123，$\epsilon^{\prime} \nu$


 Cp．Aeschin．In Ctes．§ 2 （in reference to Solon＇s regulations



 （with his cloak drawn round him，and his hand within the folds）．See Attic Orators，1． 25.
 ¿ $\xi$＇Apeiou $\pi$ áyou $\beta$ ou入 $\bar{\eta} s$ ］was possible only for the well－born＇．
 Solonian time when the Areiopagus was confined to the Eupatridae：since Solon＇s reforms opened the archonship to the Pentakosiomedimni，and past archons（unless rejected at their évevvac on laying down office）＇went up＇to the Areiopa－ gus．Herm．Ant．1．§ 109：Grote III．162．But no such clear distinction is present to Isocr．＇s mind，who is thinking only of the broad contrast between the old aristocratic Republic and the later Democracy．ка入ิ̂s rєरovóres cannot be explained as merely $=\kappa \alpha \theta a \rho \hat{s} \gamma \in \gamma$ ．，＇of pure Attic parentage＇．

नuvép（ $\omega v$ ］＇assemblies＇－a general term：cp．Nicocles § 19， p．75：Antid．\＆3\％，oố＇＇̀v roîs auve $\delta$ plocs（Boulè or Ecclesia） оӥтє $\pi \in \rho$ l tàs davaкpiacts（preliminary law proceedings before the

 of election and of scrutiny have fallen into neglect＇．In 822


 on to speak of the risk run by тò $\lambda a \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\dot{\eta} \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma \iota$ （ballot for office）．The substitution of ballot（ $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma / s$ ）for election（aipe⿴囗s），in the case of the nine archons，prob．dated from Cleisthenes．The $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i$, at least，and the $\tau \alpha \mu i a s$ （steward of the Treasury）always remained aipecol．As regards most other offices，ballot was prob，substituted for al $\rho \in \sigma \iota s$ about 478 в．с．But the term aip $\rho \sigma \iota s$ ，in its general sense，included $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma \iota s$ as well as al $\rho \in \sigma \iota$ is the special sense（ $\chi \in \iota \rho о т о \nu i \alpha)$ ：so
 of ballot as a mischievous substitute for रetpotovia：but the word aipeб८ь prob．$=$ merely＇mode of selection＇．－$\quad$ окццабia，the scrutiny，before the $\beta o v \lambda \eta$ ，of those who had drawn the lot to be archons：including，here，the idea of the eúvivac on retire－ ment also．




тท̂ фv́ctl $\mathrm{Xp} \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta a \mathrm{l}]$＇to indulge their propensities＇．

 $\kappa \in i ̂ \nu \mid \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．
§ 39．т $\dot{\eta} v \delta \dot{\eta}$ тotav́т $\left.\eta v \ldots \alpha^{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega v\right]$＇Such then，as I have said［§37］，was the Council to which they committed the care of good order；a Council which deemed those men ignorant who suppose that the best characters are produced in the com－ munities whose laws are framed with the greatest precision； since（they felt）there was nothing to hinder all Greeks being on the same level，so far as concerns the facility of obtaining written codes from each other＇．－$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu \ldots \eta$ ，$=0$ ïa（cp． Lys．or．xili．§ 13，note，p．263）：the omission of $\beta$ ov入 $\eta^{\prime \prime}$ helping to personify the influence．－$\frac{\epsilon}{\nu} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta \alpha,=\dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu$ roúrots，ante－

 $\kappa \omega \lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，oblique either of ${ }^{a} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \kappa \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon \nu$（nothing would hinder， whereas something does），or $\kappa \omega \lambda<\dot{c} o c d^{\prime \nu} \nu$（would conceivably hin－ der）：better taken as representing the latter．
§ 40．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda d \quad \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \ldots \pi a \iota \delta \in v \theta \omega \bar{\omega} เ \nu]$＇But in fact（the Council knew）it is not by such things that virtue is promoted，but by the pursuits of daily life；since most men retain the impress of the particular habits in which they have been educated＇． $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ă $\nu$ ，vivid for $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，in spite of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu o ́ \mu i \zeta \epsilon \nu$ ，on which the whole oblique discourse depends：cp．Goodwin § 74. 1．－$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ：Thuc．II．37，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau .: \mathrm{cp}$ ． Antiph．Tetr．B．$\beta . \S 10$ ，note，p． 206.
 of the laws（the Council held）is a sign that the city in which they exist［raúr $\eta \nu$ ］is ill administered；since it is in the attempt［pres．part．］to erect barriers against crime that such a community［aúroús］is compelled to multiply its laws＇．$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ －áкръєєias：for the plur．see Antid．§ 283，note，p．303．－ $\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，of the legislator who is bound by his laws：$\tau \iota \theta \in \nu a \iota$ ，of one who legislates only for others：кeiodal，of the laws them－ selves．Dobree cp．Tac．III．27，corruptissima re publica pluri－ mae leges．
 of their porticoes with statutes＇；Andoc．De Myst．§ 85，ėסoкı－
 oroáv（i．e．the $\sigma$ rod $\beta a \sigma i \lambda t c o s$ in the Agora）．The $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi t \sigma \mu a$ cited


 strict terms．

кai toîs $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \bar{\omega} s$ кєццévols］＇even those laws which are con－ ceived in simple terms＇－opp．to aंк $\rho \iota \beta \omega \hat{s}, i . e$ ．with less attempt to define rigorously a number of special cases．Cp．Lysias In Theomn．\＆7，note．
 ［starting from］what principles＇．．．．парабкєvá⿱㇒冋⿱㇒日： often in a bad sense，of intrigue：cp．Lys．In Agor．§ 12，p．79，

 is the province of personal resentment＇：i．e．the affair of the



843．тарахшбєбтata $\delta$ เak．］＇subject to the most unruly passions＇：cp．§ 37，note on єis äv $\delta$ рas $\delta о к ц \mu а \sigma \theta \epsilon i \epsilon \ell$ ．
 Tds jojovas éxovol］，they saw，would those be constant，who had received a liberal education and learned to cherish a high
 עeiv we ought perhaps to read $\mu^{\prime} \gamma a$ ф $\quad$ oveiv．Isocr．has $\mu$ é $\gamma$ a фpoveí in seven passuges：or．II．$\$ 30$ ：III．$\$ \$ 35,39:$ iv．$\$ \$ 81$ ， 132：xx．\＄45：x．§35：but $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o \phi \rho o v e i v ~ o n l y ~ h e r e . ~ T h e ~ o n l y ~$ other place in a classical writer where $\mu$ eva入oфpoveiv has strong ms．authority is Xen．Hellen．vs．2．\＆39，where Cobet would read $\mu \mathrm{t} \gamma \mathrm{a}$ 中ponoivtos，and（i．Sanppee gives $\mu \in \gamma \mathrm{d} \lambda a$ ф povoûvtos． In Plat．Protag． 342 d，and Alciliades x． 104 c ，it is merely an ill－attested variant．In later writers，however（though Pollux

III． 114 seems to condemn it altogether），$\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i v$ is not rare：e．g．Plut．Ages．30，Joseph．Ant．xix．7．3，Aelian Var． Hist．xir．22．Cp．Cobet N．L．269，340，643，Lobeck Ai． 443.
§ 44．${ }^{2} v \omega \mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \omega s \ldots{ }^{\prime}$ XXovtas］＇owing to the disparity of their circumstances＇：$\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \partial ̀ \nu \beta i o \nu=\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oủ $\sigma \mathfrak{l a \nu}:$ cp．§ 45 ßiov


тàs $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ ias，к．т．ג．］Note the six plurals：see Antid．§ 283， note．
 census）was specially called $\epsilon \pi \iota \pi \lambda о \kappa \eta$ ，catena：cp．Dem．$F$ ．L．
 $\epsilon \in \pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \in \sigma a \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ，к．т．入．Cic．Pro Rosc．Am．27．75，in urbe luxuries creatur；ex luxuria existat avaritia necesse est；ex avaritia erumpat audacia，etc．Volkmann，Rhet．Gr．und Römer，p． 403.
§ 45．aimad入ágeเv］that they would draw away［the youth， тov̀s $\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \rho o u s]$ ．
 but＇athletic exercises＇：Plat．Laches 181 E ，（（rò $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \partial ̈ \pi \lambda$ 入o


 the taunt of Aeschines against Demosthenes，In Ctes．§ 255，



ónêvtes］＇seeing that by these pursuits［roútcl］some are made excellent men，and others are led to abstain from most

§ 46．oủסè тòv $\lambda$ गormòv xpóvov］＇neither did they neglect the［citizen＇s］later years＇；i．e．they continued to watch over his adult life，as they had already watched over his boyhood and youth（§ 37）．

кఱ́цаs．．．$\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ovs}]$＇taking the city by wards（ $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ ）and the country by townships，they continued to supervise each man＇s life＇：$\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \nu$ o not necessarily implying that they were the authors of such a division，but only that they took this divi－ sion as the basis of their systematic inspection．（Cp．Lys．
 or quarters of the town；at Athens prob．merely local divisions， without any further political significance，Herm．Ant．1．§ 11. 11：the word perh．preserving a reminiscence of the time before the ovvoikcots ascribed to Theseus，Thuc．II．15．See

 into $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{o}$ ( pagi) was ascribed to Theseus, and was at least much older than Cleisthenes. Plato, Legg. 756 d, has $\phi$ parplas
 Isocr. seems to be the only writer who names the city $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu a$, as parallel with the country $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}: ~ c \mathrm{cp}$. Herm. Ant. x. § 111. 4.

 fence): 'threatened' (for repeated offences): 'punished' (the contumacious).

Svo тро́тог] 'for they knew that there are in fact ( $\tau$ urxávovo(v) two systems, one of which impels men to wrong-doing, while the other deters them from evil courses': of kal $\pi \rho o \tau \rho \epsilon^{\prime}-$ тovtes kal $\pi$ aúovtes $=$ which respectively impel or deter. For $\tau \dot{c} s ~ d i \delta u i a s ~ a n d ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi o \nu \eta p t \hat{\omega} \nu$, where the antithesis required opposites, cp. Antiph. De Caed. Her. § 87, note, p. 216.
 $\phi \theta \in i \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota \ldots \gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ depend on $\dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau a \nu \tau 0: ~ с р$. §s 40-42 (depending on $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \mu i \zeta \in \nu \S 39$ ). - Observe the double $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in the protasis ( $\pi a \rho$ ' ots $\mu$ '́v, $\pi$ a $\rho$ à toútots $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ ) balanced by the double $\delta \epsilon$ in the apodosis ( $\delta \pi 0 v \delta \bar{\epsilon} \ldots \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau a i ̂ \theta a \delta \dot{\epsilon})$. Cp. De Pace §55,


${ }^{3 \xi}$ เт



 I were to say that sham [quickly fading] purples were real'.

тобои́тоv...E®єоv...入avөávetv] Cp. Philipp. § 100, тобои́тои ठeî...ăpx $\quad$ tv, note.
 tating an offence', but, 'those on whose part an offence was apprehended'. For this use, cp. Isocr. Epist. iv. § 6, Tŵv $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \text { t- }\end{aligned}$ $\delta \delta \xi \omega \nu \delta \alpha a \phi \theta a \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta a i \quad \pi \rho a \gamma \mu d \tau \omega \nu$, 'the affairs which seemed

 тaút ${ }^{\text {s. }}$, the sense is, 'being heir presumptive to this dignity' (the Spartan kingship).
848. बxıpaфeloเs, к.т.入.] 'gambling-hnuses': cp. Antid. § 247, note.-iv rais aid $\eta$ npioiv, might = simply 'among', but prob. = 'in their part of the town' $=$ iv rois ain $\eta$ $\eta$ ptoitots (Athen. xII. 532). ( $p$. Kepaucis as the name of a district or deme, Plat. Prot. 315 D.
év ois éráx $\theta \eta \sigma a v$ ] 'were constant to the pursuits to which they had been appointed' (by the paternal authority of the
 man was given his $\tau \dot{a} \xi \iota \iota$, his place in the ordered life of the city.
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma v ́ v \eta s$ ] 'sobriety' (of demeanour): see on $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \omega \phi \rho o-$ бúv $\eta \nu, \S 37$.







$\beta \omega \mu \circ \lambda o x \in \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a l]$ 'buffoonery ': cp. Antid. § 284, тoùs $\mu \notin \nu \gamma \epsilon$
 $\kappa а \lambda о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu$, and note, p. 304.
 positive $\beta \omega \mu \circ \lambda o \chi \chi \circ \iota]$ the proficients in repartee and jest who are now called wits were then thought warnings': év $\phi$ veis- $\delta v \sigma \tau v$ $\chi \in i ̂ s, ~ a ~ \pi \alpha \rho о \nu о \mu a \sigma i a ~(c p . ~ P a n e g y r . § ~ 186, ~ ф \eta ́ \mu \eta \nu . . . \mu \nu \eta \dot{\mu} \eta \nu$, note, p.




 $\gamma \in \lambda o l o v$, the buffoon cannot resist the temptation to raise a laugh (even when the joke is coarse, or will give pain): he differs from the $\epsilon \ell \rho \omega \nu$, again, in jesting, not for his own amusement, but for that of others (Rhet. ini. 18). On the sense of cúrpate$\lambda i a$ in Antid. § 296, see note.
156 § 50. катабтá⿱㇒日l] 'this state of things' (not in a political sense, 'constitution').
 about a century before ( 459 в.c.), by which the powers of the Areiopagus were restricted : see introd.
 censorship'-referring to its general moral supervision: cp.

 nal) indictments ( $\epsilon^{\gamma} \gamma \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ comprehending $\gamma \rho a \phi a i$ and $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma-$ $\gamma \in \lambda i a c)$-special war-taxes: the first two being parallel with $\pi \epsilon \nu i a s$, the third with $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$.
§ 52．Trapà Sè T̂̂v］Cp．Panegyr．§ 82，roîs $\mu \grave{v} \nu$ रà $\rho$ où
 hardy，Synt．p．310，truly remarks that this use is peculiar to Isocr．among the orators，though not rare among other Attic prose－writers．－ढi $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \circ \iota \epsilon$ ：they would say，$\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ モ̇à $\nu$ $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \chi \chi \omega \mu \in \nu$.

тоเyaprot］＇Accordingly＇：the notion is，＇And so，sure enough＇－as might have been expected from these precautions．

кaтaбкevás］＇establishments＇．Thuc．II．65，ка入̀ ктท́भата
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon \kappa \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon s$ ．So Pericles speaks of the country－houses and
 touches on the same topic in Olynth．III．§ 25 f．，idíą $\delta^{\prime}$ oürc
 constant to a republican simplicity，that the house of Aristeides or of Miltiades is（ $\epsilon_{\sigma} \sigma \tau \nu$ ，implying that it is still pointed out） no grander，$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu$ от $\epsilon \rho a$ ，than the house of the ordinary citizen．
§ 53．Tds $\theta \in \omega \rho[a s]$＇spectacles＇－including sacred proces－ sions（ $\pi$ o $\mu \pi \alpha^{\prime}$ ），dramatic or musical contests（ $\alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon s$ ）at the festivals，etc．Cp．Panegyr．§ 45，（ $\dot{\eta} \pi \dot{o} \lambda \iota s$ ，Athens）$\theta \epsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu a \tau \alpha$


 come to see them（the athletes）．So，too，or．xix．§ 10，oüт
 $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu \quad \eta \quad \gamma \quad \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．On the other hand，in or．xvi．§ 34，$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\theta \epsilon \omega$ pià has the technical sense，a sacred mission（to a festival）．

Xop $\eta \gamma$ las］＇rivalries in the equipment of choruses＇（for the festivals）．Lysias speaks of two such रop $\begin{gathered}\text { rial together costing }\end{gathered}$ about $£ 200$（or．xix．§ 42），and of another which cost about £120（or．xxi．§ 161）．The $\alpha \nu \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \rho o s$, or mean man，is apt，
 scroll instead of a tripod）；Theophr．xxir．（＝xxv．in my ed．， where see note p．25̄1）．－$\dot{\lambda} \lambda a \delta 0 \nu \epsilon \iota \omega \bar{\omega}$ ，＇forms of pretentious－ ness＇．

水 $\boldsymbol{\omega} v \pi \epsilon \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda_{\text {．］}}$＇It is by these tests［the every－day circum－ stances of the average citizen］that we must distinguish a solid prosperity from a social system of vulgar display＇：фортєкws－ the profuse ostentation on great occasions，combined with sordid meanness and misery in daily life．
 citizens drawing lots in person outsile the law－courts for a doubtful chance of daily bread，while their dignity prompts them to feed any Creeks who will row their ships for them，－ leading the dance in gold－rpaugled raiment，and passing the
winter in garments which I decline to describe'.- $\epsilon^{\prime 2} \nu$ ois ov Boú入omaı $\lambda \in \in$ ' $\epsilon \iota \nu$ (aủroùs $\chi \in \iota \mu \dot{\zeta} \xi \iota \nu$ ): the simple ois would have been by attract. for $\alpha$ ä.

кגๆpounévovs] casting lots in the morning for employment as dicasts during the day: $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \gamma^{2} \kappa a i \omega \nu$, because on this
 to see) whether they are to have $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma к a i a$ or not.-Every year 600 members of each $\phi u \lambda \dot{\eta}$ were chosen $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota a \sigma \tau a i ~ b y ~ l o t, ~$ thus constituting a body of 6000 , of whom 1000 formed a reserve. The other 5000 were divided into 10 sections of 500 each. On the morning of each day when the courts sat, lots were cast to determine which court should be assigned, for that day, to each section. In some cases only part of one section was employed; in others, two or more sections sat together,-the number of dicasts in a court ranging from 200 to 1500 or even 2000 . The courts were assigned by lots to the dicasts ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho_{i} \omega \nu$ モ́ $\pi \iota \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu$, Dem. Adv. Pantaen. §39). Each dicast received a ticket ( $\sigma \cup \cup \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu-n o t ~ \pi \iota \nu a ́ \kappa \iota o \nu, ~$ which denoted the tallet given to each of the 6000 heliasts of the year), and a staff, $\beta$ aкт $\quad$ pia, of the colour which distinguished the court in which he was to sit ( $\dot{\rho} \mu \dot{\jmath} \chi \rho o o s \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta-$ $\rho(\psi$, schol. Ar. Vesp. 1110). On presenting his ticket, he received his day's fee from the кшлакре́тal.

All who 'drew lots before the law-courts' were already heliasts. The only uncertainty was as to whether they should be employed on that particular day. And this is the very point of the passage. The dicast's fee, wretched as it was, had actually become the main-stay of citizens who were living from hand to mouth. Cp. Isocr. De Pace $\S 130$, p. 109, roùs
 that he should have been ashamed 'if, having enough of his own to live on, he should stand in the way of those who were compelled to live by the law-courts ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ) and to receive the dole of the state'. Hence the power of the ovкофdival,-the men who got up law-suits to enrich themselves and to make work for this hungry mob.
dंद̆เoûvtas] in contrast with aúroús: the citizens, who themselves have to struggle for bread, are too proud to row their own ships. In the early years of the Peloponnesian War
 manders ( $\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta ิ \tau a \iota)$ and the hoplites on board ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta a r a \iota)$ were usu. citizens. When the soldiers were also the rowers (as in a rare emergency) they were called avit $\rho \in \epsilon$ тal (Thuc. uI. 18). The Pentakosiomedimni and Hippeis rarely served even as $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota$ (cp. ib. 16). Isocr. is not complaining of the citizens for not serving as rowers : he merely notes the contrast between their penury and their sense of dignity.
 סuvtuxeîs, § 49. Antiphanes, the poet of the Middle Comedy (flor. about $380-330$ B.c.), was exactly contemporary with Isocr.; and, in the passage quoted by Athenaeus in. 62, he thus describes the uncertainties of human life-

## 


 $\tau a ̆ \nu \delta 0 \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau^{\prime} \cdot \hat{\eta} \delta i \kappa \eta \tau / s \pi \epsilon \rho / \pi \epsilon \sigma \grave{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \tau 0^{\circ}$


 pursuits' [the intellectual or physical exercises mentioned in $\$_{4}^{5}$, as distinguished from the agricultural or commercial labours assigned to the poorer] 'and by the careful watch kept over them': aú $\hat{\omega} \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \nu \nu$, not $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \cup \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ : the จ. l. aútêv would mean 'the care exercised by themselves' (the Areopagites).
 a time when the archons and other officers were chosen by $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o t o v i a$, not by $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma \iota s$, since selection by lot destroyed the

XII. AITINHTIKOE. [Or. xIx.]-Thesix forensic speeches 158 of Isocrates which are extant cover a period of about ten years, 403-393 b.c. The speech Against Euthynus (xxi) may be placed in 403, immediately after the restoration of the Democracy; that Against Callimachus (xviII) in 402; the De Bigis (xyI) in 397 or 396 ; the speech Against Lochites (xx) in 394 ; the Trapeziticus (xvii) and Aegineticus (xix) in the seeond half of 394 or early in 393.

In his later writings Isocrates nowhere recognises this phase of his own activity. He speaks with contempt of those who write for the law-courts, and emphatically claims it as his own merit that he chose nobler themes. It may have been partly the tone of such passages which emboldened his adopted son Aphareus to assert that Isocrates had never written a forennic spuech. This. statement is decisively rejected by Dionysius, who concludes, on the authority of Cephisodorus, the orator's pupil, that Isocrates wrote a certain number of such apoechea, thongh not nearly so many as Aristotle had reported. The modern hypothesis that Isocrates eomposed the extant foren-ic orations merely as exercises ( $\mu$ e $\lambda_{\text {titac }}$ ), not for real causes, is another attempt to explain his later tone. But these later utterances merely mean that Isocrates regarded his former work for the law-courts an an unworthy accident of
his early life, previous to the beginning of his true career. Nowhere, be it observed, does he deny that he had written for the courts, or that, to use his own phrase, he had been a dollmaker before he became a Pheidias. He only says that his choice, his real calling, lay in another direction.-Attic Orators, 1. 7 f .

The Aegineticus is so called because the case to which it refers was tried in Aegina, -probably, to judge from the indications in $\S \S 18-20$ and 36 , at the end of 394 or early in 393 в.c. Thrasylochus, a citizen of Siphnos, one of the Cyclades, had at his death left his property to the speaker, whom he had previously adopted as his son. The speaker's right to the inheritance is disputed by a daughter of the testator; and the speech is in answer to her claim ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma i a)$. The case is tried at Aegina, where the speaker had settled (катоккьа́uєvos, § 24) before his death,-Att. Or. II. 217.

The narrative, of which the following passage is the chief part, is briefly as follows. The speaker's object is to show that the will is not only genuine, but also just and reasonable, since his own conduct towards the testator Thrasylochus had established a strong claim. In the first place he had saved the very property now in question. Thrasylochus and his brother Sopolis, citizens of Siphnos, had, for security, placed the greater part of their fortune in the neighbouring island of Paros. Paros was suddenly seized by a party of democratic exiles, Parians and Siphnians, led by one Pasinus. At the risk of his life, the speaker sailed by night to Paros, and carried the endangered property back to Siphnos. Presently the democratic masters of Paros attacked and took Siphnos itself. The speakerwhose family belonged to the aristocracy of the island, and had even given it kings-was among those who were forced to fly. He took with him, not only his own mother and sister, but Thrasylochus, who was then in weak health. The speaker and his family wished to remain at Melos. But Thrasylochus entreated them to accompany him to Troezen; and, though they knew the place to be unhealthy, they consented. The speaker's sister and mother died soon after their arrival. He afterwards nursed Thrasylochus through a long and distressing illness in Aegina. During that illness the half sister of Thrasylochus, who now claims his property, never once visited him; nor, on his death, did she attend his funeral (§§ 16-33).
§§ $18-27$.
 of the past would be long to tell: when, however, Pasinus seized

Paros, they [Thrasylochus and his brother Sopolis] happened to have the greater part of their property deposited there for safety in the hands of my Parian friends, as we believed that island to be more secure than any other'. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \lambda a \iota \omega \bar{\omega}$ : the early relations between the speaker and Thrasylochus, who had been friends from boyhood (§ 10).-Пápov: in 410 b.c. the oligarchy set up by Peisander during the rule of the Four Hundred was deposed by Theramenes, who established a democracy in its place (Grote viin. 159). The oligarchy was doubtless restored in Paros, as elsewhere, after the final defeat of Athens in 405 в.c. From $\S 36$ of this speech it is clear that the speaker belonged to the oligarchic party, and therefore that the exiles before whom he fled were democratic. The democratic revolution, led by the otherwise unknown Pasinus, may have been encouraged by the blow dealt to Sparta-and at the same time to oligarchy throughout Hellas-by the victory of Conon

 [from Salamis, etc.] паîठas каi $\gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa a s ~ к а i ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v ̄ \sigma a \nu ~ к а т а-~$
 é $\chi$ € $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ : i.e. Paros was most likely to resist an attack (showing that this was a time of general trouble)-as it had baffled Miltiades in 490 в.c., Her. vi. 132 f.
¿ॄєко́нн' aúzoîs] 'conveyed their money for them out of Parus' [hack to the neighbouring island of Siphnos-a distance of about 20 miles].
§ 19. 'Eфpoupeiro] 'for the coast (of Paros) was guarded, and some of our exiles [democrats expelled by the oligarchs of siphnos] had helped to seize Paros'. биукатєi入.: cp. § 18,
 who have helped us to take (the camp).
 hands' (when siphnus was subsequently taken, § 20). Cp.
 'the assassins and murderers'. Archid. §150, ou yà a áto.
 not give either grosel or evil with the ir own hands to men' (but only implant the ezvooa which leads to either).
8820. \$uyns ทो $\mu \mathrm{iv}$ ] 'when we were compelled to fly from our island' (Siphnos, which the democratic exiles, masters of l'aros, next attacked).
 kinsfolk. Lyvias In Agor. 845 , p. 88 , oi pèv rovéas $\sigma \phi \in T \notin p o u s$


of being able' [the historical form of oúк $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \omega \dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \delta v \nu \eta \theta \hat{\omega}]$. Cp.
 $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi 0 \epsilon \epsilon \nu .-\epsilon i \delta \omega \prime s$, 'although I knew'.
 only Thrasylochus, but also my mother, my sister and all our
 From Melos (§ 21) they removed to Troezen. The speaker's mother and sister both died within 35 days after their arrival (§ 22). Thrasylochus had subsequently removed from Troezen to Aegina (§ 24), and there fell into the illness of which-after
 น $\bar{\eta}$ vas, § 24).
 have spoken of services which, though they exposed me to risk, entailed no loss [viz. his voyage to Paros for the money, $\kappa \iota \nu \delta u$ $\nu \epsilon v i \sigma a s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{u} ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau o s, \S 18$, and the escape from Siphnos]; but I can mention others by which, in obliging him, I brought the gravest afflictions on myself '.- $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \lambda a v \sigma \alpha: \mathrm{cp} . \S 23, \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \partial v$ àто入є́лаuка.

M $\hat{1} \lambda o v]$ Melos is about 12 miles s.w.s. of Siphnos: from Melos it is a voyage of about 75 miles n.w. to Troezen on the coast of Argolis-just opposite the little island Calauria (where Demosthenes died-now Poro, $\pi$ ópos, because the narrow strait can sometimes be forded).
$\mu e \lambda \lambda o\lceil\mu \epsilon \nu]$ 'that we intended',-meaning the speaker, his

 that, without me, he will be utterly helplcss in his affairs'. He said, oủ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \notin \xi \omega$ : the fut. opt. in classical Greek being used only to translate, after secondary tenses in oratio obliqua, a fut.
 $\chi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma$ ная or $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ (deliberative subjunctive) тои́т $\omega, \tau \ell$ is a
 тoúr $\varphi$, I do not know what use to make of this,-what to do with it. The phrase is used colloquially to express helplessness or
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi a p \in \dot{v} \tau \iota \pi \rho$ in $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu a \tau \iota$, not knowing what to make of the situation. Cp. Isocr. Panath. § 106, סıapp $\left.\eta^{\delta}\right\rangle \eta \nu \quad \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 standing that he should do with them [aúroîs understood] whatever he pleased '.
 arrived, than we were seized'. Cp. Panegyr. § 86, oi $\delta^{\prime}$ oúk
 Isocr. uses $\notin \phi \theta a \sigma a, \phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha u \mu$, as well as ${ }^{\xi} \phi \theta \eta \nu$, but infin.
$\phi \theta \hat{\eta} v a l$, not $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma a l:$ Thue. has both $\phi \theta \hat{\eta} v a l$ and $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma a l:$ Xen. and Dem. $\phi \theta$ á $\sigma a$, .

тapà $\mu$ เкрòv $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \lambda 0 \mathrm{o}$ ámotaveiv] 'I very nearly died'. In
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha=$ 'beside' in the sense of 'except' : I came-save for a narrow margin-to death: the infin. depending on $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \mu \iota к р о \nu ~ \nu ~$
 $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\partial} \lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta a$, $\bar{\epsilon} \delta \rho a \mu \epsilon$ is rhetorical for $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ : 'he missed the Olympic prize by nothing but a wrestling-match': i.e. he won two of the first four contests in the $\pi \in \nu \tau a \theta \lambda o \nu$, but lost 'the odd event', the wrestling-match ( $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ ). In Aeschin. In Ctes. § 258, $\pi a \rho$ ' oúdèv $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ окт $\epsilon i \nu a \iota='$ they all but put him to death', oúdév is rhetorical for $\mu$ uкpóv-there was nothing to spare.
 feelings were?' 'Cp. Soph. Philoct. 276, où $\delta \dot{\eta}, ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu о \nu, ~ \pi о і а \nu ~ \mu ' ~$
 Plataic. § 61, $\pi$ ŵs ầ $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$, . к.т. $\lambda .$, 'how would they feel?'
§ 23. $\left.\delta \mathbf{s} \ldots \mathrm{j}^{2} \mathrm{v}\right]=q u i($ or quom) fuissem. Cp. Soph. Electr.
 on 599. For this causal use of the relative, see Goodwin § 65.4.

нeтorkeiv $\sigma$ тépecoal] 'live as an alien'. Lysias In Eratosth.
 'better resident aliens than thry were citizens'.-(roû) orépeotai ò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ [masc.] 'separation from my friends' (in Siphnos): $=$ carere, not $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \mathrm{r}$, privari.
 but now his thoughts go back to the month or more ( $\$ 22$ ) after the arrival at Troezen, during which he saw them dying.
amo $\lambda \lambda \lambda a v k a]$ Note the perfect, where $\alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda a v \sigma \alpha$ (§ 21) might have stood. It hints the speaker's confidence that the will cannot be upset. C'p. Autid. § 295., p. 125, $\dot{\eta}$ módcs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ סoкêt $\gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ oıóáa
§ 24. ウ่ण्Ө̂v of which he died': taít $\eta$, as opp. to the indisposition (appworia) from which he suffered at the time when they left Siphnos. \$20.- $\dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon$ : for the force of the aor., cp. $\bar{\eta} \rho \xi \in$, \&קaбinevge, 'came to the throne'.
is oúk ols' öбtus, к.T.A.] 'as perhaps no one ever yet

 тeєpiatiac 8 é xpn, i.e. 'perhaps I may not persuade him, but I

 $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s$ ，that the illness lasted more than a year．
§ 25．T $\hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \nu \gamma \epsilon ้ \omega ิ \nu]$＇And in this painful office not one of his relatives thought proper to bear a part；nay，not one of them even came to visit him，with the exception of his mother and sister，who only made matters worse，for they were ill when they came from Troezen，so that they required nursing
 ＇nay＇，＇what is more＇：the commoner form would be，ovं $\delta$ ei＇s．．． oủ $\chi$ ö $\pi \omega$ s $\dot{\eta} \xi i \omega \sigma \epsilon$（not only did not），$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇oủ $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \phi i \kappa \epsilon \tau 0$（but did not even．．．）．－＇̇тьбкє廿ó $\mu \in \nu$ оs．The Modern Greek for making a visit or call is $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \mu a \iota$ ，a visit $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi \iota$ ，a visiting－card є̇ாเбкєாтท́pıov．


 should issue thus［i．e happily］or otherwise．Dem．In Androt．
 $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ єïт $\omega$ ф $\lambda a \hat{0} \rho 0 \nu$. Pind．Pyth．iII．60，$\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ ë $\tau \epsilon \rho o s$ ，where schol．，ó какотоьós，山́s $\pi$ pòs（as contrasted with）тò ára日oтоóv．
 desert my post＇．Cp．Philipp．§85，p．137，ở $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \notin o \nu$
 with the help of one attendant＇．Anaxilas（Middle Comedy）

 broil fish？＇（instead of more solid food）：－＇what invalid＇s fare you are going to give your mess！＇$\nu o \sigma \eta \lambda \epsilon i \alpha$ ，the care of the sick， Plut．Lycurg．10，（a luxurious life）т $о$ óтод $\tau \iota \nu$ à עоб $\eta \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s ~ к а Ө \eta \mu \epsilon-~$ $\rho \iota \nu \eta \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon о \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$ ，in need，as it were，of daily nursing．
161 ékeโvตv $\theta a v \mu a ́\} ̧ \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i \mu \eta ́]$ cp．Lys．or．xxxiv．§ 2，note，p． 240.
§ 26．ós＇＇$\mu \pi$ vos］＇for he had long been suffering from ulcers＇：${ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \pi \bar{v} o s(\pi \hat{\imath} \nu \nu)$ ，puris plenus， $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \pi$ ．$\beta$ á $\sigma \iota s$ ，the festering
 the causal use of the relative（Goodwin § $65.4, \mathrm{cp}$ ．above § 23）：the anteced．is Thrasylochus，the subj．of $\delta$ бє́кєєто．
§ 27．taût＇ov́ס́́va xpóvov סıé̀ıाтєv］＇And all this went on
 denoting duration of time，＇not for a moment＇．Isocr．Panathen．
 moment ceased to be slandered＇．$\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ is said also of the interval which elapses，Thuc．III．74，$\delta \iota a \lambda \iota \pi$ ov́o $\eta \mathrm{s} \dot{\eta} \mu \notin \rho a s$.
oủ $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \in i v$, к．т．$\lambda_{\text {．］}}$＇for I could not even quit him without seeming neglectful，－a thing from which I shrank far more than from the troubles which beset me＇：i．e．he could not
endure to pain the sick man．－$\hat{\eta}$ סокєiv $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \in \hat{\imath}$, short for $\hat{\eta}[$ or else，i．e．$\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \lambda \theta o \iota \mu$, ，if I should go away］є̀ $\delta \epsilon \iota$［supplied кат＇ Ěvolà from oióv $\left.\tau^{\prime} \dot{\eta}^{\eta} \nu\right]$ Soкєîv á $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ ，I could not but seem neglectful．The sentence $=\varepsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu, \hat{\eta}[=\epsilon \grave{\delta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta}] \delta$ окєiv



XIII．A $\wedge \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ 兆AN $\triangle \mathrm{P} \Omega$ I．［Epist．v．］－In writing to Philip of Macedon，who was not then at open war with Athens， Isocrates takes the opportunity of enclosing a letter to the young Alexander．Philip was in Thrace or the Chersonese from May， 342 в．с．，to the latter part of 339 в．с．；and，at some time after his departure，appointed Alexander his regent in Macedonia．But，when this letter was written，that arrange－ ment had not yet been made．Alexander，a boy of fourteen，is busy with his studies．It was probably in this very year （ 342 B．c．）that Alexander began to receive the lessons of Aristotle．
§ 1．$\pi$ pòs тòv $\pi a \tau \notin \rho a . . . \gamma^{2} \alpha \dot{\phi} \omega v$ ］referring，probably，to Epist．11．of the extant series，in which Isocr．remonstrates with Philip for recklessly exposing his life，and urges him to intervene in the affairs of Athens with the same prudence which he had just shown（ 342 в．c．）in constituting the Thessalian tetrarchy：see Attic Orators，II． 250.
tòv aúròv．．．tó $\pi$ ov］The place is uncertain．Plut．speaks of
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \bar{o} o s$, when his father went against Byzantium：Alex． c．9．Cp．Schäfer，Dem．11． 416.

Sud tò үท̄pas］aetat． 92.
§ 2．фҺ入óซoфos］＇fond of study＇：cp．Adv．Sophist．§ 1， 162 note，p． 293.
 cp．Antid． 8290 ，note，p．305．
$\sigma \nu v \delta L a t p(\beta \omega v-\sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ］＇men by whose society you will not be pained，and whom you can also take into your confidence on affairs withont hurt or injury＇．－oúk av $\lambda u \pi \eta \theta$ ．，i．e．these
 was then the fashion to call wits，eipleis，but well－hred men： see Areopaniticun，\＆49，note，p．348．－$\sigma \nu \mu \beta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ，иsı．$\sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda$－入ómevos（גoyors），laying counsels together，conferring：Plut．
 confer with him．The midd．is more suitable when those who consult are equals；the act．here suggests the prince bringing business before a council over which he presides．
 introd. to it, p. 292.
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \xi$ la see Antid. § 281, p. 303.

тoîs тoû $\pi \lambda$ n' $\theta$ ous $\pi \rho о \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma เ v]$ ' the leaders of a democracy': cp. Panegyr. § 172, p. 130, oi $\pi \rho \circ є \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon s$ ทं $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.


§ 4. $\tau \dot{\eta} v \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon\{\alpha v \tau \eta \dot{\eta} v \pi \epsilon \rho$ रov̀s $\lambda$ óyous] 'the discipline of those discourses which we bring to bear on the acts incident to daily life, and which aid us in the discussion of public affairs'. On the $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i a$ of Isocr., see $A d v$. Sophist., introd., p. 292, and Antid., introd., p. 299.
 impossible to know ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta \nu \lambda a \beta \epsilon i \nu)$ ' what is to be done or said' (Antid. § 271, n., p. 301), in the sense that the precise circumstances of a future situation cannot be foreseen: but
 $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, i b . \S 276, p .119)$ will form intelligent opinion, $\delta 6 \xi a$. He held, further, that he who cultivates the art of persuasion will cultivate $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta}$, moral excellence, as a means to that end, ib. § 278. And so here he claims for his $\pi$ a $\delta \delta \in i a$ that, through it, Alexander (1) is already able to form intelligent conjecture, $\delta \delta \xi \bar{\xi}$, about the future: (2) that he will know, when the time comes, the principles of government-i $\dot{\pi} \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ hinting that he would be at no disadvantage as compared to the pupils of those who profess to impart absolute $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta, A d v$. Soph. $\S 8$, p. 112: (3) that he will be able to distinguish between justice and injustice, merit and demerit-i.e. will be a judge of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$.
 $\beta \in \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau \omega$, к.т.入.

163 XIV. $\Phi \operatorname{I} \Lambda I \Pi \Pi \Omega$. [Epist. III.]-A letter written to Philip of Macedon in 338 в.c., some time after the battle of Chaeroneia, when Isocrates had completed his ninety-eighth year. It is thus the latest of all his extant writings.

The genuineness of the Third Letter has been doubted (as by Prof. E. Curtius Hist. Gr. v. 459): but there seems to be no ground, internal or external, for doubting it, except the difficulty of reconciling it with the tradition that Isocrates committed suicide on learning the tidings of Chaeroneia. [Dionys. De Isocr. i.; Paus. I. 18. 8; Philostr. I. 17. 4 ; Lucian (?) Maкрbßıo九 § 23; (Plut.) Vit. Isocr. § 14 ; Anon. Biogr.] According to the usual account, he was in the palaestra of Hippocrates at Athens when he heard the news of
the fatal defeat. He repeated three verses of Euripides-verses commemorating three aliens who had been conquerors of Greeks,-Danaus, Pelops, Cadmus-and four days afterwards, on the burial-day of those who fell at Chaeroneia, he died of voluntary starvation. Undoubtedly Isocrates regretted the struggle between Athens and Philip: but the result of the struggle was that the idea of his life-a Panhellenic war against the barbarian-had been made possible. The conduct of Philip to Athens after Chaeroneia was studiously temperate and conciliatory; there was nothing in it to estrange Isocrates from his ideal leader, who, having struck one necessary blow, was now bent on healing the discords of Greece. It would be more easy to conceive that Isocrates should have destroyed himself because he saw Athens still resolved to resist, and could not support the anguish of a divided loyalty. But, to my mind, the Letter itself leaves little room for doubting that it was written after the conclusion of the peace between Philip and Athens, and was taken to Philip by Antipater on his return : see $\S \S 1,2$. Cp. Schäfer, Demosth. u. seine Zeit, iII, $25 .-$ Attic Orators, II. 31 f.: 255, note 1.
 been taken prisoner at Chaeroneia, was sent by Philip to Athens as the bearer of proposals for peace. The Athenian captives were to be restored: Oropus was to be transferred from Thebes to Athens. On the other hand, Athens was rerquired to recognise Philip as the military head of Greece. On receiving this message, the Athenian Ecclesia sent an embassy to Philip, who was then at Thebes. Among the envoys were Demades, Phocion and Aeschines. They were hospitably entertained by Philip, and returned to Athens with the message that Philip released the prisoners without ransom, and would presently send, for interment at Athens, the remains of those who had fallen at Chaeroneia.

Antipater, the young Alexander, and probably Alcimachus, were the envoys who escorted these relics to Athens. By them the conditions of peace were formally proposed to the Senate and the Eeclesia. Demades then drew up a $\psi \eta \dot{\phi} \sigma \mu a$ by which the treaty - known as. 'the Peace of Demades'-was finally ratified. The 'conversation with Antipater', to which Isocr. refers, must have been held on the occasion of this visit. (Cp. Sohäfer, Dem. III. 19-27.)
$\mu c \tau d$ Tivे cip $\dot{\prime} \nu \eta \nu]$ i.e. now that the pence between Athens and Philip has practically securod the recognition of the latter as the chief of Cinecce. The Congress at Corinth soon after-


 9．5．So Dem．De Cor．§ 201，$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\omega} \nu$ каi кúpıos $\dot{\rho} \rho \in \in \theta \eta ~ \Phi i \lambda \iota \pi \pi$ os $\dot{a} \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$.
 above，pp． 136 ff ．
§ 2．кат＇＇̇кєîvov tòv Xoóvov， 346 b．c．，eight years before．
тòv aj $\gamma \omega \hat{\omega}$ va $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \quad \gamma_{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ．］The struggle decided by the victory of Philip at Chaeroneia．
164 Tท̂s $\mu$ avias］i．e．their mad strife with each other．Cp．



 these questioners］that I do not know exactly how it is［i．e． whether the project occurred first to you or to me］，since I had not conferred with you before［i．e．before I sent you my dóros，$^{\text {res }}$ the фi入ımas］，－but think that you had already made up your mind on the subject，and that I have merely been the advocate of your own impulses＇．Disting．the pluperf．from the perf．of the direct discourse：Isocr．said to them，oú oiठa－oú $\sigma v \nu \in \gamma \epsilon-$


 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ with the genit．expressing the ground on which he is conceived as taking his stand：whereas $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ toúroıs would suggest rather a number of points or special conditions．
 minds：they think，оúk d̀ $\nu$ févo七то，к．т．入．Goodwin § 113， note 10 ．
§ 4．ȧtєเр $\ddagger \kappa \omega$ s］＇broken down＇．In E＇pist．vi．，＇to the children of Jason＇（Attic Orators iI．241），in 359 в．c．，Isocr． already speaks of his age－he was then 77－as disabling him from undertaking a long journey：$\tau \grave{\prime} \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \dot{v} \nu \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \lambda a \nu \alpha \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ кai тò $\mu \grave{̀} \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \xi \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{\sigma} \theta$ al тoîs $\tau \eta \lambda_{\iota}$ єои́тоıs，§ 2.
ai．．．．$\mu \epsilon \tau \rho เ o ́ \tau \eta \tau \epsilon s]$＇the virtues of moderation＇；on the plur．， Antid．§ 283，note，p． 303.



 äтavtas тous $\beta$ ap $\beta$ ápous $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o i k o v s$（in the Spartan sense，i．e．
 these two passages might suggest the comment that，if the
barbarians were now to be the helots of Greece, the Greeks had become the $\pi$ tepiouko of Macedon.
 other inercenaries or foreign auxiliaries in Philip's armies.
 $\theta \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \mathcal{C} \alpha$, should clearly be placed (as Dobree saw) after ô $\tau \iota$
 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \beta \lambda \eta \tau 0 \nu$ aúт $\eta, \nu, \kappa_{.} \tau_{.} \lambda$.
§ 6. véos $\mathbf{\omega} v$ ] Isocr. was prob. not much more than 45 vears of age when he began the IIavjrvpiós: see introd. to it, p. 308.- $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \nu \nu$, the unity of Greece: $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \hat{\alpha}$, the war against Persia.

## ISAEUS.

Isaels : born circ. 420 b.c. : died circ. 350 b.c. Approximate 166 period of extant work: 390-353 в.c. Life in Attic Orators, II. 261-271.

Style. Isaeus has a twofold interest. He is the earliest Attic master-not, indeed, of forensic rhetoric-but of strict forensic argument. He also represents the final period of transition in the history of Attic oratory, the transition from the studied 'plainness' ( $\dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a)$ of the Lysian school to the open triumph of that technical mastery ( $\delta \in \iota v o ́ t \eta s$ ) which reached its summit in Demosthenes. We have seen that the peculiar significance of Isoorates is rather for literary rhetoric than for oratory. With Isaeus, we return to the development of practical oratory: and his place in this must be determined, first, by his relation to his predecessor Lysias, secondly by his relation to Demosthenes.

Isaeus closely resembles Lysias in diction ( $\lambda \epsilon^{\xi}(s)$ : the qualities of purity, conciseness, clearness, simplicity, vividness (èvápreta) are common to both. In composition ( $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \theta \in \sigma(s)$, on the other hand, there is marked difference. Lysias broke through the rigid monotony of the earlier periodic style, replacing it by one which was more flexible and various: yet, though his composition as a whole has ease and variety, his love of antithesis freçuently gives too much stiffness and uniformity to the structure of his periods themselves. Isaells is extmpt from this excessive lowe of antilhesis: but, on the whole, his composition is distinguished from that of Lysias mainly hy the stamp of conscious art. In reading him we have a continual sense of trained and oonfident skill. The more open and vigorous character of this art, as compared with that
of Lysias, is illustrated by the freedom with which Isaeus employs the animated 'figures of thought' ( $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu a r a ~ \delta \iota a \nu o i a s)$, especially the rhetorical question (e.g. or. viri. § 28, p. 194). This does not deprive Isacus of ethical persuasiveness. Reasoned remonstrance, vigorous contention, just indignation, are powerfully expressed: it is only in the delineation of the ingenuous youth or the plain citizen that he yields to the veiled subtlety of Lysias. In the treatment of subject-matter the contrast gains strength. Lysias is usually content with the simple four-fold partition,- $\pi \rho o o i \mu \iota \nu \nu, \delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \iota s, \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota s$, $\dot{\epsilon \pi} i \lambda o \gamma o s$. Isaeus interweaves narrative and proof, managing his complex material with manifold tactics,-doing everything with art, but nothing by rule. Lysias seldom attempts more than a rhetorical or sketchy proof: Isaeus elaborates a complete logical proof: as Dionysius says, Lysias speaks кǎ'
 II. 289).
'The oratorical power' ( $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu o ́ t \eta s$ ) ' of Demosthenes', says the same critic, 'took its seeds and beginnings ( $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\rho} \rho \mu a \tau a$ каi tàs dap $\rho$ ás, Isae. 20) from Isaeus'. This is true in the limited sense that Demosthenes derived important hints from Isaeus (1) in versatile arrangement of material, (2) in elaboration of systematic proof, (3) more generally, in that art of close and strenuous conflict, the art of grappling with the adversary's case point by point, which the Greek critics mean by a $\gamma \omega \nu{ }^{i}-$ $\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \tau \grave{\partial} \dot{\epsilon} \nu a \gamma \omega^{\prime} \nu \iota \nu$. The two speeches of Demosthenes against Aphobus (363 в.с.), and the two against Onetor (362 в.с.), were written at the time when their author (aet. 22 or 23) was probably most under the influence of Isaeus. The two speeches against Onetor-which exhibit the influence in its most mature form-are especially Isaean in this, that they end, not with an ordinary peroration, but with a keen argument swiftly thrust home (Dem. Adv. Onet. I. §§ $37-39$ : ir. §§ 10-14). On the other hand these earliest speeches of Demosthenes have a thoroughly original stamp. The link between Isaeus and Demosthenes is chiefly technical, depending on the essential continuity of Attic prose expression as an art. It is also, in a narrower sense, personal, so far as a vigorous intensity of logic was common to the intellectual character of both. But that is all. The great achievements of Demosthenes are his own: so, too, the masterpieces of Isaeus, in their own kind, bear a distinctive stamp of keen ability and consummate art.-Cp. Attic Orators, II. 273-310.

On the Works of Isaeus generally, ib. II. 311-368. The striking characteristic of the extant twelve speeches is the contrast between inonotony of subject and versatility of tone: the form has its affinities at once with earlier and with later
style. Of the twelve, the three typical speeches are (1) the fifth, On the Estate of Dicaeogenes-Lysian in the graceful and persuasive management of narrative: (2) the eleventh, On the Estate of Hagnias-Demosthenic in its unity of impulse and its victorious mastery of technical resource: (3) the eighth, On the Listate of Ciron-distinctively Isaean in its mediation between these types.

It is from these that the following extracts have been
 (Harrative): 2. $\$ 3$ 39-47 (peroration).-II. or. XI. $\pi \in \rho \hat{i} \tau 0 \hat{u}$
 §s 1-42.
I. חEPI TOY $\triangle I K A I O T E N O Y \Sigma K \Lambda H P O Y$. [Or. v.] 'On the Estate of Dicaeogenes'.-Dicaeogenes, son of Mene-xenus-whom, for distinction from his grandfather, of the same name, we call Dicaeogenes II.-had four sisters. These, when he died childless, shared among them two-thirds of his estate. The other third went to his first cousin, Dicaeogenes III., son of his uncle Proxenus, in accordance with a will produced by Proxenus, in which the deceased declared Dicaeogenes III. to be his adopted son, and heir to one-third of his estate (vids $\pi 0 \iota \eta \tau$ òs $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \rho i \tau \psi \mu \notin \rho \in \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s$ oúvias, § 6).

Twelve years later (§ 7), Dicaeogenes III. alleged that this first will was invalid. Under a second will, he said, he was heir, not to a third only, but to the whole of the estate. He gained his cause. The sisters of the testator were deprived of their shares, and the whole was transferred to Dicaeogenes III.

Ten years more elapsed ( $\S 35$ ). Meanwhile the nephews of the testator had grown up. They now resolved to seek redress for tiveir mothers and themselves. They began by bringing an action against one Lycon, who had been called by Dicaeogenes III. as a witness to the second will. Lycon was convicted of perjury.

The state of things was now this:-Dicaeogenes III, had himself declared the first will-which gave him one-third-to be invalid. The judyes of Lycon had declared the second will -which gave him all-to be false. Accordingly, the nephews (with the exception of Menexenus II., who had deserted their cause) now sued Dicaeogencs III. for the whole estate. One Leschares interposed a protest (soauaptupla) that their claim was inadmissible. They indicted Leochares for perjury. Leochares was certain to be convicted. Dicueogenes III. therefore made a compromise. He was to keep his original onethird, and leave his adversaripa in secure posseasion of the wher two-thirds. Levehares and Mnesiptolemus became his sureties for the performance of this engagement.
STEMMA.

$\alpha \dot{\delta} \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ III. betroths to Protarchides $\tau \eta \nu \nu$ Weissenborn, adopted by Nci $\dot{\infty} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ the brother's Demosthenes und e proposed $\tau \dot{\eta}$ see Schafer, house of Menexenus 1 .


$$
{ }^{1} \text { In § } 26 \text { according to the vulgate, Dicaeogenes }
$$ є́autov. The context farours the emendation of have been the speaker's sister. -Reisk

 є́भवuтой: Schomann, name lost.-For a comp. 212.
seine Zeit, III. Part 2, p.

Leochares is now sued (by an éryóns $\delta i k \eta$ ) to discharge his liability as surety, since his principal Dicaeogenes III. has made default. The speaker, son of Polyaratus (\$5), is one of the nephews of the testator, and is supported by his first-cousin Cephisodotus (§ 2).-Attic Orators, iI. 349.

The date is probably 390 в.с.,-the sea-fight off Cnidus mentioned in $\S \S 6,42$ being that of 412 в.c. (Thuc. viIf. 42), not the more famous battle of 394 b.c. This question has been fully examined in the Attic Orators, in. 350 f .

The speaker first defines his case by quoting his own affidavit (ávt $\omega \mu \sigma \sigma i a, \S 1$ ). He then refers to a register ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0-$ $\left.\gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}\right)$ of the property left by his uncle, to prove that Dicaeogenes III. has not refunded the due amount, and that Leochares has therefore not discharged his suretyship ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \gamma \gamma{ }^{\prime} \eta \nu$ oúk $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \dot{\delta} \omega \kappa \kappa \nu\right)$, §§ 1-4. The facts, of which an outline has been given above, are then narrated in the following passage, s§ 7--24.
 estate, after taking oaths not to transgress the terms of the agreement, each party remained for twelve years in possession of the share assigned to him'. This refers to the first will (412 в.c.), under which Dicaeogenes III., as adopted son of the testator, Dicaeogenes II., received one third of the estate. The other two thirds were shared between the four sisters of the testator, represented by their respective husbands,-viz. Polyaratus (father of the speaker), Democles, Cephisophon, Theopompus.-̇vєiнavтo: ср. Lysias Pro Mantith. § 10, p. 59,

ov่ow̄v $\delta$ skīv] 'though the law-courts sat': i.e. in the course of the years $412-400$ в.c., though there were short periods during which the sittings of the law-courts were suspended by war or internal trouble, yet there were also longer periods during which an action might have been brought. Cp. Thuc. vi. 91, where Alcibiades, urging the Spartans to occupy Deceleia, prediets that one of the results will be to stop the
 $\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda$ oüvtal,-alluding to court-fees, $\pi$ putaveia, and to tines or confiscations). The prediction was fulfilled, since, as Thuc. vil. 28 says, all the citikens were refuired for military duty. This interruption fell within the period referred to here (412-400 в.c.). Cp. [Dem.] or. xL.v. In Stephat. I. § 3 (about

 Social War, $357-355^{\circ}$ B.c.].
 defeat at A.conpotami, $40.5 \mathrm{B.c}$. ], followed by the troulles of
faction [ $\sigma$ dá $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$, the oligarchical movement supported by Sparta, see Lys. In Agorat. $\S \$ 6$ ff., p. 78], and civil strife' [ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu o s$, the struggle which ended in the overthrow of the Tyrants and the restoration of the Democracy, 403 в.c.]. For
 $\mu^{\prime} \nu 0 \nu$, a euphemism for the struggle ended by the battle of Chaeroneia.
 the representatives of the four sisters, -viz. their sons (the speaker and his first-cousins) : $\tau \hat{v}$ efiov, their uncle Dicaeogenes II., the testator.
$\phi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \nu$ é $\phi$ ' ö $\left.\lambda \eta, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'alleging that he had been adopted by our uncle as his sole heir' (and not heir to one-third only).
 A childless Athenian citizen could, either during his life or by testament, adopt any Athenian citizen as his son and heir. Permission to execute a will is said to have been first given to Athenian citizens by the laws of Solon, but it was expressly restricted to those citizens who had no direct male descendants. The faculty of adoption was the germ of testamentary power, and was intended primarily to meet a case in which the head of a house left behind him, at his decease, no one duly qualified by nearness of blood to offer the sacrifices at the hearth and the grave. In the Hindoo system of succession the religious aspect of adoption is still the foremost one: (see the Tagore Law Lectures for 1870, Lect. 1x. On the Rite of Adoption, pp. 208 f., by Mr Herbert Cowell). The Roman will of Cicero's time was already a true testament. The Athenian $\delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ belongs to an intermediate stage. While the religious continuity of the family is still nominally the first principle, the main object in practice is to enlarge the childless testator's choice of heirs.-Cp. Maine, Ancient Law, ch. vi. on 'The Early History of Testamentary Succession'. Attic Orators, ir. 315 f.
 mad in bringing the action'. $\tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\xi} \xi \epsilon$ causal dat., $=0$ ö $\tau \iota \epsilon \lambda a \chi \epsilon$ т $̀ \nu$ סiкп $\eta$. Cp. Antiph. De Caed. Her. § 3, roîs d̀ $\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$, note, p. 209.- $\dot{\eta} \gamma \circ \underset{\mu}{\mu \epsilon \theta a, ~ i m p e r f .: ~ c p . ~ t h e ~ i m p e r f . ~ \delta u \sigma \chi \cup \rho \iota \zeta ́ \mu \epsilon ध a, ~ § ~} 23$.
 For the sake of emphasis, early in the sentence, on a condition or a possibility, ä $\nu$ may be separated from its verb by (e.g.)
 $\mu o \iota \delta o \kappa \hat{\omega} \kappa o \iota \nu \omega \nu \eta ิ \sigma \alpha \iota, \quad G o o d w i n \S 42$.
$\left.\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \pi \lambda_{\epsilon} \hat{i}^{\omega}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'though we had far the best of the argument, we were cheated of our verdict-not by the judges,

number of just pleas＇，comparative of $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ каì סiкata，like $\pi$ o入入̀̀ кaì áyaOd（Ar．Thesm．35̄1），etc．－Schömann cp．［Dem．］ or．Lix．In Neaer．§ $5, \tau \hat{\omega}$ oưv $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega}$ 入ó $\gamma \omega$ тoús $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{s}$

§ 9．o marrip］The speaker＇s father Polyaratus，who had 168 married one（apparently the eldest）of the four sisters of Dicaeogenes II．：§ 5.
 against those witnesses whom he had indicted for perjury＇： $\mu a p r \dot{p} \rho \omega$ ，the witnesses brought by Dicaeogenes III．to prove the validity of the will under which he claimed the whole of


 ठiкŋ єiб $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \epsilon$ ．
 sisters of the testator Dicaeogenes II．His daughter was therefore miece（ $\alpha \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi t \delta \hat{\eta}$ ）of the latter，first－cousin to the speaker，and first－cousin once removed to Dicaeogenes III．

テìv $\Delta \eta \mu$ ок．$\gamma \in v . ~ \gamma u v a i ̂ k a]$＇her who had become the wife of Democles＇．－$\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \partial{ }^{\prime} \ddot{\omega}^{\prime} \nu$ ，her own brother；whereas Dicae－ ogenes III．was ouly first－cousin，áve $\psi$ tós，of the testator．

K $\eta$ фьoofótov］Cephisodotus was present，supporting his first－cousin，the speaker，who appeals to him at the beginning of the speech（\＄2）as being able to vouch for the truth of the affidavit（àvтшuoria）．
 $\gamma \epsilon$－Cephisodotus，his mother，and her other child or children， as opp．to the testator＇s other sisters and their children］he was at the same time guardian（eimirpomos），legal representative （кúpos），and lezal adversary（ávtióıos）＇：i．e．Dicaeogenes III． was the protector and representative before the law（kipoos），as her nearest male relative for this purpose，of the mother of Cepinisodotus isince a son conld not be the kúpos of his mother ．He was also guardian，éritporos，of Ciphisodotus， who had a brother or brothers，a sister or sistors，as appears
 in s 11．Every $\begin{gathered}\text { titpotes（gnarlion of a minor）was also níptos }\end{gathered}$ of his ward，but every kiphos was not $\ell \pi i r \rho o \pi o s$. The terin xipoos dernoterg raty．the lead eontent of a citizen over an unnarried woman or a widow，either as her nearest male reiative or by delugation from the matural kípos：［Dem．］or．
 neither father，hrother，nor paternal grandfather livine）（iav $\mu i \nu$ ériк．$\eta$ quós tis $\dot{\eta}$（it she be an heiress）rò néphon Execn Ther nearest
male kinsman shall marry her), $\grave{\epsilon} \dot{\partial} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta} \hat{\eta}$, ör $\tau \psi \dot{d} \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \in ́ \psi \eta$, тоиิтov кúplov єival, but if she be not, then her natural кúplos may delegate the duty to another. The кúpos of a married woman was her husband: see Isae. or. iII. De Pyrrh. Hered. § 2. The vulg. kai $\tau$ oút $\omega \nu \tau \epsilon$ can be defended if $\tau \epsilon$ is connected
 both their guardian, etc., and unkind. But I feel sure that Dobree's $\gamma \epsilon$ (better than Scheibe's $\tau o c$ ) is right: we want just this emphasis on $\tau$ ov́ $\tau \omega$.
 their claim on his kindness or compassion was allowed by him': lit. 'not even in respect to the smallest part of the ties between them (oiкєєót $\boldsymbol{s}$-the double tie of kinsman and guardian) did they obtain pity'.
 [the testator] and their grandfather [Menexenus I., their mother's father, see stemma] had given to them [to Cephisodotus and the other child or children], he [Dicaeogenes III.] took away on his own authority (aútós), before the case had been tried'.
§ 11. $\pi \rho \mid a ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ k a i ~ к а т a \sigma к a ́ \psi a s] ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ a o r . ~ p a r t . ~$ $\pi \rho \iota a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$, cp. Andoc. De Pace § 37, p. 47, $\pi \epsilon \dot{i} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma-\lambda a \theta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s-$ $\pi \rho \iota \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota-\beta \iota a \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ$.

 retaining it here, repeat it before $\pi \rho o s^{\prime}$.
$\left.{ }^{\epsilon} v{ }^{2}{ }^{\circ} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mathrm{c}\right]$ in Athens: the art. omitted as usu. : ep. Isocr.
 II $\delta \delta i \varphi$, note.

кai $\left.\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \mu i \boldsymbol{j} \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ ' And though he was receiving 80 minas [about £330] in rent from our uncle's property, he sent the latter's nephew to Corinth in the quality of servant to his brother Harmodius' : $\epsilon$ is $\mathrm{K} \dot{o} \rho \iota \nu \theta 0 \nu$, i.e. on military service during the Corinthian War, which began in 394 b.c. (the date of the speech is 390 в.c.). In $\S 37$ there is a reference to the capture of Lechaeum, the w. port of Corinth, by the Spartans in 393 b.c. - $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \dot{\sigma}$ ou $\theta$ os here $=$ the Attic hoplite's servant, (usu. ú $\pi \eta \rho \dot{\prime} \tau \eta s$,


 their servants).
 a sort of thick shoe (in Xen. Hipp. xiI. § $10 \dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \dot{a} \tau \eta$ s is a halfboot), worn by people who had rough out-door work to do,-the
ordinary $i \pi d \delta \delta \eta \mu a$ being merely a sandal bound under the foot; the $\sigma a \nu \delta \dot{d} \lambda \iota o \nu$ had an upper leather across the toe; the к $\rho \eta \pi i$ is was a half-shoe. Cp. Becker Charicl. Exc. xI. The $\tau \rho i \beta \omega \nu$ was a short mantle of coarse stuff. Dem. or. Liv. In Conon. § 34, ' men who are of a gloomy countenance, who affect the Spartan, who wear coarse cloaks ( $\tau \rho i \beta \omega \nu a s$ ) and single-soled sandals'
 (Ar. Ach. 181), which seems to have been the ordinary dress of poor men. Socrates sometimes alludes to his 'poor cloak' ( $\tau$ pis $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ oúrooi, Protag. 335 D). Being the ordinary dress of philosophers, it afterwards came to be regarded, like the cowl, as a badge of austere life. (From my note on Theophrast. Char. xxir. $=$ xxv. p. 255.) $\quad$ Scheibe reads $\tau \rho i \beta \omega \nu a$ with Cobet N. Lect. p. 155; but cp. Lysias or. xxxir. In Diogitona, § 16,where the question is of similar harshness towards poor

 oú $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu a \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$, oú $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ i $\mu a \tau i \omega \nu$ (the ordinary woollen cloak).
 which I digressed': i.e. from the end of $\S 9$, when Dicaeogenes III. had seized the whole estate. Cp. Plat. Phaedo $78 \mathrm{~B}, \quad \ddot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \hat{i} \pi о \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu a \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha \hat{v} \theta \iota \iota$.

 катацартирทiб., 'those who had borne false testimony against us [ayainst the speaker and Cephisodotus], and against him' [Menexenus, their cousin]. cp. § 9.
 but emphatic after öv $\pi \epsilon \rho \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$, as if Aúk $\omega \nu a$ had not preceded: 'convicted the very first man they brought before the court-. Lycon'.

## 

 the prosecution of the witnesses.

т $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ тoเทิनat; к.т.入.] 'persuades him-to do what? to take for himse:f such a share of the estate as fell to him, and to throw over us for whom he was acting'. "t $\tau$ 'fifveto: such a fraction as ums due to him (imperf.), on the pupposition that two thirds of the whole estate belonged to the sisters and their chilimen. Ep. $\$$ to become adopted son, i.e. it directed that he should do so; and
 logical necessity) booth the wills novo became, now must bi, invalid: 844 , exeivwn 'rigneto, 'were due to them'.
d'фєival] 'to withdraw his charges against the witnesses who had not yet been convicted': ápeival, here, not of the jury who acquit the accused, but of the prosecutor who absolves him by allowing the proceedings to drop. Cp. § 1 of this speech, $\dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\eta}^{-}$ $\kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o u s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$. [Dem.] or. xlv. In Stephan. I. § 40, ẁs áфє́vivos é $\mu o \hat{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ द́ $\gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ aưvóv. Harpocr. s.v. $\dot{\alpha} \phi \in i s$ contrasts $\dot{\alpha} \phi \in i v a l$, 'to let off' an accused person by withdrawing a charge, with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\beta} \xi \alpha \iota$, 'to get rid' of an accuser by persuading him to do so: cp. § 28 of this speech, oú dúvarac $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \ell \nu$ тoùs $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau a s$, he cannot pay off his creditors.
 $\gamma \in i \hat{\sigma} \theta a t$ is usually to 'show the way', 'set an example', 'teach':

 believe that каӨ $\eta \gamma \circ \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu \circ$, though unattested in this sense, is right, ='inferring', (катá implying an unfavourable inference :)
 $\chi а \rho \mu b \sigma v \nu a$ таûта $\pi$ о七є́єєข.
 from him on the ground of affinity'-and no longer $\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$, on the ground of the testament under which they had originally claimed two-thirds. á $\gamma \boldsymbol{\prime} \sigma \tau \epsilon i a$, in the legal sense, was a degree of affinity (including, for legal purposes, consanguinity, $\sigma v \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \in(\alpha)$ recognised by the law as constituting a claim to an inheritance in the absence of a special bequest which could legally divert it. To claim an estate under testa-
 $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$. (Schömann, Isae. p. 250, regards $\delta \iota \delta o \dot{\nu} a$, , $\delta \dot{\sigma} \tau \iota s$ as the general terms for a bequest: $\delta \iota a \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ as terms strictly applicable only when the legatee was at the same time adopted as son by the testator. But in Isae. or. I. § 41 the defendants claim кагà $\delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$, and it nowhere appears that they had been adopted. I believe rather that $\delta$ ócis denoted the act, and $\delta \alpha a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ the instrument.) To claim on the ground of affinity (in the absence of a will) was $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon i \nu \kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \gamma \chi^{-}$$\sigma \tau \epsilon i \alpha \nu$, or кatà révos, or. Iv. §15. For the dist nction between $\dot{a} \gamma x \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i a$ and $\sigma v \gamma \gamma^{\ell \nu \epsilon \iota a, ~ c p . ~ I s a e . ~ o r . ~ x i . ~ § ~ 17, ~} \delta$ (the relationship of son to mother) $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \in \nu \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau, o \nu \mu \hat{e} \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$,
 a mother could not inherit from her son,-although, by Attic law, an inheritance could ascend collaterally, as by an uncle inheriting.
ópөิิs ধ́yvต́кацєv] ' have decided rightly' (to sue D. for the whole estate) : the perf., because the resolve still exists, since the case has not been finally settled. The argument is briefly this. Dicaeogenes III. received one-third of the estate under
the will. He has set that will aside, and has taken the whole estate under another alleged will. We have shown that this alleged will is false. Therefore there is no will. And therefore the next of kin inherit.
 stood alone, it certainly could not mean 'very long ago': that

 But where $\pi \dot{a} \backslash a \iota$ and $\dot{u} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ are contrasted in the same clause, $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ may stand with $\pi \alpha$ ' $\lambda$ at in the sense, 'old by a long interval as compared with the later date'. I therefore hesitate to adopt the obvious transposition, $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \iota, \dot{\eta} \delta^{\circ} \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon p o \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\psi}$.

Пpógॄvos] Proxenus, father of Dicaeogenes III., had produced the wil under which his son inherited one-third of the estate of Dicaeogenes II.: §6, $\delta \iota a \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \phi \eta \nu \in$ II $\rho_{s}^{\xi} \in \nu 0 s$. See the stemma.


§ 16. ¿кки́poเv үเyvopévaıv] 'were by inference invalid'


 these reasons, I say ': but $\delta i a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\eta} ~ \tau a u ̂ \tau a ~ s e e m s ~ n e e d e d . ~ . ~$
 'to claim the estate', to obtain a hearing of one's legal claim:

 affidavit' ( $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \mu \sigma \sigma i a)$, in the preliminary proceedings ( $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota s$ ) before the archon.
 protest (ócaцартир.a) to the effect that our clann was inadmissible': i.e. that a ooänкŋ existed giving it to Dicarogenes.


 contrasted with a direct trial of the claim. Cp. §§, éxáarך to
 her due share.
817. imıккך廿apivcuv...elojnst] 'We indicted Leochares; the action in claim of the estate was cancelled, and the charge of



$\lambda a \nu \tau o s ~ \delta \iota a \gamma \epsilon ่ \gamma \rho a \pi \tau a i ~ \mu o \iota \delta \delta \kappa \eta$, 'a suit in which I might have lost five talents is quashed '.
 the other for acquittal: cp. Lys. In Agor. § 37, note, p. 267. Dobree ingeniously but needlessly, $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$, 'tumbled out' (Ar. Ach. 341, тoùs $\lambda i \theta$ ous... $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \rho \alpha ́ \sigma a \tau \epsilon)$.
á $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ т $\omega \hat{\nu}$ סıкабт $\hat{\omega} \nu . . . \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon เ \nu]$ ' as to the entreaties which $L$. addressed to the judges and to us, and the penalties which we were entitled to obtain, I hardly know that I need speak' (i.e. you can imagine them). Leochares having been convicted of perjury ( $\psi \in \cup \delta o \mu a \rho \tau \cup \rho i \omega \hat{\nu}$ ), the accusers might have claimed any penalty up to $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu i a$, disfranchisement (cp. §19, غ̇ $\gamma \gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu о \nu$
 a $\tau \iota \mu \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \delta \ell \kappa \eta$, that is, one in which the accuser could $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$, assess the penalty.- $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \mathcal{\xi} \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau 0$, with pluperf. force, not, 'was in our power', but, 'had (by the verdict) come into our power'. $\delta \iota a \pi \rho \alpha \xi \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota, n o t ~ ' e x a c t ', ~ b u t ~ ' o b t a i n ' ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \omega ิ \nu$.
§ 18. $\mu \eta \eta_{\sigma v v a p i \theta \mu \in i v, ~ к . \tau . \lambda .] ~ ' n o t ~ t o ~ c o u n t ~ t h e ~ v o t e s, ~ b u t ~}^{\text {g }}$ to cancel the conviction'; i.e. when the votes were taken out, there was an evident majority against Leochares; but the accusers consented to refrain from registering the result, and to admit L. to a compromise before sentence was passed. ovvapı $\theta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ to compare the number of votes for him with that against him : $\sigma v \gamma \chi$ द́al, to mix up all the votes in a heap.
 resign two-thirds of the estate to the sisters of Dicaeogenes (II.), and to agree that he should place these two-thirds in our hands
 should not be required $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \epsilon i \bar{\nu}$, to bring a law-suit, in order formally to establish their claim. In the sequel, however, they were obliged to do so, since D. had already transferred the ownership to others.
kal тav̂тa ท̉yүvâto, к.т.入.] 'And in all this Leochares the defendant offered [imperf.] to be his surety, and to undertake that he (Dicaeogenes) should fulfil his agreement,-Mnesiptolemus of Plōtheia [a deme of the Aegeid tribe] being his colleague in the suretyship' ${ }^{\prime}$ - $\eta \gamma \gamma v a \hat{a} 0$, not $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \gamma v a ̂ \tau o, ~ i n ~ A t t i c, ~$ as Scheibe shows, Isae. praef. crit. Ix.-каi $\dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \pi o \iota \eta \dot{\gamma} \sigma \iota \nu$ : better $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\omega} \mu 0 \lambda o ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ кal $\pi о \iota \bar{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \nu$, 'pledged himself that Dicaeogenes would do as he (D.) had agreed'. Cp. § 20, ท่ $\gamma \gamma v a ̂$ ào
 $\dot{\omega} \mu \circ \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon$ [кal Reiske] $\pi 0 \nmid \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \nu$.
§ 19. '่ $\gamma \gamma \in \nu \delta \delta \mu \in \nu \circ \nu . . . \dot{\alpha} \tau \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha \iota$ ] ' when it had come into our power...to disfranchise him ': §17, note. For the accus. absol. cp. Antiph. Tetr. B. $\beta . \S 7$, note, p. 205.
 of the judges, five hundred in number, and of the bystanders, he had not offered [imperf.] to become surety, I do not know what he could have done': i.e. there was no other hope for him : he would then and there have been disfranchised. $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \mathrm{a}$ тo, not $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau 0$, because the promise had never been fulfilled.- $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к о \sigma i \omega \nu$, the normal number of a $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma t \eta$ piov,the $5000 \dot{\eta} \lambda$ caatai (exclusive of the reserved 1000) being divided into 10 such panels: cp. Isocr. Areopagit. § 54, note, p. 349.
 he merely withdrew his claim to the two-thirds, but did not covenant to place them in our hands without litigation, what loss was he incurring by resigning property of which he already had the equivalent in money?' i.e. he had already transferred to others the ownership of the property which he was resigning. It was his duty to see that we got it without having to go to law ( $\dot{\mu} \mu \phi \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon i \nu)$ with these other persons, from whom he had received the value $\left(\tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime}\right)$ of it. $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \xi_{\eta \mu \mu o v i \tau o ; ~ i . e . ~ w e ~ w e r e ~ i n ~ a ~}^{\text {a }}$ position to claim a concession, since we had convicted his witness of perjury. But this would have been no concession. Therefore his compact cannot have meant this.
 been worsted in the law-suit [by the conviction of his witness Leochares], the property which we claim had passed from him to the purchasers and mortgagees'. of $\pi \rho t a ́ \mu \in \nu o c-t h o s e ~ w h o ~$ had bought from him outright: oi $\theta \epsilon \in \epsilon \mathcal{L} 0$, ' the mortgagees'those who lent him money on the security of property which


 (studies) rest, however, as pledges which can be redeemed by provisions in other parts of our commonwealth, in case they prove utterly unsatisfactory to us who have given the pledges' ( $\theta$ evtas, the mortgagers), 'or to you who have accepted them' ( $\theta$ erévous, the mortgagees). So írotitéval (opponere) oixiav, to pledge or mortgage a house : ímotiteonat oiкian, to accept the house as security for a loan.
 from which the party led by Lycurgus were called of éx roî
 and the otáptot led by Peisistratios, Her. 1. 59. The art.
 ing 80 plethra'. The $\pi$ NeOpov was the ordinary (ireek unit of land measnrement, $=10,00^{\prime}$ ) square feet, nearly $=$ Roman actus, or half iugrimm.-кекоріоде $\theta a$, 'buve recovered': epl. § 19 , коцга́цеуор.
 трганévous каi $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s$, those to whom D. has transferred the property], 'for we are afraid of incurring penalties at law':

 the ownership of the bath-house, at the instance of D., and on his assurance that he would not confirm the other's title, we incurred a fine of 40 minae-thanks to Dicaeogenes, judges'. $\mu \grave{\eta} \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ : i.e. Dicaeogenes promised to admit that Micion had bought the $\beta a \lambda a \nu \in i o v$ with a bad title, since he, Dicaeogenes, was not its legal owner when he sold it.
 that he [aúróv, Dicaeogenes] would not confirm another claim to any part of the property which he had resigned in our favour before the law-court, we insisted on this point [ $\delta u \sigma \chi \mathrm{\chi}$ pı̧ó $\mu \in \theta a$, imperf.] against Micion before the judges, offering to suffer what they pleased if D. confirmed M.'s title to the tenement,-never dreaming that he [D.] would violate his agreement, - though our only ground for such a faith was the fact that sureties had been given to us'. ä $\beta \epsilon \beta a \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \epsilon \iota \nu$ : as in Thuc. II. 8 the mss. give $\tilde{a}^{\nu} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \chi \omega \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \nu, v . \delta 2 \not \partial \nu \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$,
 In vi. 66 the corr. $\alpha \nu \lambda \nu \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \iota a \nu$ is probable: in the other places Classen (rightly, I think) keeps $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$, with Herbst, instead of
 $\ldots \pi \rho a \hat{\xi} a \iota, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\prime} . \S 8$, note.—ou $\delta \iota^{\prime} \ddot{u}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oú $\delta \epsilon \nu \nu$ : i.e. the character of D. himself was no guarantee, but we believed that he would not expose his surety to an $\epsilon \gamma \gamma \dot{\eta} \eta \mathrm{s}$ סiкŋ (like the present).

## §§ 39-47.

174 § 39. ovitc kal тoбav̂ta] 'in this manner and measure'i.e. so badly and so little: referring to § $36, \tau \hat{\eta} \mu e ̀ \nu \phi u \lambda \hat{\eta}$ єis $\Delta \iota o \nu u ́ \sigma \iota a$
 i.e. he won only the fourth prize when he produced a chorus [probably of aủ $\eta \tau \sim a$, flute-players] at the great Dionysia,-and was last when he produced a tragic chorus and a chorus of Pyrrhic dancers (perhaps at the A $\dot{\eta} \nu a \iota a)$. He had also failed to contribute when eiogopai, special war-taxes, were being levied. Once, indeed, he had promised an $\epsilon \pi i \bar{\delta} o \sigma t s$ or voluntary subscription, which however he had not paid, and had therefore been 'posted' as a defaulter at the statues of the Twelve Heroes
 aioxi$\sigma \tau \psi$ ė $\pi \iota \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \iota$ [' with a most disgraceful qualification'-



 єis $\tau$ oùs $\phi i \lambda$ ous $\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon โ ~ \delta a \pi a \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i s ~ o u ́ \delta e ́ v . ~$
öтᄂ $\mu \in \tau\}$ we should expect $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v} \nu a \tau o: ~ a n d ~ o ̈ т \iota, ~ '(m e r e l y) ~ b e c a u s e ', ~ c o n-~$ demns the act more strongly.
cis toùs $\mu$ IFOwtov̀s lóvtas] 'and allowed others [of his relatives] to be reduced to the rank of hired labourers, for want of a bare livelihood'. Cp. [Dem.] or. xlix. Adv. Timoth. §51,
 $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega т$ оi $\geqslant$ ท̀ oixє́тal; 'hirelings or slaves?' Theophr. Charact. iv.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a s \quad \delta \iota \eta \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a l$, ' he will recount all the news from the Eeclesia to the hired labourers working on his land'. 'Slavery did not altogether swamp the labour-market. Even men, chiefly foreigners, found employment as artisans, farm-labourers or domestics : see Plat. Rep. 371. Lysis, in Plato's dialogue, says that his father's chariot was driven at the games by a hired charioteer (Lys. p. 203 E ), while the groom mentioned in the same passage is a slave. The shrine of Eurysaces in the market-place is mentioned as the piace at which 'those who ply for hire used to congregate' (from my note on Theophr. l.c., p. 221).
 appeal to the goddess against an unnatural son. Pausanias 1. 18. §5, $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu$ ò (near the temple of Sarapis, s.w. of the




§ 40. Mèava] 'As to his intimate associates [in distinction from rois $\pi$ роo $\eta$ когтas, liis relatives, § 39], he has robbed Melas, his friend from buyhood, of the money which he received from him, and is now his bitter enemy': Mé入ava, not Meגanc, because the emphasis is more on the act (ajmogteprogas) which led to the feud than on the feud itself ( $\left.{ }^{( } \boldsymbol{\chi}\right) \theta \sigma \tau$ 's © $\sigma T t$ ).



8 41. of ทं $\mu$ 'тépor $\pi$ ро́yovot] i.e. the testator, Dicaeo- 175 genes II., his father Menexenus, and his grandfather, Dicasopenes I. - oi taíta кт $\eta \sigma$ duevot, who acequired the property now under dispute.
maioas. Xop $\eta \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. 'xopriy.]'discharged the office of choregus
 cion inerkay, i.e. hy way of elo фopai: (1) on §39.-rponpapxoüntes:
 Isocr. Aeginet. § 27, note, p. 356.
àvaض́nцara] 'votive offerings', a general term for gifts (buildings, statues, tripods, etc.) dedicated to a god; $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \mu a$
 abundance', but, 'from what remained' after these costly入єєтоирүlaı had been discharged.
tpitodas] A bronze tripod was awarded to a successful choregus, who usu. dedicated it in the temple of Dionysus. Plutarch says that Nicias had presented to the temple a shrine ( $\nu \in \omega \dot{s}$ ) on which these tripods were set (Nic. 3). In the course of the 4th century b.c. a fashion arose of placing the prizetripod in a small shrine built especially for it, either in the precincts of the Theatre or in the 'Street of the Tripods' (Paus. 1. 20) on the e. side of the Acropolis. The existing monument of Lysicrates (choregus 335 в.c.) was such a shrine: and the site of another, dedicated in 320 в.c. by the choregus Thrasyllus (Paus. I. 21), is still marked by a cave on the s. side of the Acropolis. (See my note on Theophr. Char. xxir. = xxv., p. 251.) Cp. Plat. Gorg. 472 A, Nıкías каl oi áde $\overline{\phi о i ́, ~} \mathfrak{\omega} \nu$ oi

 the site of the $\Pi \dot{\theta} \theta \iota \nu$ was identified by the discovery of an inscribed altar-stone on the right bank of the Ilissus, n.w. of the temple of Zeus Olympius. This stone belonged to the altar erected by Peisistratus (grandson of the tyrant), and mentioned by Thuc. (vi. 54) as bearing in letters which even then were 'faint', á $\mu \nu \delta \rho o i s ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \sigma \iota, ~ t h e ~ c o u p l e t ~:-~$

##  

§ 42. ámapXàs...тò ícpóv] 'On the Acropolis, again, [as well as in the $\Delta$ covv́otov and the $\Pi \dot{u} \theta \iota o \nu]$ 'they have dedicated tributes ( $\dot{a} \pi \alpha \rho \chi a ́ s)$ from their substance, and have adorned the
 bronze and stone,-considerable in number, if it be remembered that the donors were private persons'.-aja $\rho \chi$ ás, firstfruits, [in Thuc. vi. 20 tithes paid by occupiers to landlords,] then 'tributes': cp. Eur. Androm. 150, where Hermione speaks of her robes and golden ornaments as aंmapұai, 'offerings' made to her, as a bride, by her father. - $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \frac{i}{s}$ closely with $\dot{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$

 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}$, ot' $\dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\eta} \rho \pi \epsilon \in \nu \eta s .-\dot{a} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$, not $=\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$ (§ 41), but 'images' or 'statues' of gods, as opp. to á $\nu \delta \rho$ óávt $\epsilon$, portrait-statues of men.

Dıкaloyév $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ] i.e. Dicaeogenes I. : see stemma.
 of Argolis, the Athenians were defeated by the Corinthians and Epidaurians at Halieis, Ol. 80. 4, 457 в.c.: Thuc. 1. 104. (Attic Oraturs, II. 353.) - A $\lambda \iota e i \sigma$ is due to Dobree. The traditional reading is $\dot{\eta}$ év 'E $\lambda \in v \sigma i v \iota ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta$, which has been referred (1) by Palmer ap. Schöm. 342 to Ol. 80. 4, 457 b.c., when there were hostilities in the Megarid between the Athenians and the Corinthians: (2) by Reiske to Ol. 83. 4, 445 в.c., when the Lacedaemonians, invading Attica under Pleistoanax, advanced to Eleusis; Thuc. 1. 114. But on neither occasion is a battle at Eleusis recorded.
 the cavaliy of his tribe at Spartolus in the territory of Olynthus': in Ol. 87. 4, 429 в.c., when the Athenians were defeated by the Chalcidians at Spartolus on the Chalcidic peninsula: Thuc. II. 79.-Scheibe rightly follows Palmer in reading' Oגuptias for the vulg. 'Oגuбias, which Dobree took as
 1034 '), while Sir W. Junes actually explained it, 'of the destructive cohort'. Reiske conj. 'Oסpuoias or 'Ojug $\sigma$ eias (the latter as the name of a cohort). -In 429 b.c. Spartolus belonged to the Botriaiol (Thuc. l.c.), but it had now come under the control of Olynthus: cp. Xen. Hellen. v. 2. 11 (Attic Orators, 11. 354).
 412 b.c., when an Athenian force of less than 20 sail was defeatel, with the luss of six ships, by the Lacedaemonian fleet under Astyochus, Thuc. viri. 42. The Paralos is mentioned soon afterwards as being with the Athenian army at Samos, Thuc. vIII. 74 (411 в.c.).
 $\kappa \lambda$ inpov, the estate) 'into money, you now bewail your poverty; but on what have you spent the money?' $\xi \xi a \rho \gamma u p \sigma_{\text {., }}$ because he had sold or mortgaged the housen and lands (cp. § 21, of

 écappepwiva is a v. l., wh. Classen adopts, following Etym. M.


 doubtful.
 yous equandered it on keeping horses'. The keeping of horses, esp. Sor the great contests, was regarded as in some sort a duty
incumbent on the rich, for the credit of the state,-horses being in a special sense at Athens ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu \alpha \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{u}^{\pi} \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \lambda$ oúrov $\chi \lambda \iota \delta \hat{\eta} s$ (Aesch. P. V. 474). [Dem.] or. xliI. Adv. Phaen. § 14: 'In one thing only can the defendant be proved to have shown public


 win over parents) by explaining this to them,-that their sons will be forced to keep horses, if not by you, by their fortune, but that... you will deter them from giving extravagant or mad ( $\mu$ avıкิิv) prices for horses' (note on Theophr. Char. xxili. $=$ vi. p. 197). Cp. Lysias or. xix. § 63, ö $\tau \epsilon$ í $\pi \pi \epsilon \cup \epsilon \nu$, oú $\mu$ óvov ï $\pi \pi$ ous
 N $\epsilon \mu \notin a, \kappa_{0} \tau . \lambda$.
 of Pheidippides (Ar. Clouds 23) cost about 12 minas, or $£ 48$; and the same is the value of a horse in [Lysias] or. viri. § 10.
 much as a pair of mules [ópcкóv from obequs=íuiovos] on the strength of ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i)$ your large estates and wealth. Nor, again, have you ransomed any one from the enemy'. Cp. Lys. In
 note, p. 253.
 duly placed on the acropolis [ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ ] the votive offerings which Menexenus had caused to be made, and which death prevented him from dedicating, but they are still knocking about in the stone cutters' shops '. $-\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu=\alpha<\kappa \rho_{o} \pi о \lambda \iota \nu$, Thuc. II.

 'carried', but taken to their proper place.-ки入ı $\delta \varepsilon \epsilon \bar{\tau} a \iota$ should, I think, be read here: Attic writers seem to use $\kappa \nu \lambda \iota \nu \delta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ in the literal sense, $\kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \delta \epsilon i \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ in the figurative; cp. Isocr. $A d v$.

ékeivav éyiyvero] 'were due to them', 'came to them by right': see § 13 , note, p. 369. áád $\mu a \tau \alpha$ in the proper sense, statues of gods as opp. to áv $\delta \rho \iota a ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \mathrm{cp} . \S 42$.
 the ordinary $\lambda_{\text {citouprial }}$ and the $\tau \rho \iota \eta p a p \chi i a, ~ c p . ~ I s o c r . ~ D e ~ P a c e, ~$ § 128, note, p. 335.
 War ( $394-387$ в.c.), of which this (390) was the 5 th year. Olynthus, as well as the insular allies, had doubtless furnished troops to Athens in the course of the war. If the year 372 в.с. were taken as the date of the speech, the notice might be
referred to the Olynthian War of $382-379$ в.c., -when the Olynthians were, in a sense, fighting the battle of Athens. The mention of $\nu \eta \sigma \omega \tau \pi a l$ might then be explained by the fact that, when war was renewed between Athens and Sparta in 374 в.с., Corcyra became a centre of hostilities. But toбoútov and rooútou modé $\mu \mathrm{ou}$ in $\S 46$ can mean nothing but the Corinthian War ; it could not, without straining, be applied to the whole intermittent struggle against Sparta.-See Attic Orators, II. 351.

тòv тípavvov] Hipparchus. Cp. Thuc. I. 20, 'A $\theta \eta v a i \omega v$ roûv



 this error. Nor need we suppose it here, since $\tau \delta \nu \tau \dot{p} \rho a \nu \nu o \nu$ implies merely a member of the ruling house; cp. Andoc. De
 $\epsilon \pi i \Pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \varphi$.
 Myst. § 45, note on $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta i \pi} \nu \epsilon \iota$, p. 226.- $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \delta \rho \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$, 'places of honnour' at festivals, etc.: $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, exemptions from taxation.






 imprisoned by your injunction. For éxeivos=ille, 'the famous',

II. ПEPI TOY AГNIOY KムHPOY. [Or. xi.]--'On 177 the Estate of Hagnias '. -Theopompus, the speaker and defendant, possesses the estate of Harnias. Half of this estate is claimed from Theompompus on behalf of his own nephew, the son of Stratocles. The form of the prosecution is an
 Sitratoeles being efonsidered as an orphan whom his uncle, Theopompus, has wronged. The date is 359 b.c.-Attic Oratore, II. 354-8.

Theopompus had already, under a legal decision, taken this eatate away from I'hylomache II. (see the stemma), daughter of his own secend cousin Eubulides II.

The essontial proints are these:-(1) Thenpompus and Hagnins were the shns of ave $\psi$ ooi, first-consins, and were there-
 I'hylumache II., was first-cou in of II ignias.
STEMMA.


## §§ 1-19.

Theopompus begins by reading the laws which regulate the succession of collateral kinsfolk to an estate; and shows that, by these, his nephew, the son of Stratocles, is excluded. There is no reason to suppose that the $\pi \rho o o i \mu o \nu$ is lost. The ninth oration of Isaeus, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тồ 'A $\sigma \tau v \phi i \lambda \lambda u \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \rho u$ (Attic Orators, II. 330 ), and the third, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ toû II $\dot{u} \rho \rho o u ~ к \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o u(i b .339)$, begin with similar abruptness.
 laws, because the plaintiff contends that, under the first of them, the boy [the son of Stratocles] is entitled to half the estate ; but this is untrue. For Hagnias was not our brother [ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{v}$, Theopompus himself and the other second-cousins of Hagnias, § 10]; but the law, speaking of a brother's property, has given the inheritance-
(1) first, to brothers, being sons of the same father, and the children of such brothers; this is the first degree of kinship to the deceased: but failing these,
(2) secondly, the law calls to the succession sisters by the same father, and their children: failing these,
(3) in the third degree ( $\tau \rho i \tau \psi \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon t$ ) it gives the preference to first cousins (divequois) on the father's side, continuing it to their chiidren ( $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho t \alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \psi \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a i \delta \omega \nu=\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho t \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \psi t a \delta \hat{\omega} \nu)$.
(4) Failing these also, the law reverts to the direct line [ $\epsilon$ is to $\gamma^{\dot{\epsilon}}$ vos, the direct lineage on the maternal side, as opp. to collateral kindlip on the paternal] and gives the ownership of the property [ $\pi$ our $\hat{i}$ кupious aivêv, sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ र $\chi \rho \eta \mu a t \omega \nu$ ] to the kinsmen of the deceased on the mother's side, under the same rules by which, in the first instance ( $\epsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ), it called the paternal kinsfolk to the inheritance'.

The gi $t$ of the whole argument is that Theopompus, being the son of a first-cthusin (ave $\psi$ toû $\pi$ ais) is, under provision (3), in the succession on the paternal side, while his nephew, being the son of a secomel-cousin, is out of it.
 nises) thece esaims by kinship, and no others': arxofela, a degrese of nearness to the testator such as the law of inheritance recognises, opp. to ourfiveta, nutural kinship, which may or may not be ajxcorria: see or. v. note on § 14, кат' árxarcelav. -ountoparipas: for the form, cpl. Isoer. P'thegyr. § 163, iрpopureotipws, mote, 1). 311.-таúty, 'to this effect'.

[^12]$\tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma_{0} \gamma_{\text {evelas, }}$ 'outside of the kinship',=outside of that

§ 4. ávaß८ßaซá $\mu \in \nu 0 s . . . v i \pi a v a \gamma เ \nu \omega \prime \sigma \kappa \omega \nu]$ 'I will therefore call him up here and question him before you, reading the provisions of the law, clause by clause'. $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \beta \iota \beta a \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s$, to the $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a$ from which either party in a law-suit spoke, Lysias In Theomn. § 15, note, p. 275 : for the $\epsilon \rho \dot{\prime} \dot{\tau} \eta \sigma t s$, cp. Lysias In
 In $\dot{v} \pi a \nu a \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega \nu, \dot{u} \pi \delta=$ leading him on gradually from point


 to advance (only) gently, so that the rearmost companies might rejoin them.
 ( $\pi$ aîs) was not, of course any one of these things. He was, to Hagnias, second-cousin once removed,-Stratocles and Hagnias having been sons of ${ }^{\nu} \nu \epsilon \psi$ เoi, first-cousins.
 Xen. Cyr. 1. 2. 18, ôn $\omega \omega$ s ô̂v $\mu \grave{\eta}$ àmo入є̂̂ $\mu a \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \circ \hat{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0 s$. Goodwin § 46. 4.
 property [which he is doing], this would [on that supposition] be fitting', etc.: but ${ }^{2} \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \in \nu$, 'this would now be [as it is not] fitting'. Cp. Dem. De Cor. § 206, $\epsilon i$ uèv тoìvu toût'
 Goodwin § 54.

 aủrท̂s $\gamma \in \mathfrak{e} \nu 0 s$, note.
 duty to make an affidavit ( $\delta \iota \omega \mu \circ \sigma i a)$..., so that he might have had a better chance of being believed'. The addition of a ${ }^{\nu}$
 an apodosis with a suppressed protasis: i.e. ${ }^{2} \nu \alpha \in \pi \iota \iota \sigma \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \tau 0$,





 on this Information': Theopompus being prosecuted under an єiбa$\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i a$ как $\omega \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \omega s$, an Information charging him with maltreatment of an orphan, viz. his nephew. This was a special form of the रрафウ̀ какш́бє
archon an eioayyenia regarding alleged wrong done to parents， women，or orplians，－might address the court without limit of time，－and，if defeated，suffer no fine．There was no fixed penaltr，but，as it might be árıuia，Theopompus can speak of
 existence at stake，§ 35．－See Attic Orators，II． 354.
§ 8．ह́үш̀ үáp，к．т．入．］See the stemma of the family，and $\S \S 1,2$ ．Nothing is known as to the embassy of Hagnias（ $\pi \rho \in \sigma$－


＇̇тоเท́бато Өvyaтépa］＇adopted a niece of his own as his 180 daughter＇．The adoption of a daughter was comparatively rare， since，unless a son was born to her，the continuance of the oikos was not secured．But other instances occur，e．g．in this
 Such exceptions illustrate the use of Attic adoption to gratify a personal preference，apart from the original object of perpetuat－ ing the family rites：see above on or．v．§ 7，p． 366.
§ 9．кaid riv סเaOŋ́кŋv］＇under the will＇－whereas Glaucon would not have been entitled to it by nearness of kinship，if there had been no will．On катà $\delta \iota a \theta \eta \dot{\kappa} \eta \nu$ or
 14，note，p． 370.

ท̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ Eúßou入íSov Ouyátпp，к．т．入．］＇But the daughter of Eubulides［Phylomachè II．－see stemma］，supported by her accomplices［meaning her кúpoos or male representative，or． v ． § 11），note，p．367］，claims the estate at law，and obtains it，on defeating those who had claimed it under the will ；－though she was not within the prescribed degrees，but had merely con－ ceived the hope（it seems）that we［the kinsmen］would not oppose her，becanse we had not resisted the will either＇．－

§ 10．ท่ estate had been opened to the next of kin，all prepared to bring our action＇：i．e．the doa0＇nк $\eta$ which had given the estate to Glaucon had precluded all claims on the score of ajxioreia． Now，however，this will had been set aside in favour of Pitylomachés claim as a kinswoman．As against her，the other kinsfolk enter their clain，on the gromad that their

 Bpbmos lotal．
 Theopompus claims the inheritance under provision（3）of the law cited in 85 1，2，on the ground that he is the chitd of a first．
cousin on the father's side. But Theopompus was dंve $\psi \iota o \hat{v} \pi a i ̂ s$ only in respect to the father of Hagnias. In respect to Hagnias he was not $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \psi \iota o \hat{v} \pi \alpha i ̂ s$, a first-cousin once removed, but a second-cousin. Theopompus was not really in the ajx $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \bar{a} \iota$ at all: still less, of course, was his nephew, the son of Stratocles. Phylomachè, as daughter of a paternal first-cousin of Hagnias, had a better claim than any living relative. If Phylomachè had died, then the next heir would have been Glaucon, who would have claimed under provision (4) as a brother of the testator by the same mother (though not $\dot{\dot{j} \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho / o s) \text {. The decision by which Theopompus took the }}$ estate from Phylomachè was unjust.
 you to find proof that I had an admissible claim of kinship, while their issue-this boy included-had none? The law itself will show. That the succession includes first-cousins on the father's side, and continues it to their children, is admitted on all hands: but whether, after us [i.e. children of firstcousins], the law gives it to our children-that is the question'. $\tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon \xi \xi \in \epsilon \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \sigma \nu b \sigma \iota \nu$, viz. the offspring of Stratius and Stratocles, who, like Theopompus, were really second-cousins of Hagnias: see last note.
§ 12. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \pi \in \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon]$ ' but has assigned the inheritance to the kin of the deceased on the mother's side', -under provision (4) of the law cited in $\S \S 1$, 2, where see note.
 indicated in the first instance', viz., in the case of the kinsfolk $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ́ s . ~ С р . ~ § 2, ~ к а \tau \grave{a ̀ ~ \tau a u ̉ \tau a ̀ ~ к а \theta a ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \xi$



 though the law specifies some cases (of involuntary homicide) as exempted from the penalty, this man has set down the penalty without any preliminary reservation (oủjèv ú $\pi \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \dot{\omega}$ ), no matter what the circumstances of the homicide may be. Cp .
 $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \dot{\omega} \nu$, 'with only this much of preface'.
 they to whom, even if I were dead, the law does not grant the inheritance, suppose that the succession is theirs while I live and am in legal possession?' [under the verdict which took the estate from Phylomachè, § 18]. The mss., $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ єl $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ $\lambda_{\epsilon u \tau \eta к}$
change of $\epsilon i$ to $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu), \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \kappa \dot{\omega} s \dot{\omega} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ ：but $\epsilon i$ should be retainel，reading，with Dobree，$\hat{\eta}_{\nu}$ for $\hat{\omega}^{\circ}$ ．
§ 13．kal yd̀p ó тov́тov $\pi a \tau \eta ̀ \rho . . . \epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon i v o เ s] ~ ' F o r ~ t h e ~ b o y ' s ~ 182 ~$ father（Stratocles）was related［to Hagnias］only in their degree＇：ó $\mu \mathrm{i} \omega \mathrm{\omega} \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon i \nu o t s$, only in the same degree with those who were cousin＇s sons，like Theopompus himself and Stratius：
 § 18 exeivas is similarly used．Dobree is not right then， I think，in accounting for éкeivous by the disappearance of words alluding to the children of Stratius（ $\$ 10$ ）：the text is sound．

тоитоиl．．．kaөıта́val］＇that this man［the speaker on behalf of the $\pi a i s]$ should resort to vexatious proceedings，and that， though he did not think fit to contest the case or to lodge a rival claim［таракатавá $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ］when I was going to law for the estate－the proper moment for the decision of any issue that he had to raise on such points－he should now make this boy a pretext for annoying me with litigation，and bring my civil existence into peril＇．The $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к а т а \beta о \lambda \eta$ was a deposit made（to be forfeited on defeat）by one who claimed the whole of an inheritance from another．Thus，when Theopompus ＇ो $\lambda a \chi \epsilon \tau 0 \hat{u} \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \rho o v$ against Phylomachè（ $\$ 15$ ），it was necessary for him to make such a deposit，as the estate was already hers． If the son of Stratocles had claimed the whole estate from Theopompus，then he too，must have proceeded by тараката－ ， $301 \eta$ ：but，in fact，he claimed only the half，$\dot{\eta} \mu \iota \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho ⿺ 𠃊 八$（§ 1）．－$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ rov̂ $\pi$ auōos óvómatc，＇in the boy＇s name＇，not as meaning，＇on the boy＇s behalf＇（ünè $\rho$ roû $\pi$ aloòs），but，＇making lim a pretext＇． Better éri tề toû $\pi$ ．òvं́matı．Cp．Dem．Adv．Leptin．§ 126，


 тஸ้̂ бஸ́matos．Cp．\＆6，note．
§14．тîv ó questioned property of the boy＇，viz．the patrimony left to him liy his father Stratocles．Theopotupus was guardian，


 －peaker was（i，y this law－suit）injuring the interests of his client，the boy．
i $\mu \mathrm{d}$ alvan $\langle\psi \eta \phi(\sigma a \sigma \theta \in)$ alluding to the verdict of the court which took the entate from Thylomache and gave it to Theo－ pormpus， 8 18．Söptes，＇after allowing anyone who pleased to dispute it＇，in reference to the claim put in also by the mother of Hagnias，ib．
 for this property': cp. Lysias In Agor. § 12, p. 79, є́кєі́ $\Leftarrow \ldots$
 view to', but 'on the ground of ', as just before, ' $\dot{\phi} \phi$ ' ois... $\kappa \rho i \nu \in \sigma \theta a l$.
§15. oủ8è katà $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ v]$ ne paululum quidem, ' not in the slightest degree'. Cp. or. v. § 10, p. 168, oủ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ катà $\tau \grave{\text { ć }} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$
 paulatim, 'little by little, gradually': Xen. An. vir. 3. 22, ä $\rho \tau$ ous $\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \kappa \lambda a \kappa \alpha \tau a ̀ ~ \mu с к \rho o ́ \nu, ~ m i n u t i m, ~ ' i n ~ l i t t l e ~ b i t s ' . ~$
$\mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \sigma \theta a l . . . a \operatorname{kov} \sigma a v \tau a s]$ 'But I think that you will understand the case [ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ aủr $\hat{\nu} \nu$ neut.] still more exactly in the light of further comments [каi ék $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\partial} \partial \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ opp. to $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \eta$ єip $\eta \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ], when you have heard the history of my action in claim of the estate ': join $\mu \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i$ aú $\hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s$


 $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \rho \tau 0 u ̂ \pi a \iota \delta{ }^{\prime} s$, ' to institute a claim on the boy's behalf': see on § 13 .
 [they abstained from going to law] 'for no other reason than because they thought that they had no claim to this property'. Cp. § 16, ciठóres $8 \tau \iota \xi \xi \xi \omega \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i a s$. I prefer this to
 $\kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, 'simply because they thought', etc. Reiske suggests, oüт $\epsilon$
 ă入入o oủ $\delta \in ́ v$.
§ 16. '่̇ $\pi \in \mathfrak{l}$ ov่ $\delta^{\prime}$ a้v oûtos, $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$. ] 'since even the prosecutor would not be vexing me now, if I allowed him to plunder the boy's property and did not thwart him': i.e. as on the former occasion the sons of Stratius refrained from litigation, so the son of Stratocles would refrain now, did not this pettifogger instigate him, out of spite, because I (as єं $\pi i r \rho o \pi o s$ of the boy) protect my ward's property from his designs.
 accomplices', note.
$\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \sum \tau \rho a \tau i o v \pi \alpha \iota \delta i\right]$ 'whose relationship (to Hagnias) was properly [סוкaics, as opp. to her allegation] only the same as that of the son of Stratius'. For $\tau \hat{\psi} \Sigma \tau \rho a \tau i o v \pi a \iota \delta i$ we must read, either with Schömann, $\tau \hat{\omega} \Sigma \tau \rho a \tau о \kappa \lambda \notin o u s \pi a \iota \delta i$, ( the nephew of Theopompus,) which seems best: or with Baiter, $\tau 0 i \bar{s} \Sigma \tau \rho a-$ tiov $\pi \alpha, \sigma$. - The assertion made here is false: see stemma. Eubulides, the father of Phylomachè, was the first-cousin of

Hagnias. Stratius, Stratocles and Theopompus were only his second-cousins. See on § 10, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \omega ̈ \nu ~ a ́ \nu \epsilon \psi ~ i o v ~ \pi a i ̂ s . ~$.
oi кúpto $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} s^{\text {e }} \mathrm{A} . \mu \eta$ тpós] 'the legal representatives of the mother of Hagnias': see or. v. § 10, note, p. 367.

ท́ $\sigma a v$ oioí $\tau \epsilon]$ 'were capable' $=\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\sigma} \lambda \mu \eta \sigma a \nu$ : cp. Dem. In Mid.
 $\nu \eta \nu$ äroфaiveıv, 'he was capable of pressing the arbitrator to return the award given against him as if it had been an award in his favour'. àvtifıceiv oiol (Scheibe), without $\tau \epsilon$, would mean merely, 'the kind of men likely to contend', and is unsuitable here. Sce or. viri. § 21, p. 192, ко $\mu$ i $\xi \in \iota \nu$ oios $\eta^{\eta} \nu$, 'I felt inclined to carry him away'.
 kinship they should oppose to mine': d $\nu \tau t \gamma \rho$., deliberative subj., vivid for ó $\tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a \iota \nu \tau 0 .-\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$, the plea put in aguinst his émiocxaбia ( $\S 15$ ) or claim.
 [Phylomachê, daughter of Eubulides], and those who set forth her claim in kinship, as they misiepresented the matter, were easily convicted by me, then and there, of having audaciously framed a statement devoid of truth'. Tò $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ aủ $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ v o s, ~ l i t . ~$ 'the degree of relationship which concerned her', i.e. on which
 at the time,-finally disposing of her claim, whereas the mother's claim was revived in a new form. - $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi a$, not $=$ the technical ávтıy $\alpha \not \psi a ́ \mu \in \nu o u$, but simply of a statement in writing.



үévet $\mu^{\prime} v$, к.т.入.] 'Since, though her collateral kinship [with her son Hagnias] was the same as my own, (for she was the sister of Stratius,) she was excluded by the law which gives the preference to males, they dropped that plea [of cousinship], and, thinking to get the better of me, described her as mother of the deceased'.- Polemon, father of Hagnias, had married the daughter of his own first-cousin. Hence the mother of Hagnias was at the same time the second-cousin of her son. Her claim was, on this score, the same as that of Theopompus: his was better only because males were preferred to females.
 - j$\nu='$ is, as I granted': Goodwin § 11, note 6.
 - Next, when she deacribed herself as the daughter of a firstcomain [and no donjer mother of Hagnias], I proved that daughters of first-cousins, too, were not in the succession [since
a first-cousin's son existed]. Thus I established my claim (è $\pi \epsilon-$


 was no occasion for him to describe himself anew as àve $\psi \iota o \hat{u}$ rais, since that was the quality in which he had claimed from the first.
 valid;-for the possessor of the estate, it availed not that she had already got a verdict against the claimants under the will [i.e. against Glaucon, § 9]; for the other, it availed not that
 ád $\eta \theta$ '́s $\tau \iota, \S 17$, note.
 Cp. Dem. In Mid. § 51, тoúroıs ḑ̇ıô $\delta o u ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s . ~$
 moiety of the estate on behalf of the boy', = $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \tau 0 \hat{u} \pi a \omega \delta \delta s$. After $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \delta \iota \kappa \in i v$, the adversary is usually designated by $\pi \rho o ́ s$

 sense (= 'contend against') explains the dat.

## 185 III. חEPI TOY KIP $2 N O \Sigma$ K

 the Estate of Ciron'.-Ciron married his first-cousin, by whom he had one daughter. This daughter was married, first, to Nausimenes; secondly, to another husband by whom she had two sons, of whom the eldest is the speaker.After the death of his first wife, Ciron married the sister of one Diocles, and had by her two sons, both of whom died young.

At the death of Ciron, his estate was claimed by his daughter's eldest son. But the son of Ciron's brother, instigated by Diocles, set up a counter-claim on two distinct grounds: 1. That Ciron's grandson is illegitimate: 2. That, supposing him legitimate, a brother's son has a better claim than a daughter's son.

This speech is the defendant's answer.
The only indication of the date is that the speaker and his brother were born after the archonship of Eucleides, Ol. 94. 2,
 speech cannot, then, be put before 383 в.c. On the other hand, the speaker's plea of 'utter inexperience' (§5) implies youthfulness. Now, if he was a young man, the date cannot be much below 383 , since otherwise it would have been superfluous for him to tell the judges that he was born after
403. The date is probably about 375 b.c.-Attic Orators, II. 327 f.

This speech exhibits the powers of Isaeus perhaps at their best, in its combination of the old plainness with the modern force, of artistic narrative with trenchant proof. It is here given in full, with the exception of four seetions at the end (43-46).

## STEMMA.



$$
8 \leqslant 1-42 .
$$

§ิ 1. '̇ $\pi$ i rois toloúrots] 'Indignation must necessarily be felt, judges, in a case where men not only dare to claim the property of others, but also hope by their own assertions to abolish the privileges which the laws confer': i.e. not only does the claimant seek to deprive me of property which in fact is mine, but he also mis-states the lanc on the subject. The first clanse refers to the claimant's statement that the speaker's inother was not the legitimate daughter of Ciron : the second, to his statement that a brother's son inherits before a daughter's son.
outrot] viz. the nephew of Ciron, and Diocles, the brother of Ciron's second wife, who abetted the nephow : cp. §ु 3.
is ouk...ठvtas. "oúSt yevouivns|'alleging that we are not the son s of his daughter, and that he never had a daughter at all': revouluns, gen. absol. to which ws belongs as well as to orvas.oused, Reiske for the mas. ofte.
§ 2．av̉rov́s．．．$\tau$ uvt $\omega v$ ］тoút $\omega \nu$ referring to the same persons as aüroús：cp．Andoc．De Myst．§ 64，aưtoîs followed by éкє́lyoıs， p． 41.

тó $\left.<\tau \epsilon>\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0 s}\right]$ mss．$\tau \dot{\delta} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os：Baiter and Sauppe，$\tau \dot{\text { ó }} \tau \epsilon$ $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os．Scheibe，with Schömann，кai $\tau \grave{2} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os．
＊Xovol．．．kpaтov̂бl］＇have taken by force，and（still）hold in their grasp＇．
§ 3．Tクेv $\mu$ èv oûv kpiбเv，к．т．入．］＇Now you must not sup－ pose that in this trial my real adversary is the man who has instituted the claim；it is Diocles of Phlya，surnamed Orestes． For it is he who has suborned（ $\pi а р а \sigma к \in v \alpha \sigma a s$ ）the claimant to vex us with these proceedings，because he himself is withhold－ ing（ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu)$ from us the property which our grandfather bequeathed＇．－Isaeus wrote two speeches，now lost，againt this man，катà $\Delta \iota o \kappa \lambda \epsilon$ о́vs $\ddot{v} \beta \rho \epsilon \omega s$（perh．in the $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ noticed below，
 II．230．－＇O $\ell \in \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ ：a nickname for any violent character，bor－ rowed from the robber mentioned by Aristophanes（with an allusion to the Orestes of Eurip．），Acharn．1166，єโтa ката́ $\epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \in$
 II．328．）
186 § 4．$\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ kal tò סíkaıóv モ̇ $\sigma \pi \iota$ l＇even as justice prescribes＇，

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，as truth and justice prescribe that we should speak in discussing superhuman excellence：Laches 181 c ，$\tilde{\sigma} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ тò Sixalov，as right enjoins．
 fabricated statements and witnesses whose depositions are



 has an echo in Dem．Adv．Aphob．I．§2，oì $\alpha \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ oîv，$\hat{\omega}$ änôpes

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．Demosthenes was doubtless aided by the counsel of Isaeus in preparing for the contests with Aphobus and Onetor， though these earliest speeches of Demosthenes have a thoroughly original stamp ：see Attic Orators，ir．267－269．
 strong hopes that you will ratify my just claims，and also that in stating these claims－if in nothing else－my own part will be duly done，－－unless some such mischance befall as I appre－ hend just now＇［where＇just＇will render $\tau v \gamma \chi^{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon$ ］：alluding，
apparently, either to an indisposition from which the speaker was suffering, or to some interruption or annoyance which he anticipated from his opponents. In either case, the clause a $\nu$ $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau_{\iota} \sigma v \mu \beta \hat{\eta}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. is evidently an appeal bespeaking the sympathy of the judges.
 'to give me your righteous aid', concise for $\beta$ on $\theta_{0} \hat{v} v \tau a s ~ a ं \pi o \delta o \hat{v}-$


 p. 242.
§ 6. $\lambda o ́ y \omega v$ d́коท̂ каi $\mu a \rho \tau v ́ \rho \omega \nu . . . \tau о i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i \delta o ́ \sigma \iota ~ X p . ~ \mu a ́ \rho \tau v \sigma เ v] ~$ ' (I will prove this to you), in regard to the events further back, by statements at second-hand, vouched for by those who heard them ( $\lambda$ ó $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ d́коŋ̂ каi $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{v} \rho \omega \nu$ ); in regard to events within living memory, by witnesses personally cognizant of the facts
 'by the hearing of reports (object. gen.) and the hearing (thereof) hy witnesses (subject. gen.)' : i.e. I will bring witnesses who can vouch for áко̀ 入ó ${ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$, things which are not, indeed, within their personal knowledge, but which they know from hearsay. Cp.
 mápripes (for which the evidences are rather hearsay reports) $\hat{\eta}$ $\delta \psi \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \nu \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$. So below, § 29, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \alpha \lambda a \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ (neut.)
 єiòótas êкабта тоútшע.
 piocs, here, logical inferences from established facts, as opp. to maptrpiau, allegations tending to establish facts: cp. Antiph. De Caed. Her. \& 81, note, p. 215.
 point at which they [the claimants] began their account of the matter, [aúT $\hat{\nu}=\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, the history of the bequest,] I will endeavour to give you my version of it'.
 his first-consin ( $\alpha \nu \in \psi(\dot{a})$, and was the grandmother ( $\tau \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ) of the speaker.-aúr $\dot{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{D}$ : aút $\dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ is not, I think, redundant

 symmetry with auiroû, 'herself the child of his own mother's sister' - a way of marking, on the speaker's part, that he and his brother, the children of the first marriage, had an additional tie with the house of Ciron which was not shared by the conwexions (such as Diocles) through the second marriage.

щetd ivcautois "тittapas] mss. tpuáкuta, which cannot
stand, since the words could not mean ' after a life of 30 years'. Dobree conj. ré $\sigma \sigma a \rho a s$, supposing $\lambda^{\prime}$ to have been written by mistake for $\delta^{\prime}$.
 A, whence Bekker $\gamma(\gamma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$.
 $\tau \epsilon$. For the place of $\tau \epsilon$, cp. Antiph. Tetr. B. $\beta$. § $1, \tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{a} \nu \tau \alpha$ $\tau \epsilon \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$, note, p. 203.- $\sigma v \nu o \kappa \kappa i \nu \quad \epsilon i \chi \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a \nu$ : cp. Lysias or.
 тои́т $\omega$.- Xòapyêt: of the deme Xo入apyós.
 clothes or jewels, of 25 minas'. Cp. Isae. or. xi. $\S 42$, кaт $\hat{\lambda} \iota \pi \epsilon$

 § 10, note, p. 244.
ó $\delta e ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \pi \pi \pi o s, ~ к . \tau . \lambda] ~ ' ~ O u r ~ g r a n d f a t h e r ' ~.[h e r ~ f a t h e r, ~ C i r o n] ~$ 'took her to his home; and, without withdrawing the dowry (large as it was) which he had given on account of the embarrassed circumstances of Nausimenes, bestows her hand in a second marriage on my father', etc.
 the truth of all these facts beyond dispute, in face of the charges which the claimants are making now? [ $\nu \hat{v} \nu$, after so long an interval]. I sought, and I discovered, a way'.-aitias, the imputations cast on the genuineness of the speaker's descent. For the rhetorical $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \sigma \iota s$, cp. or. xi. § 11, p. 181,


 mother was, or was not, the daughter of Ciron,--the fact that she lived, or did not live, in his house-the question whether he gave a marriage-feast for her once only, or twice-all this must be known to the male and female slaves of his household'. The difference of form made by the alternation of $\mu \eta^{\prime}-$ ov- $\mu$ ' is roughly represented by the alternation of 'question' and 'fact' in such a version as the above. The oniy practical difference here is that the clause in which ou is used refers to that point which a member of the household could at once affirm or deny in the most positive manner,-viz., whether she had, or had not, been a resident member of the family. See on Antiph. De Caed. Her. § 14, $\hat{\eta} \mu \mu^{\prime} . . . \hat{\eta}$ ov̋, p. 211. Cp. Dem.

 $\chi$ ро́доу єїтє $\mu \dot{\eta}$.
 to the witnesses whom I had already, to procure proof of the facts from depositions made under torture,-in order that you might believe [ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \cup \eta \tau \epsilon$, vivid for $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ viol $\tau$ ] my witnesses (aúrois) the more, when this ordeal for their veracity was past and not prospective-I required the claimants ( $\tau$ ovíous) to give up their male and female slaves for the question, both on these points, and on all others of which they were cognizant'. He proposed to examine the slaves under torture on the same matters to which the depositions of his witnesses referred. If the slaves confirmed the statements of the witnesses, then the witnesses would come into court with a presumption already established in favour of their truthfulness: whereas, if they had not been tested in this way, the court might always suspect that the evidence of the household, could it be obtained, would contradict them. Cp. Lycurg. In Leocrat. § 28, oipaı $\delta \in i ̂ \nu . .$.


 should pass the ordeal of veracity before, and not after, they depone in court. Now I invited their attendance [at the Báa avos, or examination of the slaves], after drawing up a challenge [ $\pi$ pók $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, to surrender slaves] referring to all these points, and claiming to put the defendant's slaves to the question'.
§ 12. kal l8iq kal $\delta \eta \mu 0 \sigma$ lq, к. к. .. .] 'Both in private and in
 the Hermae were mutilated. This whole passage, from $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i s$
 verbatim in Dem. Adv. (onet. I. § 37. Cp. Isocr. or. xvir. § 54,



 Cic. Topich xx. \$74, nam et verberibus, tormentis, igni fatigati quap dimut, pa videtur veritas ipsut dicere. It must be remembered that under Greek and Koman law citizens were ordinarily protected from torture, and that it is citizens who pronomce these astounding panegyrics on the services of torture to truth. C'p. Andoc. De Myst. § 43, note, p. 226.
 ture-whether anyone believed it or not-was that a person under torture will tell the truth hecause it is his interest to tell



good commentary on this assumption will be found in Antiphon De Caed. Herod. $\S \S 31-33,-$ a passage which deserves to be placed beside this of Isaeus:- 'The slave, to whom the prosecutors had doubtless promised freedom, and whose release from agony likewise depended upon them, was probably induced to calumniate me on both grounds-in the hope of winning his freedom, and in the desire of deliverance from anguish at the moment. Now I fancy you all know this, - that the party in whose hands the chief conduct of the examination rests have the examined on their side, and ready to say anything that will please them: for in the torturers is the hope of the tortured, especially if the slandered persons happen not to be present. Had it been I who gave the order to rack the slave as speaking falsely, that very threat would probably have deterred him from bearing false witness against me. As it was, the prosecutors were at once presidents of the inquisition and protectors of their own interest. So long, therefore, as the slave felt that his prospects in slandering me were hopeful, he was obstinate in the calumny; but when he saw that he was to die, then at last he told the truth, and said that he had been persuaded by the prosecutors to slander me'.
 - фєย́rovtos, oürcus: 'since we claimed,...while he refused,... under these circumstances (ov́tcos) we shall demand ', etc.
§ 14. ákoท'v] 'what they have heard'. oûtot, the witnesses who have just given their testimony, are not, I think, the persons who were intimate with Ciron, oï é $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau 0 \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \omega$ (for they would be said eiס'́val), but oi $\pi a \rho a ̀$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \omega \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$ ג்кои́баขтєs. See on § 6 .
 betrothed and those who were present with them when she was betrothed to them'. Toùs é $\gamma \gamma u \eta \sigma a \mu$ évous = her first husband, Nausimenes, and her second husband, the speaker's father. Both are dead, but the relatives of both (oi єєєєivoıs $\pi a \rho \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ) are witnesses. The formal $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma \iota s$ was necessary to the validity of a marriage: see the $\nu \dot{\circ} \mu$ os ap. [Dem.] In Stephan. II. § 18, $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$

 єivaı $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ \gamma u n \sigma i o u s . ~ T h e ~ a c t . ~ Є \gamma \gamma v \omega ̂ ~ w a s ~ s a i d ~ o f ~ t h e ~ r e l a t i v e, ~$ or his representative before the law (кúpios, or. v. § 10, n. p. 367), who bestows the hand of the bride, but the midd. $\dot{\epsilon}^{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \hat{\omega} \mu \mathrm{a}$ 。 of the bridegroom: cp. Her. vr. 130, єं $\gamma \gamma v \omega \hat{\omega} \pi a i ̂ \delta a ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \ldots$
 [Dem.] or. Lvil. Adv. E'ubul. § 41, ̇̇ $\gamma \gamma v a ̂ \tau a \iota ~ o ́ ~ \pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho a$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.

know that she lived in the family and was the true-born daughter of Ciron?' The answer to this question would
 evidence had been excluded by the refusal of the claimants to give them up for torture : and the speaker turns this to account by saying, 'The present claimants practically ( ${ }_{\xi}{ }^{\rho} \gamma \varphi \varphi$ ) bear clear testimony that these facts are so, by withholding their slaves from the question'.
§ 15. $\left.{ }^{*} \hat{\mathbb{Q}}<\mu a \theta_{o} v \tau \epsilon \gg \gamma \omega \dot{\sigma} \in \epsilon \sigma \epsilon\right]$ The traditional reading 190 is iva $\gamma^{\nu} \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \theta$. But iva with fut. indic. is unexampled in classical Greek: see Goodwin § 44, 1. Cp. the note on the text.
$\pi \alpha(\delta \omega \nu$ *ővt $\omega v$ ] 'as we were children'. This (Sauppe's) conjecture is at least more prob. than $\delta \nu \tau \omega \nu v i \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$. The vulgate, $\pi a i o ̂ \omega \nu ~ v i \epsilon ́ \epsilon \nu$, is unintelligible. Reiske, from the Aldine $\pi$ ám $\pi$ ov vié $\omega \nu$, gives $\pi a \dot{a} \pi \pi \frac{\nu}{}$ vié $\omega \nu$. I suspect, however, that the fault lies deeper, and that vié $\omega \nu$ referred to the two sons, now dead,
 vieis júo). The general sense would then have been, oia $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
 $\tau \rho o ́ s, \kappa_{.} \cdot \tau . \lambda$.
eis $\Delta$ wovóva els áypóv] The 'Lesser' or 'Rural' Dionỵsia, the Vintage Feast, was kept in Dec. ; the Lenaea, in Jan.; the Anthesteria in Feb., and the great Dionysia in March.-rd кar' áyoous diovúola, with its rustic sports (chief of which was the $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega k a \sigma \mu \dot{s}$, or dancing on d́бкot, wine-skins inflated and greased, Pollux Ix. 121), was essentially a family festival,hence the point of its mention here: see Harpocration 143, $\tau \dot{\alpha}$
 $\theta$ oov, in which members of the same yévos offered sacrifice together ( 30 र́tu made a фparpia, and three $\phi \rho a т p i a l ~ a ~ \phi u \lambda \eta ́) . ~$
 his companions at public spectacles [in the Theatre], where he would set us at his side [ $\pi a \rho$ aútóv, not $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ aút $\hat{\psi}$ ], and for every festive celebration we came to his house [ $\pi$ ap' '̇кeivol]': for aútò ...èkeivol cp. Andoc. De Myxt. §ु 64, note, p. 230. Cp.




$\tau \hat{\psi} \Delta u t \in \sigma_{0} \omega v$, к.t. $\left.\lambda.\right]$ 'And when he sacrificed to Zeus Ktesios, - a festival which he kept with especial reverence, and in which he associated no stranger, slave or free, hut dideverything by the hands of his own houselughl (airos ob 'autou), -we participated in that celebration, assisted in the service of the
altar，laid our offerings on it along with his own（ $\sigma v \nu \in \pi \epsilon \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ ）， and aided in all else；and he used to pray the god to give us health and wealth，as a grandfather would pray＇．－Z $\epsilon$＇s $\mathrm{K} \tau \dot{\prime} \sigma$ oos
 were regarded as protecting the family and its possessions：
 iסpúovto：the Doric name of this Zeus was Máolos，Ross Inscr． iiI．52．Small images（ $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} a$ ）of Z $\mathrm{\epsilon} \dot{\prime} \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~K} \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota o s$ were kept in little cases or shrines，which were especially called кадібкоь
 xr．p．473，with Casaubon＇s note $a p$ ．Schweigh．）．－$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ ， Scheibe for mss．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，a necessary corr．，I think．For ̇̇ $\pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \in \nu a l$ ，cp．Ar．Nub．426，ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu \quad \theta \dot{\sigma} \alpha a \mu$＇，ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu \sigma \pi \epsilon i \sigma a \iota \mu$ ， oú $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi \iota \theta \epsilon i \eta \nu \lambda_{c} \beta a \nu \omega \tau \delta \nu$ ．Cp．＇́met $\theta$ vov ap．Harpocr．in note on eis $\Delta$ ıovíбıa，§ 15.
 being dead，§ 7．Dobree would bracket ката入є $\epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in ́ \nu o u s:$
 $\lambda \epsilon!⿱ 亠 䒑 є \tau а \iota$.
191 ＊oûtos $\pi$ apaסov̂val］ô̂tos is Dobree＇s conjecture．The mss． aúròs could only mean－＇It is his own fault（and not mine）that I cannot produce the slaves in support of these statements＇．

 demesmen＇are the women of the deme to which Ciron be－ longed．On his daughter＇s marriage，they chose her as one of the two presidents of the $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \phi \dot{\rho} \iota a$ ，the three days＇festival of $\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \eta \eta \rho$ Өєб $\mu \circ \phi \dot{\rho} \rho o s$, the Law－giver，which was annually cele－ brated about the end of Oct．by the women of each Attic deme． It seems to have been customary for a rich man，on his marriage，to defray the cost of a banquet at the next Thesmo－

 रацє $\bar{\eta} s$ каi $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \phi o ́ p \iota a \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \hat{a} \nu \tau \dot{a} s$ रuvaîкаs［entertain


 a marriage－feast＇［on bringing the bride home，as her father did on her leaving his house，§9］．．．＇provided a wedding－festival for his clansmen＇．$\quad \gamma a \mu \eta \lambda i a \nu$, sc．$\theta$ vaiav，Pollux III．42，$\delta \epsilon i \pi \nu o \nu$
 given by the husband on the introduction（ $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ ）of the bride into his $\phi \rho a r \rho i a$ ，while the entertainment denoted by fámous $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota a ̂ \nu$ was of a more private character．
§ 19．тои̂ Пıт日éws］or חı日＇ตs，of the deme of Pitthos or

Pithos, belonging to the Cecropid tribe. This Diocles is a distinct person from Ciron's brother-in-law, Diocles ó $\Phi \lambda v \epsilon$ ús, of Phlya, § 3 .

єis tov̀s фpáтopas...cioŕyayєv] Cp. Dem. or. xxxix. Adv. Boeot. de nom. § 4, érүpáфє九 roís'A taraupious routovì Boıwtòv єis rous $\phi$ páropas. This was done on the third day of the Apaturia, called коирє $\bar{\omega} \tau \iota s$ (the first day being $\delta о \rho \pi \epsilon i a$, the supper, - the second, áváppurıs, the sacrifice) : and the ceremony-here represented as occurring soon after birth-was seldom deferred beyond the third or fourth year: Herm. Ant. I. § 99. 2. 10.

 in wedlock': '̇ $\gamma \gamma \cup \eta \tau \hat{\eta} s$, betrothed with the consent of her father or his legal substitute : see note on $\S 14$, $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma u \eta \sigma a \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ous.



 otє $\sigma \theta \epsilon$ belongs to $\epsilon і \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon i \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к р u^{\prime} \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota$, being repeated after $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ : with aipєī大al and with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ it is again repeated. In the direct discourse, the form would be: $\epsilon i$


 $(\alpha, \nu)$ : where the imperfects, which might refer to present time, refer to a continued act in post time, 'would not have proceeded to choose', etc.: Goodwin § 49.2. The number of clatuses in the apodosis leads to the protasis, $\epsilon i \ldots \eta \eta$, being re-stated in a

 to the notoriety of the matter [toû $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu a r o s$, her legitimacy] and the fact that so many persons were aware of it, no such objection was raised from any quarter'. $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi ., \tau \hat{\psi} \sigma \nu v \in \iota-$ òtval, causal datives: cp. Antiph. De Cued. Her. § 3, roîs

§ 21. коцгоч́щкvos aüróv] 'to whtain the body, intending that the funeral should take place from my own house':
 below, of simply carrying.-ik $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oikias: i.f. the laving out ( $\pi$ podeocos) shotili take place thore, and the eispopá to the grave

 vat, note, p. 253.

кopļew olos jiv] I was disposed to remove the body . Fior
the distinction between oîos and oios $\tau \epsilon$ with infin．，see above， or．xI．§ 16， $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ olol $\tau \epsilon$ ，note，p． 387.
 ＇dress＇（for the $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s)$ ．Soph．El．1139，oűt＇є̇v фi入aıб

 so componere．Here $\sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon \tau a \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i \zeta$ ．refers esp．to the washing of the corpse：Lucian $\Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \pi \epsilon \nu \theta$ ous，11，$\lambda o u ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s . . . \mu v ́ \rho \varphi$
 $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \omega \bar{s}$ à $\mu \phi \stackrel{\epsilon}{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$.
§ 23．$\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\alpha}$ кal é $\omega v \eta \hat{\sigma} \theta a \mathrm{~L} . . . \tau 0$ v̀s $\left.\lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau a s\right]$＇but alleging that he had actually（ $\kappa \alpha i$ ）purchased part of the requisites of the funeral，and had given earnest－money for the rest，Diocles claimed these sums from me；and came to an agreement that he should be reimbursed for his purchases，while，as to the alleged deposits of earnest－money，he was to produce those who had received it＇．－$\dot{\rho} \rho a \beta \omega$ ， $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ：a small sum paid in advance as caution－money．Arist．Polit．I．11．§ 9，фабiv aủzòv．．．
 oúd $\epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau o s$, paid earnest－money all over the country （ $\delta \iota \alpha \delta o \hat{v} a \iota$ ）for the hire of the oil－presses，－getting them at a low rent，as no one bid against him．－$\sigma v \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \iota$ ：＇to introduce＇， ＇present＇to the speaker the persons who had received the
 каi тò̀ $\Lambda \epsilon \omega \kappa \rho a ́ \tau \eta ~ \sigma v \nu є \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ，and presented L．to me（in proof of transactions between L．and himself）．
193 єv่⿴囗่s oûv．．．тарєфө́＇$\gamma \gamma \in \tau 0]$＇Well，then，－he immediately remarked in a casual way that Ciron had left nothing at all behind him，－though I had not yet touched on the subject of Ciron＇s property＇．$\pi a \rho \in \phi \theta \epsilon \in \gamma \gamma$ ．，threw in the observation care－ lessly，as if it were not the thing uppermost in his thoughts． Cp．Hypereides Pro Euxenippo xliI．，кal тò $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\partial} \tau a \tau o \nu$



 that the speaker＇s attention is suddenly turned on the intruder．

 distinction which can be drawn between oủ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ єđँєє and oú $\mu \grave{\eta}$ єi $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \theta \eta$ s is that ou $\mu \dot{\eta}$ є $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ states the negative future fact in a more direct and positive manner．This direct and positive negation，addressed to a person or persons，may of course，as here，be equivalent to a prohibition：Ar．Nub．367，ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\lambda a \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ，＇You shall not prate＇．On the other hand，unless
the mss. are altered, oủ $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi$ oレnं $\sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ (e.g.) is sometimes merely a rougher and stronger oú $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi 0 \circ \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ : Aeschin. In Ctes. §177,
 view that oú $\mu \grave{\eta}$ єlँ $\sigma \in \iota$ (e.g.) meant 'will you not not-enter?' appears to be decisively negatived by such passages as Ar.

 Goodwin § 89.
 in his hands early the next morning'. Éкє $\lambda \in v o \nu$ usu. $=$ 'I requested' or 'invited', Ėкe $\lambda \in v \sigma a$, 'I commanded', though the distinction cannot always, of course, be sharply drawn. In Xen. Anab. vir. 1 most mss. have the milder $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \in \lambda \in v \in$ in § 38 , and the more peremptory $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \sigma \epsilon$ in $\S 39$-rightly, I think: though G. Sauppe adopts in $\S 39$ the v. 1. éкé $\lambda \epsilon v \epsilon$. On the other hand $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \epsilon$ is preferable to the vulg. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \in \epsilon \in v \sigma \in$ in such places as Anab. п. 5. 3, ㄷ. 3. 13.
§ 25. ou' roivuv ékeivos...eitev ov̉סév] 'Now it was not Diocles alone who was silent; the present claimant of the estate said nothing to such a purport either [oủṑ̀ $\frac{\text { toov̂tov, }}{}$ nothing implying that I was not the lawful heir]; it is Diocles who has suborned him to contend': i.e. oú $\mu$ óvos éкê̂vos oúdèv
 ness arising from the postponement of $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$ ov̀ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$, which leaves oúdé with no corresponding negative before it, since oú belongs only to $\mu$ óvos. All would be clear if ou' $\delta \epsilon$ were kal, and $\epsilon \tau \pi \epsilon \nu$

 refused to receive in payment ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ o $\alpha \alpha \beta \epsilon i \nu$, as $\S 23, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \quad$ opac. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \tau \tau \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda a \beta \epsilon i \nu)$ the money which I tendered, and alleged next day [when I brought it, sis $\epsilon \omega$ § 24] that he had received it in full from the claimant (roúrot), yet I was not prevented from attending the funeral, but took part in the ceremony throughout: not that the claimant or Diocles bore the cost; the charges of the burial were defrayed out of the property left
 тoûว̇e dya入lokovtos (gen. absol.).
826. каítol kal тои́тш] 'The claimant too, however, [i.e. even if Diocles had remained passive] was bound to repulse me ( $\dot{\omega} \theta \in \hat{i} \nu)$, -t $t$, repudiate me ( $\epsilon x \beta \dot{\beta} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ) - to forbid my presence at the funeral'. $\dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon i v$, to rejel advances : $\epsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, stronger, to ejeet from the company of the relatives.
 towards him was utterly different [from his towards me]': i.f. I, claiming to be the grandson and heir, still recognised him
as a nephew of Ciron: but he, on his own theory, was bound

 objection: 'If, as you say, he ought to have excluded you from the funeral rites, why did you not exclude him?'-hence ráp.
194 § 27. '่̇ $\pi i$ тov̂ * $\mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau 0 \varsigma$ ] 'at the tomb'. Schömann's emend. of $\beta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o s$. Dem. De Cor. § 208, тoùs èv toîs $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i o \iota s$
 before the court. But the phrase is strange, and the supposed conduct stranger still. If $\beta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o s$ is right, it prob. means the tribune from which the speaker addressed a law-court, when claiming the inheritance in some proceedings previous to this case. Cp. § 37.- ${ }^{\text {a } \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\nu} \nu, ~ ' w i t h h o l d i n g ' ; ~ c p . ~ § ~} 3$.
§ 28. $\pi o ́ \theta \in \nu ~ \delta \grave{e ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~} \mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s ; ~ o u ̉ k ~ e ́ k ~ \tau \omega ̂ v ~ \beta a \sigma a ́ v \omega v ; ~$ к.т.д.] 'And how is the credibility of witnesses to be tested? How but by statements made under torture?' [by slaves

 aủt $\omega \nu, \kappa_{.} \tau . \lambda_{\text {., and notes. }}$
 entitled to disbelieve the statements of the claimants? How, but by their shrinking from the ordeals of proof?' [by refusing to permit the examination of their slaves: § 13].
§§ 28, 29. $\pi \omega ̂ s ~ o v ิ v ~ đ ้ v ~ \tau เ ร . . . \eta ̋ \delta \epsilon \sigma a v ; ~ к . \tau . \lambda] ~ ' H o w ~ c o u l d$. one prove the case more clearly than by offering a proof of this kind,-producing, for the earlier part of the story, hearsay evidence ( $\alpha \kappa о \not \nu \nu)$ vouched for by witnesses, and for matters within living memory [lit., from among men still living] those who know the several facts,-who were cognizant of her living in Ciron's house, being acknowledged as his daughter, having been twice betrothed and twice married: then further, proving that the claimants have shrunk from applying the question, on all these points, to slaves who knew the whole'. The complexity of this sentence is studied, and the subtlety is Isaean. The really weak point in the speaker's case obviously is that, for the principal facts, he has nothing but $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \boldsymbol{\eta}$, hearsay evidence, by way of proof. He tries to get over this by a persistent assumption that the slaves who had personal knowledge of the facts would have confirmed this hearsay evidence if only he could have examined them. By his way of introducing rous cióoras in this sentence he makes it depend on $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi \dot{\jmath} \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs, suggesting that he had actually produced witnesses who had personal knowledge (rou's ci $\delta 6$ тas), whereas, at most, he had only tried to produce them. Theu roúrous after è ét $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$

 prefer щартиройvтаs．
§ 30．фध́pє $\delta \eta$ ท́，к．т．${ }^{\text {．］}] ~ H i s ~ f i r s t ~ p o i n t ~ h a s ~ n o w ~ b e e n ~ m a d e ~} 195$ －viz．that his mother was the true－born daughter of Ciron． Here he comes to his second point．Briefly it is this．Descent in the direct line（ $\gamma \in \operatorname{c} \nu(\mathcal{S}$ ）gives a better claim to succession than collateral kinship（ $\sigma \nu \gamma \gamma \in \in \epsilon \iota \alpha$ ）．Descendants（ $\epsilon \kappa \gamma \circ \nu \circ$ ）have a richt to inherit before collateral relations（ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in i s$ ）．And therefore a grandson before a nephew．
 a general proposition（ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s)$ ，it is already as clear to you as to me［кai $\dot{u} \mu i v$ ］that Ciron＇s collateral relatives（oi $\mu \in \tau$＇$\in \kappa \varepsilon i v o v$ фúveधs）are not nearer to the legal succession than his lineal
 we call the former merely his＂kinsfolk＂，the latter his ＂issue＂．Nevertheless，since even under these circumstances the claimants have the hardihood to dispute my right，I will prove the point more in detail from the laws themselves＇．oi $\mu \epsilon \tau a ́$ тıvos фúvtes，kinsmen in the same geueration with him， brothers，si－ters，or cousins．Dobree＇s $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega s$ for $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ is needless ：$\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega}$ s is opp．to $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho t \beta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$.
 be entitled to marry her［i．e．his own niece］，but would not be entitled to the property，which would go to their children， when these had come of age＇：$\quad \dot{\pi} i$ סiects $\eta$ グß $\eta \sigma a v$ ，＇had been ＇$\ddagger \eta$ por for two years＇，i．e．had completed their 20 th year．－

 teievtioeter would be admissible，but the indic．is used becanse the speaker is looking back on the historical fact of the decease．
 not only from the foregoing consideration，but also from the law which deals with breaches of natural duty＇．An eioarye入（a какütews might be laid against（1）a son，on behalf of his parents－or，acc．to this passage，his grand－parents：（2）a howsiatad，on behalf of his wife，she being an eimin $\eta \eta$ pos［the phrase is always rais imik入npots］：（3）a guardian，ititpotos，on behalf of his ward，－as in reference to the estate of Hagnias （or．x1．，intrenl．p．379）．In casees of кáxwors the accuser could speak avee bouros，without limit of time（Harpoer．1fi），and was not liable to the emwirela（or fine in th of the damages laid）if he faited to prain a fifth of the votes．－For the term


 by a particular case of collateral kinship，－the nearest，－and will ask you to compare with it the several degrees of lineal
 descendant）with one－the first，nearest－of collateral kinsmen （ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \omega \hat{\nu})$ ，viz．a brother：and will question you，$\tau 0 \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \in \nu=u s$
 tinguished from $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \in \in \varphi \iota a$ ：i．e．I will first take a daughter， then，descending，a daughter＇s child，etc．If it appears that even a daughter＇s son is nearer than a brother，a fortiori he is nearer than a brother＇s son：and I，therefore，Ciron＇s grandson， inherit before his nephew．
§ 34．тávtधs $\gamma a ̀ \rho$ vi $\mu \in i ̂ s . . a ̉ v \in \pi(\delta ı k o v]$＇You all inherit the property of your fathers，grandfathers，or remoter ancestors in virtue of a lineal descent（ $\gamma$ t́vous）which guarantees your succession against dispute＇：your á $\chi \chi$ бтєía，legal nearness or right to inherit，is $\alpha \nu \epsilon \pi i \delta i \kappa o s$, not to be contested：that is，no
 the estate at law；nor have you to assert your right by an $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma i a$ ．A person who entered on possession of an undis－ puted inheritance was said $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \tau \epsilon \cup \in \epsilon \nu$ єis тòv к $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho o \nu$ ．Cp． ［Dem．］or．xxxili．§ 6.
§ 35．$\left.\Phi \lambda \nu \eta \sigma_{\iota}\right]$ Phlya，of which the exact site is doubtful， a deme of the $\mu \in \sigma o \gamma a i a$ ，or district s．E．of the Attic Plain，seems to have been a place of some note，with several temples：Paus． 1． $31 \S 4$ ，Iv． 1 § 5.
 near the temple of Dionysus in Limnae，－worth 2000 drachmas＇ （about £80）：єنрібкоvба⿱，acc．to Schömann（comparing Boeckh Pub．Econ．67），not of the annual rent，$\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ os，but of the sum which the house would fetch if it were sold：and as the value of the other house is represented by $\tau \rho \iota \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa a i$ 㬵 $\alpha \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，（about $£ 52$ ，）this seems probable．Cp．Isae．or．xı．§ 49，Xaı $\rho \in \lambda \epsilon \omega$ s．．．
 Mem．II．5．5，öта⿱ $\tau \iota s$ оiкє $\tau \eta \nu \pi о \nu \eta \rho \partial \nu \pi \omega \lambda \hat{\eta}$ каi ả $\pi о \delta \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \iota \tau 0 \hat{\imath}$
 him gain＇，i．e．＇for any price the slave will fetch＇．

 ко入ov́ $\theta \eta \sigma o \nu$ ，of the $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \rho \frac{s}{}$ or mean man，Theophr．Char． xxir．（＝xxv．in my ed．，where see note，p．255）．－$\pi a \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ ， ＇girl＇，might be merely a synonym for $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\pi} a \iota \nu a$ ，as in Lysias or．1．$\S \S 11-12$ ，where $\theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\pi} \pi \alpha \iota \nu a$ and $\pi a \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ seem to designate one and the same person．Schömann，however，would dis－
tinguish them both there and here, regarding $\pi a \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ as one who was exempt from menial work.

ठ̈бa фavepà $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ ] 'all the real property' (land, houses, etc., as opp. to money),-here including the slaves, who are considered as furniture of the houses: cp. [Dem.] or. xxxviri.
 $\mu<\kappa \rho^{2} a^{\prime} \tau v a$, 'left all their fortune in debts, and had possessed only some small amount of real property'.
 abstained from finding another husband for his sister, though she was still capable of bearing children in another marriage, lest, if she were separated from Ciron, the latter should form the proper resolve regarding his own property [i.e. should adopt my brother and me as his heirs], but persuaded her to remain with him'. Diocles is the subject to $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \delta i \delta o v$ and

 Hippocrates Epidem. viI. $48,=\dot{d} \pi o \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu: i b$. iv. 2, 5, $\bar{\epsilon} \xi a \mu-$
 äкoura ('had been' doing so hitherto).-As this passage shows, a childless union could be dissolved at the instance of the


 $\S 40$, act., aùtò $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi a \tau \rho \ell$ єiomoinjas, of one who forges a will for his own adoption.
 gradually persuaded Ciron to place under his control ( $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ aitoû $\pi$ oicíc $\theta a t$ ) all the monies ( $\chi$ péa) that were owing to him, with the interest upon them, and also the real property;
 (and'): the kal before rókous merely connects it with $\tau \grave{\alpha}$

 ovicia, 'should be in their hands'. [Dem.] or. xivin. \& 15 ,
 under his exclusive control. Cp. above § 16 , aitòs $\delta i^{\prime}$ '́aurô $\pi \alpha_{\nu} \tau^{\prime}$ ' $\pi$ ol $\epsilon \epsilon$, note.
dтб́тe.. \relevirnoev] 'when my grandfather had died'. At that time he had not died: but iecencitך used, because the speaker is now looking back on the death as



 small fraction if he succeeded＇：he said，$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$, ，$\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu ~ к \alpha т о \rho-~$
 ［i．e．in conversation with］him＇：cp．Isocr．Evag．§ 50，$\pi$ рооо－ $\mu 0 \lambda о \gamma \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, note，p． 292.
 Ciron＇s death，having made his preparations for the funeral beforehand，Diocles requested me，indeed，to bring the money；．．．but then pretended to have received the whole amount from the claimant，and withdrew his consent to take it from me，－thus quietly setting me aside（ $\dot{v} \pi o \pi a \rho \omega \theta \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ，in order that the claimant，and not I，should appear to celebrate my grandfather＇s obsequies＇．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha ́ \phi \iota a$, here $=\tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i s \tau \eta \grave{\nu} \tau \alpha \phi \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$, $\S 23$ ，all requisites for the $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ and the $\epsilon^{*} \kappa \phi \circ \rho \alpha$ ．－$\tau \dot{\delta}$ d́prúplov：see § 24.
 my possession of that house［тav́т $\eta$ ，the house in which Ciron died］as well as of the other property left by Ciron，and alleged that he had left nothing behind him，I did not think it proper，under such inopportune circumstances，to use force in removing my grandfather＇s corpse，－and my friends approved of this decision；but I took part in the rites of burial，the charges being defrayed by the property which my grandfather left＇．Cp．§§ 21－22：the entreaties of Diocles＇sister induced him to refrain from removing the body．－áкacpias：for the plur．see Isocr．Antid．§ 283，note，p．303．－$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi o l o u \nu$ ：for $\pi o \iota \epsilon i \nu$ ，of sacred rites，cp．$\S \S 16,25 .-\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \omega \mu \ldots \gamma \iota \gamma \nu$ ．：the

 preter of the sacred law，and，under his directions，rendered at my own charges the ninth－day offerings to the dead＇．Cp．

 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta$＇s was usu．hereditary in Eupatrid houses who possessed the unwritten lore of religious tradition：cp．［Lys．］In Andoc．

 there are references to a written lore in such matters，e．g．a ritual of purification for suppliants，$\uparrow \kappa є \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta a \rho \sigma \iota s$ ，Athen．ix． 78．－$\tau \dot{\alpha}$ 光 $\nu a \tau \alpha$ ，novendialia，as $\tau$ pía，Ar．Lysist．613，offerings at the grave on the third day after death ：yearly offerings are
 Herm．Ant．III．§ 39.

〔va．．．éккó廿aıцı］＇that I might disconcert this sacrilegious scheme of theirs＇：iєpoov入 $\{a \nu$, i．e．their usurpation of my right （as nearest kinsman）to honour the dead．－हंккоч $\frac{1}{}$
out＇of its course，baffle：cp．Lysias or．xxviir．§ 6，iva aút⿳⺈v



 that I do not．．．：Ant． $466, \sigma \chi \in \delta \dot{o} \nu \tau \iota \mu \dot{\omega} \rho \psi \mu \omega \rho i \alpha \nu \dot{\partial} \phi \lambda \iota \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \nu \omega$ ．
 supports his present splendour，by an act of usurpation （àdotpiav）．Three sisters，children of the same mother，were left heiresses to the estate；but le contrived to represent himself as their father＇s adopted son，though the father had made no will recognising such adoption＇．As Diocles became guardian（§ 42）to the son of one of these sisters，they were probably his own half－sisters on the maternal side（cp．rì áoelф $\eta^{\nu}, ~ § 41$ ），－their mother having previously or subse－ quently married the father of Diocles．－ $\operatorname{ci\sigma } \pi$ oı $\eta \sigma \alpha s$ ，by forging a $\delta \alpha a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ ：without which，the testator＇s own children would have inherited（by a $\gamma x$ б大reia）before a child born by his wife to another hushand．Diocles was driven to this device because an $\dot{\alpha} \hat{\partial} \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\eta} \dot{\circ} \mu о \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \cos$ could not marry her half－brother，and therefore he could not obtain any part of the property by marriage with one of the $\dot{\epsilon \pi i \kappa \lambda \eta p o u .-C p . § 36, ~ w h e r e ~ t h e ~}$ midd．єiomoiñauto is said of the adopting father．
 was claimed on behalf of two of the sisters by their husbands， he contrived to make the husband of the elder sister his prisoner，and then suljected him to gross contumely．An indictment for outrage has been laid against him，but he has not yet expiated this offonce＇．roin dooiv：the third sister was apparentiy unmarried，or no longer alive：we are to under－ stand that Diveles seized her ahare unopposed．кatorooo－
 him up，in a house or roon from which he could not ecape：

 is a $\pi$ polingrepov，since the＇phot＇is that which led to the
 referring to some［persomal a witult or outrage such as i＂3pos
 zou givaux iv．Ei－rwhere in prose $\dot{\alpha}$ тuniow usu，to deprive of civie riphts．If this is the true sense here，Diodes must have： sulaeguratly chargeal his mative with some oflemee which
 Inames wrote has spmech nuta diondtors i，ppros：nee on \＆3，and Sauppe Or．Att．II． 230 f．

sister, he employed a slave to murder her husband, - got the man $[\dot{\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu} \nu \nu=\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ oiк $\epsilon \tau \eta \nu$ ] out of the country,-threw the guilt on his sister,-and, having crushed her by his villanies, has further deprived her son-whose guardian he had become-of his property,-keeping the land, and giving his ward a piece of stony ground '.-катат入 ń $^{\xi} a s$ refers less to a judicial condemnation than to the helplessness of a cowed and broken spirit: cp. Dem. or. xxxvil. § 43, $\dot{\lambda \lambda i к о \nu ~ є ́ \sigma \tau i ~} \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu є к \tau \eta \mu \alpha$
 $\chi \omega \rho i a$ ärca. But $\phi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \in a$ is acc. sing. of $\phi \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ és, stony ground. Dobree thinks that $\chi \omega \rho i a$ ä $\tau \tau \alpha$ arose from a scholion on $\phi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$, $-\chi \omega \rho i o \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$. It may be that we should read $\phi \epsilon \lambda \lambda i a$ ä $\tau \tau a$, some pieces of stony ground: cp. Xen. Cyneg. v. 18, örav roùs
 $\chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota^{'}$ when (the hares) take refuge among stones, or on the hill-side, or on rough ground ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \lambda \lambda i a)$, or in underwood': the contemptuous force of $\alpha^{\prime} \tau \tau \alpha$ is certainly appropriate.

 the parenthesis with finite verb ( $\delta \epsilon \delta i a \sigma \iota \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ aủróv), cp. Anthol.
 and my note on Soph. Ant. 537.

## INDEX I．GREEK．

The first number refers to the page，the second to the section；thus $146 \S 122$ denotes page 146，section 122．For the note on that section，see 146 in the margin of the Notes（p．333）． $-)($ means，＇as distinguished from．＇
ä，＇and as to this，＇ 146 § 122
«ßaros，of a pure life， $108 \$ 58$
divaOd，Td töra，of lands， 156 § 52
 176 § 44
dyantiocts，$\epsilon$ ！＇＇you may think yourself lucky，if．．．，＇ 67 § 11
 dyan $\hat{\omega}, \varepsilon l$ or $\epsilon \in d, 158 \S 20$
dyvontévta，$\tau \dot{d}$ ，the oversights made， 138 \＆ 88
dyopd，law－courts in the， 11 § 10
dंyopd＇Inтод̀aueia， 35 § 45， 82 § 23
àरuनtela，legal sense of， 170 814
 dうxしттєia，degrees of affinity， 17\％ 83
 834
dyóv，of war or civil strife， 16687
dyuniotifs，a debater， 114 g 15
a $\delta \varepsilon \iota a$ ，technical sense of， 31 § 34
áסךфаүєîv， 150 § 54
dंסokluaбтos（of a imacús）， 60 § 13
aipeī大al with infin．）（ $\pi \rho o a l-$ peîodal， 81 § 17 ；to espouse a cause， 145 § 62
al $\rho \in \sigma t s$ ，narrower and larger senses of， 152 § 38
aitia，＇merit，＇ 29 § 12
aitias $\lambda \in$＇$\epsilon \iota \nu$ ，to bring charges， 187 § 9
àкаıplas， 198 § 38
аंк $\lambda р \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ l， 61 § 16
aiкual，al，the period of youth， 123 § 289， 151 § 37
áкоэ̀ $\lambda$ о́үшу， 186 § 6
аккоך้̀ นартирєîv， $189 \S 14,194$ § 29
áколабі́a ）（ OBpıs， 2 § 3
áкb入ov0 os，hoplite＇s servant， $169 \& 11$
áко́́owt altlat， 3 \＆ 3
बкрißeta， $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ，the exact truth， 2 $\delta 1$
áкрьßєîaı，ai，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu b \mu \omega \nu, 152 \S 40$
áкр८ $\beta \in \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \circ \nu$ ，with more sub－ tlety， 2 § 2
áкрот $\dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \iota, \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，of the Parthenon， 175 § 42
ả $\lambda a$ §ov $\epsilon$ ú $\sigma \theta a l, 110 \S 1$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \alpha \iota, \alpha \dot{i}=\tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha, 121 \S 283$, 109 § 47
ả»เтท́рเоь， 6 § 3
á $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha ́ \rho$ ，elliptical， $152 \S 40$
ả入入à $\mu c ̀ \nu ~ \delta \dot{\eta}, 83$ § 27
ả入入à $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu, 104 \S 16$
á入入à $\nu \hat{\alpha} \nu, 95 \S 15$
ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủ $\delta$ é， $160 \S 25$
à入入’ oűv， 137 § 85
$\alpha \times \lambda \lambda o s=$＇besides，＇ $92 \S 25,106$ § 22
$\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \epsilon \hat{l \nu}$, miss his desert（i．e． punishment）， $7 \S 6$
$\dot{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ aijo $\hat{v}$ ，to neglect self－ culture， 162 § 2
đцкктоs，unsociable， 126 § 300
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \iota \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ，ô̈тtves $\delta 6 \xi$ оvбı， 109 §50
ả $\mu ф і \theta^{\prime} \nu$ роs oiкía， 68 § 15
á $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，construct．of， 111 § 4；катà ঠ८aӨخ́кךข or катà ס́́бเข， $170 \S 14$
á $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ，position of， $52 \S 8$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \sigma \gamma \in ́ \pi \omega s, 78 \S 7$
\＆้ $\nu$ separated from its verb， 167 § 8
$\alpha ้ \nu$ with fut．infin．， 173 § 23
${ }_{a} \nu \nu$ with imperf．or aor．indic．， of a repeated act， $149 \S 52$
$a \prime \nu$ with aor．infin．，referring to the future，when omitted or required， $8 \S 2,78 \S 6$ ， 89 § 47
a＂$\nu$ with infin．，representing ä $\nu$ with optat．of direct dis－ course， $52 \S 1,153 \S 43,173$ § 23
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha}$, omission of，with infin．， how explained， 63 § 12
${ }^{\circ} \nu$ with participle， $14 \S 19,39$ § 57,117 § 270,139 § 92 ， 162 § 3
${ }^{\alpha} \nu$ after $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ，with ellipse of verb， 25 § 11
$\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \nu$ omitted with infin．after $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ，of a supposed result， 112 § 9
$\alpha{ }^{2} \nu$ with pres．infin．（ $=\alpha \nu \nu$ with imperf．indic．）and with aor． infin．（ $=\alpha{ }^{2} \nu$ with aor．indic．）， in the same sentence， 191 § 20
ávà $\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$ каl $\delta \in \kappa \kappa$ ，＇in groups of．．．，＇ 33 § 38
àjaßaìvelv eis＂Apeıov $\pi \alpha ́ \gamma o \nu$, 152 § 38；є́ $\pi i \quad i \pi \pi \% \nu, 63$ § 11
 147 § 126
àvaүкаі̂о८ ）（ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \in \hat{\imath} s, 37 \S 50$
àvarpáфєเv，to write up in public， $133 \S 180,153 \S 41$
àva日भ́цuara，votive offerings， 175 § 41 ；esp．$={ }^{\circ}$ Ep $\mu a \hat{\imath}, 31$ § 34

ब่ $\downarrow \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ้ \nu, 35 \S 45$
＇А Аขव́кєєоу， 35 § 45

àvaiav́є $\theta a \iota$ ，to pass the night， 79 § 12
aं $\nu a \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon เ \nu$, to send up country， 143 § 104
ává $\pi \eta \rho \circ$ s， 64 § 13
a่vá $\sigma \tau$ тоs，meanings of， 144 § 57
ávari $\theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，to take up a （waggon）load， 90 § 19
 shift a charge on to another， $96 \S 17$
ávaфúєซ $\theta$ ，to spring up， 116 § 19
à $\nu \delta \rho a \pi \circ \delta \iota \sigma \tau \mathfrak{\eta}, 93 \S 10$
$a ้ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s=$ individuals $)($ com－ munities， 130 § 169
à $\nu \rho \circ \phi$ б $\nu о s, 92 \S 6$
àvєாi（ঠıкоs， 196 § 34
ă $\nu \in v$ ข้ $\delta a \tau o s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, 195$ § 32 （note）
 144 § 58
$\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi l \nu \omega s$, ＇by a human stan－ dard，＇ 39 § 57
גंขтаркєî̀ with partic．， $151 \S 56$
ふ்עтเүра́фєєӨat， 183 § 17
वขvтเүрафи， 97 § 1
dंvтเঠıкeiv，construct．of， 186 § 6
àvтเঠbбets， 148 § 128

àv $\omega \mu \mathrm{d} \lambda \omega \mathrm{c}$ é $\chi \in \omega, 154$ § 44
à $\dot{\omega} \mu$ отоs，inexactly used， 11 § 12
aंтayopevecy with partic．， 107 854
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}, \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{d} \gamma \in \sigma \theta \alpha l$ ，of arrest， 260,10 § 9
$\dot{\alpha} \pi a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \epsilon i s$ with $\epsilon \kappa, 31$ § 16
$\dot{\alpha} \pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega$ ，to wean from， 154 § 45
árapðai，fig．，＇tributes，＇ 175 § 42
वंтеітор， 160 § 25
атєєрךкш́s， 162 § 4


$\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega}_{s}$, ＇on a general view，＇ 195 § 30
वiтоүрd́фєн，to denounce， 31 § 34， 84 § 30
dंтоүр́́фé $\theta a t$ ，to take an in－ ventory， 67 \＆ 8
 $\$ 19$


атокалеі้， 108 \＆ 57,111 § 4
$\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon t y$ ，to receive in payment， 193 \＆ 25

 827
 $\$ 19$
\＆rohoytay understood with Thv $\pi$ portpav， 12 \％ 13
ลீто入úew Twd TเVt， 14 \＆ 20

ג̇п́sppqтa，actionable libels， 92 § 6
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho р \eta \dot{\tau} \tau, \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \in \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \in \nu$（of the Bou入भर）， 35 § 45，cp． 82 § 21
 97 § 2 （note）
ȧтобтатє́ov， 137 § 85
ȧтофорá，payment by slave to master， 33 § 38
 supports， 61 § 16
à $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\gamma} \mu \mu \nu, 1$ § 1
airpooototcos ť $\chi$ elv， $109 \S 49$
ápa， 118 § 273
áp $\rho v p l \delta \iota o v, 111 \S 4$


aं $\rho \chi \eta^{\eta} \nu$ ，adverbial， 118 § 272
 53 § 2
 § 19
む̇ $\sigma \tau 0 \ell$ ）（ $\xi \in \cup 0 \iota, 73$ § 35
д．$\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta, 63$ § 11
ä $\sigma \tau v$ ，without art．，of Athens， 126 § 299， 169 § 11
а̇тєлєเんน， 176 § 47
áтt $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu \mathrm{v}$ ，to disfranchise， 172 § 19
$\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu 0 \hat{\nu}=\dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \mu \grave{\zeta} \epsilon t \nu, 199 \S 41$
aú入 $\eta \tau \rho \stackrel{\sigma}{2} \downarrow$, èv， $155 \S 48$
aútós，of one＇s own accord， 145 § 63
аи̛тб́ ұєเр， 158 \＆ 19
日eplav， 82 § 23
aंфaupeīo大at（pass．）тd̀ $\delta \pi \lambda a, 76$ § 95
áфtéval Twdé，to drop a prose－ eution， 170 § 13
dфlotarөal，to desert one＇s port， 10,0 § 25
 \＆ 100,171 \＆ 18
ßabijety（ $2 \pi^{\prime}$ otklas），of domi－ ciliary visits， $68 \$ 7$
$\beta \dot{0} 0 \rho a$ ，in Eeclesia， 86 § 37

及áoavos，torture，as a test of truth， 188 § 12
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda e u ́ s$, the king of Persia， without art．， 138 § 88；with art．， 133 § 179
Baनi入eús，the second Archon， 64 § 13
$\beta \in \beta a \iota o \hat{v} \nu \tau \iota \nu \ell \tau$ ，to confirm one＇s title to a property， 173 § 22
$\beta \in \beta \iota \omega \mu \notin \nu a$ ，$\tau \alpha ́$ ，one＇s course of life， 56 § 1
$\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ，in the ecclesia， 136 § 81； in law－courts， 95 § 15， 97 § 20
Bíaıa，$\tau$ á，forcible seizure， 100 § 12
$\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu l a \iota, 113$ § 11， 145 § 62
 § 8
$\beta$ кú $\eta \mu a$ ，$\beta$ oú $\lambda о \mu a t$ ，of theory or tendency， 104 § 15
$\beta \omega \mu о \lambda о \chi \epsilon v \in \epsilon \sigma$ बal， 155 § 49
$\beta$ киоло́хоя， 121 § 284
үацク入iá $\epsilon i \sigma \phi \hat{\phi} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu, 191$ § 18
خáuous é $\sigma \tau \iota a ̂ \nu, 191$ § 18
$\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \in ́ \nu a, \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ，the terms of a treaty， 46 § 35， 48 § 40
$\gamma \in \nu \nu \hat{\text { îTal，}} 190$ § 15 （note）

 § 17
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\text {évos }} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ рітар， 177 § 2
$\gamma \in р а ⿺ 𠃊 ́ т \in \rho о \iota$, oi， $7 \S 6$
rךpalós，rare in Attic prose， 5 § 11
خi $\gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \tau \iota, 134$ § 181
$\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ to resolve（aor．）， 107 § 54
रขaфєîov， 97 § 2
$\gamma^{\nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \eta \nu}$ E＇$\chi \epsilon \nu$ ，of one＇s sensa－ tions， 159 § 22
$\gamma^{\nu} \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \epsilon \varsigma, 91 \S 24$
$\left.\gamma^{\nu} \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau a l\right)(\delta \iota к а \sigma \tau a \ell, 23$ § 94
roveîs，including $\pi \rho$ ó́ $о \nu o \iota, 195$ § 32

रра́м $\mu a \tau a$ ，written laws， 152 § 39
रрациатєús，understood with $\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, 85$ § 33
 § 24
үขциа́бıa，тá，exercises， 154 § 45
$\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \dot{\sigma} \iota \circ \nu)(\pi \alpha \lambda a i \sigma \tau \rho a, 3$ § 3 ： fig．，a field of oratory， 125 § 295
$\delta^{\prime}$ ，perhaps changed to $\lambda^{\prime}$ in mss．， 187 § 7
$\delta a \nu \epsilon i \sigma \mu a \tau a$ ，sums lent at in－ terest， 197 § 35
סарєєкós（ $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ ）， 67 § 11
$\delta \alpha \sigma \mu_{0} \lambda 0 \gamma \epsilon \hat{i}$（ $\left.\tau \iota \nu a s\right), 147$ § 125
$\delta \epsilon$ instead of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}, 9 \S 5$
$\delta \epsilon$ in $\sigma \dot{\theta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau i \varsigma \in \tau ; 193 \S 24$
סè oûv， 144 § 58
$\delta \in \delta \in \iota \kappa \tau a l$ ，it has been proved́， 128 § 165
$\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu 0 \hat{\imath} \tau \iota$ instead of $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu o \hat{\imath}$ rıvos， 25 § 12
$\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{a} \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \partial \nu \quad \pi 0 \iota \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ， 41 § 63
§єкарх孔ац， 140 § 95
 36 § 49
$\delta \epsilon \epsilon$ ，with infin．（ $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \hat{0}$ 欵 $\omega$ ä $\rho \chi \in \iota \nu), 142 \S 100,155 \S 47$
$\delta \eta \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha \iota$ ，to prove， 8 § 3
$\delta \eta \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \in, 97 \S 20$
$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ós，of Pericles， 147 § 126
$\delta \eta$ भоо，Attic， 154 § 46
бпио́वıь้，то́，the treasury， 69 § 19
ठ $\eta \mu$ отєv́є $\theta a t, 97$ § 2
 § 36
ס८à $\dot{\omega} \nu)\left(\mathcal{E}^{\xi} \xi \tilde{\omega} \nu, 153 \S 42\right.$
$\delta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} \mathrm{~s}$ ，ob nos proditos， 41 § 63，cp． 43 § 28
סiá тıvos $\pi 0 \iota \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a l$ ，to place in one＇s control， 197 § 37

 ท̉ סı̀̀ тoúrous）， 173 § 23
$\delta(a \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \notin \nu 0$ ，of blemished name， 120 § 278

o८aıтทヤウs， 92 § 6
 180 § 9
 $\epsilon$ ヒु， 119 § 278
ס $\iota \wedge \lambda \alpha \beta \in i ̂$ ，to apportion， 66 § 7
$\delta(a \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$ ，with partic．， $175 \S 41$ ； intransitive， 161 § 27
бса $\mu$ артирєiv，to enter a protest， $\mu \grave{\ldots} . . . \epsilon 亡 \nu a, 171$ § 16
סса́vora ）（ іуо́рата，＇the spirit＇ ）（＇the letter＇， 92 § 7
סıampá $\sigma \in \sigma \theta a \ell$ ，to obtain， 171 § 17
סıaбтє！$\rho \epsilon \iota \nu$（fig．）， 143 § 104
ס̀aoúpeiv（fig．）， 126 § 300
дıaтıӨ́̀vaı áт́́pws， 79 § 11
$\delta ı a \phi \epsilon \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，to dispute， $96 \S 17$
$\delta \kappa \alpha \phi \theta \in i \rho \epsilon \omega, 197$ § 36
סtơantóy，Is Justice？ 116 § 21
òкќ\}єбӨat, to plead in lawsuits， 116 \＆ 19 ；esp．of the prosecutor，opp．to $\phi$ éreєl， （i6）है $\frac{1}{2}$


סiкauv， $\mathbf{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \tau \delta, 186 ~ § ~ 4$

ঠıкабти́рıa，for фóvov diкка， 10 811
 59812

סooxeiv，to administer a trust， 182 \＆ 14
Siómpor ${ }^{2}$ at， 179 \＆ 6
 \＆ 23
дьоноба́меноц， 11 § 12
 815
Scoplsew，exterminare， 1318174

 § 37
бокьцабlal，cases of scrutiny， 58 § 9
$\delta 6 \xi a)(\dot{\xi} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ ，of Isocrates， 111 §3， 162 § 4

סо乡а，$\sigma \tau \iota \kappa \circ ́ s, 115$ § 17
 § 14

$\delta \rho a ̂ \sigma a l$ ，redundant after $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho$－ távoytes（ $=$ failing to ex－ ecute）， 3 § 6
סрабка́うєเข， 96 § 17
סрахиás，understood， 197 § 3 Ј
ס́voauty EX $\chi \in t \nu$ ，to have an im－ port， 119 § 275
 146 § 121
סvขaбтєย์อขтєs，ol， 130 § 170
סuváat 136 § 81
ôvatós，able－bodied， 63 § 12
$\delta \nu \sigma \chi \in \rho \in ́ s$ ，＇invidious，＇ $116 \S 19$ ， 120 § 281
$\beta \beta$ ou入ó $\mu \eta \nu$（without $\alpha \nu$ ），of what one wishes were true， 8 § 1
 $\beta o u \lambda o l \mu \eta \nu$ à $\nu$ seems required， 26 § 14
 819
 172 § 18
éryuâc $\theta$ ut，midd．，said of the bridegroom，）（ é ryuâv，of the bride＇s father， $189 \$ 14$
éryuáo日ai rıva，to give bail for one， 82 \＆ 23
єүүuŋtàs треis ка日ıбтával， 13 § 17


＇$\gamma \kappa т$ ท́ната， 46 \＆36， 56 § 3
erxwpei，is（logically）admis－ sible， 18 \＆ 29
$\epsilon l$ ，with fut．indic．after $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, 80 § 15
$\varepsilon i \mu \eta ̀ \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \nu a$ ，＇had it not been for him，＇ 140 § 92
єìz $\eta$ ，branches of oratory， 115 § 17
$\epsilon โ \grave{o s}, \pi a ̂ \nu \tau \partial े, \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \nu, 120$ § 280
eikós，as a topic of Rhetoric， 123 § 289
єi入 $\omega \tau \epsilon ย ์ \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu!, 165$ § 5
єivaı，pleonastic（ $\tau \grave{\text { ò }} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ єivaı）， 117 § 270
$\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a \chi \hat{\eta}$ ，＇if you mean to fight，＇ 93,94 § 10
єipyaб $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{os}$ ，as part．perf．midd．， 90 § 17

$\epsilon l s$ ，＇on，＇of expenditure， 141 § 96,174 § 39
єis $\varepsilon \in \omega$ ，＇early next morning，＇ 193 § 24
єi $\sigma a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i a \iota)(\gamma \rho a \phi a i, 148 \S 130$
ei $\sigma a \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，to lay an im－ peachment， 25 § 12， 32 § 37；
with accus．of person im－ peached， 182 § 15
єíčéval，of a law－suit， 171 § 17
єiซ $\eta \gamma \in i \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota ~ \beta o u \lambda \eta$ n，to intro－ duce a plan， $40 \S 61 ; \pi \epsilon \rho t$ тเขos， 130 § 170
$\epsilon i \sigma \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ；conject．for $\epsilon \in \kappa \pi \epsilon \mu$－ $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu, 81$ § 19
єiбToleî̀ éautóv，to allege one＇s own adoption， $199 \S 40$
єiбтotєiб日at viob，to adopt， 199 § 40
Elo申opal， $70 \S 20$
єiтa， 71 § 26
єи่тє．．．$\epsilon$ l＇$\epsilon \mu \hat{\eta}$ ，with fut．indic．， 157 § 54
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \operatorname{có} \tau \omega \nu$ ，as the result of， 19
§ 84；on these grounds， 98 § 5
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a \partial \lambda \omega \nu$（neut．），$\mu a \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, 182 § 15
 194 § 28
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu, 117$ § 271
$\varepsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa$ in $\tau$ oùs $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta s \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon$ ． $\lambda \epsilon \in \theta a l, 74$ § 36
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa$ in $\begin{gathered}\xi \\ \xi \\ \text { érol } \mu \mathrm{ov}, \\ 114 \text { § } 15\end{gathered}$
єкабтоь，singly， 129 § 166
$\epsilon \in \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha}$ ，to repudiate， 193 § 26
éк $\kappa \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \alpha$ ，to be thrown over－ board， 17 § 38
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \beta a \rho \beta \alpha \rho о \hat{0} \sigma \theta a \epsilon, 108$ § 47

Ėкסıסóvac，to publish writings， 137 § 85 ；to give in mar－ riage， $186 \S 8$ ；to give up， 130 § 169， 131 §§ 175 f．； esp．to give up slaves for torture， 188 § 10
$\epsilon \kappa \kappa \in \hat{\imath}$ ，oi，the dead， 144 § 60
غ̇кєivol，a use of by Isaeus， 182 § 13
ėxeivos，referring to a person just indicated by name，or by aúrós， $40 \S 60,41 \S 64$ ， 183 § 16


ধ́кка $\theta \epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，to bivouac， 79 § 12 （note）
є̇ккпри́ттєєข， 74 § 35
е́кко́ттєє ，to disconcert（a plot）， 198 §39 39
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，to receive by sur－
 142 § 100
$\epsilon \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{a} \nu, 4 \S 7$

$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \cup \pi \circ \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，to be rough－hewn， 83 § 115
є́ккф́́ $\rho \in \iota \nu \nu^{\prime} \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu, 138$ § 88
$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma 0 \hat{v} \sigma \theta a l$ ，to be at a disad－ vantage， 14 § 19， 120 § 281
cं $\lambda a u ́ v \in \epsilon \nu \nu$ vûs， 157 § 54
＇Eлaфо́бтiктоs，a nickname， 81 § 19
é入áxıбтov $\mu$ épos，with negative， 113 § 11
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{2} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi \circ \nu \delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a \iota$（of witnesses）， 188 § 10
 80 § 15
eो $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, intrans. 'to fail,' 137 § 85
є́ $\mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \dot{d} \epsilon s, 169 \S 11$
$\varepsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, to abide by, 116 § 20
є́ $\mu \pi \varepsilon є \rho / a \iota, 113 \S 10,114$ § 14
द́ $\mu \pi \dot{\prime} \mathbf{p}_{t o v}, 109$ § 47
${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \operatorname{lin}^{2}, 161$ § 26
$\epsilon^{2} \nu \mu^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon, 128$ § 164
èv taîs нovapxiaus, ol, 105 § 18
ह̀v $\tau \hat{n} \pi$ тофф́ $\sigma \epsilon$, by means of..., 79 § 12, 91 § 20
ty zois $\mu$ evolxous, in their case, 71 § 27
̇̀v roîs ó $\chi$ 入ots, before mobs, $10 \check{ }$ § 21
eiv $\dot{\psi}$, in a case where, 86 § 36
 112 § 7, 157 § 54
Ëvata, тd́, 198 § 39
єขঠєєац, privations, 148 § 128
 208, 260
๕ีขঠєка, oi, 94 § 10
 cerns it, 10 \& 8
èvepyḑea日au, to engender, 112 86

tı $\eta$ кal véa, fair-day at Athens, 9986
 8174
 § 15
ìvvuєiöau, with genitive, 135 8184
¿2v0

èvcau $\theta 0 \hat{\imath}$ instead of tyraû $\theta a, 8$ 82
 198 \& 38
ivtritien. 'from that point,' 187 g 6
ikdyeas, to export as a slave,

93 § 10 ; to eject a tenant, 173 § 22

 § 12
द́ $\xi_{a \rho \gamma v p i} \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota, 175 \S 43$
' $\xi \in \gamma \gamma v a \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ (pass.), to be released on bail, 100 § 11
 § 85
 § 171
'่ $\xi \eta \eta \eta \tau \eta$,s, of sacred law, 198 § 39
є $\xi i \tau \eta \lambda$ रos, 155 § 47
$\epsilon \xi \xi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \tau a \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi a \rho \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota, 67$ § 10
$\epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta} \nu, 116 \S 20$
$\epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu}$ (тò̀s äp $\rho$ Хочтas), $91 \S 22$
$\epsilon \pi \dot{d} \gamma \in \sigma \theta a \ell$, to induce, $145 \S 63$

غ̇ $\pi a \nu \circ \rho \theta \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota, 129$ § 165
$\epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \gamma \gamma v a ̂ v$, to give security, 96 § 17
є̇пєıca, 'in the next resort,' 72 § 31
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \xi \in \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a i \quad \tau \iota \nu \iota$, to prosecute one, 168 § 9
er $\pi \in$ दुjetw, Attic. imperf. of the above, 169 § 12
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma d \dot{d} \sigma \theta \alpha$, to till sacred soil, 92 § 24
 (note)

 to these aims, $164 \S 3$
$\epsilon \pi t$ Tthos, 'in his time,' 146 \$\$ 123 f.; 'in his case,' 124 8292
t $\pi i$ roû * $\mu \nu \eta$ huaros, 'at,' 194 827
$\ell \pi l$ Toúrois, 'on these terms,' 100 \& 11 ; 'on this basis,' 182814
 is to die,' 5 \& 10
enl rivl karàel $\pi \in t \nu$, to leave at his disposal, 18088
citi roîs $\lambda$ órols cival，to be at their mercy， 8 § 3
 182 § 13
é $\pi i$ tois toloútols，＇in such cases，＇ 185 § 1
 as heir to the whole estate， 167 § 7
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \iota s$, in rhetorical sense， 140 § 93
ध̇ $\pi i \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \iota s \gamma^{\nu} \omega \mu \eta s$ ，at Olympia， 50 § 2
є่ $\pi \iota \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota$ ，to give a dowry， 55 § 10,187 § 8
$\epsilon \pi \iota \iota \iota \kappa d \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \kappa \lambda \eta \rho^{\prime} \rho \circ v, 174 \S 40$
$\epsilon \pi$ ¿ঠıкоs， 171 § $16,179 \S 7$
єं $\pi i \delta o \xi o s$, ＇expected，＇not＇ex－ pecting，＇ 155 § 47
$\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ خ $\lambda \alpha \beta$ á $\nu \epsilon เ \nu$ ，to make progress， 109 §48， 148 § 127
є̇ $\pi i \delta o \sigma \iota s$ ，a．subscription ${ }_{5} 174$ $\S 39$（note）
Є̇тıүаціа， $53 \S 3$
є̇пiкл $\eta \rho o s$ ，fig．，of a $\sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho a ́$, 64 § 14
$\epsilon$ є̇ாเкоvрєiv，to serve as mer－ cenaries， 129 § 168
$\epsilon \pi$ iкоироє，foreign troops， $75 \$ 94$
є́ $\pi \iota \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ т \delta ̀ ~ v ゙ \delta \omega \rho, ~ 98 § 4$
$\epsilon \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，intrans．，＇to fail，＇ 14 § 17
$\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \epsilon \hat{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota a \iota, 157$ § 55
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota=\hat{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \pi \bar{\alpha} s \pi \epsilon \mu$－ $\pi \epsilon \tau \nu$（inspectors of the Attic мор（aı）， 92 § 25
є̇ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a i$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ какои́ $\gamma \omega \nu$ ，oi， 14 § 17
$\epsilon \pi เ \nu 0 \epsilon i \nu$, to form designs， 3 § 6
$\epsilon \pi \iota о \rho к є i ้ v$, archaic for the simple ठцдúval， 96 § 17
є̇тוт入окท่，rhetor．term， 154 § 44 （note）
є̇тьккท́ттєбӨa८（ $\psi \in v \delta о \mu a \rho \tau v-$ $\rho(\hat{\omega} \nu), 101 \S 14,168 \S 9$
é $\pi i \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon i \nu$, to visit， $160 \S 25$
$\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu o s$, a Persian official， 127 § 162
èntorateîv，to supervise educa－ tion， 151 § 37
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，to say in a letter， 136 § 81
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \not \mu \eta)(\delta \delta \xi a$ ，of Isocrates， 111 § 3,117 § 271,162 § 4
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ ，to come suddenly on one， 128 § 165

 § 40
 § 50,152 § 40
è $\pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \in ́ v a \iota$ ，of laying incense on the altar， 190 § 16 （note）


éniт $\rho \circ \pi$ гоs，guardian， 168 § 10， 182 § 14
$\epsilon \in \pi \iota \phi \in \rho \in \iota \nu$ ，to bring offerings to graves， 145 § 61， 198 § 39
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\omega} \nu v \mu o \iota$, the twelve Attic， 174 § 39 （note）
＇́pavos， 144 § 57
$\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota o \nu$ ，a manufactory， 67 § 8
єं $\rho(\delta \in s, a l$ ，＇erístic＇discussion， 110 § 1,116 § 20,162 § 3
＇Eppuâ，the images， 31 § 34 ； name of a street at Athens， 98 § 3
${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega \mu \in \nu \in \sigma \tau \in \rho \omega s, 128$ § 163
 doing， 84 § 31
${ }^{\text {}}$ E $\sigma$ tia Bou入aia， 30 § 15 （note）
éraıpial，oligarchical clubs， 74 § 36
éraîpol；in political sense， 138 § 87
ётєроs＝какб́s， 160 § 25
єง่ท่ $\theta$ єta， 130 § 169
єủөvóккіа， 171 § 16
є ย́коб $\mu$ la， 152 § 37
єӥ้ora as a source of persua－ siveness， 120 § 279
єüvous，accus．pl．，where eûvo is needed， 75 § 93

єüpeots ）（ $\tau \alpha \xi \xi$ cs，in Rhetoric，
114 § 12 （note）
evploкєш $\tau$, ，to fetch a price， 197 § 35
єं́тpame入ía，Athenian， 125 § 296
єن̇тра́тєє入 os， 155 § 49
$\epsilon \dot{\iota} \phi \cup \eta y^{\prime}, 121$ § 284
cǔ $\chi \in \sigma \theta a$, of an ideal， 148 § 127
สن̉xท̂s äళca（in a like sense）， 134 § 182
É申＇̇สal，their jurisdiction， 11 $\S 11$（note）


＇́фtкveívoal tivos，to do justice to it， 109 \＆ 49

t＇форầ，force of， $129 \S 168$
t $\chi \theta \rho a$ ，on accuser＇s part，cited as a proof of his good faith， 65 § 2，cp． 153 § 42

Zeis Krholos， 190 § 16
sirpral，a commission of in－ quiry， 32 § 36
ริิ้ каторихөท่ซонаи， 5 § 10
$\eta$ $=$＇or else，＇introducing the second alternative， 161 \＆ 27

$\dot{\eta}$ Mcala，$\dagger, 95$ \＆ 16
$\dot{\eta}$ ทucla，方 vôv， 129 § 167
$\dot{\eta} \lambda a k i a y$ E＇$\chi$（w），with infin．， 187 88
ทัцкклтрог， 184 \＆ 19
$\dot{\eta} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ and $\dot{\eta} \mu \phi \in \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma a$ ， 11184

ウुनकov perh．to be inserted， 1 82,5588
 181
ข่าทuévos，＇borrowed，＇ 59812
0áттelv ¿太 olxlas， 192 \＆ 22
$\theta$ dтероу $=\tau \delta$ как $6 v, 160$ \＆ 25
 91 § 23
Oav $\mu a ́ \zeta \omega$ el $\mu \eta^{\prime} . . .161$ § 26
$\theta \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \nu o l$ ，oi，the mortgagees ）（oi $\theta \in \dot{\nu} \tau \in s$ ，the mortgagers， 173 § 21
 190 § 15 （note）
$\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i=a L$ ，＇attentions，＇ $106 \S 22$
$\theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon \in \dot{\epsilon} เ \nu$, to court， $143 \S 104$
$\theta \in \rho \frac{́}{\pi} \omega \nu, 97$ § 19
$\theta \in \sigma \mu \circ \theta$ ќтal，presidents of the ballot for offices， $64 \S 13$（n．）
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon i v$, of spectators in the theatre， 190 § 16
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i a$, a sacred embassy， $13 \pm$ § 182
$\theta \in \omega \rho i a \ell$ ，spectacles， $156 \S 53$
$\theta \eta \tau$ єía ）（ $\delta$ ou $\overline{\text { cela，}} 76$ § 98
Ө́́入os， 35 § 45
¿ $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { éa，} \\ & \text { uses of } \\ & \text { by Isocrates，} 107\end{aligned}$ § 54， 115 § 16
lôtürat，＇private persons＇ ）（ public men， $74 \S 36,114$ § 14；＇strangers＇）（ sena－ tors， 82 § 21
levat eis roùs $\mu \mathrm{to} \theta \mathrm{\omega}$ Toús， 174 § 39
โєpá，тd̀ коเขá， 133 § 180
lepobv，t6，of the Parthenon， 175 \＆ 42
7va with imperf．indic．and a ${ }^{2} v$ ， 179 \＆6；with fut．indic．in final clause，not classical， 190 § 15
iñ $\omega \hat{\eta}$ ，ziding， 62 § 10

 ठотเร．．． 23 § 92

lоото入ıтеla， 53 \＆ 3
lobr $\eta$ res，forms of civic equal－ ity， 103 \＆ 15
Toxuoe，＇proved valid，＇ 184 818
kaöiokot，ballot－boxes， 86 \＆ 37
$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ยैкабтоу $\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ，in detail， 196 § 33
$\kappa а \theta \eta \gamma є \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，to infer， $170 \S 14$ $\kappa \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，as a suppliant， 174 § 39
каөเттотрофєîv， 175 § 43
kai，emphasizing a verb， 72 § 29， 127 § 160
kal，emphasizing noun or ad－
 § 56
каi＝＇actually，＇ 22 § 91， 192 § 23
кai，redundant（oủ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \delta \delta$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \nu \hat{\eta}$ кal $\left.\dot{\psi} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}\right), 16$ § 23
кal，to be omitted， $21 \S 88$
кal $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ d＇，＇and further，＇ 73 § 35
каi．．．каl，with verbs， 107 § 54， 117 § 270
кai raûra，＇and that too，＇ 116 § 20
кal $\pi$ ov́ тı кal， 9 § 6
каi $\mu \eta \bar{\nu}$ ，＇further，＇ 135 § 185
каi єiтa（к ̣̂̂ $\tau$ ），nevertheless， 17 § 27
кацроi，oi，the right moments， 115 § 16
как $\eta$ үоріа，law concerning， 273

какот $\alpha \theta \in i v$ ，of imprisonment， 8 § 2

какоиิрүоs，Attic sense of， 208
как $\omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \gamma \rho а ф \dot{\eta}, 179$ § 7， 195 § 32
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta \iota \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath} \tau \iota, 116$ § 20
 136 § 81
$\kappa а \lambda \lambda \iota \in \rho \in і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota, 144$ § 60

кат $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \hat{\imath} \circ \mathrm{\nu}, 155$ § 49
кard with gen．，＇in witness against，＇ 133 § 180

$\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \tau \kappa \rho \delta \nu$ ，three meanings of， 182 § 15

катаүє่дабтоs， 132 § 176
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \in \mathfrak{l} \sigma a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i a \nu, 179$ § 6
ката入є $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，to levy troops， 135 § 185
катá入vбเs，$\dot{\eta}, ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v, ~ 32$ § 36
$\kappa а \tau a \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，to crush one， 199 § 42
кататоькโ入лєเข，of oratory， 115 § 16
катабкєval，of public buildings， 109 § 47；private establish－ ments， 156 § 52
катd́бтабเs，situation， $156 \S 50$ ； state－allowance to $i \pi \pi \epsilon i \hat{s}, 57$ § 6
катабтฑ̂ขaı，to become settled， 83 § 25
катабтท̂̀al тобои́тои тเขl，to cost one so much， 29 § 11
 § 174
катєбт $\dot{\theta} \theta \eta \nu)(\kappa a \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \nu, 86$ § 34
катє́ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，of tutelar gods， 144 § 60
катоккодолєі̂， 199 § 41
$\kappa \epsilon і \bar{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ ，as perf．pass．of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$ ， 129 § 168
кє̂̂б $\theta a \iota \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega}$ s，of a law， 153 § 41
$к є к \delta \mu \iota \sigma \mu a \iota$ ，in midd．sense， ＇have recovered，＇ 173 § 22
кєлєยєєt ）（aiceî $\theta a \iota, 25 \S 11$ ； imperf．and aor．distin－ guished， 193 § 24
кivouvol，oi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ a u ̉ r o u ́ s, ~$ 131 § 174
кivouvos，fig．，a cast of the die， 55 § 9
$\kappa i \nu \delta \nu \nu 0 s \pi \epsilon \rho l$ то̂́ $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ （ $=\mu \eta$ oú $\sigma \omega \theta \in \hat{i} \epsilon \nu), 29$ § 12
кл $\eta \rho \frac{\hat{v}}{} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，to draw lots， 157 § 54
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau$ тós）（aipєтós， $64 \S 13$
кліб $\sigma \circ \nu, 69$ § 18
 59 § 11

кouvos，of the Athenian charac－ ter， 126 § 300
кoubr $7 n \mathrm{~s}$ ，of the Attic dialect， 125 § 296
ко $\mu \bar{q}$, conject．for $\tau о \lambda \mu \hat{a}, 61$ § 18 （note）


коб $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \hat{\nu}$ ，to dress the dead， 192 § 22
коб $\mu \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ à $\mu \pi \epsilon \chi \in \sigma \theta a u, 62$ § 19

ко́о $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ оs，the firmament， $133 \S 179$
$\kappa p a \tau e i v$, with both gen．and acc．， 140 \＆ 92
кратeî̀ $\tau$ ，to hold in one＇s grasp， 185 § 2
крlन̈v $\pi$ ） 86 § 35
крfots，a legal issue， 185 \＆ 2
кр८ті̀s）（ōıкабтйs， 62 § 21

 of， 109 § 50
Kтinjoos Zéús， 190 § 16
кข $\boldsymbol{\beta \in \dot { \epsilon } \epsilon \mathrm { L } ,}, 122$ § 287
${ }_{\kappa} \quad<\beta o t$ ，ol，dice－playing， 59 § 11

 § 44

Kvvóapyes， 40 \＆ 61
кúpoos，legal sense of， $168 \$ 10$
$\kappa \omega \lambda \tilde{e} \epsilon$ ，impers．，with adverbial oũotv， 111 \＆ 6
кш入úev，with simple infin．， 193 \＆ 26
$\kappa \hat{\mu} \mu \mu$, ，town－wards（Athens）， 155 \＆ 46
$\lambda^{\prime}$ ，perh．an error for $\delta^{\prime}$ in мร8．， 187 \＆ 7
入arxávety Bacineús，to draw the lot to be．．．． 64 \＆ 13
 $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \circ \mathrm{v}, 171 \mathrm{~g} 16$
 Kús， 140 g 93

入eıtovpytat， 148 § 128
$\lambda \hat{\eta} \xi \iota \iota(\delta i \leqslant \eta 5), 167$ § 8
Aluyals，$\Delta$ lovú́lov тò द̀v， 197 § 35
$\lambda$ 入oyidıa， 116 § 20
$\lambda о \iota \delta о \rho \in \hat{\sigma} \theta \alpha$ 人，midd．， 136 § 81

§ 17
$\lambda$ úe $\theta a \iota$ סoú $\lambda$ ous， 14 \＆20， 123 § 288
$\lambda u ́ \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ai $\chi \mu a \lambda \omega ́ T o u s, 69 \S 20$
$\lambda \omega \pi$ oठút $ク \mathrm{~s}, 93 \S 10$
$\mu a \kappa \rho \partial ̀ \nu \tau \in \imath ̂ \chi o s$（without art．），as a district， 35 § 45
$\mu a ́ \lambda a$ ，＇I can assure you，＇ 13 § 17
mavia，mad discord， 163 § 2
$\mu \in \gamma a \lambda о \phi \rho о \nu \in i ̂ v$ or $\mu$ é $\gamma a$ ф фоу $\epsilon i v$, 154 § 43
$\mu \in t \rho a ́ к t o \nu)(\pi a i ̂ s, 2 \S 3$
$\mu \dot{\nu} \nu . . \delta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ，doubled， 155 § 47
 § 10
$\mu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \gamma \cup v o ̂ \sigma \theta a i l ~ \tau \iota ~ \pi a \rho a ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu t, 111$ § 5
нeтá тivos фúvtes，ol，collateral kinsmen， 195 § 30
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ тoùs loıı́stas， 82 § 21
 159 § 23
не́токкоь，Polemarch＇s juris－ diction over， 97 § 2
$\mu е т р \iota$ ттضs，of Attic dialect， 125 § 296
метріо́ттгея， 164 § 4
 43 \＆ 69
$\mu 力$ with infin．，after фá $\kappa \kappa$ ， 173822
$\mu \eta$ alternating with ov in clauses dependent on $\mathrm{cl}, 12$ 814,188 \＆ 9
$\mu\rangle$ where $\mu\rangle$ of would be normal， 2 \＆ 3
$\mu 力$ with participle after etrep． 385
$\mu \grave{\eta}$ irregularly placed（ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ò
 § 28
$\mu \eta$ apparently redundant（ $\kappa$ iv－ סuvos $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тoû $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota)$ ， 29 § 12
$\mu \grave{\eta}$ corrupt，perh．from $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta}, 15$ § 21

$\mu \eta \delta \notin \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu=\pi a ́ \nu \tau a \quad \delta \iota a ́-$ фора， 113 § 12
$\mu \iota к \rho \delta \nu \nu \delta \iota \lambda \bar{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ，to speak low， 62 § 19
$\mu \iota \sigma \theta 0 \hat{v} \nu)(\mu \iota \sigma \theta o v ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota, 90 \S 17$
$\mu / \sigma \theta \circ \phi \circ \rho \in i ̂ v$, said of a house， 196 § 35
$\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota s$, rent， $169 \S 11$
$\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau o l$, oi， $174 \S 39$
$\mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ，conject．for $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha, 194$ § 27
$\mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta})$ ）$\phi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu, 135$ § 185
$\mu \circ \lambda \dot{v} \varepsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta$ al，to be abused， 136 § 81
норархias，тàs，oi é $\chi$ оутєs， 162 § 3
$\mu$ óvos $=$ unice， $144 \S 57$
морial， 269
$\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta$ ，in the larger sense， 110 § 50
vavா $\eta \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$, midd．， 109 § 47 $\nu \epsilon \in \mu \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，to share an inheri－ tance， 59 § 10， 166 § 7
$\nu \notin \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho o ́ s \tau \iota \nu a, 133 \S 179$

$\nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega ิ \tau \iota, o i$ ，allied with Athens， 176 § 46
 160 § 25
$\nu 0 v \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu)(\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, 154 \S 46$
$\nu 0 \hat{\nu} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \nu$ ，to watch one， 82 § 20
$\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ with historic present， 86 § 36
$\xi \in \nu_{0} \lambda_{0} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath}, 141 \S 96$
$\xi \in \dot{v} \nu$ and $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ in mss．of Anti－ phon， 23 § 93
\％＝＇whereas，＇ 146 § 122
of（dative），＇to oblige him，＇ 33 § 40
оікєєóт $\eta$ s， 168 § 10
oikeús， 96 § 19
olós $\epsilon i \mu$ ，with infin．， 192 § 22
olós $\tau \epsilon \in \epsilon i \mu \iota$ ）（ oiós $\epsilon i \mu$ l， 183 § 16
b̀trapxial，ai（of 411 and 404 B．c．）， 53 § 4
ò $\mu \hat{i}$ os，where one of two things compared is understood， 193 § 26
ó $\mu$ о入оүєî̀ $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \iota \nu a, 197$ § 37
$\dot{\delta} \mu \mathrm{o}$ orial，articles of agree－ ment， 149 § 52
Ђ $\nu о \mu a)(\pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu a, 121$ § 283
òvómaтı，є̇ $\pi l \tau \hat{\psi}$ ，sense of， 182 § 13
дуо́мать 入є $\gamma \in \sigma \theta a \iota, 80$ § 15
ช̈т $\lambda \alpha$ ，тá，the guard－post， 79 § 12
ö $\pi 0 v$ ，＇and in such a case，＇ 46 § 36 ；as relative after $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha}^{\tau}$－ $\tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ тolaûta， 28 § 10
$\delta \pi \tau \eta \rho \rho$, poet．word， 17 § 27
$\delta \pi \pi \omega$ s，with fut．indic．after ठıavoeî̃ $\theta a l, 53$ § 3
 you do not say， 178 § 5
$\delta \% \pi \omega s \mu \grave{\eta}$ ё $\sigma \tau \alpha l$ ，where we should expect $\delta \pi \pi \omega s \mu \eta$ グ， $35 \S 43$
$\delta \partial \pi \omega s$ à $\nu \tau u ́ \chi \omega \sigma \iota$ ，with partic． understood， 124 § 292
¿ $\rho \theta$ ov́ $\mu \in \nu о \varsigma$, ＇successful，＇ 9 § 7

$\dot{\dot{\rho}} \kappa \kappa \omega \tau$ ท＇s，of a law－court， $26 \S 14$
ธр $р \eta т \eta ์ \rho เ о \nu, 128$ § 162
סัఠov，＇lawful，＇ 70 § 24
örol，with anteced．in dat．
 $\theta \circ \nu), 72$ § 30
ठ̈ $\sigma \varphi$ ，＇inasmuch as，＇ 91 § 23 （note）
ö $\tau$ ，redundant before a direct quotation， 36 § 48
ov̂ $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ，＇and then it was that＇．．．， 30 § 15
ov，with $\chi \rho \eta$ そ understood before
infin．（ $\chi$ р̀̀ $\sigma x о \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ d̀ $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov่ $\mu$ ルఠєîv）， 61 § 18， 132 § 175
ov to be omitted， 111 § 4
ov，following $\epsilon$ h， 95 § 13，cp． 133 § 178
จv่ $\mu \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \circ, 93$ § 9
ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，with fut．indic．and aor．subj．， 193 § 24
oủ $\mu \eta े \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}, 104 \S 17,116 \S 21$ ， 118 § 275
ov̉ $\beta$ oú $\lambda о \mu a \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \omega$ ，euphemis－ tic， 157 § 54
oú $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{k} \kappa \frac{1}{} \mu i a \sigma \mu a$ ，＇gratui－ tous，＇ 7 § 3

 ठ $\rho \hat{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{v}), 21$ § 89
oủk oif＇$\delta \sigma \tau$ ts， 160 § 24
oủx $\tilde{a}^{\pi} \pi \epsilon \rho$（or $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ），construct． of， 8 § 4
ov̉x oia $\beta \in \lambda \tau \tau \sigma \tau \alpha, 82$ \＆ 23
ovix $8 \pi \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ，＇I do not say that，＇ ．．． 193 § 25
oúס＇$\nu$ ，conjecturally supplied， 77 \＆ 99
oüros，said of a person not present，but represented， 65 § 3， 75 \＆ 92
ouvros and noun without art．， when the noun is a predi－ cate， 23 \＆ 93,47 \＆ 37,84 § 30， 91 \＆23；aútoùs．．．Toú－ $\tau \omega \nu$ ，referring to same per－ sons（cp．éкeívos）， 185 §̊ 2
oütc，in this off－hand way， 90 § 19
$\delta \chi \lambda \Psi \chi$ Х ${ }^{2} \sigma \theta a t, 136 \& 81$
 1248 294， 16284
тaloेevors，mental cultivation generally， 110 \＆ 50
 835

тàautós，veds $\eta_{0}, 135$ \＆ 185



тávтa тà какá ）（ $\pi a ́ v \tau \alpha$ кака́， 73 § 33
$\pi a ́ v \tau \omega s$ ，＇at any rate，＇ 66 § 7
$\pi a \rho a$ ，with accus．denoting po－ sition，less precise than with dat．， $40 \S 62$
$\pi a \rho a \dot{a v i r} \hat{\varphi}$ єโval，＇at home，＇ 73 § 33
$\pi a \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu \tau \circ u ́ \tau \omega \nu=\pi a \rho \alpha ̀ \tau \alpha \hat{v}-$ $\tau a \tilde{a} \eta{ }^{\circ} \lambda \pi \iota \zeta o v, 2$ § 3
$\pi a \rho \alpha \gamma^{\nu} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$ with oúk $\delta \lambda\langle\gamma \varphi$, 29 § 13
 159 § 22
$\pi а р \alpha ́$ тเva каӨ习习бӨa८， 190 § 15
$\pi a p \alpha \alpha_{\text {tò }} \pi 6 \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \circ \nu$ ，in time of war， 106 § 24
$\pi a \rho d$ тoûtov，owing to him， 149 § 52
тараурафй， 277
таракатава́入入єь，leg．term， 182 § 13， 182 § 15
$\pi a p a \lambda \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，to cheat， 120 § 281
$\pi \alpha ́ p a \lambda o s, \dot{\eta}, 175$ § 42
$\pi \alpha \rho a \mu \in \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}, 145 \S 60$
тарароиia， 10 § 8
тарабкєval $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega v, 186$ § 5
тарабкєvá $¢ \iota \nu$ ，of intrigue， 79 § 12， 84 § 28,90 § 18,182 § 14， 185 \＆3， 197 § 37
тарабкеvaб $\theta \in i s$, ，suborned，＇ 193 825
тарафө＇́ryєб $\theta a l$ ，to say casual－ ly， 193 § 23
тарахрทิиа， 23 § 92
таре́хеш ）（ тара́үєเw， 82 \＆23， 9989
maplotatal rim，it occurs to one， $84 \& 28$
＊аронеі＂＇A＇ian， $128 \$ 162$
$\pi a \rho 6 v_{,}$acc．absol．， $72 \& 30$
тарори（乡еш， 83824
Ifedion（without art．），the Attic plain， 173 \＆ 22
Itetpaiŵs，of ek， 52 \＆2， 74 § 92
тetoinuevos，as midd．perf． part．， 15821
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon\{\alpha \nu, 134$
§ 184

бкоутая), 38 § 53
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ бvцфораîs, 159 § 21
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ail $\sigma \cup ́ \nu \eta \nu, 126$
§ 301
$\pi \iota \kappa \rho \dot{\sigma} \eta \tau \tau \epsilon \varsigma, 126$ § 300
$\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$, rhetorical proofs, 120
§ 280
$\pi โ \sigma \tau ו \varsigma \dot{a} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \tau a ́ \tau \eta, 42 \S 67$
$\pi \lambda a \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ=$ s $=$ temere, $114 \S 15$
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu$, as a land-measure, 173 § 22
$\pi \lambda \epsilon і$ іे каl ঠıкаьóтєра, compar. of $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda$ a кal $\delta$ iкаıa, 167 § 8

$\pi \lambda є о \nu \in \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \dot{s}$ s, in good sense, 162 § 3
$\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \epsilon \xi$ la, do., 119 § 275
$\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i v, 124$ § 292
$\pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~T} u \chi^{2} \eta \mathrm{~s}$, 'leaving Fortune aside,' 27 § 15
$\pi \lambda 0 \hat{s} \mathrm{\gamma}$ रi $\gamma \nu \in \tau \alpha L, 16$ § 24
тодока́ккך, 95 § 16
тоєєî, to compose, 135 § 186; to perform sacred rites, 190 § 16

$\pi$ теєिन $\theta a l$, to adopt, 180 § 8 ; (pass.) to be adopted, 167 § 7
тotễoat $\delta \iota d$ $\tau \iota \nu$ os, to place in one's control, 197 § 37
$\pi o \iota \eta \tau \grave{\eta} s \lambda b \gamma \omega \nu$, an orator, 115 § 15
$\pi$ оюทт८кòv $\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha, 114$ § 12

Полє $\mu a \rho \chi \circ s$, jurisdiction of the, 97 § 2
$\pi \delta \lambda_{c s}=\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о ́ \pi о \lambda \iota s, 176 \S 44$
$\pi \delta \lambda$ ss ) ( ä $\sigma \tau v, 126 \S 299$.
$\pi 0 \lambda \iota r \epsilon(\alpha, \dot{\eta}$, a euphemism for the a doapxia, 66 §5
mo入ír $\eta$ s, as said by Lysias of himself, 50 § 3
 112 § 9,116 § 20
$\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\psi}$ with $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota, 170 \S 15$
$\pi 0 \lambda \dot{v} \lambda<a \nu=\lambda(\alpha \nu \pi 0 \lambda \dot{,}, 109 \S 49$
$\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, law-suits, $25 \S 12$
$\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon i ̂ a l$, legal issues, 117 § 270
$\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \rho$ $\tau \iota \nu o s$, to be his confederate, 183 § 16
$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \alpha, \tau \alpha ́$, an olive-stump, 90 § 19
$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota, 48$ § 41
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \tau$, to be a plenipotentiary, 48 § 41
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ єl $\rho \eta \dot{\nu} \eta \nu, 132$ § 177
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ út $\eta \mathrm{s}$, a plenipotentiary, 48 § 41
$\pi \rho \iota a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs, ฉor. part., 47 § 37, 168 § 11
$\pi \rho o a ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, in bad sense, 131 § 174, 146 § 121
$\pi \rho о а \pi \epsilon і т о \nu, 130 \S 170$
$\pi \rho о є \delta р і \alpha \iota, 176 \S 47$
$\pi \rho о є \xi а \mu а \rho т \alpha ́ \nu є เ \nu, 129$ § 165
$\pi \rho о є \xi \in v \rho i \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu, 129$ § 167
$\pi \rho о є \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, ol, $\tau \circ \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta o u s$, 162 § 3
$\pi \rho \dot{0} \theta \varepsilon \sigma t s$, of the dead, 192 §§ 21 f. (notes)
$\pi \rho \circ \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu i a, 90 \S 17$
$\pi \rho o i t \sigma \theta a l$, to give up, with infin. added, 82 § 23
 § 290
$\pi \rho о к а \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$, to invite (to a conference), 139 § 91
$\pi \rho о к \rho i \nu \epsilon \omega \nu$ for $\kappa \rho i \nu \epsilon \tau \nu$, with $\pi \rho \omega$ $\tau \in \cup \cup \epsilon \iota \nu, 127$ § 302
$\pi \rho о т$ е́тєเа, 139 § 90
$\pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S}, 149$ § 52
$\pi \rho б ́ \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma, 10$ § 10,21 § 88
 § 58
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho b s, \tau l$, to compare with, 196 § 33
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, to conciliate, 106 § 22
$\pi \rho о \sigma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$, to add a new article to a treaty, 48 § 40
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon!$, construct. of, $187 \S 6$
$\pi \rho о \sigma к \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \ell$（leg．），to sum－ mon， 97 § 2

$\pi \rho о \sigma о \mu$ о $о \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\imath}, 110$ § 50
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\beta}{ } \dot{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \tau \epsilon \ell \chi \eta, 109$ § 47
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi о \iota \in \hat{\sigma} \theta a \ell$ ，with infin．un－ derstood， 84 § 28
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$, imposts， 148 § 129
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \hat{\ell} \nu 0 \nu$, acc．abs．， 4 § 7
тробтtцây，to award an extra penalty， 95 § 16
тробтро́тасоь， 7 § 4
$\pi \rho o t \in \rho a \nu, \tau \grave{\eta \nu}, \quad$ sc．ámoخorià， 12 § 13
$\pi \rho 6 ф$ абьs， 15 § 21
$\pi \rho о \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \zeta$ ¢є $\theta a \iota$ ，to elect， 146 § 122
трошногіа， 12 § 12
 （note）， 176 § 47

$\pi \rho \dot{T \eta}$ ，Tîs，TeTayuévos（sc． т $\ddagger \xi \epsilon \omega s), 60$ § 15
 850
 nearest， 196 \＆ 33
$\pi v \rho к a t a, 91$ \＆ 24
$\pi \omega, \mu \eta \delta t_{\nu} \pi \omega \pi \lambda$ lov，no posi－ tive gain， 56 \＆ 3
 115 \＆ 16
рq̣өиніт， 110 \＆ 1
рдттореіа， 116 \＆ 21
р́nтореs，in Ecelesia， 148 \＄ 129

テanto̊っv， 5786
бaфeotépws and бaфধ́бтepov， 3 \＆ 5
ศๆко́s，2f9， 81 \＆ 19
бทиеіор ）（текни́рьоу， 18 \＆ 81
onueiov，To，кäaupeiv，when the Senate met，etc．， 32 \＆ 36

бıঠクpoûs，fig．sense of， $97 \S 20$
бкцрафєîov， 122 § 287， 155 § 48
боф८т $\sigma \alpha$, ，ol $\pi a \lambda a \iota o l, 121 \S 285$
боф८бтท＇s，292， 111 §§ 5 f．
$\sigma \tau$ á $\sigma \mu 0 \nu$（ảp $\quad$ úptov），standing out at interest， $96 \S 18$
бт́́⿱宀匕ıs，party－strife， 167 § 7
$\sigma \tau \hat{e} \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ）（ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \in і ̂ \sigma \theta a \ell, 159$ § 23
бтєфаvirทs áyćv， 127 § 301
$\sigma \tau \hat{\lambda} \lambda a$, recording treaties， etc．， 46 § 34， 132 § 176， 133 § 180
oroal，laws posted in， 153 § 41 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \hat{\iota}$, ė $\pi$ l，＇on service，＇ 30 § 14
 § 17
бvуката入анßávєєv， 158 § 19
бикофáyтаı， 66 § 5， 123 § 288
oukoфа⿱亠䒑ial， 148 § 130
$\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \nu$ фu入入̀v， 26 § 13
$\sigma v \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$, to confer， 162 § 2
$\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \delta s$ ，the＇accident＇（of genius）， 124 § 291

бvムßó入aua Lo̊̀a，law－suits， 119 § 276
$\sigma \nu \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \chi \in \rho i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha<$ ，of dressing the dead， 192 § 22

$\sigma \nu \mu \pi а р а к є \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a$, ，to stimu－ late， 117 \＆ 22
оvцтонеì（lєри́）， 193 § 25
$\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu$ ，of intrigue， 18089
очифєреш，help to bear， $6 \& 1$
 8
бuvapi $\theta \mu$ eiv（ $\psi$ خфоиs）， 171 \＆ 18
ouvaoreî th，to help the prac－ tice of．．．， 117 \＆ 22
ouvòatplßetv， 162 \％ 2
Gúvסıкоt，ol， 58 \＆ 7
avvéopıa，public conferences， 1058 19， 152 \＆ 37
avveróvar rupl，to be his ac－ complioe， 81 \＆ 18

бvขєเซßаใעєเข， 19 § 82
бขขєкко $Ц$ Ґєเข， 158 § 20
$\sigma v \nu \in \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \in \nu a \iota$ ，of sacrifice， 190 § 16
$\sigma v \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \delta \sigma \theta a u-\sigma v \nu \in \theta \in \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，in false symmetry， 4 § 8
$\sigma v \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，to be present at a funeral， 193 § 25
ovviotával，to introduce， 192 § 23
 § 31
ovvopâv，to see comprehen－ sively， 104 § 17， 145 § 63， 148 § 129
бvขтєivec̀ $\pi$ ро́s $\tau \iota, 119$ § 277
$\sigma \phi a \gamma i \omega \nu$ d̈ $\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, 11$ § 12
$\sigma \phi \in \tau \in \rho o s$ with aưToû， 158 § 20
$\sigma \phi \delta \delta \rho a \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota$ ，to insist upon it， 27 § 15
$\sigma \phi \delta \delta \rho a$ joined with $\pi 0 \lambda \hat{v}^{\prime}, 118$ § 272
$\sigma \chi \in \delta b \nu \tau \iota, 198 \S 40$
$\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda$ cos，＇heartless，＇ $143 \S 103$ ； ＇intolerable，＇ 150 § 56
$\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$, one＇s civic status， 100 § 12

та乡íap才o兀， 78 § 7
тâ̂̃a（not тoûto）$\pi$ otê̂v，＇to do so，＇ 67 § 8
$\tau \alpha \phi \dot{\eta} \nu, \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu, 192$ § 23
$\tau \epsilon$ ，irregularly placed， 1 § 1 ， 187 § 7
тєкнйрца in Rhetoric， 120 § 280；）（ $\quad \eta \mu \in \hat{\imath} a, 18$ § $81 ;$ ）（ $\mu$ ар－ тvpíal， 187 § 6
$\tau \in \lambda \frac{1}{}$ é $\chi \in \iota \nu$ ，to achieve a result， 21 § 89
тєрато入обіац， 121 § 285
$\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta, 113 \S 12$
$\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \omega \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \varsigma$, midd．pf．part．， 4 § 8
тé $\chi$ val，treatises on Rhetoric， 116 § 19
$\tau \dot{\prime} \theta \eta, 187$ § 7
$\tau \iota$ ，conject．for $\tau \delta, 18 \S 29$
ri $\theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，of a legislator， 153 § 40
$\tau \ell \theta \in \sigma \theta a \ell$ ồ $о \mu a$, to establish a term， 94 § 10
$\tau \iota \mu \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \ell \kappa \eta, 171$ § 17 （note）
$\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu, 88 \S 42 ;)(\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ， 7 §4，7§7
$\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$（midd．）$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \rho \tau \nu \nu \rho$ ， 46 § 36
tıs，understood， 22 § 91
тоı $\mathbf{\alpha} \rho \tau$ то， 156 § 52
тоivù ironical， 44 § 30
toooûtos followed by ös， $80 \S 13$ ， $141 \S 98$ ；by $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \breve{\Delta} \nu, 126$ § 298
тбнга， 21 § 88
roбoûtos followed by $\phi$ aбi $\gamma$ á $\rho$ instead of $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon$ фd́pal， 45 § 33，cp． 69 § 19
$\tau \dot{\tau} \epsilon$ ，meaning of oi $\tau \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon \delta \iota-$ кабтаl， 13 § 16
roûto $\mu \hat{\nu}$ ，not followed by $\tau 0$ и̂тo סє， 23 § 94
$\tau \rho \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{a} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu, 66$ § 5
$\tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \iota \circ \nu, 169$ § 11
 176 § 45
$\tau \rho \delta \pi \alpha{ }^{2} \circ \nu$ figuratively used， 133 § 180
T $\rho \omega i ̈ \kappa \alpha ́, \tau \dot{a}, 134$ § 181
Tupappis，its characteristics， 104 § 16 ；identified with movapxia， 106 § 22
тúpàvos，ó（Hipparchus）， 176 § 46
$\tau v \rho \dot{s}, \dot{o} \chi \lambda \omega \rho o ̀ s$, the cheese－ market， 99 § 6
Tú $\chi \eta$ ，destiny， 62 § 10
тú $\eta \eta \cdot \mu i a$ ，a single success， 133 § 180
тuхbv，＇perchance，＇ 130 § 170， 140 § 94
v$\beta \rho \epsilon \omega \varsigma \quad \gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}, 199$ § 41
v̈ßpıs ）（áко入абia and є́ $\pi \eta \rho \in a \sigma$－ $\mu \mathrm{s}, 2$ § 3
$\dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\gamma} \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，to lure on， 139 § 91
$\dot{v} \pi \alpha l \theta \rho \varphi, \delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \dot{\nu} \nu, 11 \S 11$
iँтalitos，＇responsible，＇ 9 § 4
$\dot{v} \pi a \rho \xi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau 0 \cup{ }^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ ，these things having been secured， 140 § $92,140 \S 95$
vimápxet $\tau t$ ，＇it is assured，＇ 68 $\S 13$

$\dot{v} \pi \in \theta \in \tau 0$ тойто，＇he assumed this，＇ 33 § 39
ímeเสєîv，of a preliminary re－ servation， 181 § 12
viாєєрךи＇́vov，of preliminary de－ finition， 181 § 12
ข่тєєккєцนац，as perf．pass．of

$\dot{v \pi t} \hat{\rho}$ ，＇concerning，＇$=\pi \epsilon \rho \ell, 114$ § 12,126 § 298
$\dot{\nu \pi t \rho} \dot{i v}$, ＇in the name of these （sufferings），＇ 143 § 56
ขंт $\eta \rho$ е́тcu，apparitors， 67 § 10
$\dot{v \pi} \epsilon \rho о \rho i a, \dot{\eta}$ ，foreign soil， 46 § 36
ข่ $\pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \in$ ，＇belonged at first，＇ 147 \＆ 125
viтoypd́фє $\frac{1 \nu}{}$ ，to sketch， 137 § 85
$\dot{v \pi} 6 \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota 5$ ，a theory， 116 § 19； a theme， 119 \＆ 276
$\dot{\text { vimovociv，to }}$ have misgivings， 46835
ข่тотap $\theta \theta \in \hat{i v}$ ，to set quietly aside， 198 \＆ 38
$\dot{v} \pi \frac{\pi i \pi \tau}{} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ 137 \＆ 85
ข่тофаіребӨаи， 81 \＆ 19
 8164
iфnүeiodat，to trace an out－ line， 50 \＆ 3

фavepá，Td，＇real property，＇ 197 \＆̊ 35 ；）（ $\chi$ péa， 197 \＆ 37
фdoкw，to say＇yes，＇ 67 \＆ 8
фe入leús，stony ground（cd фe $\lambda \lambda(a), 199 \$ 42$（note）
фе́pectac $\mu$ етd той $\pi \lambda$ thous， 101 $\$ 16$
petyew，to go into exile， 55 811 ；to be in exile， 76898

фeúretv $\tau \iota$ ，to shirk a point， 73 § 34
ф $\dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ каl $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta, 135$ § 186
$\phi \dot{\eta} \sigma a s, 91 \S 22$
 159 § 22
$\phi \theta$ oveiv，of righteous jealousy， 147 § 124
фı $\lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a \iota, 69$ § 20
$\phi i \lambda$ o 0 o $i a$ ，Athenian， $125 \S 297$
філómovos，of Spartans， 150 § 56
$\phi \iota \lambda о \sigma \circ \phi \in \hat{\nu} \tau l$ ，to study it， 63 § 10
$\phi \stackrel{\lambda о \sigma \circ \phi \varepsilon i v}{ } \delta \pi \pi \omega s$ ，with fut．in－ dic．， 135 § 186
$\phi$ גлобoфia，of Isocrates， 110 § 1,113 § 11,116 § 21 ； joined with $\sigma o \phi i a, 117$ § 270 $\phi \iota \lambda 6 \sigma \circ \phi \circ$ ，＇studious，＇ 162 § 2
фıлотццla $\pi$ лои́тov，rivalry in wealth， 50 § 2
 11 § 11
фортікผิs，of ostentation， 157 § 53
фра́тораs，єlбá $\gamma \epsilon \downarrow$ els， 191 § 19
фparpla，the bride introduced to her husband＇s， 191 § 18
фpoupal，terms of garrison－ duty， 61 § 18
 кате入日立утєs， 146 § 123
фú入apұo九， 57 § 6
$\phi$ órs，native power， 113 \＆ 10
$\phi \omega \nu \eta_{0} \%$ of the Attic dialect， 125 § 296
$\chi^{\text {а } \lambda к е i \alpha, ~} \tau$ d，the festival of Hephaestus， 33 § 40 （note） харúvives，＇pretty well，＇ 137 §̧ $8: 5$
хecuďect（èv sфкебt），to pass the winter in， 157854
xop $\begin{gathered}\text { ian，} 156 \text { \＆} 58,175 \text { \＆} 41\end{gathered}$
хор7＞bs，duties of， 25 \＆ 11
xpia，debts， 197837
 66 § 6
хр $\eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ s，money－making， 147 § 126
x $\rho \eta=\theta a \iota$ ，to be intimate with， 36 § 49
$\chi \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\eta}$ фú $\epsilon \epsilon$ ，to indulge it， 152 § 38
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ठi $\kappa \eta, \nu o ́ \mu \varphi$, to abide by it， 21 § 87
$\chi \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a l$ ，with cogn．acc．（oủk
 § 21
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu$ bs，fig．，an＇oracular＇ utterance， 130 § 171
xpovos，$\dot{\text { on }}$ ，one＇s lifetime， 154 § 46
 siod）， 6 § 2 （note）
хрибiठ८ov， 111 § 4
$\chi \omega \rho \iota \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，of dissolving a marriage， 197 § 36
$\psi \hat{\eta} \phi 0 \nu$ ф $\hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu$ ，to vote for him， 184 § 18

$\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}, \dot{\eta}$ ßoùєv́ $\sigma \alpha \sigma a$ ，personi－ fied， 7 § 7

ผ่ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, to repel advances， 193 § 25
is with participle， 81 § 20
$\dot{\omega}$ s with participle and $\not \approx \nu, 164$ § 3
is with participle in gen． absol．， 185 § 1
$\dot{\omega} s=(1) \quad \delta \tau \psi \tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi,(2) 8 \tau \iota, 32$ § 37
wis omitted by mss．before a superlative， $14 \S 18,25$ § 12
$\omega ँ \sigma \pi \in \rho$ ，as relative to ou゙т $\omega, 150$ § 55
む̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ with gen．absol．of aor．， pluperf．，and pres．parti－ ciples，$=\omega \ddot{\sigma} \pi \epsilon \rho \in l$ with aor．， pluperf．，and imperf．indic．， 133 § 178
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{a}{ } \nu$ with ellipse of verb， 25 § 11， 39 § 57,69 § 20
$\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \grave{~ \delta i к \alpha \iota o \nu, ~} 185$ § 4
ש̈б $\sigma \epsilon$ ，on condition that， 79 § 9
$\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ and infin．without $\not \approx \nu$ ， oblique of optat．with $d \nu$ ， 112 § 9
山̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ oủ $\delta 仑 勹 \nu \alpha \sigma \theta a l, 95$ § 15

## INDEX II. MATTERS.

accus. absol. of partic. (á $\pi \epsilon \epsilon-$ $\rho \eta \mu\langle\nu o v$, etc. ), $4 \S 7,172 \S 19$; and infin. after $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota, 186$ § 6
acropolis, Athenian, arms deposited in, $76 \S 95$; the seat of the treasury, 147 § 126
adjective, simple, instead of adject. with $\psi_{0}, 10 \S 8,19$ \& 82; or partic., position of
 $\nu \eta \mu$ é $\eta \nu), 133$ \& 179 ; fem., with subst. understood from verb, $12 \S 13,60 \S 15$; neut. plur., for sing. ( $\pi \iota \sigma$ тà фalретац), 60 \& 19
adverbs, juxtaposition of ( $\kappa$ a$\lambda \omega \hat{\text { 人 }}$, $\dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \mathrm{s}), 140$ § 93; comparative in op or -ws, 128 § 163, 151 \& 36
Aegospotami, battle of, 78 §5; alleged treachery at, 74 \& 36
Aenos, on coast of Thrace, 14 § 20
Aeschines, borrows from. Andocides, 231
Agesilaus in Hoeotia (394 b.e.), 618 16; his aims, 138886
Alexander = Paris, 1358186
amnenty at Athens, in 403 B.c.., 249
Amorges, revolt of, 43 \& 29 anacoluthon (tya aưroiss ẙ...Teтоьๆкӫтеร), 668 7; (дкойш бть
... $\gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota), 79$ § 9 ; ( $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \omega$,
 $\nu \delta \nu$, el... $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \varepsilon \epsilon \nu), 95$ § 13;
 a (for $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ after a parenthesis), $11 \S 11$; ( $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{\nu} \pi \iota \sigma$ -

Andocides, style of, 219; speech on his Return, date of, 220 ; his $\pi \alpha \rho a ́ v o c a, ~ 28$ § 10; his vividness, 28 § 10; historical inaccuracies of, $44 \S \S 30$ f., 47 § 38
Antalcidas, Peace of, 95 § 130, 131 § 175, 132 § 177
antecedent, omitted hefore ö́oot, 72 § 30
Antipater, 163 § 1
Antiphon, style of, 200 ; old forms of words in ass. of, 23893
antithesis, false, 20 \& 87, 59 8 13, 73 \& 32; defective, 30 815
aorist with pluperf. force ( $\epsilon \xi$ हf(ието), 171817 ; denoting the commencement of a state ( $\eta \sigma \theta$ év $\eta \sigma e$, fell ill), 160 824,184818 ; partio. so used ( $\mu$ etaoxáv, having become a sharer), 43 \& 28,141 \% 97; and imperfeot indic. in same sentence, 87841 ; indic., instead of imperfeet,

## INDEX II. MATTERS.

with ăv, 73 § 34 ; infin. )( present infin., 131 § 173 ; infin., without $d \nu$, referring to the future, $50 \S 2,69 \S 19$, 78 § 6, 80 § 15 ; partic. )( pres. part. after $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu, 38$ § 53, 144 § 56
Apaturia, the festival of, 191 § 19
apodosis, formal, wanting, 39 § 57
Archelaus king of Macedon, 29 § 11
Archidamus III. of Sparta, 149 § 52
archons, the nine, how elected, 64 § 13
Areiopagus, court of, the Ephialtes, 13 § 15,299 ; its forms of procedure, 94 § 11; membership of, 151 § 37
Arginusae, battle at ( 406 в.C.), 74 § 36
Argos, population of, in 403 B.C., 54 § 7

Aristogeiton and Harmodius, 176 § 47
Aristotle, inexact citations by, 77 § 100, 135 § 186; and Isocrates on Forensic Rhetoric, 116 § 19
arrest, summary, by the Eleven, 10 § 9
Artaxerxes II., and III., 142 § 99
article with generic word ( $\dot{\eta} \epsilon l$ р $\eta \downarrow \eta$, peace), 18 § 81, 76 § 97 , 78 § 5, 106 § 24,119 § 278 , 130 § 172; omitted with $\tau \in i \chi \chi o s$, ä $\sigma \tau v, \pi \epsilon \delta i o \nu, \pi \rho \cup \tau a-$ $\nu \in \hat{\imath} 0 \nu$ (of Athens), 169 § 11, 173 § 22, 176 § 47 ; omitted with ou่ part of the predicate ( $\tau \tau \mu \omega-$
 § 37, 84 § 28, 91 § 23 ; with relative clause ( $\tau$ ò $\nu$ ofs $\epsilon \phi \eta=$

т̀̀̀ фávтa), 99 § 8; as pronoun with prep. ( $\tau$ ò̀s $\mu$ èv... $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu), 156$ § 52 ; in $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ тò ঠíкаlov, 186 § 4; proposed insertion of, 136 $\S 81$; and neut. accus. in appos. with verbal notion, 55 § 10
Asia and Europe the two continents, 133 § 179
asyndeton, at the end of a speech, 77 § 100
Athenian character, the, 126 §300; citizenship, birth-test of, $191 \S 19$; proposal to limit (403 в.с.), 239 ; policy, stages of, acc. to Isocrates, 147 § 126; exiles in 404 в.c., 75 § 95
Athens, ' the capital of Greece,' 126 § 299 ; topography of, 35 § 45 ; walls of, rebuilt in 479 в.c., 47 § 38 ; partly demolished in 404 в..., 47 $\S 38,53$ § $4,57 \S 4$; disasters of, as warnings against oligarchy, 52 § 1 ; revolutions at, $52 \S 1$; Lacedaemonian garrison at ( 404 B.c.), 75 $\S 94$; the home of oratory, $125 \S 295$; armies of, most successful under single leadership, 106 § 24 ; ships of, how manned, 157 § 54 ; democracy of, Isocrates on the, $124 \S 293$; social life of Periclean, 150 § 56; and Sparta, negotiations between, in 405 в.c., 78 § 5 ; empire of, its duration, 47 § 38
Attic dialect, the, 125 § 296
Attica, ravaged in Peloponnesian War, 55 § 9
augment, double in compound verb, 128 § 165
ballot for offices at Athens, 64
 § 38, cp. 157 § 55
banishment for homicide, 203 betrothal, 189 § 14, 194 § 29
Bosporus, Tauric, Satyrusking of, $57 . \S 4$
Brasidas, 149 § 53
Callibius, 75 § 94
Carthage, constitution of, 106 § 24
cavalry, allies of oligarchy, 78 § 7
Cephalus, father of Lysias, 66 § 4
Chaeroneia, battle of, 163 § 1, 163 § 2
Chalceia, festival of Hephaestus, 33 § 40
Chios, Pedaritus at, $149 \S 53$
choruses at festivals, 25 § 11
citizenship, Athenian, birthtest of, 191 \& 19; proposal to limit ( 403 в.c.), 239
Cilicia, few Greek settlements in, before Alezander, 109 \& 49; and Persia, 142 \& 102
Clearchus, death of, 139 \& 91, 141897
Cleophon, the demagogue, 78 § 7
climax, form of, 154 \& 44
Cnidus, battle at, in 412 в.c., 175 \& 42
conditional sentence, protasis of, restated after long apodosis, 191 \& 20 ; mixed form
 кot), 17885 ; change of construction in, 141 \& 98 ; clauses, one within another, 68 \% 14
construction, changed by insertion of new verb, 68 \& 15, 74836
continents, the two, 133 \& 179
contrasts, verbal, in Antiphon

co-ordination of clauses, where one is properly subordinate

 $\delta \epsilon े \pi \rho о к a \tau a \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon), 20 \S 85$, 62 § 13, 93 § 8,150 § 54, 151 § 37
Corinth, fighting at in 394 в.c., 60 § 15 ; parties at in 390 в.c., 45 § 32

Corinthian War, 169 § 11, 176 § 46
country-life in old Attica, 156 § 52
courts of law, drawing of lots for, 157 § 54 ; number of jurors in, 86 § 35,172 § 20 ; packed by intrigue, $80 \S 12$
Cunaxa, battle of, 139 § 90
Cynossema, battle of, 29 § 12
Cyprus, Phoenicians in, 109 § 47; and Persia, 127 § 161, 142 § 102
Cyrus the Younger, death of, 139 § 90
 $\xi \epsilon \iota), 167$ § 8, 192 \& 20 ; in-
 ขot тoîs $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma(\nu), 8 \S 2,9 \S 5$; of circumstance, $109 \S 48$; expressing the motive (oú $\tau \hat{\varphi}$
 after duviòıxєîv, 184 § 19
dead, offerings to the, 198 \& 39
debtor, insolvent, enslaved, 76 898
decarchies, set up by Lysander, 78 \& 5
Delian Confederacy, the, 47 838
demagogues, accused of enriching themselves, 147 f 125
demes, circuit of made by judges, 98 \& 3
demesmen, the ties between, 60 \& 14
democracy and oligarchy, 52 §§ 1, 2; monarchy, 106 § 22 dicasts, lots cast by, 157 § 54 dice-playing, 122 § 287, 59 § 11
Dionysia, the Rural, 190 § 15
Dionysius I. of Syracuse, 106 $\S 23,130 \S 169,136 \S 81$; embassy from to Olympia, 237
Dionysus, theatre of, 33 § 38 ; temple of, 175 § 41
domiciliary search, 66 § 7; 72 § 31
doors of a house (aঠ̈入єtos, etc.), 68 § 15
dowry of a bride, $59 \S 10,70$ § 21
dress, decorum in, 62 § 19
earnest-money, 192 § 23
Egypt, revolts of from Persia, 127 § 161 ; invaded by Persian army, 191 § 18
Eileithyia, temple of, $174 \S 39$
Eleven, the, preside at trial of какойрүоь, 208, 13 § 17; their eu่ $\theta$ v̂vaı, 96 § 16
Eleusis, battles at, 175 § 42
emendations, 15 § 21,90 § 20 , 94 § 11, 184 § 18
enmity, as evidence of accuser's good faith, 65 § 2, cp. 153 § 42
Enneacrunos, the fountain, 122 § 287
Ephialtes, reforms of, 156 § 50
'Eristics,' 110 § 1, 116 § 20, 162 § 3
Euboea, é $\pi \iota \gamma a \mu l a$ given to by Athens, 53 § 3
exiles, political, 141 § 96
family festivals and sacrifices, 190 § 16; gods of the, $i b$.
final clause combined with apodosis (iva...å $\nu$ モ́ $\pi \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \epsilon \tau)$ 179 § 6; with construction of an object-clause, $34 \S 43$
finiteverb, parenthetical clause

## MATTERS.

with, instead of participle, 199 § 42
Fortune personified as human destiny, 63 § 10
Four Hundred, Revolution of the, 29 § 11
fuller's trade at Athens, 97 § 2 funeral ceremonies, $69 \S 18$, 192 § 22
future indic. in relat. clause after $\dot{\alpha} \mu \iota \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, 109 \S 50$; indic. with $\mu \dot{\eta}$ after verbs of fearing, $65 \S 3$; perfect, 126 § 298; partic. with art., 123 § 290
genitive, objective and subjective depending on same word ( $\lambda$ ó $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ d́ко̀̀ каl $\mu$ арти́ $\rho \omega \nu$ ), 186 § 6; possessive, combined with objective ( $\dot{\eta}$ á $\sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\text {ér }} \pi \alpha \nu a \phi 0-$ pâs), 45 § 33 ; partitive, after a comparative adj. ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} s ~ \mu a-$ кротépas $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ àvaүкаi( $\omega \nu), 63$ § 10 ; of price, 29 § 11 ; absol. of partic., with $\omega$ s, after accus. ( $\dot{s}$ oủk b̀vas... oủ $\delta$ è $\gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ้ \nu \eta s), 185$ § 1; attributive ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ rav̂тa toa $\quad$ ) , 90 § 18; after $\theta a v-$ $\mu a ́ \zeta \omega, 52$ § 2; with $\epsilon\{v a l$, to be on the side of, 148 § 129 ; after $\alpha^{\lambda} \iota \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \iota \circ$, 37 § 51 ; after $\pi a \rho a ̀ \gamma^{\nu} \omega \dot{\mu} \nu, 2$ § 3
golden age, the, 6 § 2
Greece, condition of in 395 B.c., 138 § 87; in 380 в.c., 129 § 167, 130 § 172
Greek ) ( barbarian, 124 § 293
Gylippus, 150 § 53
Haliartus, 59 § 13
Halieis in Argolis, 175 § 42
Harmodius and Aristogeiton, 176 § 47
Hecatomnus, dynast of Caria, 127 § 162

Heliaia，composition of， 157 § 54
Hephaesteion，the， $33 \S 40$
Heracles，founder of Olympic festival， 49 § 1
Hermae，street of the， $98 \S 3$
heroes，tutelar of Plataea， 144 § 60
Hestia Boulaia， 30 § 15， 35 § 44
Hipparchus， 176 § 46
hired labour， 174 § 39
Homeric debates of the gods， 110 § 2
homicide，accidental，Greek view of， $202,6 \S 3$ ；trials for，held in open air， 11 \＆11；antiquity of Attic laws for， 12 § 14， 13 § 15 ； silence enjoined on the， 70 § 24 ；his presence defiled a temple， 77 § 99
horses，the keeping of， 175 843
house，doors of a Greek， 68 815
household gods， 190 \＆ 16
hunting， $154 \S 45$
fambic metre in Greek prose， 130 \＆ 170
Idrieus，prince of Caria， 143 8103
imperfect $=0$ or pluperf．， 41 8 64；of logical inference （Ėivero）， 170 \＆13， 176 844 ；referring to a previous admission（ $\eta \nu$ ）， 183 \＆ 17 ； of what is proposed or offered， 16 g\％ 23 1．， 71827 ， 171817,172820 ；of en － deavour（ $\alpha \pi \dot{\pi} \lambda \lambda \nu 乇$ ）， 34841 ； of due sequence（é $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \ell \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ ， ＇were born in due course＇）， 187 of 7；with dv，referring to past or present， 17 g 26 ； of a repeated act， 192820 ； without $A v$（ $\dot{\beta}$ ou入buךv，of
what one wishes were true）， $8 \S 1,20 \S 86$ ；（ $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota, \eta ึ \nu ð \xi \iota ้ \nu)$ ， 122 § 287；with $\epsilon l$ ，referring to past time， $86 \S 36,91$ § 20
imprisonment，a disgrace which estranges friends， 14 § 18
indicative of imperf．and plu－ perf．，not usually changed to optat．in orat．obliqua， 65 § 2 ；aor．，used when a fact not yet accomplished is assumed as past（òтóтє $\eta ँ \beta \eta \sigma \alpha . v), 145$ § 31， 197 § 37
infinitive active after $\pi$ potemal （ăyecv）， $82 \S 23$ ；in orat． obliq．，following a clause with $8 \tau \iota, 155 \S 47$ ；of present or imperf．， $33 \S 40$ ；of im－ perf．， $34 \S 41$ ；understood with $\dot{v} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon\left(\nu \omega \nu \quad \epsilon l \theta / \sigma \mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} \nu\right.$, 69 \＆ 17 ；expressing the terms of a treaty（ $\dot{\eta} v \quad \dot{\eta}$ єiрク́»ך катабка́чаи．．．）， 80 § 14
inheritance，Attic law of， 177 § 1
interpolations， 81 \＆19， 89 § 48， 84 §§ 31 f．， 92 § 7
Ionia，Persian conquest of， 128 $\% 164$ ；burning of temples in， 134 \＆ 182
Isaeus，style of， 321 ；traces of sophistry in， 180 \＆10， 189 814
Isocrates，style of，281；fo－ rensic speeches of， 310 ； relations of to $\begin{gathered}\pi \pi(\delta \epsilon \iota \xi \in s, 287 ; ~\end{gathered}$ an historical error in， 144 § 57 ；abstained from public life， 1308171
Italy，connuests of Dionysius I． in， 130 \＆ 169

Jurors，of an Attic law－court， as representing the Demos， 42866 ；choice of by lot， 157854
'justice cannot be taught,' 116 §§ 21
kidnapping, 93 § 10
knights, allowance made to by Athenian state, 57 § 6

Laconia, invaded by Epameinondas, 150 § 56
lacunae in mss., $19 \S 83,53 \S 3$, 57 § 4, 87 § 40
Laurium, mines at, 33 § 38
laws cannot provide for all contingencies, 92 § 7; insufficient to produce good morals, $152 \S 39$; set up on the walls of porticoes, 153 § 41; of Solon, 95 § 15
Lenaeum, the, 33 § 38
Leuctra, battle of, $150 \S 56$
'Liberty,' the cry raised against Athens and Sparta, 143 § 104
Limnae at Athens, 197 § 35
Lycia and Persia, 127 § 161
Lysander and Agesilaus, 138 § 87
Lysias, style of, 235; and Xenophon, on Theramenes, 79 § 11; his accuracy vindicated, 81 § 17

Macedon, the timber-market of Greece, 29 § 11
'malefactor' (какоиิрүоs), meaning of at Athens, 208
Mantineia, population of in 403 в. с., 54 § 7
markets, names of ( $\dot{\delta} \tau v \rho o ́ s, \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\lambda a ́ \chi a \nu a$, etc.), 99 § 6
marriages, dissolved by consent, 197 § 36 ; hindered by the Thirty Tyrants, 70 § 21
Mausolus and Artemisia, 143 § 103
Melos, 159 § 21
mercenary troops (400-350 B.c.), 141 § 96

Messenian wars, 151 § 57
metaphors of Antiphon, 202, 5 § 10
Methymna, a town of Lesbos, 208, 15 § 21
metre, accidental, in prose, 130 § 170
middle sense of passive perfect, 4 § 8,15 § 21
monarchy, Greek pleas for, 283 ; examples of for Isocrates, 106 § 23
mortgage, 173 § 21
motion, suggested by Ėvave $\theta 0 \hat{\text {, }}$ \%̈Tot, 8 § 2
Munychia, altar at, 83 § 24; theatre at, 85 § 32
Mytilene, massacre at in 427 B.c., 22 § 91
'Mysteries, on the,' as the title of Andoc. or. I., 223
negatives, peculiar combination of, 193 § 25
Nile, passage of at Pelusium, 142 § 101
numbers confused in mss. ( $\lambda^{\prime}$ for $\delta^{\prime}$ ), 187 § 7
oath, a solemn form of, 67 § 10 ; taken in a temple, 33 § 40; mode of ratifying, 139 $\S 91$; of accuser and witnesses in фóvov סiкal, 12 § 12
Odeum of Pericles, 33 § 38
oligarchical plots at Athens, in 405 в.с., 74 § 36
oligarchies, at Athens, of 411 and 404 b.c., 146 § 123
oligarchy and democracy) ( monarchy, 103 § 15 ; and cavalry, 78 § 7
olives in Attica, 269
Olympia, recitations at, 237
Olympic festival, foundation and idea of, 49 § 1
Olynthus, territory of, $49 \S 42$;
allied with Athens, 176 § 46
optative of indefinite frequency, 98 § 3 ; future, 34 § 42, 155 § 47, 159 § 22; oblique of subjunct., with ăv, 28 \& 10 ; indicat., 33 § 40 ; present in oratio obliqua, possible ambiguity of, 65 § $2,67 \S 8,78 \S 7$; aor. with ay, where some desire the aor. indic. with ay, 73 \& 34 ; alternating with indic. in oratio obliqua
 oú үévotto), 40 § 61
oratio obliqua, $33 \S 40,112$ § 39
oxymoron ( $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ ), 152 § 67

Palladion, court of the, 202
Panegyricus, the, time spent on by Isocr., 137 § 84
Paralos, the trireme, 175 \& 42 parenthetical clause with finite verb, instead of partic., 199 § 42
paronomasia ( $\left.\tau \epsilon \chi \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta \nu^{\nu}\right)$, 46 8 36 ; ( $\pi$ арєîval.... $\pi a \rho$ '

 eîs...ס̀vatvðeis), 155 \&s 49 ;
 157854
Paros, politics at, 158 § 18
Parthenon, the treasury of Athens, 147 \& 126 ; votive offerings in, 175 \& 42
participle expressing the lead-
 must act if we would live), 43 \& 29, 45 \& 34, 71 \& 26 ; concessive ( $\delta$ pew, though I act), 17 \& $27,30815,158$ S 20 ; conditional ( $\delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$, if I act), 41 85, 12988166 ; causal (ঠрผิv, because I act),

129 § 166, 135 § 185 ; probably lost in mss., 7 § 6
participles, series of, the last belonging more closely to the verb ( $\pi \rho о к а \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu 0 s . .$.
 $\mu \in \nu$ оs каl $\delta$ oús... $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda a \beta \omega \bar{y}$ -
 deton of two, the latter belonging more closely to the verb ( $\mathfrak{\alpha} \pi о т \rho a \pi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota \sigma \nu \lambda$ -
 passive perfect in middle sense, 4 § 8, 90 § 17, 125 § 297
paupers, state-relief for at Athens, 247
Peace of Nicias, 44 § 31
Pedaritus, 149 § 53
perfect, marking a complete and lasting result, 46 § 36, 93 § 9, 106 § 22,151 § 36, 160 § 23, 170 § 14 ; and pluperf. in oratio obliqua, 164 § 3 ; partic. of a result which remains) (aor. part., 132 § 175, cp. 142 § 100
Pericles, his probity, 142 § 126
Persia, state of in 346 в.c., 142 \& 101 ; the monarchy of, $106 \S 23$; the king of, a Zeus on earth, 133 § 179
person, 3rd plur., followed by

 $\sigma \theta a \iota)$ prob. to be read, 77 $\$ 100$
Philinnus, speech of Antiphon against, 25 \& 12
Philip of Macedon and Athens, 163 \& 1
Phlya, an Attic deme, 196 835
Phoenicia ravaged by Evagoras, 1278161 ; and Persia, 1428102
Phoenicians in Cyprus, 109 847

Phorbas, a Rhodian hero, 41 § 62
Phrynichus, died in 411 b.c., 10 § 9
Plain, the Attic, 173 § 22
Plataea, memorials at, 144 § 58
Plataeans intermarried with Athenians, 143 § 56
Plato, references of Isocr. to, 110 § 1, 121 § 285
plural of abstract nouns ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ $\theta$ єíal), 121 § 283, 198 § 38 ; partic. instead of sing. relative clauses ( $\delta$ úo t fó́tol ol каi $\pi \rho о \tau \rho \epsilon ̇ \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ к а і ~ \pi a и ́-~$
 סè $\pi a v ́ \varepsilon \iota), 154 \S 46$
Plutarch on the Hermae affair, 33 § 38 (note)
poetical words in the older prose, 7 § 4, 17 § 27
Polemarch, jurisdiction of the, 97 § 2
politics, abstention from, how viewed at Athens, 62 § 21
positive evolved from negative ( ย̇є from oủ又 olóv $\tau \epsilon$ ), 161 § 27 ; (ở $\mu$ óvos éкє oủ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, dं $\lambda \lambda$ ’ oủ $\delta \grave{\text { è }}$ oûtos (sc. $\epsilon โ \pi \epsilon \nu)$ ), $193 \S 25$
predicate, 76 § $97,129 \S 166$
present, historic, followed by optat. in dependent clause ( $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \quad \delta \quad \pi \eta \quad \beta a \delta i \xi \circ \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$ ), 67 § 12 ; historic, with $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ (as it was), 86 § 36 ; in tentative sense ( $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \sigma$ o $\quad$ cal, are trying to effect), 9 § 7; infin., representing an imperf. indic., $74 \S 36$; after $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i s, 51$ § 7 ; partic. with art. = relative and imperf.
 є́ $\bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \in \epsilon \tau \circ), 82$ § 21, 139 § 91, 150 § 56
prison, scenes in, 36 § 48,87 § 39
pronoun, redundant after relative, 170 § 15
pronouns, sequence of ( $\epsilon$ кєî̀os, ovitos, after aủtbs), $40 \S 60$, 185 § 2
protasis re-stated after long apodosis, 191 § 20
Prytaneion, maintenance at the, 35 § 45, 176 § 47
Pythian Apollo, temple of, 175 § 41
question, rhetorical, 170 § 13, 194 § 28
ransoming slaves, 14 § 20 ; prisoners of war, 69 § 20
relative, causal use of, 159 § 21; (oै $\sigma \tau / s)$ with fut. ind. after $\dot{\alpha} \mu \iota \lambda \lambda \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota, 109$ $\S 50$
repetition, by orators, of their own passages, 137 § 84 ; defended, 140 § 93
rhetoric, early writers on, 116 § 19
sacrifice, family gatherings at, $190 \S 16$; thwarted by the presence of the guilty, 19 § 82
Satyrus, king of Bosporus, 57 § 4
self-government, moral, 123 § 290
Senate-house, hearth of the, 30 § 15, 35 § 44
ships, Athenian, how manned, 157 § 54
Sicily and Dionysius I., 130 $\S 169$; Athenian interference in, 44 § 30
signs of divine favour to voyagers, 18 § 81
silence kept by and towards homicides, $70 \S 24$
singular subject with plural verb, 5 § 10
singular verb with plural subject, 67 § 12
Scamandrius, decree passed in his archonship, 35 § 43
slaves, profits from labour of, 33 § 38
Socratic sects, references of Isocr. to, 110 § 1, 111 § 4
Solon's laws, 95 § 15
'sophists,' how understood by Isocr., 292, 300, 113 \& 11; as used by Lysias, 36 § 3
Sparta, a group of unwalled villages, 51 § 7 (note); prestige of in Greece, 149 § 52; the military exercises of, 126 § 298; the kings of, 106 § 24; and Athens, Thirty Years' Truce between, 47 § 38
Spartôlus in Chalcidice, 175 842
speaking low, a mark of $\sigma \omega$ фробúv $\eta$, 62 § 19
stater, the Cyzicene and the Attic, 67 § 11
subjunctive, deliberative, 37 § 51, 183 § 17
sureties, the giving of three, 13 § 17 (note)
symmetry, rhetorical form, against the sense ( $\sigma u v \eta \delta o-$ $\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu-\sigma v \nu \in \theta \epsilon \lambda$ б $\left.^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu\right), 4$ § 8
Syria ravaged by Evagoras, 127 \& 161

Talthybius, his $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \iota, 19$ \& 82 (note)
Fen Thousand. Mentreat of the, 139890,140895
Tetralogies of Autiphom, 2(f): not primarily models of form, 5 学 19)
Thargelia, the, $2 ; \$ 11$
Theines, prolicy of in Persian Wars, 111 है 59
Theophrastus, Characters of, illnstruted, 29811

Theramenes, envoy to Sparta in 404 в.c., 79 § 10
Thesmophoria, the, 191 § 18
Thessalian horsemanship, 126 § 298
Thirty Years' Truce, the, 47 § 38
Thracians, sell their children as slaves, $14 \S 20$
Thrasybulus (ò इTetpteús), 60 § 15, 75 § 92
Thucydides and Antiphon, 201
timber, expurt of from Macedonia, 29 § 11
tombs, offerings at, 145 § 61
torture praised as eliciting truth, 188 § 12; of Athenian citizens, forbidden by a $\psi \eta^{\prime}$ $\phi \iota \sigma \mu a, 34$ § 43, 83 § 27
transposition required in text, 165 § 5, 169 § 11
tribes, judges appointed by the Attic, 98 § 3
tribute of Athenian allies, 147 § 125
trierarchy )( ordinary $\lambda_{\text {etroup- }}$ ช(at, 176 § 45
tripod dedicated by successful choregus, 175 § 41
Troezen, 159 § 21
'tyranny,' in the Greek sense, 104 § 16 (note)
Tyrants, the Thirty, 52 § 2 ; spoliations by, 54 § 5 ; their policy, 57 \& 5 ; supported by Spartan garrison, 75 § 94 ; disarm the citizens, 76895 ; forms of a trial before, 86 \& 37 ; executions by order of, $87 \& 38,89$ § 46 ; overthrow of, 249
Tyre taken by Evagoras, 127 § 161
verb, finite, parenthetical clause with, instead of participle, 199 \& 42 ; insertion of a new, changing the
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construction, 68 § 15,74 § 36
'vivid' construction, the ( $\epsilon i$
$\epsilon \rho \in \hat{\imath}$ for $\left.\epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \xi \xi_{0}\right), 37 \S 53$; ( $\eta$ f for $\epsilon \ell \eta$ ), 66 § 7 ; ( $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \cup-$ $\theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ for $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \cup \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu), 152$
$\S 40$; ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ט́n $\tau \epsilon$ for $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup ́-$ оเтє), 188 § 10
voice, a low, as a mark of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\nu} \eta \eta, 66$ § 19
walls of Athens, rebuilt in

479 в.c., 47 § 38 ; partly demolished in 404 в.c., 47 § 38,53 § 4,57 § 4 witnesses, test for veracity of, 188 § 10
women, an honour paid by to a bride, 191 § 18
youth, apology for by a speaker in the Ecclesia, 62 $\S 20$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ I desire to offer my thanks to the critics in this and other countries to whom my book has been indehted for cordial welcome or for candid criticism ; among others, to my German reviewer in Bursian's Jahresbericht, Professor F. Blass (1877, Vol. ix., pp. 249 f. : Calvary, Berlin).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Attic Orators, Vol. 1. p. 79.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Macmillan's Magazine, November, 1876.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Attic Orators, Vol. II. p. 419.
    ${ }^{2}$ Edinburgh Review, No. 298, April, 1877.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hellenica, edited by Evelyn Abbott, M.A., LL.D., pp. 307 f.
    ${ }^{2}$ This point was well brought out by my reviewer in the New Yorls Nation.

[^5]:    351. *ámodsiofal] The conject. of Stephanus and Reiske, approved by Baiter, and adopted by Bl.- i $\pi$ o $\lambda \epsilon \sigma 0 a \iota$ mss. Bk.
[^6]:    

[^7]:    
     íatw conject. E. Mehler (ed. Panegyr. Areopag. 18fil), followink Hirschig. Bl. thinks that, if anything should be expunged, it is p óquvos.

[^8]:     See comment. : 'OגınOias] Palmer conject., Schb.-'OAvoias

[^9]:    Schiom. Turr. § 17. oivos] Dohree conject., Schh.-aủtòs mas. Bk. Schöm. Turr. See comment. § 18. $\mu\langle\nu o \nu] \mu \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$
    
    

[^10]:    
     rasis = the coutingent of infantry furnished by cach $\phi$ oint.

[^11]:    § 15. $\zeta \eta \tau \in โ ิ \nu . . . \dot{\delta} \delta(\delta a \xi \in \nu]$ i.e. their training gives them greater readiness of resource in the search for topics: they know

[^12]:    
    

